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#### Abstract

Summary. In the paper we introduce basic properties of lines in the plane on this space. Lines and planes are expressed by the vector equation and are the image of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. By this, we can say that the properties of the classic Euclid geometry are satisfied also in $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ as we know them intuitively. Next, we define the metric between the point and the line of this space.
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The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers: [1], [5], [12], [4], [9], [14], [13], [8], [15], [6], [2], [3], [7], [11], and [10].

We follow the rules: $a, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, b, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, r, s, t, u$ are real numbers, $n$ is a natural number, and $x_{0}, x, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, y_{0}, y, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ are elements of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$.

One can prove the following propositions:
(1) $\frac{s}{t} \cdot(u \cdot x)=\frac{s \cdot u}{t} \cdot x$ and $\frac{1}{t} \cdot(u \cdot x)=\frac{u}{t} \cdot x$.
(2) $x_{1}+\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+x_{3}$.
(3) $x-\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle=x$.
(4) $\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle-x=-x$.
(5) $x_{1}-\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)=x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}$.
(6) $x_{1}-x_{2}=x_{1}+-x_{2}$.
(7) $x-x=\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ and $x+-x=\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$.
(8) $\quad-a \cdot x=(-a) \cdot x$ and $-a \cdot x=a \cdot-x$.
(9) $x_{1}-\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+x_{3}$.
(10) $x_{1}+\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)-x_{3}$.
(11) $x_{1}=x_{2}+x_{3}$ iff $x_{2}=x_{1}-x_{3}$.
(12) $x=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}$ iff $x-x_{1}=x_{2}+x_{3}$.
(13) $-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)=-x_{1}+-x_{2}+-x_{3}$.
(14) $x_{1}=x_{2}$ iff $x_{1}-x_{2}=\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$.
(15) If $x_{1}-x_{0}=t \cdot x$ and $x_{1} \neq x_{0}$, then $t \neq 0$.
(16) $(a-b) \cdot x=a \cdot x+(-b) \cdot x$ and $(a-b) \cdot x=a \cdot x+-b \cdot x$ and $(a-b) \cdot x=$ $a \cdot x-b \cdot x$.
(17) $a \cdot(x-y)=a \cdot x+-a \cdot y$ and $a \cdot(x-y)=a \cdot x+(-a) \cdot y$ and $a \cdot(x-y)=$ $a \cdot x-a \cdot y$.
(18) $(s-t-u) \cdot x=s \cdot x-t \cdot x-u \cdot x$.
(19) $x-\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{3}\right)=x+\left(\left(-a_{1}\right) \cdot x_{1}+\left(-a_{2}\right) \cdot x_{2}+\left(-a_{3}\right) \cdot x_{3}\right)$.
(20) $x-(s+t+u) \cdot y=x+(-s) \cdot y+(-t) \cdot y+(-u) \cdot y$.
(21) $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)=x_{1}+y_{1}+\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)$.
(22) $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)+\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}\right)=x_{1}+y_{1}+\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)+\left(x_{3}+y_{3}\right)$.
(23) $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)-\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right)$.
(24) $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)-\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right)+\left(x_{3}-y_{3}\right)$.
(25) $a \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)=a \cdot x_{1}+a \cdot x_{2}+a \cdot x_{3}$.
(26) $a \cdot\left(b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+b_{2} \cdot x_{2}\right)=a \cdot b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a \cdot b_{2} \cdot x_{2}$.
(27) $a \cdot\left(b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+b_{2} \cdot x_{2}+b_{3} \cdot x_{3}\right)=a \cdot b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a \cdot b_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a \cdot b_{3} \cdot x_{3}$.
(28) $a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+\left(b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+b_{2} \cdot x_{2}\right)=\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right) \cdot x_{1}+\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right) \cdot x_{2}$.
(29) $a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{3}+\left(b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+b_{2} \cdot x_{2}+b_{3} \cdot x_{3}\right)=\left(\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right) \cdot x_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right) \cdot x_{2}\right)+\left(a_{3}+b_{3}\right) \cdot x_{3}$.
(30) $\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}\right)-\left(b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+b_{2} \cdot x_{2}\right)=\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right) \cdot x_{1}+\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) \cdot x_{2}$.
(31) $\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{3}\right)-\left(b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+b_{2} \cdot x_{2}+b_{3} \cdot x_{3}\right)=\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right) \cdot x_{1}+$ $\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) \cdot x_{2}+\left(a_{3}-b_{3}\right) \cdot x_{3}$.
(32) If $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1$, then $a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{3}=x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)+$ $a_{3} \cdot\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)$.
(33) If $x=x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)+a_{3} \cdot\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)$, then there exists a real number $a_{1}$ such that $x=a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{3}$ and $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1$.
(34) For every natural number $n$ such that $n \geq 1$ holds $1 * n \neq\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$.
(35) For every subset $A$ of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ and for all $x_{1}, x_{2}$ such that $A$ is a line and $x_{1} \in A$ and $x_{2} \in A$ and $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$ holds $A=\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(36) For all elements $x_{1}, x_{2}$ of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ such that $y_{1} \in \operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $y_{2} \in$ Line $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ there exists $a$ such that $y_{2}-y_{1}=a \cdot\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$.
Let us consider $n$ and let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be elements of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$. The predicate $x_{1} \| x_{2}$ is defined as follows:
(Def. 1) $\quad x_{1} \neq\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ and $x_{2} \neq\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ and there exists $r$ such that $x_{1}=r \cdot x_{2}$.
One can prove the following proposition
(37) For all elements $x_{1}, x_{2}$ of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ such that $x_{1} \| x_{2}$ there exists $a$ such that $a \neq 0$ and $x_{1}=a \cdot x_{2}$.
Let us consider $n$ and let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be elements of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$. Let us note that the predicate $x_{1} \| x_{2}$ is symmetric.

