

Cross-Ratio in Real Vector Space

Roland Coghetto 
Rue de la Brasserie 5
7100 La Louvière, Belgium

Summary. Using Mizar [1], in the context of a real vector space, we introduce the concept of affine ratio of three aligned points (see [5]).

It is also equivalent to the notion of “Mesure algébrique”¹, to the opposite of the notion of Teilverhältnis² or to the opposite of the ordered length-ratio [9].

In the second part, we introduce the classic notion of “cross-ratio” of 4 points aligned in a real vector space.

Finally, we show that if the real vector space is the real line, the notion corresponds to the classical notion³ [9]:

The cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points on the real line with coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 is given by:

$$(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) = \frac{x_3 - x_1}{x_3 - x_2} \cdot \frac{x_4 - x_2}{x_4 - x_1}$$

In the Mizar Mathematical Library, the vector spaces were first defined by Kusak, Leóńczuk and Muzalewski in the article [6], while the actual real vector space was defined by Trybulec [10] and the complex vector space was defined by Endou [4]. Nakasho and Shidama have developed a solution to explore the notions introduced by different authors⁴ [7]. The definitions can be directly linked in the HTMLized version of the Mizar library⁵.

The study of the cross-ratio will continue within the framework of the Klein-Beltrami model [2], [3]. For a generalized cross-ratio, see Papadopoulos [8].

MSC: 15A03 51A05 68T99 03B35

Keywords: affine ratio; cross-ratio; real vector space; geometry

MML identifier: ANPROJ10, version: 8.1.09 5.54.1341

¹https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mesure_algébrique

²<https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teilverhältnis>

³<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cross-ratio>

⁴<http://webmizar.cs.shinshu-u.ac.jp/mmlfe/current>

⁵Example: RealLinearSpace <http://mizar.org/version/current/html/rlvect.1.html#NM2>

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let a, b, c, d be objects. Observe that $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle(1)$ reduces to a and $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle(2)$ reduces to b and $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle(3)$ reduces to c and $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle(4)$ reduces to d .

Now we state the proposition:

(1) Let us consider objects $a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d'$. Suppose $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle = \langle a', b', c', d' \rangle$. Then

(i) $a = a'$, and

(ii) $b = b'$, and

(iii) $c = c'$, and

(iv) $d = d'$.

Let r be a real number. We say that r is unit if and only if

(Def. 1) $r = 1$.

Let us observe that there exists a non zero real number which is non unit.

Let r be a non unit, non zero real number. The functor $\text{op1}(r)$ yielding a non unit, non zero real number is defined by the term

(Def. 2) $\frac{1}{r}$.

One can check that the functor is involutive.

The functor $\text{op2}(r)$ yielding a non unit, non zero real number is defined by the term

(Def. 3) $1 - r$.

Let us observe that the functor is involutive.

From now on a, b, r denote non unit, non zero real numbers.

Now we state the propositions:

(2) (i) $\text{op2}(\text{op1}(r)) = \frac{r-1}{r}$, and

(ii) $\text{op1}(\text{op2}(r)) = \frac{1}{1-r}$, and

(iii) $\text{op1}(\text{op2}(\text{op1}(r))) = \frac{r}{r-1}$, and

(iv) $\text{op2}(\text{op1}(\text{op2}(r))) = \frac{r}{r-1}$.

(3) (i) $\text{op2}(\text{op1}(\text{op2}(\text{op1}(r)))) = \text{op1}(\text{op2}(r))$, and

(ii) $\text{op1}(\text{op2}(\text{op1}(\text{op2}(r)))) = \text{op2}(\text{op1}(r))$.

The theorem is a consequence of (2).

(4) $\frac{\text{op1}(a)}{\text{op1}(b)} = \frac{b}{a}$.

In the sequel X denotes a non empty set and x denotes a 4-tuple of X .

Now we state the propositions:

(5) X^4 = the set of all $\langle d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \rangle$ where d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 are elements of X .

(6) Let us consider objects a, b, c, d . Suppose ($a = x(1)$ or $a = x(2)$ or $a = x(3)$ or $a = x(4)$) and ($b = x(1)$ or $b = x(2)$ or $b = x(3)$ or $b = x(4)$) and ($c = x(1)$ or $c = x(2)$ or $c = x(3)$ or $c = x(4)$) and ($d = x(1)$ or $d = x(2)$ or $d = x(3)$ or $d = x(4)$). Then $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is a 4-tuple of X . The theorem is a consequence of (5).

