

Weighted and Labeled Graphs¹

Gilbert Lee²

University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

Summary. In the graph framework of [17] we introduce new selectors: weights for edges and labels for both edges and vertices. We introduce also a number of tools for accessing and modifying these new fields.

MML identifier: GLIB_003, version: 7.5.01 4.39.921

The articles [20], [19], [22], [14], [23], [9], [6], [15], [1], [18], [21], [7], [12], [10], [11], [3], [24], [4], [13], [2], [5], [8], [17], and [16] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let D be a set, let f_1 be a finite sequence of elements of D , and let f_2 be a FinSubsequence of f_1 . Then Seq f_2 is a finite sequence of elements of D .

Let F be a real-yielding binary relation and let X be a set. One can check that $F \upharpoonright X$ is real-yielding.

Next we state two propositions:

- (1) Let $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}$ be sets and p be a finite sequence. Suppose $p = \langle x_1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_3 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_4 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_5 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_6 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_7 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_8 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_9 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_{10} \rangle$. Then $\text{len } p = 10$ and $p(1) = x_1$ and $p(2) = x_2$ and $p(3) = x_3$ and $p(4) = x_4$ and $p(5) = x_5$ and $p(6) = x_6$ and $p(7) = x_7$ and $p(8) = x_8$ and $p(9) = x_9$ and $p(10) = x_{10}$.
- (2) Let f_1 be a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} and f_2 be a FinSubsequence of f_1 . If for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } f_1$ holds $0 \leq f_1(i)$, then $\sum \text{Seq } f_2 \leq \sum f_1$.

¹This work has been partially supported by NSERC, Alberta Ingenuity Fund and iCORE.

²Part of author's MSc work.

2. DEFINITIONS

The natural number `WeightSelector` is defined by:

(Def. 1) `WeightSelector = 5`.

The natural number `ELabelSelector` is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) `ELabelSelector = 6`.

The natural number `VLabelSelector` is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) `VLabelSelector = 7`.

Let G be a graph structure. We say that G is weighted if and only if:

(Def. 4) `WeightSelector ∈ dom G` and $G(\text{WeightSelector})$ is a many sorted set indexed by the edges of G .

We say that G is elabeled if and only if:

(Def. 5) `ELabelSelector ∈ dom G` and there exists a function f such that $G(\text{ELabelSelector}) = f$ and $\text{dom } f \subseteq \text{the edges of } G$.

We say that G is vlabeled if and only if:

(Def. 6) `VLabelSelector ∈ dom G` and there exists a function f such that $G(\text{VLabelSelector}) = f$ and $\text{dom } f \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G$.

Let us mention that there exists a graph structure which is graph-like, weighted, elabeled, and vlabeled.

A w-graph is a weighted graph. A e-graph is a elabeled graph. A v-graph is a vlabeled graph. A we-graph is a weighted elabeled graph. A wv-graph is a weighted vlabeled graph. A ev-graph is a elabeled vlabeled graph. A wev-graph is a weighted elabeled vlabeled graph.

Let G be a w-graph. The weight of G yielding a many sorted set indexed by the edges of G is defined by:

(Def. 7) The weight of $G = G(\text{WeightSelector})$.

Let G be a e-graph. The elabel of G yields a function and is defined by:

(Def. 8) The elabel of $G = G(\text{ELabelSelector})$.

Let G be a v-graph. The vlabel of G yielding a function is defined by:

(Def. 9) The vlabel of $G = G(\text{VLabelSelector})$.

Let G be a graph and let X be a set. One can check the following observations:

- * $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$ is graph-like,
- * $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is graph-like, and
- * $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is graph-like.

Let G be a finite graph and let X be a set. One can check the following observations:

- * $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$ is finite,

- * $G.set(ELabelSelector, X)$ is finite, and
- * $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$ is finite.

Let G be a loopless graph and let X be a set. One can check the following observations:

- * $G.set(WeightSelector, X)$ is loopless,
- * $G.set(ELabelSelector, X)$ is loopless, and
- * $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$ is loopless.

Let G be a trivial graph and let X be a set. One can check the following observations:

- * $G.set(WeightSelector, X)$ is trivial,
- * $G.set(ELabelSelector, X)$ is trivial, and
- * $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$ is trivial.