The following proposition is true
(38) If $x_{1} \| x_{2}$ and $x_{2} \| x_{3}$, then $x_{1} \| x_{3}$.

Let $n$ be a natural number and let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be elements of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$. We say that $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly independent if and only if:
(Def. 2) For all real numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}$ such that $a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}=\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ holds $a_{1}=0$ and $a_{2}=0$.
Let us note that the predicate $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly independent is symmetric.
Let us consider $n$ and let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be elements of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$. We introduce $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly dependent as an antonym of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly independent.

Next we state a number of propositions:
(39) If $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly independent, then $x_{1} \neq\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ and $x_{2} \neq$ $\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$.
(40) For all $x_{1}, x_{2}$ such that $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly independent holds if $a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}=b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+b_{2} \cdot x_{2}$, then $a_{1}=b_{1}$ and $a_{2}=b_{2}$.
(41) Let given $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{1}$. Suppose $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are linearly independent. Suppose $y_{1}=a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}$ and $y_{2}=b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+b_{2} \cdot x_{2}$. Then there exist real numbers $c_{1}, c_{2}, d_{1}, d_{2}$ such that $x_{1}=c_{1} \cdot y_{1}+c_{2} \cdot y_{2}$ and $x_{2}=d_{1} \cdot y_{1}+d_{2} \cdot y_{2}$.
(42) If $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly independent, then $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$.
(43) If $x_{2}-x_{1}$ and $x_{3}-x_{1}$ are linearly independent, then $x_{2} \neq x_{3}$.
(44) If $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly independent, then $x_{1}+t \cdot x_{2}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly independent.
(45) Suppose $x_{1}-x_{0}$ and $x_{3}-x_{2}$ are linearly independent and $y_{0} \in$ $\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ and $y_{1} \in \operatorname{Line}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ and $y_{0} \neq y_{1}$ and $y_{2} \in \operatorname{Line}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $y_{3} \in \operatorname{Line}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $y_{2} \neq y_{3}$. Then $y_{1}-y_{0}$ and $y_{3}-y_{2}$ are linearly independent.
(46) If $x_{1} \| x_{2}$, then $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly dependent and $x_{1} \neq\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ and $x_{2} \neq\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$.
(47) If $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are linearly dependent, then $x_{1}=\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ or $x_{2}=$ $\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ or $x_{1} \| x_{2}$.
(48) For all elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$ of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ there exists an element $y_{2}$ of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ such that $y_{2} \in \operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $x_{1}-x_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}$ are orthogonal.
Let us consider $n$ and let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be elements of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$. The predicate $x_{1} \perp x_{2}$ is defined by:
(Def. 3) $x_{1} \neq\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ and $x_{2} \neq\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are orthogonal.
Let us note that the predicate $x_{1} \perp x_{2}$ is symmetric.
The following propositions are true:
(49) If $x \perp y_{0}$ and $y_{0} \| y_{1}$, then $x \perp y_{1}$.
(50) If $x \perp y$, then $x$ and $y$ are linearly independent.
(51) If $x_{1} \| x_{2}$, then $x_{1} \not \perp x_{2}$.
(52) If $x_{1} \perp x_{2}$, then $x_{1} \nVdash x_{2}$.