Let X be a non empty set and x be a 4-tuple of X . The functors: $\sigma_{1342}(x)$, $\sigma_{1423}(x)$, $\sigma_{2143}(x)$, $\sigma_{2314}(x)$, and $\sigma_{2341}(x)$ yielding 4-tuples of X are defined by terms

$$\text{(Def. 4)} \quad \langle x(1), x(3), x(4), x(2) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 5)} \quad \langle x(1), x(4), x(2), x(3) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 6)} \quad \langle x(2), x(1), x(4), x(3) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 7)} \quad \langle x(2), x(3), x(1), x(4) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 8)} \quad \langle x(2), x(3), x(4), x(1) \rangle,$$

respectively. The functors: $\sigma_{2413}(x)$, $\sigma_{2431}(x)$, $\sigma_{3124}(x)$, $\sigma_{3142}(x)$, and $\sigma_{3241}(x)$ yielding 4-tuples of X are defined by terms

$$\text{(Def. 9)} \quad \langle x(2), x(4), x(1), x(3) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 10)} \quad \langle x(2), x(4), x(3), x(1) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 11)} \quad \langle x(3), x(1), x(2), x(4) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 12)} \quad \langle x(3), x(1), x(4), x(2) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 13)} \quad \langle x(3), x(2), x(4), x(1) \rangle,$$

respectively. The functors: $\sigma_{3412}(x)$, $\sigma_{3421}(x)$, $\sigma_{4123}(x)$, $\sigma_{4132}(x)$, and $\sigma_{4213}(x)$ yielding 4-tuples of X are defined by terms

$$\text{(Def. 14)} \quad \langle x(3), x(4), x(1), x(2) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 15)} \quad \langle x(3), x(4), x(2), x(1) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 16)} \quad \langle x(4), x(1), x(2), x(3) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 17)} \quad \langle x(4), x(1), x(3), x(2) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 18)} \quad \langle x(4), x(2), x(1), x(3) \rangle,$$

respectively. The functors: $\sigma_{4312}(x)$ and $\sigma_{4321}(x)$ yielding 4-tuples of X are defined by terms

$$\text{(Def. 19)} \quad \langle x(4), x(3), x(1), x(2) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 20)} \quad \langle x(4), x(3), x(2), x(1) \rangle,$$

respectively. The functors: $\sigma_{\text{id}}(x)$ and $\sigma_{12}(x)$ yielding 4-tuples of X are defined by terms

$$\text{(Def. 21)} \quad \langle x(1), x(2), x(3), x(4) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 22)} \quad \langle x(2), x(1), x(3), x(4) \rangle,$$

respectively. Observe that the functor is involutive.

The functors: $\sigma_{13}(x)$ and $\sigma_{14}(x)$ yielding 4-tuples of X are defined by terms

$$\text{(Def. 23)} \quad \langle x(3), x(2), x(1), x(4) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 24)} \quad \langle x(4), x(2), x(3), x(1) \rangle,$$

respectively. One can check that the functor is involutive.

The functor $\sigma_{23}(x)$ yielding a 4-tuple of X is defined by the term

$$\text{(Def. 25)} \quad \langle x(1), x(3), x(2), x(4) \rangle.$$

Note that the functor is involutive.

The functors: $\sigma_{24}(x)$ and $\sigma_{34}(x)$ yielding 4-tuples of X are defined by terms

$$\text{(Def. 26)} \quad \langle x(1), x(4), x(3), x(2) \rangle,$$

$$\text{(Def. 27)} \quad \langle x(1), x(2), x(4), x(3) \rangle,$$

respectively. Let us observe that the functor is involutive.

Note that $\sigma_{\text{id}}(x)$ reduces to x .