Let G be a non trivial graph and let X be a set. One can verify the following observations:

- * $G.set(WeightSelector, X)$ is non trivial,
- * $G.set(ELabelSelector, X)$ is non trivial, and
- * $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$ is non trivial.

Let G be a non-multi graph and let X be a set. One can check the following observations:

- * $G.set(WeightSelector, X)$ is non-multi,
- * $G.set(ELabelSelector, X)$ is non-multi, and
- * $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$ is non-multi.

Let G be a non-directed-multi graph and let X be a set. One can verify the following observations:

- * $G.set(WeightSelector, X)$ is non-directed-multi,
- * $G.set(ELabelSelector, X)$ is non-directed-multi, and
- * $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$ is non-directed-multi.

Let G be a connected graph and let X be a set. One can check the following observations:

- * $G.set(WeightSelector, X)$ is connected,
- * $G.set(ELabelSelector, X)$ is connected, and
- * $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$ is connected.

Let G be an acyclic graph and let X be a set. One can verify the following observations:

- * $G.set(WeightSelector, X)$ is acyclic,
- * $G.set(ELabelSelector, X)$ is acyclic, and
- * $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$ is acyclic.

Let G be a w-graph and let X be a set. Observe that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is weighted and $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is weighted.

Let G be a graph and let X be a many sorted set indexed by the edges of G . Note that $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$ is weighted.

Let G be a graph, let W_1 be a non empty set, and let W be a function from the edges of G into W_1 . Note that $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, W)$ is weighted.

Let G be a e-graph and let X be a set. Note that $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$ is elabeled and $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is elabeled.

Let G be a graph, let Y be a set, and let X be a partial function from the edges of G to Y . One can check that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is elabeled.

Let G be a graph and let X be a many sorted set indexed by the edges of G . One can verify that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is elabeled.

Let G be a v-graph and let X be a set. Note that $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$ is vlabeled and $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is vlabeled.

Let G be a graph, let Y be a set, and let X be a partial function from the vertices of G to Y . Note that $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is vlabeled.

Let G be a graph and let X be a many sorted set indexed by the vertices of G . One can verify that $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is vlabeled.

Let G be a graph. Note that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, \emptyset)$ is elabeled and $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, \emptyset)$ is vlabeled.

Let G be a graph. Note that there exists a subgraph of G which is weighted, elabeled, and vlabeled.

Let G be a w-graph and let G_2 be a weighted subgraph of G . We say that G_2 inherits weight if and only if:

(Def. 10) The weight of $G_2 = (\text{the weight of } G) \upharpoonright (\text{the edges of } G_2)$.

Let G be a e-graph and let G_2 be a elabeled subgraph of G . We say that G_2 inherits elabel if and only if:

(Def. 11) The elabel of $G_2 = (\text{the elabel of } G) \upharpoonright (\text{the edges of } G_2)$.

Let G be a v-graph and let G_2 be a vlabeled subgraph of G . We say that G_2 inherits vlabeled if and only if:

(Def. 12) The vlabeled of $G_2 = (\text{the vlabeled of } G) \upharpoonright (\text{the vertices of } G_2)$.

Let G be a w-graph. Observe that there exists a weighted subgraph of G which inherits weight.

Let G be a e-graph. One can check that there exists a elabeled subgraph of G which inherits elabel.

Let G be a v-graph. One can verify that there exists a vlabeled subgraph of G which inherits vlabeled.

Let G be a we-graph. Note that there exists a weighted elabeled subgraph of G which inherits weight and elabel.

Let G be a wv-graph. Observe that there exists a weighted vlabeled subgraph of G which inherits weight and vlabeled.

Let G be a ev -graph. Observe that there exists a labeled v-labeled subgraph of G which inherits elabel and vlabel.

Let G be a wev -graph. One can verify that there exists a weighted labeled v-labeled subgraph of G which inherits weight, elabel, and vlabel.

Let G be a w -graph. A w -subgraph of G is a weighted subgraph of G inheriting weight.

Let G be a e -graph. A e -subgraph of G is a labeled subgraph of G inheriting elabel.

Let G be a v -graph. A v -subgraph of G is a v-labeled subgraph of G inheriting vlabel.

Let G be a we -graph. A we -subgraph of G is a weighted labeled subgraph of G inheriting weight and elabel.

Let G be a wv -graph. A wv -subgraph of G is a weighted v-labeled subgraph of G inheriting weight and vlabel.

