

# Sorting by Exchanging

Grzegorz Bancerek  
Białystok Technical University  
Poland

**Summary.** We show that exchanging of pairs in an array which are in incorrect order leads to sorted array. It justifies correctness of Bubble Sort, Insertion Sort, and Quicksort.

MML identifier: EXCHSORT, version: 7.11.07 4.156.1112

The notation and terminology used here have been introduced in the following papers: [20], [6], [11], [1], [8], [16], [12], [13], [10], [9], [17], [18], [3], [4], [2], [7], [14], [21], [22], [19], [5], and [15].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We adopt the following convention:  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  denote ordinal numbers,  $k$  denotes a natural number, and  $x, y, z, t, X, Y, Z$  denote sets.

The following propositions are true:

- (1)  $x \in (\alpha + \beta) \setminus \alpha$  iff there exists  $\gamma$  such that  $x = \alpha + \gamma$  and  $\gamma \in \beta$ .
- (2) Suppose  $\alpha \in \beta$  and  $\gamma \in \delta$ . Then  $\gamma \neq \alpha$  and  $\gamma \neq \beta$  and  $\delta \neq \alpha$  and  $\delta \neq \beta$  or  $\gamma \in \alpha$  and  $\delta = \alpha$  or  $\gamma \in \alpha$  and  $\delta = \beta$  or  $\gamma = \alpha$  and  $\delta \in \beta$  or  $\gamma = \alpha$  and  $\delta = \beta$  or  $\gamma = \alpha$  and  $\beta \in \delta$  or  $\alpha \in \gamma$  and  $\delta = \beta$  or  $\gamma = \beta$  and  $\beta \in \delta$ .
- (3) If  $x \notin y$ , then  $(y \cup \{x\}) \setminus y = \{x\}$ .
- (4)  $\text{succ } x \setminus x = \{x\}$ .
- (5) Let  $f$  be a function,  $r$  be a binary relation, and given  $x$ . Then  $x \in f^\circ r$  if and only if there exist  $y, z$  such that  $\langle y, z \rangle \in r$  and  $\langle y, z \rangle \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f(y, z) = x$ .
- (6) If  $\alpha \setminus \beta \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\inf(\alpha \setminus \beta) = \beta$  and  $\sup(\alpha \setminus \beta) = \alpha$  and  $\bigcup(\alpha \setminus \beta) = \bigcup \alpha$ .

- (7) If  $\alpha \setminus \beta$  is non empty and finite, then there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $\alpha = \beta + n$ .

## 2. ARRAYS

Let  $f$  be a set. We say that  $f$  is segmental if and only if:

- (Def. 1) There exist  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $\pi_1(f) = \alpha \setminus \beta$ .

In the sequel  $f, g$  denote functions.

The following two propositions are true:

- (8) If  $\text{dom } f = \text{dom } g$  and  $f$  is segmental, then  $g$  is segmental.  
 (9) If  $f$  is segmental, then for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  such that  $\alpha \subseteq \beta \subseteq \gamma$  and  $\alpha, \gamma \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $\beta \in \text{dom } f$ .

Let us observe that every function which is transfinite sequence-like is also segmental and every function which is finite sequence-like is also segmental.

Let us consider  $\alpha$  and let  $s$  be a set. We say that  $s$  is  $\alpha$ -based if and only if:

- (Def. 2) If  $\beta \in \pi_1(s)$ , then  $\alpha \in \pi_1(s)$  and  $\alpha \subseteq \beta$ .

We say that  $s$  is  $\alpha$ -limited if and only if:

- (Def. 3)  $\alpha = \sup \pi_1(s)$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (10)  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -based and segmental iff there exists  $\beta$  such that  $\text{dom } f = \beta \setminus \alpha$  and  $\alpha \subseteq \beta$ .  
 (11)  $f$  is  $\beta$ -limited, non empty, and segmental iff there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $\text{dom } f = \beta \setminus \alpha$  and  $\alpha \in \beta$ .

Let us observe that every function which is transfinite sequence-like is also 0-based and every function which is finite sequence-like is also 1-based.

The following three propositions are true:

- (12)  $f$  is  $\inf \text{dom } f$ -based.  
 (13)  $f$  is  $\sup \text{dom } f$ -limited.  
 (14) If  $f$  is  $\beta$ -limited and  $\alpha \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\alpha \in \beta$ .