Let us consider $n$. The functor $\operatorname{Lines}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$ yields a family of subsets of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ and is defined by:
(Def. 4) $\operatorname{Lines}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}$.
Let us consider $n$. Note that $\operatorname{Lines}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$ is non empty.
The following proposition is true
(53) $\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Lines}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$.

In the sequel $L, L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ are elements of $\operatorname{Lines}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$.
The following propositions are true:
(54) If $x_{1} \in L$ and $x_{2} \in L$, then Line $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subseteq L$.
(55) $\quad L_{1}$ meets $L_{2}$ iff there exists $x$ such that $x \in L_{1}$ and $x \in L_{2}$.
(56) If $L_{0}$ misses $L_{1}$ and $x \in L_{0}$, then $x \notin L_{1}$.
(57) There exist $x_{1}, x_{2}$ such that $L=\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(58) There exists $x$ such that $x \in L$.
(59) If $x_{0} \in L$ and $L$ is a line, then there exists $x_{1}$ such that $x_{1} \neq x_{0}$ and $x_{1} \in L$.
(60) If $x \notin L$ and $L$ is a line, then there exist $x_{1}, x_{2}$ such that $L=\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $x-x_{1} \perp x_{2}-x_{1}$.
(61) If $x \notin L$ and $L$ is a line, then there exist $x_{1}, x_{2}$ such that $L=\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $x-x_{1}$ and $x_{2}-x_{1}$ are linearly independent.
Let $n$ be a natural number, let $x$ be an element of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$, and let $L$ be an element of $\operatorname{Lines}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$. The functor $\rho(x, L)$ yields a real number and is defined by:
(Def. 5) There exists a subset $S$ of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $S=\left\{\left|x-x_{0}\right| ; x_{0}\right.$ ranges over elements of $\left.\mathcal{R}^{n}: x_{0} \in L\right\}$ and $\rho(x, L)=\inf S$.
Next we state three propositions:
(62) There exists $x_{0}$ such that $x_{0} \in L$ and $\left|x-x_{0}\right|=\rho(x, L)$.
(63) $\rho(x, L) \geq 0$.
(64) $x \in L$ iff $\rho(x, L)=0$.

Let us consider $n$ and let us consider $L_{1}, L_{2}$. The predicate $L_{1} \| L_{2}$ is defined as follows:
(Def. 6) There exist elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ such that $L_{1}=\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $L_{2}=\operatorname{Line}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ and $x_{2}-x_{1} \| y_{2}-y_{1}$.
Let us note that the predicate $L_{1} \| L_{2}$ is symmetric.
The following proposition is true
(65) If $L_{0} \| L_{1}$ and $L_{1} \| L_{2}$, then $L_{0} \| L_{2}$.

