# **On Segre's Product of Partial Line Spaces**

Adam Naumowicz University of Białystok

**Summary.** In this paper the concept of partial line spaces is presented. We also construct the Segre's product for a family of partial line spaces indexed by an arbitrary nonempty set.

 $\mathrm{MML}\ \mathrm{Identifier:}\ \mathtt{PENCIL\_1}.$ 

The terminology and notation used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [16], [1], [2], [7], [14], [6], [13], [11], [9], [10], [8], [5], [17], [15], [12], [4], and [3].

# 1. Preliminaries

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For all functions f, g such that  $\prod f = \prod g$  holds if f is non-empty, then g is non-empty.
- (2) For every set X holds  $2 \subseteq \overline{\overline{X}}$  iff there exist sets x, y such that  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$  and  $x \neq y$ .
- (3) For every set X such that  $2 \subseteq \overline{\overline{X}}$  and for every set x there exists a set y such that  $y \in X$  and  $x \neq y$ .
- (4) For every set X holds  $2 \subseteq \overline{\overline{X}}$  iff X is non trivial.
- (5) For every set X holds  $3 \subseteq \overline{X}$  iff there exist sets x, y, z such that  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$  and  $z \in X$  and  $x \neq y$  and  $x \neq z$  and  $y \neq z$ .
- (6) For every set X such that  $3 \subseteq \overline{X}$  and for all sets x, y there exists a set z such that  $z \in X$  and  $x \neq z$  and  $y \neq z$ .

C 2001 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

#### ADAM NAUMOWICZ

# 2. PARTIAL LINE SPACES

Let S be a topological structure. A block of S is an element of the topology of S.

Let S be a topological structure and let x, y be points of S. We say that x, y are collinear if and only if:

(Def. 1) x = y or there exists a block l of S such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq l$ .

Let S be a topological structure and let T be a subset of the carrier of S. We say that T is closed under lines if and only if:

- (Def. 2) For every block l of S such that  $2 \subseteq \overline{\overline{l \cap T}}$  holds  $l \subseteq T$ . We say that T is strong if and only if:
- (Def. 3) For all points x, y of S such that  $x \in T$  and  $y \in T$  holds x, y are collinear. Let S be a topological structure. We say that S is void if and only if:
- (Def. 4) The topology of S is empty.

We say that S is degenerated if and only if:

(Def. 5) The carrier of S is a block of S.

We say that S has non trivial blocks if and only if:

- (Def. 6) For every block k of S holds  $2 \subseteq \overline{k}$ . We say that S is identifying close blocks if and only if:
- (Def. 7) For all blocks k, l of S such that  $2 \subseteq \overline{k \cap l}$  holds k = l.

We say that S is truly-partial if and only if:

(Def. 8) There exist points x, y of S such that x, y are not collinear.

We say that S has no isolated points if and only if:

(Def. 9) For every point x of S there exists a block l of S such that  $x \in l$ .

We say that S is connected if and only if the condition (Def. 10) is satisfied.

- (Def. 10) Let x, y be points of S. Then there exists a finite sequence f of elements of the carrier of S such that
  - (i) x = f(1),
  - (ii)  $y = f(\operatorname{len} f)$ , and
  - (iii) for every natural number i such that  $1 \le i$  and i < len f and for all points a, b of S such that a = f(i) and b = f(i+1) holds a, b are collinear.

We say that S is strongly connected if and only if the condition (Def. 11) is satisfied.

- (Def. 11) Let x be a point of S and X be a subset of the carrier of S. Suppose X is closed under lines and strong. Then there exists a finite sequence f of elements of  $2^{\text{the carrier of } S}$  such that
  - (i) X = f(1),
  - (ii)  $x \in f(\operatorname{len} f),$

- (iii) for every subset W of the carrier of S such that  $W \in \operatorname{rng} f$  holds W is closed under lines and strong, and
- (iv) for every natural number i such that  $1 \leq i$  and i < len f holds  $2 \subseteq \overline{\overline{f(i) \cap f(i+1)}}$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (7) Let X be a non empty set. Suppose  $3 \subseteq \overline{X}$ . Let S be a topological structure. Suppose the carrier of S = X and the topology of  $S = \{L; L \text{ ranges over subsets of } X: 2 = \overline{L} \}$ . Then S is non empty, non void, non degenerated, non truly-partial, and identifying close blocks and has non trivial blocks and no isolated points.
- (8) Let X be a non empty set. Suppose  $3 \subseteq \overline{X}$ . Let K be a subset of X. Suppose  $\overline{\overline{K}} = 2$ . Let S be a topological structure. Suppose the carrier of S = X and the topology of  $S = \{L; L \text{ ranges over subsets of } X: 2 = \overline{\overline{L}} \setminus \{K\}$ . Then S is non empty, non void, non degenerated, truly-partial, and identifying close blocks and has non trivial blocks and no isolated points.