Let us consider  $f$ . The functor base  $f$  yielding an ordinal number is defined as follows:

- (Def. 4)(i)  $f$  is base  $f$ -based if there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \in \text{dom } f$ ,  
 (ii)  $\text{base } f = 0$ , otherwise.

The functor limit  $f$  yields an ordinal number and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 5)(i)  $f$  is limit  $f$ -limited if there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \in \text{dom } f$ ,  
 (ii)  $\text{limit } f = 0$ , otherwise.

Let us consider  $f$ . The functor length  $f$  yielding an ordinal number is defined as follows:

(Def. 6)  $\text{length } f = \text{limit } f - \text{base } f$ .

We now state four propositions:

- (15)  $\text{base } \emptyset = 0$  and  $\text{limit } \emptyset = 0$  and  $\text{length } \emptyset = 0$ .
- (16)  $\text{limit } f = \text{sup dom } f$ .
- (17)  $f$  is limit  $f$ -limited.
- (18) Every empty set is  $\alpha$ -based.

Let us consider  $\alpha, X, Y$ . Note that there exists a transfinite sequence which is  $Y$ -defined,  $X$ -valued,  $\alpha$ -based, segmental, finite, and empty.

An array is a segmental function.

Let  $A$  be an array. Observe that  $\text{dom } A$  is ordinal-membered.

We now state the proposition

- (19) For every array  $f$  holds  $f$  is 0-limited iff  $f$  is empty.

Let us mention that every array which is 0-based is also transfinite sequence-like.

Let us consider  $X$ . An array of  $X$  is an  $X$ -valued array.

Let  $X$  be a 1-sorted structure. An array of  $X$  is an array of the carrier of  $X$ .

Let us consider  $\alpha, X$ . An array of  $\alpha, X$  is an  $\alpha$ -defined array of  $X$ .

In the sequel  $A, B, C$  denote arrays.

Next we state several propositions:

- (20)  $\text{base } f = \text{inf dom } f$ .
- (21)  $f$  is base  $f$ -based.
- (22)  $\text{dom } A = \text{limit } A \setminus \text{base } A$ .
- (23) If  $\text{dom } A = \alpha \setminus \beta$  and  $A$  is non empty, then  $\text{base } A = \beta$  and  $\text{limit } A = \alpha$ .
- (24) For every transfinite sequence  $f$  holds  $\text{base } f = 0$  and  $\text{limit } f = \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{length } f = \text{dom } f$ .

Let us consider  $\alpha, \beta, X$ . Note that there exists an array of  $\alpha, X$  which is  $\beta$ -based, natural-valued, integer-valued, real-valued, complex-valued, and finite.

Let us consider  $\alpha, x$ . Note that  $\{\langle \alpha, x \rangle\}$  is segmental.

Let us consider  $\alpha$  and let  $x$  be a natural number. Observe that  $\{\langle \alpha, x \rangle\}$  is natural-valued.

Let us consider  $\alpha$  and let  $x$  be a real number. One can verify that  $\{\langle \alpha, x \rangle\}$  is real-valued.

Let us consider  $\alpha$ , let  $X$  be a non empty set, and let  $x$  be an element of  $X$ . One can check that  $\{\langle \alpha, x \rangle\}$  is  $X$ -valued.

Let us consider  $\alpha, x$ . One can check that  $\{\langle \alpha, x \rangle\}$  is  $\alpha$ -based and succ  $\alpha$ -limited.

Let us consider  $\beta$ . Note that there exists an array which is non empty,  $\beta$ -based, natural-valued, integer-valued, real-valued, complex-valued, and finite. Let  $X$  be a non empty set. Note that there exists an array which is non empty,  $\beta$ -based, finite, and  $X$ -valued.

Let  $s$  be a transfinite sequence. We introduce  $s$  last as a synonym of last  $s$ .

Let  $A$  be an array. The functor last  $A$  is defined by:

(Def. 7) last  $A = A(\bigcup \text{dom } A)$ .

### 3. DESCENDING SEQUENCES

Let  $f$  be a function. We say that  $f$  is descending if and only if:

(Def. 8) For all  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\alpha \in \beta$  holds  $f(\beta) \subset f(\alpha)$ .

