## Bounding Boxes for Special Sequences in $\mathcal{E}^2$

Yatsuka Nakamura Shinshu University Nagano Adam Grabowski<sup>1</sup> University of Białystok

**Summary.** This is the continuation of the proof of the Jordan Theorem according to [18].

 ${\rm MML} \ {\rm Identifier:} \ {\tt JORDAN5D}.$ 

The articles [16], [8], [6], [2], [21], [20], [5], [3], [12], [13], [15], [9], [1], [14], [17], [4], [23], [11], [10], [22], [19], and [7] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

## 1. Preliminaries

For simplicity, we use the following convention: p, q denote points of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^2$ , s, r denote real numbers, h denotes a non constant standard special circular sequence, g denotes a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^2$ , f denotes a non empty finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^2$ , and I,  $i_1$ , i, j, k denote natural numbers.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (1) Let B be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose there exists a real number  $r_1$  such that  $r_1 \in B$  and B is lower bounded and for every r such that  $r \in B$  holds  $s \leq r$ . Then  $s \leq \inf B$ .
- (2) Let B be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose there exists a real number  $r_1$  such that  $r_1 \in B$  and B is upper bounded and for every r such that  $r \in B$  holds  $s \ge r$ . Then  $s \ge \sup B$ .
- (3)  $\pi_{\operatorname{len} h}h \in \mathcal{L}(h, \operatorname{len} h 1).$

C 1998 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{A}$  part of this paper was written while the author visited the Shinshu University in the winter of 1997.

- (4) If  $3 \le i$ , then  $i \mod (i 1) = 1$ .
- (5) If  $p \in \operatorname{rng} h$ , then there exists a natural number *i* such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $i+1 \leq \operatorname{len} h$  and h(i) = p.
- (6) For every finite sequence g of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $r \in \operatorname{rng} g$  holds  $(\operatorname{Inc}(g))(1) \leq r$  and  $r \leq (\operatorname{Inc}(g))(\operatorname{len} \operatorname{Inc}(g))$ .
- (7) Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq \text{len } h$  and  $1 \leq I$  and  $I \leq \text{width the Go-board of } h$ . Then ((the Go-board of h)<sub>1,I</sub>)<sub>1</sub>  $\leq (\pi_i h)_1$  and  $(\pi_i h)_1 \leq ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{\text{len the Go-board of } h, I})_1$ .
- (8) Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq \text{len } h$  and  $1 \leq I$  and  $I \leq \text{len the Go-board of } h$ . Then ((the Go-board of h)<sub>I,1</sub>)<sub>2</sub>  $\leq (\pi_i h)_2$  and  $(\pi_i h)_2 \leq ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{I,\text{width the Go-board of } h)_2$ .
- (9) Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq lenthe Go-board of f$ . Then there exist k, j such that  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } f$  and  $\pi_k f = (\text{the Go-board of } f)_{i,j}$ .
- (10) Suppose  $1 \leq j$  and  $j \leq$  width the Go-board of f. Then there exist k, i such that  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of the Go-board of f and  $\pi_k f = (\text{the Go-board of } f)_{i,j}$ .
- (11) Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq len the Go-board of f and <math>1 \leq j$  and  $j \leq width the Go-board of f$ . Then there exists k such that  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } f$  and  $(\pi_k f)_1 = ((\text{the Go-board of } f)_{i,j})_1$ .
- (12) Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq len the Go-board of f and <math>1 \leq j$  and  $j \leq width the Go-board of f$ . Then there exists k such that  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } f$  and  $(\pi_k f)_2 = ((\text{the Go-board of } f)_{i,j})_2$ .