One can verify that there exists a topological structure which is strict, non empty, non void, non degenerated, non truly-partial, and identifying close blocks and has non trivial blocks and no isolated points and there exists a topological structure which is strict, non empty, non void, non degenerated, truly-partial, and identifying close blocks and has non trivial blocks and no isolated points.

Let S be a non void topological structure. Note that the topology of S is non empty.

Let S be a topological structure with no isolated points and let x, y be points of S. Let us observe that x, y are collinear if and only if:

(Def. 12) There exists a block l of S such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq l$ .

A PLS is a non empty non void non degenerated identifying close blocks topological structure with non trivial blocks.

Let F be a binary relation. We say that F is TopStruct-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 13) For every set x such that  $x \in \operatorname{rng} F$  holds x is a topological structure.

Let us mention that every function which is TopStruct-yielding is also 1sorted yielding.

Let I be a set. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by I which is TopStruct-yielding.

Let us note that there exists a function which is TopStruct-yielding.

Let F be a binary relation. We say that F is non-void-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 14) For every topological structure S such that  $S \in \operatorname{rng} F$  holds S is non void.

Let F be a TopStruct-yielding function. Let us observe that F is non-void-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 15) For every set i such that  $i \in \operatorname{rng} F$  holds i is a non void topological structure.

Let F be a binary relation. We say that F is trivial-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 16) For every set S such that  $S \in \operatorname{rng} F$  holds S is trivial.

Let F be a binary relation. We say that F is non-Trivial-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 17) For every 1-sorted structure S such that  $S \in \operatorname{rng} F$  holds S is non trivial. Let us observe that every binary relation which is non-Trivial-yielding is also nonempty.

Let F be a 1-sorted yielding function. Let us observe that F is non-Trivialyielding if and only if:

(Def. 18) For every set i such that  $i \in \operatorname{rng} F$  holds i is a non trivial 1-sorted structure.

Let I be a non empty set, let A be a TopStruct-yielding many sorted set indexed by I, and let j be an element of I. Then A(j) is a topological structure.

Let F be a binary relation. We say that F is PLS-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 19) For every set x such that  $x \in \operatorname{rng} F$  holds x is a PLS.

One can verify the following observations:

- \* every function which is PLS-yielding is also nonempty and TopStructyielding,
- \* every TopStruct-yielding function which is PLS-yielding is also non-voidyielding, and
- \* every TopStruct-yielding function which is PLS-yielding is also non-Trivial-yielding.

Let I be a set. One can check that there exists a many sorted set indexed by I which is PLS-yielding.

Let I be a non empty set, let A be a PLS-yielding many sorted set indexed by I, and let j be an element of I. Then A(j) is a PLS.

Let I be a set and let A be a many sorted set indexed by I. We say that A is Segre-like if and only if:

(Def. 20) There exists an element i of I such that for every element j of I such that  $i \neq j$  holds A(j) is non empty and trivial.

Let I be a set and let A be a many sorted set indexed by I. Note that  $\{A\}$  is trivial-yielding.

The following proposition is true

(9) Let I be a non empty set, A be a many sorted set indexed by I, i be an

element of I, and S be a non trivial set. Then A + (i, S) is non trivialyielding.

Let I be a non empty set and let A be a many sorted set indexed by I. Observe that  $\{A\}$  is Segre-like.

We now state two propositions:

- (10) For every non empty set I and for every many sorted set A indexed by I and for all sets i, S holds  $\{A\} + (i, S)$  is Segre-like.
- (11) Let I be a non empty set, A be a nonempty 1-sorted yielding many sorted set indexed by I, and B be an element of the support of A. Then  $\{B\}$  is a many sorted subset indexed by the support of A.

Let I be a non empty set and let A be a nonempty 1-sorted yielding many sorted set indexed by I. One can check that there exists a many sorted subset indexed by the support of A which is Segre-like, trivial-yielding, and non-empty.

Let I be a non-empty set and let A be a non-Trivial-yielding 1-sorted yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Note that there exists a many sorted subset indexed by the support of A which is Segre-like, non trivial-yielding, and nonempty.

Let I be a non empty set. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by I which is Segre-like and non trivial-yielding.

Let I be a non empty set and let B be a Segre-like non trivial-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. The functor index(B) yielding an element of I is defined by:

(Def. 21) B(index(B)) is non trivial.

Next we state the proposition

(12) Let I be a non empty set, A be a Segre-like non trivial-yielding many sorted set indexed by I, and i be an element of I. If  $i \neq index(A)$ , then A(i) is non empty and trivial.

Let I be a non empty set. Note that every many sorted set indexed by I which is Segre-like and non trivial-yielding is also non-empty.

One can prove the following proposition

(13) Let I be a non empty set and A be a many sorted set indexed by I. Then  $2 \subseteq \overline{\prod A}$  if and only if A is non-empty and non trivial-yielding.

Let I be a non empty set and let B be a Segre-like non trivial-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Note that  $\prod B$  is non trivial.

