The Class of Series-Parallel Graphs. Part I

Krzysztof Retel University of Białystok

Summary. The paper introduces some preliminary notions concerning the graph theory according to [20]. We define Necklace n as a graph with vertex $\{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$ and edge set $\{(1, 2), (2, 3), ..., (n - 1, n)\}$. The goal of the article is to prove that Necklace n and Complement of Necklace n are isomorphic for n = 0, 1, 4.

MML Identifier: NECKLACE.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following papers: [23], [22], [25], [12], [1], [15], [5], [11], [2], [24], [26], [28], [18], [6], [7], [21], [13], [19], [27], [8], [9], [10], [17], [3], [4], [14], and [16].

1. Preliminaries

We adopt the following rules: n is a natural number and x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 , x_5 , y_1 , y_2 , y_3 are sets.

Let x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 , x_5 be sets. We say that x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 , x_5 are mutually different if and only if:

(Def. 1) $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $x_1 \neq x_3$ and $x_1 \neq x_4$ and $x_1 \neq x_5$ and $x_2 \neq x_3$ and $x_2 \neq x_4$ and $x_2 \neq x_5$ and $x_3 \neq x_4$ and $x_3 \neq x_5$ and $x_4 \neq x_5$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1) If x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 are mutually different, then card $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\} = 5$.
- (2) $4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}.$
- $(3) \quad [\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_3 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_3 \rangle, \langle x_3, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_3, y_2 \rangle, \langle x_3, y_3 \rangle\}.$
- (4) For every set x and for every natural number n such that $x \in n$ holds x is a natural number.

C 2003 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

KRZYSZTOF RETEL

(5) For every non empty natural number x holds $0 \in x$.

Let us observe that every set which is natural is also cardinal.

Let X be a set. One can check that δ_X is one-to-one.

Next we state the proposition

(6) For every set X holds $\overline{\Delta_X} = \overline{\overline{X}}$.

Let R be a trivial binary relation. Observe that dom R is trivial. Let us observe that every function which is trivial is also one-to-one. We now state several propositions:

- (7) For all functions f, g such that dom f misses dom g holds $\operatorname{rng}(f+\cdot g) = \operatorname{rng} f \cup \operatorname{rng} g$.
- (8) For all one-to-one functions f, g such that dom f misses dom g and rng f misses rng g holds $(f+\cdot g)^{-1} = f^{-1} + \cdot g^{-1}$.
- (9) For all sets A, a, b holds $(A \longmapsto a) + \cdot (A \longmapsto b) = A \longmapsto b$.
- (10) For all sets a, b holds $(a \mapsto b)^{-1} = b \mapsto a$.
- (11) For all sets a, b, c, d such that a = b iff c = d holds $[a \longmapsto c, b \longmapsto d]^{-1} = [c \longmapsto a, d \longmapsto b].$

The scheme *Convers* deals with a non empty set \mathcal{A} , a binary relation \mathcal{B} , two unary functors \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} yielding sets, and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

 $\mathcal{B}^{\sim} = \{ \langle \mathcal{F}(x), \mathcal{G}(x) \rangle; x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}[x] \}$

provided the parameters meet the following condition:

• $\mathcal{B} = \{ \langle \mathcal{G}(x), \mathcal{F}(x) \rangle; x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}[x] \}.$

Next we state the proposition

(12) For all natural numbers i, j, n such that i < j and $j \in n$ holds $i \in n$.

2. Auxiliary Concepts

Let R, S be non empty relational structures. We say that S embeds R if and only if the condition (Def. 2) is satisfied.

- (Def. 2) There exists a map f from R into S such that
 - (i) f is one-to-one, and
 - (ii) for all elements x, y of the carrier of R holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in$ the internal relation of R iff $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in$ the internal relation of S.

Let us note that the predicate S embeds R is reflexive.

One can prove the following proposition

(13) For all non empty relational structures R, S, T such that R embeds S and S embeds T holds R embeds T.

Let R, S be non empty relational structures. We say that R is equimorphic to S if and only if:

(Def. 3) R embeds S and S embeds R.

100

- Let us notice that the predicate R is equimorphic to S is reflexive and symmetric. The following proposition is true
 - (14) Let R, S, T be non empty relational structures. Suppose R is equimorphic to S and S is equimorphic to T. Then R is equimorphic to T.
- Let R be a non empty relational structure. We introduce R is parallel as an antonym of R is connected.

Let R be a relational structure. We say that R is symmetric if and only if:

(Def. 4) The internal relation of R is symmetric in the carrier of R.

Let R be a relational structure. We say that R is asymmetric if and only if:

(Def. 5) The internal relation of R is asymmetric.

We now state the proposition

(15) Let R be a relational structure. Suppose R is asymmetric. Then the internal relation of R misses (the internal relation of R)^{\sim}.