We introduce the notation $\sigma_{1234}(x)$ as a synonym of $\sigma_{\text{id}}(x)$ and $\sigma_{2134}(x)$ as a synonym of $\sigma_{12}(x)$ and $\sigma_{3214}(x)$ as a synonym of $\sigma_{13}(x)$. And $\sigma_{4231}(x)$ as a synonym of $\sigma_{14}(x)$ and $\sigma_{1324}(x)$ as a synonym of $\sigma_{23}(x)$ and $\sigma_{1432}(x)$ as a synonym of $\sigma_{24}(x)$ and $\sigma_{1243}(x)$ as a synonym of $\sigma_{34}(x)$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (7) (i) $\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{13}(x)) = \sigma_{13}(\sigma_{23}(x))$, and
(ii) $\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{14}(x)) = \sigma_{14}(\sigma_{24}(x))$, and
(iii) $\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{23}(x)) = \sigma_{13}(\sigma_{12}(x))$, and
(iv) $\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{24}(x)) = \sigma_{14}(\sigma_{12}(x))$, and
(v) $\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{34}(x)) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{12}(x))$, and
(vi) $\sigma_{13}(\sigma_{12}(x)) = \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{13}(x))$, and
(vii) $\sigma_{13}(\sigma_{14}(x)) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{13}(x))$, and
(viii) $\sigma_{13}(\sigma_{23}(x)) = \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{13}(x))$, and
(ix) $\sigma_{13}(\sigma_{24}(x)) = \sigma_{13}(\sigma_{24}(x))$, and
(x) $\sigma_{13}(\sigma_{34}(x)) = \sigma_{14}(\sigma_{13}(x))$, and
(xi) $\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{12}(x)) = \sigma_{13}(\sigma_{23}(x))$, and
(xii) $\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{13}(x)) = \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{23}(x))$, and
(xiii) $\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{14}(x)) = \sigma_{14}(\sigma_{23}(x))$, and
(xiv) $\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{24}(x)) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{23}(x))$, and
(xv) $\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{34}(x)) = \sigma_{24}(\sigma_{23}(x))$, and
(xvi) $\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{12}(x)) = \sigma_{14}(\sigma_{24}(x))$, and
(xvii) $\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{13}(x)) = \sigma_{13}(\sigma_{24}(x))$, and

- (xviii) $\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{14}(x)) = \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{24}(x))$, and
 (xix) $\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{23}(x)) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{24}(x))$, and
 (xx) $\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{34}(x)) = \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{24}(x))$, and
 (xxi) $\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{12}(x)) = \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{34}(x))$, and
 (xxii) $\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{13}(x)) = \sigma_{14}(\sigma_{34}(x))$, and
 (xxiii) $\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{14}(x)) = \sigma_{13}(\sigma_{34}(x))$, and
 (xxiv) $\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{23}(x)) = \sigma_{24}(\sigma_{34}(x))$, and
 (xxv) $\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{24}(x)) = \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{34}(x))$.
- (8) (i) $\sigma_{1342}(x) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{23}(x))$, and
 (ii) $\sigma_{1423}(x) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{24}(x))$, and
 (iii) $\sigma_{2143}(x) = \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{34}(x))$, and
 (iv) $\sigma_{2314}(x) = \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{12}(x))$, and
 (v) $\sigma_{2341}(x) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{12}(x)))$, and
 (vi) $\sigma_{2413}(x) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{12}(x)))$, and
 (vii) $\sigma_{2431}(x) = \sigma_{24}(\sigma_{12}(x))$, and
 (viii) $\sigma_{3124}(x) = \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{13}(x))$, and
 (ix) $\sigma_{3142}(x) = \sigma_{24}(\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{13}(x)))$, and
 (x) $\sigma_{3241}(x) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{13}(x))$, and
 (xi) $\sigma_{3412}(x) = \sigma_{24}(\sigma_{13}(x))$, and
 (xii) $\sigma_{3421}(x) = \sigma_{24}(\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{13}(x)))$, and
 (xiii) $\sigma_{4123}(x) = \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{14}(x)))$, and
 (xiv) $\sigma_{4132}(x) = \sigma_{24}(\sigma_{14}(x))$, and
 (xv) $\sigma_{4213}(x) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{14}(x))$, and
 (xvi) $\sigma_{4312}(x) = \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{14}(x)))$, and
 (xvii) $\sigma_{4321}(x) = \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{14}(x))$.
- (9) (i) $\sigma_{13}(\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{13}(x))) = \sigma_{12}(x)$, and
 (ii) $\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{13}(x)))) = \sigma_{34}(\sigma_{23}(x))$, and
 (iii) $\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{14}(\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{13}(x))))) = \sigma_{14}(x)$.
- (10) (i) $\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{14}(\sigma_{34}(x))) = \sigma_{24}(\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{13}(x)))$, and
 (ii) $\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{12}(x))) = \sigma_{24}(\sigma_{13}(\sigma_{23}(x)))$, and
 (iii) $\sigma_{24}(\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{13}(x))) = \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{34}(\sigma_{23}(x)))$.

2. AFFINE RATIO

In the sequel V denotes a real linear space and A, B, C, P, Q, R, S denote elements of V .

Now we state the proposition:

(11) P, Q and Q are collinear.