Let G be a ev -graph. A ev -subgraph of G is a labeled v-labeled subgraph of G inheriting elabel and vlabel.

Let G be a wev -graph. A wev -subgraph of G is a weighted labeled v-labeled subgraph of G inheriting weight, elabel, and vlabel.

Let G be a graph and let V, E be sets. One can verify that there exists a subgraph of G induced by V and E which is weighted, labeled, and v-labeled.

Let G be a w -graph and let V, E be sets. One can verify that there exists a weighted subgraph of G induced by V and E which inherits weight.

Let G be a e -graph and let V, E be sets. One can verify that there exists a labeled subgraph of G induced by V and E which inherits elabel.

Let G be a v -graph and let V, E be sets. One can verify that there exists a v-labeled subgraph of G induced by V and E which inherits vlabel.

Let G be a we -graph and let V, E be sets. Note that there exists a weighted labeled subgraph of G induced by V and E which inherits weight and elabel.

Let G be a wv -graph and let V, E be sets. Observe that there exists a weighted v-labeled subgraph of G induced by V and E which inherits weight and vlabel.

Let G be a ev -graph and let V, E be sets. Note that there exists a labeled v-labeled subgraph of G induced by V and E which inherits elabel and vlabel.

Let G be a wev -graph and let V, E be sets. Observe that there exists a weighted labeled v-labeled subgraph of G induced by V and E which inherits weight, elabel, and vlabel.

Let G be a w -graph and let V, E be sets. A induced w -subgraph of G, V, E is a weighted subgraph of G induced by V and E inheriting weight.

Let G be a e -graph and let V, E be sets. A induced e -subgraph of G, V, E is a labeled subgraph of G induced by V and E inheriting elabel.

Let G be a v -graph and let V, E be sets. A induced v -subgraph of G, V, E is a v-labeled subgraph of G induced by V and E inheriting vlabel.

Let G be a we-graph and let V, E be sets. A induced we-subgraph of G, V, E is a weighted elabeled subgraph of G induced by V and E inheriting weight and elabel.

Let G be a wv-graph and let V, E be sets. A induced wv-subgraph of G, V, E is a weighted vlabeled subgraph of G induced by V and E inheriting weight and vlabeled.

Let G be a ev-graph and let V, E be sets. A induced ev-subgraph of G, V, E is a elabeled vlabeled subgraph of G induced by V and E inheriting elabel and vlabeled.

Let G be a wev-graph and let V, E be sets. A induced wev-subgraph of G, V, E is a weighted elabeled vlabeled subgraph of G induced by V and E inheriting weight, elabel, and vlabeled.

Let G be a w-graph and let V be a set. A induced w-subgraph of G, V is a induced w-subgraph of $G, V, G.edgesBetween(V)$.

Let G be a e-graph and let V be a set. A induced e-subgraph of G, V is a induced e-subgraph of $G, V, G.edgesBetween(V)$.

Let G be a v-graph and let V be a set. A induced v-subgraph of G, V is a induced v-subgraph of $G, V, G.edgesBetween(V)$.

Let G be a we-graph and let V be a set. A induced we-subgraph of G, V is a induced we-subgraph of $G, V, G.edgesBetween(V)$.

Let G be a wv-graph and let V be a set. A induced wv-subgraph of G, V is a induced wv-subgraph of $G, V, G.edgesBetween(V)$.

Let G be a ev-graph and let V be a set. A induced ev-subgraph of G, V is a induced ev-subgraph of $G, V, G.edgesBetween(V)$.

Let G be a wev-graph and let V be a set. A induced wev-subgraph of G, V is a induced wev-subgraph of $G, V, G.edgesBetween(V)$.

Let G be a w-graph. We say that G is real-weighted if and only if:

(Def. 13) The weight of G is real-yielding.

Let G be a w-graph. We say that G is nonnegative-weighted if and only if:

(Def. 14) $\text{rng}(\text{the weight of } G) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Let us note that every w-graph which is nonnegative-weighted is also real-weighted.

Let G be a e-graph. We say that G is real-elabeled if and only if:

(Def. 15) The elabel of G is real-yielding.

Let G be a v-graph. We say that G is real-vlabeled if and only if:

(Def. 16) The vlabeled of G is real-yielding.

Let G be a wev-graph. We say that G is real-wev if and only if:

(Def. 17) G is real-weighted, real-elabeled, and real-vlabeled.