We now state four propositions:

- (25) For every finite array  $f$  such that for every  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha, \text{succ } \alpha \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(\text{succ } \alpha) \subset f(\alpha)$  holds  $f$  is descending.
- (26) For every array  $f$  such that  $\text{length } f = \omega$  and for every  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha, \text{succ } \alpha \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(\text{succ } \alpha) \subset f(\alpha)$  holds  $f$  is descending.
- (27) For every transfinite sequence  $f$  such that  $f$  is descending and  $f(0)$  is finite holds  $f$  is finite.
- (28) Let  $f$  be a transfinite sequence. Suppose  $f$  is descending and  $f(0)$  is finite and for every  $\alpha$  such that  $f(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$  holds  $\text{succ } \alpha \in \text{dom } f$ . Then last  $f = \emptyset$ .

The scheme  $A$  deals with a transfinite sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  and a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a set, and states that:

$\mathcal{A}$  is finite

provided the parameters meet the following requirements:

- $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(0))$  is finite, and
- For every  $\alpha$  such that  $\text{succ } \alpha \in \text{dom } \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(\alpha))$  is finite holds  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(\text{succ } \alpha)) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(\alpha))$ .

### 4. SWAP

Let us consider  $X$ , let  $f$  be an  $X$ -defined function, and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be sets. Note that  $\text{Swap}(f, \alpha, \beta)$  is  $X$ -defined.

Let  $X$  be a set, let  $f$  be an  $X$ -valued function, and let  $x, y$  be sets. Note that  $\text{Swap}(f, x, y)$  is  $X$ -valued.

The following propositions are true:

- (29) If  $x, y \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $(\text{Swap}(f, x, y))(x) = f(y)$ .
- (30) For every array  $f$  of  $X$  such that  $x, y \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $(\text{Swap}(f, x, y))_x = f_y$ .
- (31) If  $x, y \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $(\text{Swap}(f, x, y))(y) = f(x)$ .
- (32) For every array  $f$  of  $X$  such that  $x, y \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $(\text{Swap}(f, x, y))_y = f_x$ .

- (33) If  $z \neq x$  and  $z \neq y$ , then  $(\text{Swap}(f, x, y))(z) = f(z)$ .
- (34) For every array  $f$  of  $X$  such that  $z \in \text{dom } f$  and  $z \neq x$  and  $z \neq y$  holds  $(\text{Swap}(f, x, y))_z = f_z$ .
- (35) If  $x, y \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $\text{Swap}(f, x, y) = \text{Swap}(f, y, x)$ .

Let  $X$  be a non empty set. Observe that there exists an  $X$ -valued non empty function which is onto.

Let  $X$  be a non empty set, let  $f$  be an onto  $X$ -valued non empty function, and let  $x, y$  be elements of  $\text{dom } f$ . Note that  $\text{Swap}(f, x, y)$  is onto.

Let us consider  $A$  and let us consider  $x, y$ . Note that  $\text{Swap}(A, x, y)$  is segmental.

We now state the proposition

- (36) If  $x, y \in \text{dom } A$ , then  $\text{Swap}(\text{Swap}(A, x, y), x, y) = A$ .

Let  $A$  be a real-valued array and let us consider  $x, y$ . One can verify that  $\text{Swap}(A, x, y)$  is real-valued.

## 5. PERMUTATIONS

Let  $A$  be an array. An array is called a permutation of  $A$  if:

(Def. 9) There exists a permutation  $f$  of  $\text{dom } A$  such that it  $= A \cdot f$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (37) For every permutation  $B$  of  $A$  holds  $\text{dom } B = \text{dom } A$  and  $\text{rng } B = \text{rng } A$ .
- (38)  $A$  is a permutation of  $A$ .
- (39) If  $A$  is a permutation of  $B$ , then  $B$  is a permutation of  $A$ .
- (40) If  $A$  is a permutation of  $B$  and  $B$  is a permutation of  $C$ , then  $A$  is a permutation of  $C$ .
- (41)  $\text{Swap}(\text{id}_X, x, y)$  is one-to-one.

Let  $X$  be a non empty set and let  $x, y$  be elements of  $X$ .

Note that  $\text{Swap}(\text{id}_X, x, y)$  is one-to-one,  $X$ -valued, and  $X$ -defined.

Let  $X$  be a non empty set and let  $x, y$  be elements of  $X$ .

Note that  $\text{Swap}(\text{id}_X, x, y)$  is onto and total.

Let  $X, Y$  be non empty sets, let  $f$  be a function from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and let  $x, y$  be elements of  $X$ . Then  $\text{Swap}(f, x, y)$  is a function from  $X$  into  $Y$ .