## 2. Extrema of Projections

One can prove the following propositions:

- (13) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq \text{len } h$ , then S-bound  $\mathcal{L}(h) \leq (\pi_i h)_2$  and  $(\pi_i h)_2 \leq N$ -bound  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$ .
- (14) If  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq \text{len } h$ , then W-bound  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h) \leq (\pi_i h)_1$  and  $(\pi_i h)_1 \leq \text{E-bound } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$ .
- (15) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_2 : q_1 = W\text{-bound }\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $X = (\text{proj}_2 \upharpoonright W\text{-most }\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h))^\circ$  (the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}^2_T) \upharpoonright W\text{-most }\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$ ).
- (16) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_2 : q_1 = \text{E-bound } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $X = (\text{proj}2 \upharpoonright \text{E-most } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h))^\circ$  (the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}^2_T) \upharpoonright \text{E-most } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$ ).
- (17) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_1 : q_2 = \text{N-bound } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $X = (\text{proj1} \upharpoonright \text{N-most } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h))^{\circ}(\text{the carrier of } (\mathcal{E}^2_T) \upharpoonright \text{N-most } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)).$

114

- (18) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_1 : q_2 = \text{S-bound } \mathcal{L}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $X = (\text{proj1} \upharpoonright \text{S-most } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h))^{\circ}$  (the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}^2_T) \upharpoonright \text{S-most } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$ ).
- (19) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_1 : q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)\}$  holds  $X = (\operatorname{proj1} \upharpoonright \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g))^{\circ}$  (the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}) \upharpoonright \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ ).
- (20) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_2 : q \in \mathcal{L}(g)\}$  holds  $X = (\operatorname{proj2} \upharpoonright \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g))^{\circ}$  (the carrier of  $(\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathcal{T}}) \upharpoonright \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ ).
- (21) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_2 : q_1 = W$ -bound  $\mathcal{L}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds inf  $X = \inf(\operatorname{proj2} \upharpoonright W$ -most  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)).$
- (22) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_2 : q_1 = W\text{-bound }\mathcal{L}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $\sup X = \sup(\operatorname{proj2} \upharpoonright W\text{-most }\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)).$
- (23) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_2 : q_1 = \text{E-bound } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $\inf X = \inf(\operatorname{proj2} \upharpoonright \text{E-most } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)).$
- (24) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_2 : q_1 = \text{E-bound } \mathcal{L}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $\sup X = \sup(\operatorname{proj2} \upharpoonright \operatorname{E-most} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)).$
- (25) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_1 : q \in \mathcal{L}(g)\}$  holds inf  $X = \inf(\operatorname{proj1} \upharpoonright \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)).$
- (26) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_1 : q_2 = \text{S-bound } \mathcal{L}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds inf  $X = \inf(\text{proj1} \upharpoonright \text{S-most } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)).$
- (27) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_1 : q_2 = \text{S-bound } \mathcal{L}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $\sup X = \sup(\operatorname{proj1} \upharpoonright \operatorname{S-most} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)).$
- (28) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_1 : q_2 = \text{N-bound } \mathcal{L}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $\inf X = \inf(\operatorname{proj1} \upharpoonright \operatorname{N-most} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)).$
- (29) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_1 : q_2 = \text{N-bound } \mathcal{L}(h) \land q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)\}$  holds  $\sup X = \sup(\operatorname{proj1} \upharpoonright \text{N-most } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)).$
- (30) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_2 : q \in \mathcal{L}(g)\}$  holds inf  $X = \inf(\operatorname{proj2} \upharpoonright \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)).$
- (31) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_1 : q \in \mathcal{L}(g)\}$  holds  $\sup X = \sup(\operatorname{proj1} \upharpoonright \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)).$
- (32) For every subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = \{q_2 : q \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(g)\}$  holds  $\sup X = \sup(\operatorname{proj2} \upharpoonright \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)).$
- (33) If  $p \in \mathcal{L}(h)$  and  $1 \leq I$  and  $I \leq$  width the Go-board of h, then ((the Go-board of  $h)_{1,I}$ )<sub>1</sub>  $\leq p_1$ .
- (34) If  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$  and  $1 \leq I$  and  $I \leq$  width the Go-board of h, then  $p_1 \leq ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{\text{len the Go-board of } h, I})_1$ .
- (35) If  $p \in \mathcal{L}(h)$  and  $1 \leq I$  and  $I \leq lenthe Go-board of <math>h$ , then ((the Go-board of  $h)_{I,1}$ )<sub>2</sub>  $\leq p_2$ .
- (36) If  $p \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$  and  $1 \leq I$  and  $I \leq \text{lenthe Go-board of } h$ , then  $p_2 \leq ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{I,\text{width the Go-board of } h})_2.$