Let us note that every wev-graph which is real-wev is also real-weighted, real-elabeled, and real-vlabeled and every wev-graph which is real-weighted,

real-elabeled, and real-vlabeled is also real-wev.

Let G be a graph and let X be a function from the edges of G into \mathbb{R} . Note that $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$ is real-weighted.

Let G be a graph and let X be a partial function from the edges of G to \mathbb{R} . One can verify that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is real-elabeled.

Let G be a graph and let X be a real-yielding many sorted set indexed by the edges of G . One can verify that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is real-elabeled.

Let G be a graph and let X be a partial function from the vertices of G to \mathbb{R} . Observe that $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is real-vlabeled.

Let G be a graph and let X be a real-yielding many sorted set indexed by the vertices of G . One can verify that $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is real-vlabeled.

Let G be a graph. Observe that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, \emptyset)$ is real-elabeled and $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, \emptyset)$ is real-vlabeled.

Let G be a graph, let v be a vertex of G , and let v_1 be a real number. Note that $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, v \dashrightarrow v_1)$ is vlabeled.

Let G be a graph, let v be a vertex of G , and let v_1 be a real number. One can verify that $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, v \dashrightarrow v_1)$ is real-vlabeled.

One can check that there exists a wev-graph which is finite, trivial, tree-like, nonnegative-weighted, and real-wev and there exists a wev-graph which is finite, non trivial, tree-like, nonnegative-weighted, and real-wev.

Let G be a finite w-graph. Note that the weight of G is finite.

Let G be a finite e-graph. Note that the elabel of G is finite.

Let G be a finite v-graph. Note that the vlabel of G is finite.

Let G be a real-weighted w-graph. Observe that the weight of G is real-yielding.

Let G be a real-elabeled e-graph. One can verify that the elabel of G is real-yielding.

Let G be a real-vlabeled v-graph. Observe that the vlabel of G is real-yielding.

Let G be a real-weighted w-graph and let X be a set. Observe that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is real-weighted and $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is real-weighted.

Let G be a nonnegative-weighted w-graph and let X be a set. One can check that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is nonnegative-weighted and $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is nonnegative-weighted.

Let G be a real-elabeled e-graph and let X be a set. One can verify that $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$ is real-elabeled and $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is real-elabeled.

Let G be a real-vlabeled v-graph and let X be a set. Observe that $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$ is real-vlabeled and $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is real-vlabeled.

Let G be a w-graph and let W be a walk of G . The functor $W.\text{weightSeq}()$ yielding a finite sequence is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) $\text{len}(W.\text{weightSeq}()) = \text{len}(W.\text{edgeSeq}())$ and for every natural number n such that $1 \leq n$ and $n \leq \text{len}(W.\text{weightSeq}())$ holds $W.\text{weightSeq}()(n) =$ (the weight of G)($W.\text{edgeSeq}()(n)$).

Let G be a real-weighted w-graph and let W be a walk of G . Then $W.\text{weightSeq}()$ is a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} .

Let G be a real-weighted w-graph and let W be a walk of G . The functor $W.\text{cost}()$ yielding a real number is defined as follows:

(Def. 19) $W.\text{cost}() = \sum(W.\text{weightSeq}())$.

Let G be a e-graph. The functor $G.\text{labeledE}()$ yields a subset of the edges of G and is defined as follows:

(Def. 20) $G.\text{labeledE}() = \text{dom}(\text{the elabel of } G)$.

Let G be a e-graph and let e, x be sets. The functor $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ yielding a e-graph is defined as follows:

(Def. 21) $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x) = \begin{cases} G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, (\text{the elabel of } G) + \cdot(e \dashrightarrow x)), \\ \text{if } e \in \text{the edges of } G, \\ G, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let G be a finite e-graph and let e, x be sets. Note that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is finite.

Let G be a loopless e-graph and let e, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is loopless.

Let G be a trivial e-graph and let e, x be sets. One can check that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is trivial.

Let G be a non trivial e-graph and let e, x be sets. One can verify that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is non trivial.

Let G be a non-multi e-graph and let e, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is non-multi.

Let G be a non-directed-multi e-graph and let e, x be sets. One can check that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is non-directed-multi.

Let G be a connected e-graph and let e, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is connected.

Let G be an acyclic e-graph and let e, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is acyclic.