Next we state three propositions:

- (42) If  $x, y \in X$  and  $f = \text{Swap}(\text{id}_X, x, y)$  and  $X = \text{dom } A$ , then  $\text{Swap}(A, x, y) = A \cdot f$ .
- (43) If  $x, y \in \text{dom } A$ , then  $\text{Swap}(A, x, y)$  is a permutation of  $A$  and  $A$  is a permutation of  $\text{Swap}(A, x, y)$ .
- (44) Suppose  $x, y \in \text{dom } A$  and  $A$  is a permutation of  $B$ . Then  $\text{Swap}(A, x, y)$  is a permutation of  $B$  and  $A$  is a permutation of  $\text{Swap}(B, x, y)$ .

## 6. EXCHANGING

Let  $O$  be a relational structure and let  $A$  be an array of  $O$ . We say that  $A$  is ascending if and only if:

(Def. 10) For all  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{dom } A$  and  $\alpha \in \beta$  holds  $A_\alpha \leq A_\beta$ .

The functor inversions  $A$  is defined by:

(Def. 11) inversions  $A = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle; \alpha \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{dom } A, \beta \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{dom } A : \alpha \in \beta \wedge A_\alpha \not\leq A_\beta\}$ .

Let  $O$  be a relational structure. One can verify that every empty array of  $O$  is ascending. Let  $A$  be an empty array of  $O$ . One can verify that inversions  $A$  is empty.

In the sequel  $O$  is a connected non empty poset and  $R, Q$  are arrays of  $O$ .

We now state the proposition

(45) For every  $O$  and for all elements  $x, y$  of  $O$  holds  $x > y$  iff  $x \not\leq y$ .

Let us consider  $O, R$ . Then inversions  $R$  can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 12) inversions  $R = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle; \alpha \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{dom } R, \beta \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{dom } R : \alpha \in \beta \wedge R_\alpha > R_\beta\}$ .

Next we state two propositions:

(46)  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  iff  $x, y \in \text{dom } R$  and  $x \in y$  and  $R_x > R_y$ .

(47)  $\text{inversions } R \subseteq \text{dom } R \times \text{dom } R$ .

Let us consider  $O$  and let  $R$  be a finite array of  $O$ . Observe that inversions  $R$  is finite.

We now state three propositions:

(48)  $R$  is ascending iff  $\text{inversions } R = \emptyset$ .

(49) If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ , then  $\langle y, x \rangle \notin \text{inversions } R$ .

(50) If  $\langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, z \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ , then  $\langle x, z \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ .

Let us consider  $O, R$ . Note that inversions  $R$  is relation-like.

Let us consider  $O, R$ . Note that inversions  $R$  is asymmetric and transitive.

Let us consider  $O$  and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be elements of  $O$ . Let us note that the predicate  $\alpha < \beta$  is antisymmetric.

Next we state several propositions:

(51) If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ , then  $\langle x, y \rangle \notin \text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ .

(52) If  $x, y \in \text{dom } R$  and  $z \neq x$  and  $z \neq y$  and  $t \neq x$  and  $t \neq y$ , then  $\langle z, t \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  iff  $\langle z, t \rangle \in \text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ .

(53) If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ , then  $\langle z, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  and  $z \in x$  iff  $\langle z, x \rangle \in \text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ .

(54) If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ , then  $\langle z, x \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  iff  $z \in x$  and  $\langle z, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ .

- (55) If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  and  $z \in y$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in \text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ , then  $\langle x, z \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ .
- (56) If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  and  $x \in z$  and  $\langle z, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ , then  $\langle z, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ .
- (57) If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  and  $y \in z$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in \text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ , then  $\langle y, z \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ .
- (58) If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ , then  $y \in z$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  iff  $\langle y, z \rangle \in \text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ .

Let us consider  $O, R, x, y$ . The functor  $\subseteq_{x,y}^R$  yields a function and is defined by:

(Def. 13)  $\subseteq_{x,y}^R = \text{Swap}(\text{id}_{\text{dom } R}, x, y) \times \text{Swap}(\text{id}_{\text{dom } R}, x, y) + \text{id}_{\{x\} \times (\text{succ } y \setminus x) \cup (\text{succ } y \setminus x) \times \{y\}}$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (59)  $\gamma \in \text{succ } \beta \setminus \alpha$  iff  $\alpha \subseteq \gamma \subseteq \beta$ .