Let us consider $n$ and let us consider $L_{1}, L_{2}$. The predicate $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$ is defined by:
(Def. 7) There exist elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ such that $L_{1}=\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $L_{2}=\operatorname{Line}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ and $x_{2}-x_{1} \perp y_{2}-y_{1}$.
Let us note that the predicate $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$ is symmetric.
We now state a number of propositions:
(66) If $L_{0} \perp L_{1}$ and $L_{1} \| L_{2}$, then $L_{0} \perp L_{2}$.
(67) If $x \notin L$ and $L$ is a line, then there exists $L_{0}$ such that $x \in L_{0}$ and $L_{0} \perp L$ and $L_{0}$ meets $L$.
(68) If $L_{1}$ misses $L_{2}$, then there exists $x$ such that $x \in L_{1}$ and $x \notin L_{2}$.
(69) If $x_{1} \in L$ and $x_{2} \in L$ and $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$, then $\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=L$ and $L$ is a line.
(70) If $L_{1}$ is a line and $L_{2}$ is a line and $L_{1}=L_{2}$, then $L_{1} \| L_{2}$.
(71) If $L_{1} \| L_{2}$, then $L_{1}$ is a line and $L_{2}$ is a line.
(72) If $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$, then $L_{1}$ is a line and $L_{2}$ is a line.
(73) If $x \in L$ and $a \neq 1$ and $a \cdot x \in L$, then $\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle \in L$.
(74) If $x_{1} \in L$ and $x_{2} \in L$, then there exists $x_{3}$ such that $x_{3} \in L$ and $x_{3}-x_{1}=a \cdot\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$.
(75) If $x_{1} \in L$ and $x_{2} \in L$ and $x_{3} \in L$ and $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$, then there exists $a$ such that $x_{3}-x_{1}=a \cdot\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$.
(76) If $L_{1} \| L_{2}$ and $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$, then $L_{1}$ misses $L_{2}$.
(77) If $L_{1} \| L_{2}$, then $L_{1}=L_{2}$ or $L_{1}$ misses $L_{2}$.
(78) If $L_{1} \| L_{2}$ and $L_{1}$ meets $L_{2}$, then $L_{1}=L_{2}$.
(79) If $L$ is a line, then there exists $L_{0}$ such that $x \in L_{0}$ and $L_{0} \| L$.
(80) For all $x, L$ such that $x \notin L$ and $L$ is a line there exists $L_{0}$ such that $x \in L_{0}$ and $L_{0} \| L$ and $L_{0} \neq L$.
(81) For all $x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ such that $x_{0} \in L_{1}$ and $x_{1} \in L_{1}$ and $x_{0} \neq x_{1}$ and $y_{0} \in L_{2}$ and $y_{1} \in L_{2}$ and $y_{0} \neq y_{1}$ and $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$ holds $x_{1}-x_{0} \perp y_{1}-y_{0}$.
(82) For all $L_{1}, L_{2}$ such that $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$ holds $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$.
(83) For all $x_{1}, x_{2}, L$ such that $L$ is a line and $L=\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ holds $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$.
(84) If $x_{0} \in L_{1}$ and $x_{1} \in L_{1}$ and $x_{0} \neq x_{1}$ and $y_{0} \in L_{2}$ and $y_{1} \in L_{2}$ and $y_{0} \neq y_{1}$ and $L_{1} \| L_{2}$, then $x_{1}-x_{0} \| y_{1}-y_{0}$.
(85) Suppose $x_{2}-x_{1}$ and $x_{3}-x_{1}$ are linearly independent and $y_{2} \in$ $\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $y_{3} \in \operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$ and $L_{1}=\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $L_{2}=$ $\operatorname{Line}\left(y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$. Then $L_{1} \| L_{2}$ if and only if there exists $a$ such that $a \neq 0$ and $y_{2}-x_{1}=a \cdot\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$ and $y_{3}-x_{1}=a \cdot\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)$.
(86) For all $L_{1}, L_{2}$ such that $L_{1}$ is a line and $L_{2}$ is a line and $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$ there exists $x$ such that $x \in L_{1}$ and $x \notin L_{2}$.
(87) For all $x, L_{1}, L_{2}$ such that $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$ and $x \in L_{2}$ there exists $L_{0}$ such that $x \in L_{0}$ and $L_{0} \perp L_{2}$ and $L_{0} \| L_{1}$.
(88) For all $x, L_{1}, L_{2}$ such that $x \in L_{1}$ and $x \in L_{2}$ and $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$ there exists $x_{0}$ such that $x \neq x_{0}$ and $x_{0} \in L_{1}$ and $x_{0} \notin L_{2}$.