Let G be a we-graph and let e, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is weighted.

Let G be a ev-graph and let e, x be sets. Note that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is vlabeled.

Let G be a real-weighted we-graph and let e, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is real-weighted.

Let G be a nonnegative-weighted we-graph and let e, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is nonnegative-weighted.

Let G be a real-elabeled e-graph, let e be a set, and let x be a real number. Observe that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is real-elabeled.

Let G be a real-vlabeled ev-graph and let e, x be sets. Note that $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$ is real-vlabeled.

Let G be a v-graph and let v, x be sets. The functor $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ yielding a v-graph is defined as follows:

$$\text{(Def. 22)} \quad G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x) = \begin{cases} G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, \\ \quad (\text{the vlabel of } G) + \cdot (v \mapsto x)), \\ \quad \text{if } v \in \text{the vertices of } G, \\ G, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let G be a v-graph. The functor $G.\text{labeledV}()$ yielding a subset of the vertices of G is defined as follows:

$$\text{(Def. 23)} \quad G.\text{labeledV}() = \text{dom}(\text{the vlabel of } G).$$

Let G be a finite v-graph and let v, x be sets. One can check that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is finite.

Let G be a loopless v-graph and let v, x be sets. One can check that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is loopless.

Let G be a trivial v-graph and let v, x be sets. One can check that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is trivial.

Let G be a non trivial v-graph and let v, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is non trivial.

Let G be a non-multi v-graph and let v, x be sets. Note that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is non-multi.

Let G be a non-directed-multi v-graph and let v, x be sets. One can verify that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is non-directed-multi.

Let G be a connected v-graph and let v, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is connected.

Let G be an acyclic v-graph and let v, x be sets. Note that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is acyclic.

Let G be a wv-graph and let v, x be sets. One can check that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is weighted.

Let G be a ev-graph and let v, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is elabeled.

Let G be a real-weighted wv-graph and let v, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is real-weighted.

Let G be a nonnegative-weighted wv-graph and let v, x be sets. Note that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is nonnegative-weighted.

Let G be a real-elabeled ev-graph and let v, x be sets. Observe that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is real-elabeled.

Let G be a real-vlabeled v -graph, let v be a set, and let x be a real number. Note that $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$ is real-vlabeled.

Let G be a real-weighted w -graph. Observe that every w -subgraph of G is real-weighted.

Let G be a nonnegative-weighted w -graph. Observe that every w -subgraph of G is nonnegative-weighted.

Let G be a real-elabeled e -graph. Observe that every e -subgraph of G is real-elabeled.

Let G be a real-vlabeled v -graph. Observe that every v -subgraph of G is real-vlabeled.

Let G_1 be a graph sequence. We say that G_1 is weighted if and only if:

(Def. 24) For every natural number x holds $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is weighted.

We say that G_1 is elabeled if and only if:

(Def. 25) For every natural number x holds $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is elabeled.

We say that G_1 is vlabeled if and only if:

(Def. 26) For every natural number x holds $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is vlabeled.

Let us mention that there exists a graph sequence which is weighted, elabeled, and vlabeled.

A w -graph sequence is a weighted graph sequence. A e -graph sequence is a elabeled graph sequence. A v -graph sequence is a vlabeled graph sequence. A w -graph sequence is a weighted elabeled graph sequence. A wv -graph sequence is a weighted vlabeled graph sequence. A ev -graph sequence is a elabeled vlabeled graph sequence. A wv -graph sequence is a weighted elabeled vlabeled graph sequence.

Let G_1 be a w -graph sequence and let x be a natural number. One can check that $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is weighted.

Let G_1 be a e -graph sequence and let x be a natural number. One can check that $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is elabeled.

Let G_1 be a v -graph sequence and let x be a natural number. Observe that $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is vlabeled.

Let G_1 be a w -graph sequence. We say that G_1 is real-weighted if and only if:

(Def. 27) For every natural number x holds $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is real-weighted.

We say that G_1 is nonnegative-weighted if and only if:

(Def. 28) For every natural number x holds $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is nonnegative-weighted.

Let G_1 be a e -graph sequence. We say that G_1 is real-elabeled if and only if:

(Def. 29) For every natural number x holds $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is real-elabeled.

