

# The Quaternion Numbers

Xiquan Liang  
Qingdao University of Science  
and Technology  
China

Fuguo Ge  
Qingdao University of Science  
and Technology  
China

**Summary.** In this article, we define the set  $\mathbb{H}$  of quaternion numbers as the set of all ordered sequences  $q = \langle x, y, w, z \rangle$  where  $x, y, w$  and  $z$  are real numbers. The addition, difference and multiplication of the quaternion numbers are also defined. We define the real and imaginary parts of  $q$  and denote this by  $x = \Re(q)$ ,  $y = \Im_1(q)$ ,  $w = \Im_2(q)$ ,  $z = \Im_3(q)$ . We define the addition, difference, multiplication again and denote this operation by real and three imaginary parts. We define the conjugate of  $q$  denoted by  $q^{*'}$  and the absolute value of  $q$  denoted by  $|q|$ . We also give some properties of quaternion numbers.

MML identifier: QUATERNI, version: 7.8.03 4.75.958

The articles [14], [16], [2], [1], [12], [17], [4], [5], [6], [13], [3], [11], [7], [8], [15], [18], [9], and [10] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

We use the following convention:  $a, b, c, d, x, y, w, z, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  denote sets and  $A$  denotes a non empty set.

The functor  $\mathbb{H}$  is defined by:

(Def. 1)  $\mathbb{H} = (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{x; x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathbb{R}^4: x(2) = 0 \wedge x(3) = 0\}) \cup \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $x$  be a number. We say that  $x$  is quaternion if and only if:

(Def. 2)  $x \in \mathbb{H}$ .

Let us observe that  $\mathbb{H}$  is non empty.

Let us consider  $x, y, w, z, a, b, c, d$ . The functor  $[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d]$  yields a set and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3)  $[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d] = [x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b] + [w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d]$ .

Let us consider  $x, y, w, z, a, b, c, d$ . Note that  $[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d]$  is function-like and relation-like.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1)  $\text{dom}[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d] = \{x, y, w, z\}$ .
- (2)  $\text{rng}[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d] \subseteq \{a, b, c, d\}$ .
- (3) Suppose  $x, y, w, z$  are mutually different. Then  $[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d](x) = a$  and  $[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d](y) = b$  and  $[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d](w) = c$  and  $[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d](z) = d$ .
- (4) If  $x, y, w, z$  are mutually different, then  $\text{rng}[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d] = \{a, b, c, d\}$ .
- (5)  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \subseteq X$  iff  $x_1 \in X$  and  $x_2 \in X$  and  $x_3 \in X$  and  $x_4 \in X$ .

Let us consider  $A, x, y, w, z$  and let  $a, b, c, d$  be elements of  $A$ . Then  $[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, w \mapsto c, z \mapsto d]$  is a function from  $\{x, y, w, z\}$  into  $A$ .

The functor  $j$  is defined by:

(Def. 4)  $j = [0 \mapsto 0, 1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 1, 3 \mapsto 0]$ .

The functor  $k$  is defined by:

(Def. 5)  $k = [0 \mapsto 0, 1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 0, 3 \mapsto 1]$ .

One can check the following observations:

- \*  $i$  is quaternion,
- \*  $j$  is quaternion, and
- \*  $k$  is quaternion.

Let us observe that there exists a number which is quaternion.

Let us mention that every element of  $\mathbb{H}$  is quaternion.

Let  $x, y, w, z$  be elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The functor  $\langle x, y, w, z \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$  yields an element of  $\mathbb{H}$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 6)  $\langle x, y, w, z \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \begin{cases} x + yi, & \text{if } w = 0 \text{ and } z = 0, \\ [0 \mapsto x, 1 \mapsto y, 2 \mapsto w, 3 \mapsto z], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Next we state three propositions:

- (6) Let  $a, b, c, d, e, i, j, k$  be sets and  $g$  be a function. Suppose  $a \neq b$  and  $c \neq d$  and  $\text{dom } g = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $g(a) = e$  and  $g(b) = i$  and  $g(c) = j$  and  $g(d) = k$ . Then  $g = [a \mapsto e, b \mapsto i, c \mapsto j, d \mapsto k]$ .
- (7) For every element  $g$  of  $\mathbb{H}$  there exist elements  $r, s, t, u$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $g = \langle r, s, t, u \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ .
- (8) If  $a, c, x, w$  are mutually different, then  $[a \mapsto b, c \mapsto d, x \mapsto y, w \mapsto z] = \{\langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle, \langle x, y \rangle, \langle w, z \rangle\}$ .