Let $n$ be a natural number and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ be elements of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$. The functor Plane $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ yielding a subset of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ is defined as follows:
(Def. 8) Plane $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left\{a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{3}: a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1\right\}$.
Let $n$ be a natural number and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ be elements of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$. One can check that $\operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is non empty.

Let us consider $n$ and let $A$ be a subset of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$. We say that $A$ is plane if and only if:
(Def. 9) There exist $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ such that $x_{2}-x_{1}$ and $x_{3}-x_{1}$ are linearly independent and $A=\operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.

One can prove the following propositions:
(89) $x_{1} \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $x_{2} \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $x_{3} \in$ Plane $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$
(90) If $x_{1} \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ and $x_{2} \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ and $x_{3} \in$ $\operatorname{Plane}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$, then $\operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Plane}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$.
(91) Let $A$ be a subset of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ and given $x, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. Suppose $x \in$ Plane $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and there exist real numbers $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ such that $c_{1}+c_{2}+$ $c_{3}=0$ and $x=c_{1} \cdot x_{1}+c_{2} \cdot x_{2}+c_{3} \cdot x_{3}$. Then $\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.
(92) If $y_{1} \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $y_{2} \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, then $\operatorname{Line}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \subseteq$ Plane $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.
(93) For every subset $A$ of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ and for every $x$ such that $A$ is plane and $x \in A$ and there exists $a$ such that $a \neq 1$ and $a \cdot x \in A$ holds $\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle \in A$.
(94) If $x_{1}-x_{1}$ and $x_{3}-x_{1}$ are linearly independent and $x \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $x=a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{3}$, then $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1$ or $\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}\rangle \in$ Plane $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.
(95) $\quad x \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ iff there exist $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ such that $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1$ and $x=a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{3}$.
(96) Suppose that
(i) $x_{2}-x_{1}$ and $x_{3}-x_{1}$ are linearly independent,
(ii) $\quad x \in \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$,
(iii) $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1$,
(iv) $x=a_{1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{2} \cdot x_{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{3}$,
(v) $b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}=1$, and
(vi) $x=b_{1} \cdot x_{1}+b_{2} \cdot x_{2}+b_{3} \cdot x_{3}$.

Then $a_{1}=b_{1}$ and $a_{2}=b_{2}$ and $a_{3}=b_{3}$.
Let us consider $n$. The functor $\operatorname{Planes}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$ yielding a family of subsets of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ is defined by:
(Def. 10) $\operatorname{Planes}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{\operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right\}$.
Let us consider $n$. Note that $\operatorname{Planes}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$ is non empty.
The following proposition is true
(97) $\operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \operatorname{Planes}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$.

In the sequel $P, P_{0}, P_{1}, P_{2}$ are elements of $\operatorname{Planes}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$.
Next we state several propositions:
(98) If $x_{1} \in P$ and $x_{2} \in P$ and $x_{3} \in P$, then Plane $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \subseteq P$.
(99) If $x_{1} \in P$ and $x_{2} \in P$ and $x_{3} \in P$ and $x_{2}-x_{1}$ and $x_{3}-x_{1}$ are linearly independent, then $P=\operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.
(100) If $P_{1}$ is plane and $P_{1} \subseteq P_{2}$, then $P_{1}=P_{2}$.
(101) Line $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and Line $\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and Line $\left(x_{3}, x_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.
(102) If $x_{1} \in P$ and $x_{2} \in P$, then $\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subseteq P$.