Let V be a real linear space and A, B, C be elements of V . Assume $A \neq C$ and A, B and C are collinear. The functor $\text{AffineRatio}(A, B, C)$ yielding a real number is defined by

(Def. 28) $B - A = it \cdot (C - A)$.

Now we state the propositions:

(12) If $A \neq C$ and A, B and C are collinear, then $A - B = (\text{AffineRatio}(A, B, C)) \cdot (A - C)$.

(13) If $A \neq C$ and A, B and C are collinear, then $\text{AffineRatio}(A, B, C) = 0$ iff $A = B$.

(14) If $A \neq C$ and A, B and C are collinear, then $\text{AffineRatio}(A, B, C) = 1$ iff $B = C$.

(15) Let us consider real numbers a, b . If $P \neq Q$ and $a \cdot (P - Q) = b \cdot (P - Q)$, then $a = b$.

(16) If P, Q and R are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $P \neq Q$, then $\text{AffineRatio}(P, R, Q) = \frac{1}{\text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (15).

(17) Suppose P, Q and R are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $Q \neq R$ and $P \neq Q$. Then $\text{AffineRatio}(Q, P, R) = \frac{\text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R)}{\text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R) - 1}$. The theorem is a consequence of (13) and (14).

(18) If P, Q and R are collinear and $P \neq R$, then $\text{AffineRatio}(R, Q, P) = 1 - \text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R)$. The theorem is a consequence of (15).

(19) If P, Q and R are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $P \neq Q$, then $\text{AffineRatio}(Q, R, P) = \frac{\text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R) - 1}{\text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (13) and (15).

(20) If P, Q and R are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $Q \neq R$, then $\text{AffineRatio}(R, P, Q) = \frac{1}{1 - \text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (15).

(21) Let us consider a real number r . Suppose P, Q and R are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $Q \neq R$ and $P \neq Q$ and $r = \text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R)$. Then

(i) $\text{AffineRatio}(P, R, Q) = \frac{1}{r}$, and

(ii) $\text{AffineRatio}(Q, P, R) = \frac{r}{r-1}$, and

(iii) $\text{AffineRatio}(Q, R, P) = \frac{r-1}{r}$, and

(iv) $\text{AffineRatio}(R, P, Q) = \frac{1}{1-r}$, and

(v) $\text{AffineRatio}(R, Q, P) = 1 - r$.

(22) Let us consider a non zero real number a . Suppose P , Q and R are collinear and $P \neq R$. Then $\text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R) = \text{AffineRatio}(a \cdot P, a \cdot Q, a \cdot R)$.

(23) Let us consider elements x, y of \mathcal{R}^1 , and 1-tuples p, q of \mathbb{R} . If $p = x$ and $q = y$, then $x + y = p + q$.

Let us consider elements x, y of \mathcal{E}_T^1 and 1-tuples p, q of \mathbb{R} . Now we state the propositions:

(24) If $p = x$ and $q = y$, then $x + y = p + q$.

(25) If $p = x$ and $q = y$, then $x - y = p - q$.

(26) Let us consider an element x of \mathcal{E}_T^1 , and a 1-tuple p of \mathbb{R} . If $p = x$, then $-x = -p$.

(27) Let us consider a real linear space T , elements x, y of T , and 1-tuples p, q of \mathbb{R} . If $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$ and $p = x$ and $q = y$, then $x + y = p + q$.

(28) Let us consider a 1-tuple p of \mathbb{R} . Then $-p$ is a 1-tuple of \mathbb{R} .

(29) Let us consider a real linear space T , an element x of T , and a 1-tuple p of \mathbb{R} . If $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$ and $p = x$, then $-p = -x$. The theorem is a consequence of (27).

(30) Let us consider a real linear space T , an element x of T , and an element p of \mathcal{E}_T^1 . If $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$ and $p = x$, then $-p = -x$. The theorem is a consequence of (29).

(31) Let us consider a real linear space T , elements x, y of T , and 1-tuples p, q of \mathbb{R} . If $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$ and $p = x$ and $q = y$, then $x - y = p - q$. The theorem is a consequence of (28) and (29).

(32) Let us consider a real linear space T , elements x, y of T , and elements p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^1 . If $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$ and $p = x$ and $q = y$, then $x + y = p + q$. The theorem is a consequence of (27).

(33) Let us consider a set D , and an element d of D . Then $\text{Seg } 1 \mapsto d = \langle d \rangle$.

(34) Let us consider real numbers a, r . Then $(\cdot_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ(\text{Seg } 1 \mapsto a, \langle r \rangle) = \langle a \cdot r \rangle$. The theorem is a consequence of (33).