We adopt the following convention:  $a, b, c, d$  are elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $r, s, t$  are elements of  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ .

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (9) Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ . Suppose there exists  $t$  such that  $t \in A$  and  $t \neq \emptyset$  and for all  $r, s$  such that  $r \in A$  and  $s \leq r$  holds  $s \in A$ . Then there exist elements  $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_+$  such that

$r_1 \in A$  and  $r_2 \in A$  and  $r_3 \in A$  and  $r_4 \in A$  and  $r_5 \in A$  and  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $r_1 \neq r_3$  and  $r_1 \neq r_4$  and  $r_1 \neq r_5$  and  $r_2 \neq r_3$  and  $r_2 \neq r_4$  and  $r_2 \neq r_5$  and  $r_3 \neq r_4$  and  $r_3 \neq r_5$  and  $r_4 \neq r_5$ .

(10)  $[0 \mapsto a, 1 \mapsto b, 2 \mapsto c, 3 \mapsto d] \notin \mathbb{C}$ .

(11) Let  $a, b, c, d, x, y, z, w, x', y', z', w'$  be sets. Suppose  $a, b, c, d$  are mutually different and  $[a \mapsto x, b \mapsto y, c \mapsto z, d \mapsto w] = [a \mapsto x', b \mapsto y', c \mapsto z', d \mapsto w']$ . Then  $x = x'$  and  $y = y'$  and  $z = z'$  and  $w = w'$ .

(12) For all elements  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$  holds  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2$  and  $x_3 = y_3$  and  $x_4 = y_4$ .

Let  $x, y$  be quaternion numbers. The functor  $x + y$  is defined by:

(Def. 7) There exist elements  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $y = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $x + y = \langle x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3, x_4 + y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ .

Let us observe that the functor  $x + y$  is commutative.

Let  $z$  be a quaternion number. The functor  $-z$  yields a quaternion number and is defined by:

(Def. 8)  $z + -z = 0$ .

Let us observe that the functor  $-z$  is involutive.

Let  $x, y$  be quaternion numbers. The functor  $x - y$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 9)  $x - y = x + -y$ .

Let  $x, y$  be quaternion numbers. The functor  $x \cdot y$  is defined by the condition

(Def. 10).

(Def. 10) There exist elements  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $y = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $x \cdot y = \langle x_1 \cdot y_1 - x_2 \cdot y_2 - x_3 \cdot y_3 - x_4 \cdot y_4, (x_1 \cdot y_2 + x_2 \cdot y_1 + x_3 \cdot y_4) - x_4 \cdot y_3, (x_1 \cdot y_3 + y_1 \cdot x_3 + y_2 \cdot x_4) - y_4 \cdot x_2, (x_1 \cdot y_4 + x_4 \cdot y_1 + x_2 \cdot y_3) - x_3 \cdot y_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ .

Let  $z, z'$  be quaternion numbers. One can verify the following observations:

- \*  $z + z'$  is quaternion,
- \*  $z \cdot z'$  is quaternion, and
- \*  $z - z'$  is quaternion.

$j$  is an element of  $\mathbb{H}$  and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 11)  $j = \langle 0, 0, 1, 0 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ .

Then  $k$  is an element of  $\mathbb{H}$  and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 12)  $k = \langle 0, 0, 0, 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

(13)  $i \cdot i = -1$ .

(14)  $j \cdot j = -1$ .

- (15)  $k \cdot k = -1.$
- (16)  $i \cdot j = k.$
- (17)  $j \cdot k = i.$
- (18)  $k \cdot i = j.$
- (19)  $i \cdot j = -j \cdot i.$
- (20)  $j \cdot k = -k \cdot j.$
- (21)  $k \cdot i = -i \cdot k.$

Let  $z$  be a quaternion number. The functor  $\Re(z)$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 13)(i) There exists a complex number  $z'$  such that  $z = z'$  and  $\Re(z) = \Re(z')$  if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii) there exists a function  $f$  from 4 into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $z = f$  and  $\Re(z) = f(0)$ , otherwise.