Let G_1 be a v -graph sequence. We say that G_1 is real-vlabeled if and only if:

(Def. 30) For every natural number x holds $G_{1 \rightarrow x}$ is real-vlabeled.

Let G_1 be a wev-graph sequence. We say that G_1 is real-wev if and only if:

(Def. 31) For every natural number x holds $G_{1 \rightarrow x}$ is real-wev.

Let us note that every wev-graph sequence which is real-wev is also real-weighted, real-elabeled, and real-vlabeled and every wev-graph sequence which is real-weighted, real-elabeled, and real-vlabeled is also real-wev.

Let us observe that there exists a wev-graph sequence which is halting, finite, loopless, trivial, non-multi, simple, real-wev, nonnegative-weighted, and tree-like.

Let G_1 be a real-weighted w-graph sequence and let x be a natural number. One can check that $G_{1 \rightarrow x}$ is real-weighted.

Let G_1 be a nonnegative-weighted w-graph sequence and let x be a natural number. Observe that $G_{1 \rightarrow x}$ is nonnegative-weighted.

Let G_1 be a real-elabeled e-graph sequence and let x be a natural number. Note that $G_{1 \rightarrow x}$ is real-elabeled.

Let G_1 be a real-vlabeled v-graph sequence and let x be a natural number. One can verify that $G_{1 \rightarrow x}$ is real-vlabeled.

3. THEOREMS

The following propositions are true:

- (3) WeightSelector = 5 and ELabelSelector = 6 and VLabelSelector = 7.
- (4)(i) For every w-graph G holds the weight of $G = G(\text{WeightSelector})$,
- (ii) for every e-graph G holds the elabel of $G = G(\text{ELabelSelector})$, and
- (iii) for every v-graph G holds the vlabel of $G = G(\text{VLabelSelector})$.
- (6)³ For every e-graph G holds $\text{dom}(\text{the elabel of } G) \subseteq \text{the edges of } G$.
- (7) For every v-graph G holds $\text{dom}(\text{the vlabel of } G) \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G$.
- (8) For every graph G and for every set X holds
 $G =_G G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$ and $G =_G G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ and
 $G =_G G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$.
- (9) For every e-graph G and for every set X holds the elabel of $G =$ the
elabel of $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$.
- (10) For every v-graph G and for every set X holds the vlabel of $G =$ the
vlabel of $G.\text{set}(\text{WeightSelector}, X)$.
- (11) For every w-graph G and for every set X holds the weight of $G =$ the
weight of $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$.
- (12) For every v-graph G and for every set X holds the vlabel of $G =$ the
vlabel of $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$.

³The proposition (5) has been removed.

- (13) For every w-graph G and for every set X holds the weight of $G =$ the weight of $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$.
- (14) For every e-graph G and for every set X holds the elabel of $G =$ the elabel of $G.set(VLabelSelector, X)$.
- (15) Let G_3, G_2 be w-graphs and G_4 be a w-graph. Suppose $G_3 =_G G_2$ and the weight of $G_3 =$ the weight of G_2 and G_3 is a w-subgraph of G_4 . Then G_2 is a w-subgraph of G_4 .
- (16) For every w-graph G_3 and for every w-subgraph G_2 of G_3 holds every w-subgraph of G_2 is a w-subgraph of G_3 .
- (17) Let G_3, G_2 be w-graphs and G_4 be a w-subgraph of G_3 . Suppose $G_3 =_G G_2$ and the weight of $G_3 =$ the weight of G_2 . Then G_4 is a w-subgraph of G_2 .
- (18) Let G_3 be a w-graph, G_2 be a w-subgraph of G_3 , and x be a set. If $x \in$ the edges of G_2 , then $(\text{the weight of } G_2)(x) = (\text{the weight of } G_3)(x)$.
- (19) For every w-graph G and for every walk W of G such that W is trivial holds $W.weightSeq() = \emptyset$.
- (20) For every w-graph G and for every walk W of G holds $\text{len}(W.weightSeq()) = W.length()$.
- (21) For every w-graph G and for all sets x, y, e such that e joins x and y in G holds $(G.walkOf(x, e, y)).weightSeq() = \langle (\text{the weight of } G)(e) \rangle$.
- (22) For every w-graph G and for every walk W of G holds $W.reverse().weightSeq() = \text{Rev}(W.weightSeq())$.
- (23) For every w-graph G and for all walks W_2, W_3 of G such that $W_2.last() = W_3.first()$ holds $(W_2.append(W_3)).weightSeq() = W_2.weightSeq() \hat{\ } W_3.weightSeq()$.
- (24) Let G be a w-graph, W be a walk of G , and e be a set. If $e \in W.last().edgesInOut()$, then $(W.addEdge(e)).weightSeq() = W.weightSeq() \hat{\ } \langle (\text{the weight of } G)(e) \rangle$.
- (25) Let G be a real-weighted w-graph, W_2 be a walk of G , and W_3 be a subwalk of W_2 . Then there exists a FinSubsequence w_1 of $W_2.weightSeq()$ such that $W_3.weightSeq() = \text{Seq } w_1$.
- (26) Let G_3, G_2 be w-graphs, W_2 be a walk of G_3 , and W_3 be a walk of G_2 . If $W_2 = W_3$ and the weight of $G_3 =$ the weight of G_2 , then $W_2.weightSeq() = W_3.weightSeq()$.
- (27) Let G_3 be a w-graph, G_2 be a w-subgraph of G_3 , W_2 be a walk of G_3 , and W_3 be a walk of G_2 . If $W_2 = W_3$, then $W_2.weightSeq() = W_3.weightSeq()$.
- (28) For every real-weighted w-graph G and for every walk W of G such that W is trivial holds $W.cost() = 0$.
- (29) Let G be a real-weighted w-graph, v_2, v_3 be vertices of G , and e be a set.