Let us consider a real number a and a 1-tuple p of \mathbb{R} . Now we state the propositions:

(35) $(\cdot_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ(\text{dom } p \mapsto a, p) = a \cdot p$. The theorem is a consequence of (34).

(36) $(\cdot_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ(\text{dom } p \mapsto a, p) = a \cdot p$.

(37) Let us consider a real linear space T , elements x, y of T , a real number a , and 1-tuples p, q of \mathbb{R} . If $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$ and $p = x$ and $q = y$ and $x = a \cdot y$, then $p = a \cdot q$. The theorem is a consequence of (35).

- (38) Let us consider a real linear space T , elements x, y of T , a real number a , and elements p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^1 . If $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$ and $p = x$ and $q = y$, then if $x = a \cdot y$, then $p = a \cdot q$. The theorem is a consequence of (37).
- (39) Let us consider a real linear space T , elements x, y of T , and elements p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^1 . If $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$ and $p = x$ and $q = y$, then $x - y = p - q$. The theorem is a consequence of (30) and (32).
- (40) Let us consider 1-tuples p, q of \mathbb{R} , and a real number r . Suppose $p = r \cdot q$ and $p \neq \langle 0 \rangle$. Then there exist real numbers a, b such that
- (i) $p = \langle a \rangle$, and
 - (ii) $q = \langle b \rangle$, and
 - (iii) $r = \frac{a}{b}$.
- (41) Let us consider elements x, y, z of \mathcal{E}_T^1 . Then x, y and z are collinear.

Let us consider a real linear space T and elements x, y, z of T . Now we state the propositions:

- (42) If $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$, then x, y and z are collinear.
- (43) Suppose $T = \mathcal{E}_T^1$. Then suppose $z \neq x$ and $y \neq x$. Then there exist real numbers a, b, c such that
- (i) $x = \langle a \rangle$, and
 - (ii) $y = \langle b \rangle$, and
 - (iii) $z = \langle c \rangle$, and
 - (iv) $\text{AffineRatio}(x, y, z) = \frac{b-a}{c-a}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (31), (41), (37), and (40).

Now we state the propositions:

- (44) Let us consider an element x of \mathcal{E}_T^1 , and real numbers a, r . If $x = \langle a \rangle$, then $r \cdot x = \langle r \cdot a \rangle$.
- (45) Let us consider elements x, y of \mathcal{E}_T^1 , and real numbers a, b, r . If $x = \langle a \rangle$ and $y = \langle b \rangle$, then $x = r \cdot y$ iff $a = r \cdot b$. The theorem is a consequence of (44).
- (46) Let us consider elements x, y of \mathcal{E}_T^1 , and real numbers a, b . If $x = \langle a \rangle$ and $y = \langle b \rangle$, then $x - y = \langle a - b \rangle$.
- (47) Let us consider a real linear space V , elements x, y of \mathbb{R}_F , and elements x', y' of V . If $V = \mathbb{R}_F$ and $x = x'$ and $y = y'$, then $x + y = x' + y'$.

Let us consider a real linear space V and elements P, Q, R of V . Now we state the propositions:

- (48) If P, Q and R are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $Q \neq R$ and $P \neq Q$, then $\text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R) \neq 0$ and $\text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R) \neq 1$.

(49) Suppose P , Q and R are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $Q \neq R$ and $P \neq Q$. Then there exists a non unit, non zero real number r such that

- (i) $r = \text{AffineRatio}(P, Q, R)$, and
- (ii) $\text{AffineRatio}(P, R, Q) = \text{op1}(r)$, and
- (iii) $\text{AffineRatio}(Q, P, R) = \text{op1}(\text{op2}(\text{op1}(r)))$, and
- (iv) $\text{AffineRatio}(Q, R, P) = \text{op2}(\text{op1}(r))$, and
- (v) $\text{AffineRatio}(R, P, Q) = \text{op1}(\text{op2}(r))$, and
- (vi) $\text{AffineRatio}(R, Q, P) = \text{op2}(r)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (13), (14), (16), (17), (18), (19), (20), and (2).