The functor  $\Im_1(z)$  is defined by:

- (Def. 14)(i) There exists a complex number  $z'$  such that  $z = z'$  and  $\Im_1(z) = \Im_1(z')$  if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii) there exists a function  $f$  from 4 into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $z = f$  and  $\Im_1(z) = f(1)$ , otherwise.

The functor  $\Im_2(z)$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 15)(i)  $\Im_2(z) = 0$  if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii) there exists a function  $f$  from 4 into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $z = f$  and  $\Im_2(z) = f(2)$ , otherwise.

The functor  $\Im_3(z)$  is defined by:

- (Def. 16)(i)  $\Im_3(z) = 0$  if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii) there exists a function  $f$  from 4 into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $z = f$  and  $\Im_3(z) = f(3)$ , otherwise.

Let  $z$  be a quaternion number. One can check the following observations:

- \*  $\Re(z)$  is real,
- \*  $\Im_1(z)$  is real,
- \*  $\Im_2(z)$  is real, and
- \*  $\Im_3(z)$  is real.

Let  $z$  be a quaternion number. Then  $\Re(z)$  is a real number. Then  $\Im_1(z)$  is a real number. Then  $\Im_2(z)$  is a real number. Then  $\Im_3(z)$  is a real number.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (22) For every function  $f$  from 4 into  $\mathbb{R}$  there exist  $a, b, c, d$  such that  $f = [0 \mapsto a, 1 \mapsto b, 2 \mapsto c, 3 \mapsto d]$ .
- (23)  $\Re(\langle a, b, c, d \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}) = a$  and  $\Im_1(\langle a, b, c, d \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}) = b$  and  $\Im_2(\langle a, b, c, d \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}) = c$  and  $\Im_3(\langle a, b, c, d \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}) = d$ .

In the sequel  $z, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  denote quaternion numbers.

Next we state two propositions:

$$(24) \quad z = \langle \Re(z), \Im_1(z), \Im_2(z), \Im_3(z) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

$$(25) \quad \text{If } \Re(z_1) = \Re(z_2) \text{ and } \Im_1(z_1) = \Im_1(z_2) \text{ and } \Im_2(z_1) = \Im_2(z_2) \text{ and } \Im_3(z_1) = \Im_3(z_2), \text{ then } z_1 = z_2.$$

The quaternion number  $0_{\mathbb{H}}$  is defined as follows:

$$(\text{Def. 17}) \quad 0_{\mathbb{H}} = 0.$$

The quaternion number  $1_{\mathbb{H}}$  is defined as follows:

$$(\text{Def. 18}) \quad 1_{\mathbb{H}} = 1.$$

One can prove the following propositions:

$$(26) \quad \text{If } \Re(z) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(z) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(z) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(z) = 0, \text{ then } z = 0_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

$$(27) \quad \text{If } z = 0, \text{ then } (\Re(z))^2 + (\Im_1(z))^2 + (\Im_2(z))^2 + (\Im_3(z))^2 = 0.$$

$$(28) \quad \text{If } (\Re(z))^2 + (\Im_1(z))^2 + (\Im_2(z))^2 + (\Im_3(z))^2 = 0, \text{ then } z = 0_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

$$(29) \quad \Re(1_{\mathbb{H}}) = 1 \text{ and } \Im_1(1_{\mathbb{H}}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(1_{\mathbb{H}}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(1_{\mathbb{H}}) = 0.$$

$$(30) \quad \Re(i) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(i) = 1 \text{ and } \Im_2(i) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(i) = 0.$$

$$(31) \quad \Re(j) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(j) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(j) = 1 \text{ and } \Im_3(j) = 0 \text{ and } \Re(k) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(k) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(k) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(k) = 1.$$

$$(32) \quad \Re(z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4) = \Re(z_1) + \Re(z_2) + \Re(z_3) + \Re(z_4) \text{ and } \Im_1(z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4) = \Im_1(z_1) + \Im_1(z_2) + \Im_1(z_3) + \Im_1(z_4) \text{ and } \Im_2(z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4) = \Im_2(z_1) + \Im_2(z_2) + \Im_2(z_3) + \Im_2(z_4) \text{ and } \Im_3(z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4) = \Im_3(z_1) + \Im_3(z_2) + \Im_3(z_3) + \Im_3(z_4).$$

In the sequel  $x$  denotes a real number.