#### ADAM NAUMOWICZ

## 3. Segre's Product

Let I be a non empty set and let A be a nonempty TopStruct-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. The functor Segre\_Blocks A yields a family of subsets of  $\prod$  (the support of A) and is defined by the condition (Def. 22).

(Def. 22) Let x be a set. Then  $x \in \text{Segre-Blocks } A$  if and only if there exists a Segre-like many sorted subset B indexed by the support of A such that  $x = \prod B$  and there exists an element i of I such that B(i) is a block of A(i).

Let I be a non empty set and let A be a nonempty TopStruct-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. The functor Segre\_Product A yielding a non empty topological structure is defined as follows:

(Def. 23) Segre\_Product  $A = \langle \prod \text{ (the support of } A), \text{Segre_Blocks } A \rangle$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (14) Let I be a non empty set and A be a nonempty TopStruct-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Then every point of Segre\_Product A is a many sorted set indexed by I.
- (15) Let I be a non empty set and A be a nonempty TopStruct-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. If there exists an element i of I such that A(i) is non void, then Segre\_Product A is non void.
- (16) Let I be a non empty set and A be a nonempty TopStruct-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds A(i)is non degenerated and there exists an element i of I such that A(i) is non void. Then Segre\_Product A is non degenerated.
- (17) Let I be a non empty set and A be a nonempty TopStruct-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds A(i) has non trivial blocks and there exists an element i of I such that A(i) is non void. Then Segre\_Product A has non trivial blocks.
- (18) Let I be a non empty set and A be a nonempty TopStruct-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds A(i) is identifying close blocks and has non trivial blocks and there exists an element i of I such that A(i) is non void. Then Segre\_Product A is identifying close blocks.

Let I be a non empty set and let A be a PLS-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Then Segre\_Product A is a PLS.

One can prove the following propositions:

(19) Let T be a topological structure and S be a subset of the carrier of T. If S is trivial, then S is strong and closed under lines.

- (20) Let S be an identifying close blocks topological structure, l be a block of S, and L be a subset of the carrier of S. If L = l, then L is closed under lines.
- (21) Let S be a topological structure, l be a block of S, and L be a subset of the carrier of S. If L = l, then L is strong.
- (22) For every non void topological structure S holds  $\Omega_S$  is closed under lines.
- (23) Let I be a non empty set, A be a Segre-like non trivial-yielding many sorted set indexed by I, and x, y be many sorted sets indexed by I. If  $x \in \prod A$  and  $y \in \prod A$ , then for every set i such that  $i \neq index(A)$  holds x(i) = y(i).
- (24) Let I be a non empty set, A be a PLS-yielding many sorted set indexed by I, and x be a set. Then x is a block of Segre\_Product A if and only if there exists a Segre-like non trivial-yielding many sorted subset L indexed by the support of A such that  $x = \prod L$  and L(index(L)) is a block of A(index(L)).
- (25) Let I be a non empty set, A be a PLS-yielding many sorted set indexed by I, and P be a many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose P is a point of Segre\_Product A. Let i be an element of I and p be a point of A(i). Then P + (i, p) is a point of Segre\_Product A.
- (26) Let *I* be a non empty set and *A*, *B* be Segre-like non trivial-yielding many sorted sets indexed by *I*. Suppose  $2 \subseteq \overline{\prod A \cap \prod B}$ . Then index(*A*) = index(*B*) and for every set *i* such that  $i \neq \text{index}(A)$  holds A(i) = B(i).
- (27) Let I be a non empty set, A be a Segre-like non trivial-yielding many sorted set indexed by I, and N be a non trivial set. Then  $A + \cdot (\text{index}(A), N)$  is Segre-like and non trivial-yielding.
- (28) Let S be a non empty non void identifying close blocks topological structure with no isolated points. If S is strongly connected, then S is connected.
- (29) Let I be a non empty set, A be a PLS-yielding many sorted set indexed by I, and S be a subset of the carrier of Segre\_Product A. Then S is non trivial, strong, and closed under lines if and only if there exists a Segrelike non trivial-yielding many sorted subset B indexed by the support of A such that  $S = \prod B$  and for every subset C of the carrier of A(index(B))such that C = B(index(B)) holds C is strong and closed under lines.

#### References

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.

- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The reflection theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):973–977, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [5] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):433-439, 1990.

<sup>[2]</sup> Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.

## ADAM NAUMOWICZ

- [6] Ewa Burakowska. Subalgebras of many sorted algebra. Lattice of subalgebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):47–54, 1996.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– 65, 1990.
- [8] Artur Korniłowicz. Some basic properties of many sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):395–399, 1996.
- Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):103– 108, 1993.
- [10] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [11] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Multivariate polynomials with arbitrary number of variables. Formalized Mathematics, 9(1):95–110, 2001.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):37–42, 1996.
- [15] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [16] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received May 29, 2000