3. CROSS-RATIO

Now we state the propositions:

(50) Let us consider a non empty set X , a 4-tuple x of X , and elements P , Q , R , S of X . Suppose $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$. Then

- (i) $\sigma_{1234}(x) = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$, and
- (ii) $\sigma_{1243}(x) = \langle P, Q, S, R \rangle$, and
- (iii) $\sigma_{1324}(x) = \langle P, R, Q, S \rangle$, and
- (iv) $\sigma_{1342}(x) = \langle P, R, S, Q \rangle$, and
- (v) $\sigma_{1423}(x) = \langle P, S, Q, R \rangle$, and
- (vi) $\sigma_{1432}(x) = \langle P, S, R, Q \rangle$, and
- (vii) $\sigma_{2134}(x) = \langle Q, P, R, S \rangle$, and
- (viii) $\sigma_{2143}(x) = \langle Q, P, S, R \rangle$, and
- (ix) $\sigma_{2314}(x) = \langle Q, R, P, S \rangle$, and
- (x) $\sigma_{2341}(x) = \langle Q, R, S, P \rangle$, and
- (xi) $\sigma_{2413}(x) = \langle Q, S, P, R \rangle$, and
- (xii) $\sigma_{2431}(x) = \langle Q, S, R, P \rangle$, and
- (xiii) $\sigma_{3124}(x) = \langle R, P, Q, S \rangle$, and
- (xiv) $\sigma_{3142}(x) = \langle R, P, S, Q \rangle$, and
- (xv) $\sigma_{3214}(x) = \langle R, Q, P, S \rangle$, and
- (xvi) $\sigma_{3241}(x) = \langle R, Q, S, P \rangle$, and
- (xvii) $\sigma_{3412}(x) = \langle R, S, P, Q \rangle$, and

- (xviii) $\sigma_{3421}(x) = \langle R, S, Q, P \rangle$, and
- (xix) $\sigma_{4123}(x) = \langle S, P, Q, R \rangle$, and
- (xx) $\sigma_{4132}(x) = \langle S, P, R, Q \rangle$, and
- (xxi) $\sigma_{4213}(x) = \langle S, Q, P, R \rangle$, and
- (xxii) $\sigma_{4231}(x) = \langle S, Q, R, P \rangle$, and
- (xxiii) $\sigma_{4312}(x) = \langle S, R, P, Q \rangle$, and
- (xxiv) $\sigma_{4321}(x) = \langle S, R, Q, P \rangle$.

(51) Let us consider a non empty set X , and a 4-tuple x of X . Then

- (i) $\sigma_{1324}(\sigma_{1243}(x)) = \sigma_{1423}(x)$, and
- (ii) $\sigma_{2143}(\sigma_{1243}(x)) = \sigma_{2134}(x)$, and
- (iii) $\sigma_{3412}(\sigma_{1243}(x)) = \sigma_{4312}(x)$, and
- (iv) $\sigma_{4321}(\sigma_{1243}(x)) = \sigma_{3421}(x)$, and
- (v) $\sigma_{3412}(\sigma_{1324}(x)) = \sigma_{2413}(x)$, and
- (vi) $\sigma_{2143}(\sigma_{1324}(x)) = \sigma_{3142}(x)$, and
- (vii) $\sigma_{4321}(\sigma_{1324}(x)) = \sigma_{4231}(x)$, and
- (viii) $\sigma_{3412}(\sigma_{1423}(x)) = \sigma_{2314}(x)$, and
- (ix) $\sigma_{2143}(\sigma_{1423}(x)) = \sigma_{4132}(x)$, and
- (x) $\sigma_{4321}(\sigma_{1423}(x)) = \sigma_{3241}(x)$, and
- (xi) $\sigma_{1243}(\sigma_{1423}(x)) = \sigma_{1432}(x)$, and
- (xii) $\sigma_{4321}(\sigma_{1432}(x)) = \sigma_{2341}(x)$, and
- (xiii) $\sigma_{3412}(\sigma_{1432}(x)) = \sigma_{3214}(x)$, and
- (xiv) $\sigma_{2143}(\sigma_{1432}(x)) = \sigma_{4123}(x)$, and
- (xv) $\sigma_{4321}(\sigma_{3124}(x)) = \sigma_{4213}(x)$, and
- (xvi) $\sigma_{3412}(\sigma_{3124}(x)) = \sigma_{2431}(x)$, and
- (xvii) $\sigma_{2143}(\sigma_{3124}(x)) = \sigma_{1342}(x)$, and
- (xviii) $\sigma_{4312}(\sigma_{3124}(x)) = \sigma_{4231}(x)$, and
- (xix) $\sigma_{4321}(\sigma_{3124}(x)) = \sigma_{4213}(x)$.

In the sequel x denotes a 4-tuple of the carrier of V and P', Q', R', S' denote elements of V .