We now state three propositions:

$$(33) \quad \text{If } z_1 = x, \text{ then } \Re(z_1 \cdot i) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(z_1 \cdot i) = x \text{ and } \Im_2(z_1 \cdot i) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(z_1 \cdot i) = 0.$$

$$(34) \quad \text{If } z_1 = x, \text{ then } \Re(z_1 \cdot j) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(z_1 \cdot j) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(z_1 \cdot j) = x \text{ and } \Im_3(z_1 \cdot j) = 0.$$

$$(35) \quad \text{If } z_1 = x, \text{ then } \Re(z_1 \cdot k) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(z_1 \cdot k) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(z_1 \cdot k) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(z_1 \cdot k) = x.$$

Let  $x$  be a real number and let  $y$  be a quaternion number. The functor  $x + y$  is defined as follows:

$$(\text{Def. 19}) \quad \text{There exist elements } y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \text{ of } \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } y = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \text{ and } x + y = \langle x + y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

Let  $x$  be a real number and let  $y$  be a quaternion number. The functor  $x - y$  is defined by:

$$(\text{Def. 20}) \quad x - y = x + -y.$$

Let  $x$  be a real number and let  $y$  be a quaternion number. The functor  $x \cdot y$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 21) There exist elements  $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $y = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $x \cdot y = \langle x \cdot y_1, x \cdot y_2, x \cdot y_3, x \cdot y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ .

Let  $x$  be a real number and let  $z'$  be a quaternion number. One can verify the following observations:

- \*  $x + z'$  is quaternion,
- \*  $x \cdot z'$  is quaternion, and
- \*  $x - z'$  is quaternion.

Let  $z_1, z_2$  be quaternion numbers. Then  $z_1 + z_2$  is an element of  $\mathbb{H}$  and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 22)  $z_1 + z_2 = \Re(z_1) + \Re(z_2) + (\Im_1(z_1) + \Im_1(z_2)) \cdot i + (\Im_2(z_1) + \Im_2(z_2)) \cdot j + (\Im_3(z_1) + \Im_3(z_2)) \cdot k$ .

The following proposition is true

(36)  $\Re(z_1 + z_2) = \Re(z_1) + \Re(z_2)$  and  $\Im_1(z_1 + z_2) = \Im_1(z_1) + \Im_1(z_2)$  and  $\Im_2(z_1 + z_2) = \Im_2(z_1) + \Im_2(z_2)$  and  $\Im_3(z_1 + z_2) = \Im_3(z_1) + \Im_3(z_2)$ .

Let  $z_1, z_2$  be elements of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then  $z_1 \cdot z_2$  is an element of  $\mathbb{H}$  and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 23)  $z_1 \cdot z_2 = (\Re(z_1) \cdot \Re(z_2) - \Im_1(z_1) \cdot \Im_1(z_2) - \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2) - \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2)) + ((\Re(z_1) \cdot \Im_1(z_2) + \Im_1(z_1) \cdot \Re(z_2) + \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2)) - \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2)) \cdot i + ((\Re(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2) + \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Re(z_2) + \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Im_1(z_2)) - \Im_1(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2)) \cdot j + ((\Re(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2) + \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Re(z_2) + \Im_1(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2)) - \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Im_1(z_2)) \cdot k$ .

We now state four propositions:

(37)  $z = \Re(z) + \Im_1(z) \cdot i + \Im_2(z) \cdot j + \Im_3(z) \cdot k$ .

(38) Suppose  $\Im_1(z_1) = 0$  and  $\Im_1(z_2) = 0$  and  $\Im_2(z_1) = 0$  and  $\Im_2(z_2) = 0$  and  $\Im_3(z_1) = 0$  and  $\Im_3(z_2) = 0$ . Then  $\Re(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Re(z_1) \cdot \Re(z_2)$  and  $\Im_1(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2) - \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2)$  and  $\Im_2(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Im_1(z_2) - \Im_1(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2)$  and  $\Im_3(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Im_1(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2) - \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Im_1(z_2)$ .

(39) Suppose  $\Re(z_1) = 0$  and  $\Re(z_2) = 0$ . Then  $\Re(z_1 \cdot z_2) = -\Im_1(z_1) \cdot \Im_1(z_2) - \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2) - \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2)$  and  $\Im_1(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2) - \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2)$  and  $\Im_2(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Im_1(z_2) - \Im_1(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2)$  and  $\Im_3(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Im_1(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2) - \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Im_1(z_2)$ .