We adopt the following convention:  $T$  is a non empty array of  $O$  and  $p, q, r, s$  are elements of  $\text{dom } T$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (60)  $\text{succ } q \setminus p \subseteq \text{dom } T$ .
- (61)  $\text{dom } \subseteq_{p,q}^T = \text{dom } T \times \text{dom } T$  and  $\text{rng } \subseteq_{p,q}^T \subseteq \text{dom } T \times \text{dom } T$ .
- (62) If  $p \subseteq r \subseteq q$ , then  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(p, r) = \langle p, r \rangle$  and  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(r, q) = \langle r, q \rangle$ .
- (63) If  $r \neq p$  and  $s \neq q$  and  $f = \text{Swap}(\text{id}_{\text{dom } T}, p, q)$ , then  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(r, s) = \langle f(r), f(s) \rangle$ .
- (64) If  $r \in p$  and  $f = \text{Swap}(\text{id}_{\text{dom } T}, p, q)$ , then  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(r, q) = \langle f(r), f(q) \rangle$  and  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(r, p) = \langle f(r), f(p) \rangle$ .
- (65) If  $q \in r$  and  $f = \text{Swap}(\text{id}_{\text{dom } T}, p, q)$ , then  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(p, r) = \langle f(p), f(r) \rangle$  and  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(q, r) = \langle f(q), f(r) \rangle$ .
- (66) If  $p \in q$ , then  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(p, q) = \langle p, q \rangle$ .
- (67) If  $p \in q$  and  $r \neq p$  and  $r \neq q$  and  $s \neq p$  and  $s \neq q$ , then  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(r, s) = \langle r, s \rangle$ .
- (68) If  $r \in p$  and  $p \in q$ , then  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(r, p) = \langle r, q \rangle$  and  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(r, q) = \langle r, p \rangle$ .
- (69) If  $p \in s$  and  $s \in q$ , then  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(p, s) = \langle p, s \rangle$  and  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(s, q) = \langle s, q \rangle$ .
- (70) If  $p \in q$  and  $q \in s$ , then  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(p, s) = \langle q, s \rangle$  and  $(\subseteq_{p,q}^T)(q, s) = \langle p, s \rangle$ .
- (71) If  $p \in q$ , then  $\subseteq_{p,q}^T \upharpoonright (\text{inversions } \text{Swap}(T, p, q) \text{ qua set})$  is one-to-one.

Let us consider  $O, R, x, y, z$ . Note that  $(\subseteq_{x,y}^R)^\circ z$  is relation-like.

## 7. CORRECTNESS OF SORTING BY EXCHANGING

The following proposition is true

- (72) If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$ , then  $(\subseteq_{x,y}^R)^\circ \text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y) \subset \text{inversions } R$ .

Let  $R$  be a finite function and let us consider  $x, y$ . One can check that  $\text{Swap}(R, x, y)$  is finite.

Next we state two propositions:

- (73) For every array  $R$  of  $O$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  and  $\text{inversions } R$  is finite holds  $\overline{\text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)} \in \overline{\text{inversions } R}$ .
- (74) For every finite array  $R$  of  $O$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  holds  $\overline{\text{inversions } \text{Swap}(R, x, y)} < \overline{\text{inversions } R}$ .

Let us consider  $O, R$ . A non empty array is called a computation of  $R$  if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 14).

- (Def. 14)(i)  $\text{It}(\text{base it}) = R$ ,
- (ii) for every  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \in \text{dom it}$  holds  $\text{it}(\alpha)$  is an array of  $O$ , and
- (iii) for every  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha, \text{succ } \alpha \in \text{dom it}$  there exist  $R, x, y$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{inversions } R$  and  $\text{it}(\alpha) = R$  and  $\text{it}(\text{succ } \alpha) = \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ .

We now state the proposition

- (75)  $\{\langle \alpha, R \rangle\}$  is a computation of  $R$ .

Let us consider  $O, R, \alpha$ . One can check that there exists a computation of  $R$  which is  $\alpha$ -based and finite.

Let us consider  $O, R$ , let  $C$  be a computation of  $R$ , and let us consider  $x$ . One can check that  $C(x)$  is segmental, function-like, and relation-like.

Let us consider  $O, R$ , let  $C$  be a computation of  $R$ , and let us consider  $x$ . Observe that  $C(x)$  is the carrier of  $O$ -valued.

Let us consider  $O, R$  and let  $C$  be a computation of  $R$ . Observe that  $\text{last } C$  is segmental, relation-like, and function-like.