Let V be a real linear space and P, Q, R, S be elements of V . The functor $\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)$ yielding a real number is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 29}) \quad \frac{\text{AffineRatio}(R, P, Q)}{\text{AffineRatio}(S, P, Q)}.$$

Now we state the propositions:

- (52) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $R \neq Q$ and $S \neq Q$ and $S \neq P$, then $R = P$ iff $\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S) = 0$. The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (53) If $P \neq R$ and $P \neq S$, then $\text{CrossRatio}(P, P, R, S) = 1$. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (14).
- (54) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $R \neq Q$ and $S \neq Q$ and $R \neq S$ and $\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S) = 1$, then $P = Q$. The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (14).
- (55) Suppose $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P', Q', R',$ and S' are collinear and $S \neq P$ and $S \neq Q$ and $S' \neq P'$ and $S' \neq Q'$. Then $\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S) = \text{CrossRatio}(P', Q', R', S')$ if and only if $\text{AffineRatio}(R, P, Q) \cdot \text{AffineRatio}(S', P', Q') = \text{AffineRatio}(R', P', Q') \cdot \text{AffineRatio}(S, P, Q)$. The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (56) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P \neq S$ and $R \neq Q$ and $S \neq Q$, then $\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S) = \text{CrossRatio}(R, S, P, Q)$. The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (57) Let us consider a real linear space V , and elements P, Q, R, S of V . Suppose $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $P \neq S$ and $R \neq Q$ and $S \neq Q$. Then $\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S) = \text{CrossRatio}(Q, P, S, R)$. The theorem is a consequence of (11), (14), and (49).
- (58) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $P \neq S$ and $R \neq Q$ and $S \neq Q$, then $\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S) = \text{CrossRatio}(S, R, Q, P)$. The theorem is a consequence of (57) and (56).
- (59) $\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, S, R) = \frac{1}{\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)}$.
- (60) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $P \neq S$ and $R \neq Q$ and $S \neq Q$, then $\text{CrossRatio}(Q, P, R, S) = \frac{1}{\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (57).
- (61) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $P \neq S$ and $R \neq Q$ and $S \neq Q$, then $\text{CrossRatio}(R, S, Q, P) = \frac{1}{\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (58).
- (62) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $P \neq S$ and $R \neq Q$ and $S \neq Q$, then $\text{CrossRatio}(S, R, P, Q) = \frac{1}{\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (56).
- (63) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and P, Q, R, S are mutually different, then $\text{CrossRatio}(P, R, Q, S) = 1 - \text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)$. The theorem is a consequence of (17), (20), (14), (13), and (15).
- (64) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and P, Q, R, S are mutually different, then $\text{CrossRatio}(Q, S, P, R) = 1 - \text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)$. The theorem is

a consequence of (56) and (63).

(65) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and P, Q, R, S are mutually different, then $\text{CrossRatio}(R, P, S, Q) = 1 - \text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)$. The theorem is a consequence of (57) and (63).

(66) If $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and P, Q, R, S are mutually different, then $\text{CrossRatio}(S, Q, R, P) = 1 - \text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)$. The theorem is a consequence of (58) and (63).

Let V be a real linear space and x be a 4-tuple of the carrier of V . The functor $\text{CrossRatio}(x)$ yielding a real number is defined by

(Def. 30) there exist elements P, Q, R, S of V such that $P = x(1)$ and $Q = x(2)$ and $R = x(3)$ and $S = x(4)$ and $it = \text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S)$.

Now we state the propositions:

(67) If $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$, then $\text{CrossRatio}(P, Q, R, S) = \text{CrossRatio}(x)$.

(68) Suppose $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$ and $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P \neq S$ and $Q \neq R$ and $Q \neq S$. Then $\text{CrossRatio}(x) = \text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{3412}(x))$. The theorem is a consequence of (56).

(69) Suppose $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$ and $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $P \neq S$ and $Q \neq R$ and $Q \neq S$. Then

- (i) $\text{CrossRatio}(x) = \text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{2143}(x))$, and
- (ii) $\text{CrossRatio}(x) = \text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{4321}(x))$.

The theorem is a consequence of (57) and (58).

(70) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{1243}(x)) = \frac{1}{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}$.

(71) Suppose $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$ and P, Q, R, S are mutually different and $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear. Then there exists a non unit, non zero real number r such that

- (i) $r = \text{CrossRatio}(x)$, and
- (ii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{1243}(x)) = \text{op1}(r)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (54), (52), and (70).