(40)  $\Re(z \cdot z) = (\Re(z))^2 - (\Im_1(z))^2 - (\Im_2(z))^2 - (\Im_3(z))^2$  and  $\Im_1(z \cdot z) = 2 \cdot (\Re(z) \cdot \Im_1(z))$  and  $\Im_2(z \cdot z) = 2 \cdot (\Re(z) \cdot \Im_2(z))$  and  $\Im_3(z \cdot z) = 2 \cdot (\Re(z) \cdot \Im_3(z))$ .

Let  $z$  be a quaternion number. Then  $-z$  is an element of  $\mathbb{H}$  and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 24)  $-z = -\Re(z) + (-\Im_1(z)) \cdot i + (-\Im_2(z)) \cdot j + (-\Im_3(z)) \cdot k$ .

The following proposition is true

(41)  $\Re(-z) = -\Re(z)$  and  $\Im_1(-z) = -\Im_1(z)$  and  $\Im_2(-z) = -\Im_2(z)$  and  $\Im_3(-z) = -\Im_3(z)$ .

Let  $z_1, z_2$  be quaternion numbers. Then  $z_1 - z_2$  is an element of  $\mathbb{H}$  and it can be characterized by the condition:

$$(Def. 25) \quad z_1 - z_2 = (\Re(z_1) - \Re(z_2)) + (\Im_1(z_1) - \Im_1(z_2)) \cdot i + (\Im_2(z_1) - \Im_2(z_2)) \cdot j + (\Im_3(z_1) - \Im_3(z_2)) \cdot k.$$

One can prove the following proposition

$$(42) \quad \Re(z_1 - z_2) = \Re(z_1) - \Re(z_2) \text{ and } \Im_1(z_1 - z_2) = \Im_1(z_1) - \Im_1(z_2) \text{ and } \Im_2(z_1 - z_2) = \Im_2(z_1) - \Im_2(z_2) \text{ and } \Im_3(z_1 - z_2) = \Im_3(z_1) - \Im_3(z_2).$$

Let  $z$  be a quaternion number. The functor  $\bar{z}$  yielding a quaternion number is defined by:

$$(Def. 26) \quad \bar{z} = \Re(z) + (-\Im_1(z)) \cdot i + (-\Im_2(z)) \cdot j + (-\Im_3(z)) \cdot k.$$

Let  $z$  be a quaternion number. Then  $\bar{z}$  is an element of  $\mathbb{H}$ .

We now state a number of propositions:

$$(43) \quad \bar{z} = \langle \Re(z), -\Im_1(z), -\Im_2(z), -\Im_3(z) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

$$(44) \quad \Re(\bar{z}) = \Re(z) \text{ and } \Im_1(\bar{z}) = -\Im_1(z) \text{ and } \Im_2(\bar{z}) = -\Im_2(z) \text{ and } \Im_3(\bar{z}) = -\Im_3(z).$$

$$(45) \quad \text{If } z = 0, \text{ then } \bar{z} = 0.$$

$$(46) \quad \text{If } \bar{z} = 0, \text{ then } z = 0.$$

$$(47) \quad \overline{1_{\mathbb{H}}} = 1_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

$$(48) \quad \Re(\bar{i}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(\bar{i}) = -1 \text{ and } \Im_2(\bar{i}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(\bar{i}) = 0.$$

$$(49) \quad \Re(\bar{j}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(\bar{j}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(\bar{j}) = -1 \text{ and } \Im_3(\bar{j}) = 0.$$

$$(50) \quad \Re(\bar{k}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(\bar{k}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(\bar{k}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(\bar{k}) = -1.$$

$$(51) \quad \bar{\bar{i}} = -i.$$

$$(52) \quad \bar{\bar{j}} = -j.$$

$$(53) \quad \bar{\bar{k}} = -k.$$

$$(54) \quad \overline{z_1 + z_2} = \bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2.$$

$$(55) \quad \overline{-z} = -\bar{z}.$$

$$(56) \quad \overline{z_1 - z_2} = \bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2.$$