Let $n$ be a natural number and let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ be elements of Lines $\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$. We say that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are coplanar if and only if:
(Def. 11) There exist elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ such that $L_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $L_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{Plane}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.
We now state a number of propositions:
(103) $\quad L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are coplanar iff there exists $P$ such that $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$.
(104) If $L_{1} \| L_{2}$, then $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are coplanar.
(105) Suppose $L_{1}$ is a line and $L_{2}$ is a line and $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are coplanar and $L_{1}$ misses $L_{2}$. Then there exists $P$ such that $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$ and $P$ is plane.
(106) There exists $P$ such that $x \in P$ and $L \subseteq P$.
(107) If $x \notin L$ and $L$ is a line, then there exists $P$ such that $x \in P$ and $L \subseteq P$ and $P$ is plane.
(108) If $x \in P$ and $L \subseteq P$ and $x \notin L$ and $L$ is a line, then $P$ is plane.
(109) If $x \notin L$ and $L$ is a line and $x \in P_{0}$ and $L \subseteq P_{0}$ and $x \in P_{1}$ and $L \subseteq P_{1}$, then $P_{0}=P_{1}$.
(110) If $L_{1}$ is a line and $L_{2}$ is a line and $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are coplanar and $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$, then there exists $P$ such that $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$ and $P$ is plane.
(111) For all $L_{1}, L_{2}$ such that $L_{1}$ is a line and $L_{2}$ is a line and $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$ and $L_{1}$ meets $L_{2}$ there exists $P$ such that $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$ and $P$ is plane.
(112) If $L_{1}$ is a line and $L_{2}$ is a line and $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$ and $L_{1}$ meets $L_{2}$ and $L_{1} \subseteq P_{1}$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P_{1}$ and $L_{1} \subseteq P_{2}$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P_{2}$, then $P_{1}=P_{2}$.
(113) If $L_{1} \| L_{2}$ and $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$, then there exists $P$ such that $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$ and $P$ is plane.
(114) If $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$ and $L_{1}$ meets $L_{2}$, then there exists $P$ such that $P$ is plane and $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$.
(115) If $L_{0} \subseteq P$ and $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$ and $x \in L_{0}$ and $x \in L_{1}$ and $x \in L_{2}$ and $L_{0} \perp L_{2}$ and $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$, then $L_{0}=L_{1}$.
(116) If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are coplanar and $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$, then $L_{1}$ meets $L_{2}$.
(117) If $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$ and $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$ and $x \in P$ and $L_{0} \| L_{2}$ and $x \in L_{0}$, then $L_{0} \subseteq P$ and $L_{0} \perp L_{1}$.
(118) If $L \subseteq P$ and $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$ and $L \perp L_{1}$ and $L \perp L_{2}$, then $L_{1} \| L_{2}$.
(119) Suppose $L_{0} \subseteq P$ and $L_{1} \subseteq P$ and $L_{2} \subseteq P$ and $L_{0} \| L_{1}$ and $L_{1} \| L_{2}$ and $L_{0} \neq L_{1}$ and $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$ and $L_{2} \neq L_{0}$ and $L$ meets $L_{0}$ and $L$ meets $L_{1}$. Then $L$ meets $L_{2}$.
(120) If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are coplanar and $L_{1}$ is a line and $L_{2}$ is a line and $L_{1}$ misses $L_{2}$, then $L_{1} \| L_{2}$.
(121) If $x_{1} \in P$ and $x_{2} \in P$ and $y_{1} \in P$ and $y_{2} \in P$ and $x_{2}-x_{1}$ and $y_{2}-y_{1}$ are linearly independent, then $\operatorname{Line}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ meets Line $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$.
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