(72) Suppose $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$ and $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear and $P \neq R$ and $P \neq S$ and $Q \neq R$ and $Q \neq S$. Then

- (i) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{1243}(x)) = \frac{1}{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}$, and
- (ii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{2134}(x)) = \frac{1}{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}$, and
- (iii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{3421}(x)) = \frac{1}{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}$, and
- (iv) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{4312}(x)) = \frac{1}{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (69) and (68).

(73) Suppose $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$ and P, Q, R, S are mutually different and $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear. Then

- (i) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{1324}(x)) = 1 - \text{CrossRatio}(x)$, and
- (ii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{2413}(x)) = 1 - \text{CrossRatio}(x)$, and
- (iii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{3142}(x)) = 1 - \text{CrossRatio}(x)$, and
- (iv) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{4231}(x)) = 1 - \text{CrossRatio}(x)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (68), (69), and (63).

(74) Suppose $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$ and P, Q, R, S are mutually different and $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear. Then

- (i) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{3124}(x)) = \frac{1}{1 - \text{CrossRatio}(x)}$, and
- (ii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{2431}(x)) = \frac{1}{1 - \text{CrossRatio}(x)}$, and
- (iii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{1342}(x)) = \frac{1}{1 - \text{CrossRatio}(x)}$, and
- (iv) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{4213}(x)) = \frac{1}{1 - \text{CrossRatio}(x)}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (70), (73), (68), and (69).

(75) Suppose $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$ and P, Q, R, S are mutually different and $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear. Then

- (i) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{1423}(x)) = \frac{\text{CrossRatio}(x) - 1}{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}$, and
- (ii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{2314}(x)) = \frac{\text{CrossRatio}(x) - 1}{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}$, and
- (iii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{4132}(x)) = \frac{\text{CrossRatio}(x) - 1}{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}$, and
- (iv) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{3241}(x)) = \frac{\text{CrossRatio}(x) - 1}{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (52), (67), (73), (72), (68), and (69).

(76) Suppose $x = \langle P, Q, R, S \rangle$ and P, Q, R, S are mutually different and $P, Q, R,$ and S are collinear. Then

- (i) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{1432}(x)) = \frac{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}{\text{CrossRatio}(x) - 1}$, and
- (ii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{2341}(x)) = \frac{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}{\text{CrossRatio}(x) - 1}$, and
- (iii) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{3214}(x)) = \frac{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}{\text{CrossRatio}(x) - 1}$, and
- (iv) $\text{CrossRatio}(\sigma_{4123}(x)) = \frac{\text{CrossRatio}(x)}{\text{CrossRatio}(x) - 1}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (70), (75), (69), and (68).

4. CROSS-RATIO AND THE REAL LINE

Now we state the proposition:

- (77) Let us consider elements x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 of \mathcal{E}_T^1 . Suppose $x_2 \neq x_3$ and $x_3 \neq x_1$ and $x_2 \neq x_4$ and $x_1 \neq x_4$. Then there exist real numbers a, b, c, d such that
- (i) $x_1 = \langle a \rangle$, and
 - (ii) $x_2 = \langle b \rangle$, and
 - (iii) $x_3 = \langle c \rangle$, and
 - (iv) $x_4 = \langle d \rangle$, and
 - (v) $\text{CrossRatio}(\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle) = \frac{c-a}{c-b} \cdot \frac{d-b}{d-a}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (43).

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8_17.
- [2] Roland Coghetto. Klein-Beltrami model. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 26(1):21–32, 2018. doi:10.2478/forma-2018-0003.
- [3] Roland Coghetto. Klein-Beltrami model. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 26(1):33–48, 2018. doi:10.2478/forma-2018-0004.
- [4] Noboru Endou. Complex linear space and complex normed space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(2):93–102, 2004.
- [5] Jadwiga Knop. About a certain generalization of the affine ratio of three points and unharmonic ratio of four points. *Bulletin of the Section of Logic*, 32(1–2):33–42, 2003.
- [6] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [7] Kazuhisa Nakasho and Yasunari Shidama. Documentation generator focusing on symbols for the HTML-ized Mizar library. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics, CICM 2015*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 343–347. Springer, Cham, 2015. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8_25.
- [8] Athanase Papadopoulos and Sumio Yamada. On the projective geometry of constant curvature spaces. *Sophus Lie and Felix Klein: The Erlangen Program and Its Impact in Mathematics and Physics*, 23:237–245, 2015.
- [9] Jürgen Richter-Gebert. *Perspectives on projective geometry: a guided tour through real and complex geometry*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [10] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):291–296, 1990.

Accepted February 27, 2019