$$(57) \quad \text{If } \Im_2(z_1) \cdot \Im_3(z_2) \neq \Im_3(z_1) \cdot \Im_2(z_2), \text{ then } \overline{z_1 \cdot z_2} \neq \bar{z}_1 \cdot \bar{z}_2.$$

$$(58) \quad \text{If } \Im_1(z) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(z) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(z) = 0, \text{ then } \bar{z} = z.$$

$$(59) \quad \text{If } \Re(z) = 0, \text{ then } \bar{z} = -z.$$

$$(60) \quad \Re(z \cdot \bar{z}) = (\Re(z))^2 + (\Im_1(z))^2 + (\Im_2(z))^2 + (\Im_3(z))^2 \text{ and } \Im_1(z \cdot \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(z \cdot \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(z \cdot \bar{z}) = 0.$$

$$(61) \quad \Re(z + \bar{z}) = 2 \cdot \Re(z) \text{ and } \Im_1(z + \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_2(z + \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_3(z + \bar{z}) = 0.$$

$$(62) \quad -z = \langle -\Re(z), -\Im_1(z), -\Im_2(z), -\Im_3(z) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

$$(63) \quad z_1 - z_2 = \langle \Re(z_1) - \Re(z_2), \Im_1(z_1) - \Im_1(z_2), \Im_2(z_1) - \Im_2(z_2), \Im_3(z_1) - \Im_3(z_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

$$(64) \quad \Re(z - \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im_1(z - \bar{z}) = 2 \cdot \Im_1(z) \text{ and } \Im_2(z - \bar{z}) = 2 \cdot \Im_2(z) \text{ and } \Im_3(z - \bar{z}) = 2 \cdot \Im_3(z).$$

Let us consider  $z$ . The functor  $|z|$  yielding a real number is defined by:

$$(Def. 27) \quad |z| = \sqrt{(\Re(z))^2 + (\Im_1(z))^2 + (\Im_2(z))^2 + (\Im_3(z))^2}.$$

We now state a number of propositions:

$$(65) \quad |0_{\mathbb{H}}| = 0.$$

$$(66) \quad \text{If } |z| = 0, \text{ then } z = 0.$$

$$(67) \quad 0 \leq |z|.$$

$$(68) \quad |1_{\mathbb{H}}| = 1.$$

$$(69) \quad |i| = 1.$$

$$(70) \quad |j| = 1.$$

$$(71) \quad |k| = 1.$$

$$(72) \quad |-z| = |z|.$$

$$(73) \quad |\bar{z}| = |z|.$$

$$(74) \quad 0 \leq (\Re(z))^2 + (\Im_1(z))^2 + (\Im_2(z))^2 + (\Im_3(z))^2.$$

$$(75) \quad \Re(z) \leq |z|.$$

$$(76) \quad \Im_1(z) \leq |z|.$$

$$(77) \quad \Im_2(z) \leq |z|.$$

$$(78) \quad \Im_3(z) \leq |z|.$$

$$(79) \quad |z_1 + z_2| \leq |z_1| + |z_2|.$$

$$(80) \quad |z_1 - z_2| \leq |z_1| + |z_2|.$$

$$(81) \quad |z_1| - |z_2| \leq |z_1 + z_2|.$$

$$(82) \quad |z_1| - |z_2| \leq |z_1 - z_2|.$$

$$(83) \quad |z_1 - z_2| = |z_2 - z_1|.$$

$$(84) \quad |z_1 - z_2| = 0 \text{ iff } z_1 = z_2.$$

$$(85) \quad |z_1 - z_2| \leq |z_1 - z| + |z - z_2|.$$

$$(86) \quad ||z_1| - |z_2|| \leq |z_1 - z_2|.$$

$$(87) \quad |z_1 \cdot z_2| = |z_1| \cdot |z_2|.$$

$$(88) \quad |z \cdot z| = (\Re(z))^2 + (\Im_1(z))^2 + (\Im_2(z))^2 + (\Im_3(z))^2.$$

$$(89) \quad |z \cdot z| = |z \cdot \bar{z}|.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Arithmetic of non-negative rational numbers. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):507–513, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.



- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [8] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [9] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [10] Wojciech Leończuk and Krzysztof Prażmowski. Incidence projective spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):225–232, 1991.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Introduction to arithmetics. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [16] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [18] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

*Received November 14, 2006*

---