- If e joins v_2 and v_3 in G , then $(G.\text{walkOf}(v_2, e, v_3)).\text{cost}() = (\text{the weight of } G)(e)$.
- (30) For every real-weighted w-graph G and for every walk W of G holds $W.\text{cost}() = W.\text{reverse}().\text{cost}()$.
- (31) For every real-weighted w-graph G and for all walks W_2, W_3 of G such that $W_2.\text{last}() = W_3.\text{first}()$ holds $(W_2.\text{append}(W_3)).\text{cost}() = W_2.\text{cost}() + W_3.\text{cost}()$.
- (32) Let G be a real-weighted w-graph, W be a walk of G , and e be a set. If $e \in W.\text{last}().\text{edgesInOut}()$, then $(W.\text{addEdge}(e)).\text{cost}() = W.\text{cost}() + (\text{the weight of } G)(e)$.
- (33) Let G_3, G_2 be real-weighted w-graphs, W_2 be a walk of G_3 , and W_3 be a walk of G_2 . If $W_2 = W_3$ and the weight of $G_3 = \text{the weight of } G_2$, then $W_2.\text{cost}() = W_3.\text{cost}()$.
- (34) Let G_3 be a real-weighted w-graph, G_2 be a w-subgraph of G_3 , W_2 be a walk of G_3 , and W_3 be a walk of G_2 . If $W_2 = W_3$, then $W_2.\text{cost}() = W_3.\text{cost}()$.
- (35) Let G be a nonnegative-weighted w-graph, W be a walk of G , and n be a natural number. If $n \in \text{dom}(W.\text{weightSeq}())$, then $0 \leq W.\text{weightSeq}()(n)$.
- (36) For every nonnegative-weighted w-graph G and for every walk W of G holds $0 \leq W.\text{cost}()$.
- (37) For every nonnegative-weighted w-graph G and for every walk W_2 of G and for every subwalk W_3 of W_2 holds $W_3.\text{cost}() \leq W_2.\text{cost}()$.
- (38) Let G be a nonnegative-weighted w-graph and e be a set. If $e \in \text{the edges of } G$, then $0 \leq (\text{the weight of } G)(e)$.
- (39) Let G be a e-graph and e, x be sets. Suppose $e \in \text{the edges of } G$. Then the elabel of $G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x) = (\text{the elabel of } G) + \cdot (e \mapsto x)$.
- (40) For every e-graph G and for all sets e, x such that $e \in \text{the edges of } G$ holds $(\text{the elabel of } G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x))(e) = x$.
- (41) For every e-graph G and for all sets e, x holds $G =_G G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$.
- (42) For every we-graph G and for all sets e, x holds the weight of $G = \text{the weight of } G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$.
- (43) For every ev-graph G and for all sets e, x holds the vlabel of $G = \text{the vlabel of } G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)$.
- (44) For every e-graph G and for all sets e_1, e_2, x such that $e_1 \neq e_2$ holds $(\text{the elabel of } G.\text{labelEdge}(e_1, x))(e_2) = (\text{the elabel of } G)(e_2)$.
- (45) Let G be a v-graph and v, x be sets. Suppose $v \in \text{the vertices of } G$. Then the vlabel of $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x) = (\text{the vlabel of } G) + \cdot (v \mapsto x)$.
- (46) For every v-graph G and for all sets v, x such that $v \in \text{the vertices of } G$ holds $(\text{the vlabel of } G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x))(v) = x$.