Let us consider  $O, R$  and let  $C$  be a computation of  $R$ . Observe that  $\text{last } C$  is the carrier of  $O$ -valued.

Let us consider  $O, R$  and let  $C$  be a computation of  $R$ . We say that  $C$  is complete if and only if:

- (Def. 15)  $\text{last } C$  is ascending.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (76) For every 0-based computation  $C$  of  $R$  such that  $R$  is a finite array of  $O$  holds  $C$  is finite.
- (77) Let  $C$  be a 0-based computation of  $R$ . Suppose  $R$  is a finite array of  $O$  and for every  $\alpha$  such that  $\text{inversions } C(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$  holds  $\text{succ } \alpha \in \text{dom } C$ . Then  $C$  is complete.



- (78) Let  $C$  be a finite computation of  $R$ . Then  $\text{last } C$  is a permutation of  $R$  and for every  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \in \text{dom } C$  holds  $C(\alpha)$  is a permutation of  $R$ .

### 8. EXISTENCE OF COMPLETE COMPUTATIONS

Next we state three propositions:

- (79) For every 0-based finite array  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $A \neq \emptyset$  holds  $\text{last } A \in X$ .

- (80)  $\text{last} \langle x \rangle = x$ .

- (81) For every 0-based finite array  $A$  holds  $\text{last}(A \frown \langle x \rangle) = x$ .

Let  $X$  be a set. Observe that every element of  $X^\omega$  is  $X$ -valued.

The scheme  $A$  deals with a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a set, a non empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , a set  $\mathcal{B}$ , and a binary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

There exists a finite 0-based non empty array  $f$  and there exists an element  $k$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

- (i)  $k = \text{last } f$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F}(k) = \emptyset$ ,
- (iii)  $f(0) = \mathcal{B}$ , and
- (iv) for every  $\alpha$  such that  $\text{succ } \alpha \in \text{dom } f$  there exist elements  $x, y$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $x = f(\alpha)$  and  $y = f(\text{succ } \alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$

provided the following requirements are met:

- $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
- $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})$  is finite, and
- For every element  $x$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(x) \neq \emptyset$  there exists an element  $y$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$  and  $\mathcal{F}(y) \subset \mathcal{F}(x)$ .

In the sequel  $A$  is an array and  $B$  is a permutation of  $A$ .

We now state the proposition

- (82)  $B \in (\text{rng } A)^{\text{dom } A}$ .

Let  $A$  be a real-valued array. One can verify that every permutation of  $A$  is real-valued.

Let us consider  $\alpha$  and let  $X$  be a non empty set. Observe that every element of  $X^\alpha$  is transfinite sequence-like.

Let us consider  $X$  and let  $Y$  be a real-membered non empty set. One can check that every element of  $Y^X$  is real-valued.

Let us consider  $X$  and let  $A$  be an array of  $X$ . One can check that every permutation of  $A$  is  $X$ -valued.

Let  $X$  be a set, let  $Z$  be a set, and let  $Y$  be a subset of  $Z$ . Note that every element of  $Y^X$  is  $Z$ -valued.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (83) Every  $X$ -defined  $Y$ -valued binary relation is a relation between  $X$  and  $Y$ .

- (84) For every finite ordinal number  $\alpha$  and for every  $x$  such that  $x \in \alpha$  holds  $x = 0$  or there exists  $\beta$  such that  $x = \text{succ } \beta$ .
- (85) For every 0-based finite non empty array  $A$  of  $O$  holds there exists a 0-based computation of  $A$  which is complete.
- (86) For every 0-based finite non empty array  $A$  of  $O$  holds there exists a permutation of  $A$  which is ascending.

Let us consider  $O$  and let  $A$  be a 0-based finite array of  $O$ . Observe that there exists a permutation of  $A$  which is ascending.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Ordinal arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):515–519, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):281–290, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Mizar analysis of algorithms: Preliminaries. *Formalized Mathematics*, 15(3):87–110, 2007, doi:10.2478/v10037-007-0011-x.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Veblen hierarchy. *Formalized Mathematics*, 19(2):83–92, 2011, doi:10.2478/v10037-011-0014-5.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):245–254, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):341–347, 2003.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec and Grzegorz Bancerek. Kuratowski – Zorn lemma. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):387–393, 1990.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [20] Tetsuya Tsunetou, Grzegorz Bancerek, and Yatsuka Nakamura. Zero-based finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(4):825–829, 2001.
- [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):85–89, 1990.

*Received October 18, 2010*

---