Let R be a relational structure. We say that R is irreflexive if and only if:

(Def. 6) For every set x such that $x \in$ the carrier of R holds $\langle x, x \rangle \notin$ the internal relation of R.

Let n be a natural number. The functor n-SuccRelStr yielding a strict relational structure is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) The carrier of n-SuccRelStr = n and the internal relation of n-SuccRelStr = {(i, i + 1); i ranges over natural numbers: i + 1 < n}. The following propositions are true:

(16) For every natural number n holds n-SuccRelStr is asymmetric.

(17) If n > 0, then the internal relation of n-SuccRelStr = n - 1.

Let R be a relational structure. The functor SymRelStr R yielding a strict relational structure is defined by the conditions (Def. 8).

(Def. 8)(i) The carrier of SymRelStr R = the carrier of R, and

(ii) the internal relation of SymRelStr R = (the internal relation of R) \cup (the internal relation of R) \sim .

Let R be a relational structure. Note that SymRelStr R is symmetric.

Let us mention that there exists a relational structure which is non empty and symmetric.

Let R be a symmetric relational structure. One can verify that the internal relation of R is symmetric.

Let R be a relational structure. The functor ComplRelStr R yielding a strict relational structure is defined by the conditions (Def. 9).

- (Def. 9)(i) The carrier of ComplRelStr R = the carrier of R, and
 - (ii) the internal relation of ComplRelStr R = (the internal relation of $R)^{c} \setminus \triangle_{\text{the carrier of } R}$.

KRZYSZTOF RETEL

Let R be a non empty relational structure. Observe that ComplRelStr R is non empty.

Next we state the proposition

(18) Let S, R be relational structures. Suppose S and R are isomorphic. Then the internal relation of S = the internal relation of R.

3. Necklace n

Let n be a natural number. The functor Necklace n yielding a strict relational structure is defined as follows:

(Def. 10) Necklace n = SymRelStr n - SuccRelStr.

Let n be a natural number. One can check that Necklace n is symmetric. We now state two propositions:

- (19) The internal relation of Necklace $n = \{\langle i, i+1 \rangle; i \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } i+1 < n\} \cup \{\langle i+1, i \rangle; i \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } i+1 < n\}.$
- (20) Let x be a set. Then $x \in$ the internal relation of Necklace n if and only if there exists a natural number i such that i + 1 < n but $x = \langle i, i + 1 \rangle$ or $x = \langle i + 1, i \rangle$.

Let n be a natural number. Observe that Necklace n is irreflexive. Next we state the proposition

(21) For every natural number n holds the carrier of Necklace n = n.

Let n be a non empty natural number. Observe that Necklace n is non empty. Let n be a natural number. Observe that the carrier of Necklace n is finite. One can prove the following propositions:

- (22) For all natural numbers n, i such that i + 1 < n holds $\langle i, i + 1 \rangle \in$ the internal relation of Necklace n.
- (23) For every natural number n and for every natural number i such that $i \in$ the carrier of Necklace n holds i < n.
- (24) For every non empty natural number n holds Necklace n is connected.
- (25) For all natural numbers i, j such that $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the internal relation of Necklace n holds i = j + 1 or j = i + 1.
- (26) Let i, j be natural numbers. Suppose i = j + 1 or j = i + 1 but $i \in$ the carrier of Necklace n but $j \in$ the carrier of Necklace n. Then $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the internal relation of Necklace n.
- (27) If n > 0, then $\overline{\{\langle i+1, i \rangle; i \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } i+1 < n\}} = n-1.$
- (28) If n > 0, then the internal relation of Necklace $n = 2 \cdot (n-1)$.
- (29) Necklace 1 and ComplRelStr Necklace 1 are isomorphic.
- (30) Necklace 4 and ComplRelStr Necklace 4 are isomorphic.

102

(31) If Necklace n and ComplRelStr Necklace n are isomorphic, then n = 0 or n = 1 or n = 4.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377-382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):281–290, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):93-107, 1997.
- [5] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):245-254, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):669-676, 1990.
 [8] Grashan Baliński. Functions and their basis properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
 [10] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the
- [10] Czesław Bylinski. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
 [13] Czesław Byliński. Draducta and compeducta in actographic Examplined Mathematics.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):701-709, 1991.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):131–143, 1997.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [16] Adam Grabowski. On the category of posets. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):501-505, 1996.
 [17] Kungata Huminaniashi. Basia proparties of nod numbers. Formalized Mathematics
- [17] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [18] Shunichi Kobayashi. Predicate calculus for boolean valued functions. Part XII. Formalized Mathematics, 9(1):221–235, 2001.
- [19] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [20] Stephan Thomasse. On better-quasi-ordering countable series-parallel orders. Transactions of American Mathematical Society, 352(6):2491–2505, 2000.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):329-334, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
 [24] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):313–319,
- [24] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):313-319, 1990.
 [25] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67-71, 1990.
- [26] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):85–89, 1990.

Received November 18, 2002