- (47) For every v-graph G and for all sets v, x holds $G =_G G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$.
- (48) For every wv-graph G and for all sets v, x holds the weight of $G =$ the weight of $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$.
- (49) For every ev-graph G and for all sets v, x holds the elabel of $G =$ the elabel of $G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)$.
- (50) For every v-graph G and for all sets v_2, v_3, x such that $v_2 \neq v_3$ holds (the vlabel of $G.\text{labelVertex}(v_2, x)$)(v_3) = (the vlabel of G)(v_3).
- (51) For all e-graphs G_3, G_2 such that the elabel of $G_3 =$ the elabel of G_2 holds $G_3.\text{labeledE}() = G_2.\text{labeledE}()$.
- (52) For every e-graph G and for all sets e, x such that $e \in$ the edges of G holds $(G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)).\text{labeledE}() = G.\text{labeledE}() \cup \{e\}$.
- (53) For every e-graph G and for all sets e, x such that $e \in$ the edges of G holds $G.\text{labeledE}() \subseteq (G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)).\text{labeledE}()$.
- (54) For every finite e-graph G and for all sets e, x such that $e \in$ the edges of G and $e \notin G.\text{labeledE}()$ holds $\text{card}((G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)).\text{labeledE}()) = \text{card}(G.\text{labeledE}()) + 1$.
- (55) For every e-graph G and for all sets e_1, e_2, x such that $e_2 \notin G.\text{labeledE}()$ and $e_2 \in (G.\text{labelEdge}(e_1, x)).\text{labeledE}()$ holds $e_1 = e_2$ and $e_1 \in$ the edges of G .
- (56) For every ev-graph G and for all sets v, x holds $G.\text{labeledE}() = (G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)).\text{labeledE}()$.
- (57) For every e-graph G and for all sets e, x such that $e \in$ the edges of G holds $e \in (G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)).\text{labeledE}()$.
- (58) For all v-graphs G_3, G_2 such that the vlabel of $G_3 =$ the vlabel of G_2 holds $G_3.\text{labeledV}() = G_2.\text{labeledV}()$.
- (59) For every v-graph G and for all sets v, x such that $v \in$ the vertices of G holds $(G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)).\text{labeledV}() = G.\text{labeledV}() \cup \{v\}$.
- (60) For every v-graph G and for all sets v, x such that $v \in$ the vertices of G holds $G.\text{labeledV}() \subseteq (G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)).\text{labeledV}()$.
- (61) For every finite v-graph G and for all sets v, x such that $v \in$ the vertices of G and $v \notin G.\text{labeledV}()$ holds $\text{card}((G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)).\text{labeledV}()) = \text{card}(G.\text{labeledV}()) + 1$.
- (62) For every v-graph G and for all sets v_2, v_3, x such that $v_3 \notin G.\text{labeledV}()$ and $v_3 \in (G.\text{labelVertex}(v_2, x)).\text{labeledV}()$ holds $v_2 = v_3$ and $v_2 \in$ the vertices of G .
- (63) For every ev-graph G and for all sets e, x holds $G.\text{labeledV}() = (G.\text{labelEdge}(e, x)).\text{labeledV}()$.
- (64) For every v-graph G and for every vertex v of G and for every set x holds $v \in (G.\text{labelVertex}(v, x)).\text{labeledV}()$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):241–245, 1996.
- [12] Jing-Chao Chen and Yatsuka Nakamura. The underlying principle of Dijkstra’s shortest path algorithm. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(2):143–152, 2003.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [14] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [15] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [16] Gilbert Lee. Walks in Graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):253–269, 2005.
- [17] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative graph structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):235–252, 2005.
- [18] Yatsuka Nakamura and Piotr Rudnicki. Vertex sequences induced by chains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):297–304, 1996.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [22] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received February 8, 2005