- (37) Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq lenthe Go-board of <math>h$  and  $1 \leq j$  and  $j \leq width the Go-board of <math>h$ . Then there exists q such that  $q_1 = ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{i,j})_1$  and  $q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$ .
- (38) Suppose  $1 \leq i$  and  $i \leq lenthe$  Go-board of h and  $1 \leq j$  and  $j \leq width the Go-board of <math>h$ . Then there exists q such that  $q_2 = ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{i,j})_2$  and  $q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h)$ .
- (39) W-bound  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h) = ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{1,1})_{\mathbf{1}}.$
- (40) S-bound  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h) = ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{1,1})_{\mathbf{2}}.$
- (41) E-bound  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(h) = ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{\text{len the Go-board of } h, 1})_1.$
- (42) N-bound  $\mathcal{L}(h) = ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{1,\text{width the Go-board of } h})_2.$
- (43) Let Y be a non empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that
  - (i)  $1 \leq i$ ,
- (ii)  $i \leq \operatorname{len} f$ ,
- (iii)  $1 \leq I$ ,
- (iv)  $I \leq \text{len the Go-board of } f$ ,
- (v)  $Y = \{j : \langle I, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } f \land \bigvee_k (k \in \text{dom } f \land \pi_k f = (\text{the Go-board of } f)_{I,j})\},$
- (vi)  $(\pi_i f)_1 = ((\text{the Go-board of } f)_{I,1})_1, \text{ and}$
- (vii)  $i_1 = \min Y$ .

Then ((the Go-board of  $f)_{I,i_1}$ )<sub>2</sub>  $\leq (\pi_i f)_2$ .

(44) Let Y be a non empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that

- (i)  $1 \leq i$ ,
- (ii)  $i \leq \operatorname{len} h$ ,
- (iii)  $1 \leq I$ ,
- (iv)  $I \leq$ width the Go-board of h,
- (v)  $Y = \{j : \langle j, I \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } h \land \bigvee_k (k \in \text{dom } h \land \pi_k h = (\text{the Go-board of } h)_{j,I})\},$
- (vi)  $(\pi_i h)_2 = ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{1,I})_2, \text{ and}$
- (vii)  $i_1 = \min Y.$

Then ((the Go-board of h)<sub> $i_1,I$ </sub>)<sub>1</sub>  $\leq (\pi_i h)_1$ .

- (45) Let Y be a non empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that
- (i)  $1 \leq i$ ,
- (ii)  $i \leq \operatorname{len} h$ ,
- (iii)  $1 \leq I$ ,
- (iv)  $I \leq$ width the Go-board of h,
- (v)  $Y = \{j : \langle j, I \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } h \land \bigvee_k (k \in \text{dom } h \land \pi_k h = (\text{the Go-board of } h)_{j,I})\},$
- (vi)  $(\pi_i h)_2 = ((\text{the Go-board of } h)_{1,I})_2, \text{ and}$
- (vii)  $i_1 = \max Y$ .

Then ((the Go-board of  $h)_{i_1,I}$ )<sub>1</sub>  $\geq (\pi_i h)_1$ .

116

- (46) Let Y be a non empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that
  - (i)  $1 \leq i$ ,
- (ii)  $i \leq \operatorname{len} f$ ,
- (iii)  $1 \leq I$ ,
- (iv)  $I \leq \text{len the Go-board of } f$ ,
- (v)  $Y = \{j : \langle I, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } f \land \bigvee_k (k \in \text{dom } f \land \pi_k f = (\text{the Go-board of } f)_{I,j})\},$
- (vi)  $(\pi_i f)_1 = ((\text{the Go-board of } f)_{I,1})_1, \text{ and }$
- (vii)  $i_1 = \max Y$ .

Then ((the Go-board of  $f)_{I,i_1}$ )<sub>2</sub>  $\geq (\pi_i f)_2$ .

3. Coordinates of the Special Circular Sequences Bounding Boxes

Let g be a non constant standard special circular sequence. The functor  $i_{SW} g$  yields a natural number and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 1)  $\langle 1, i_{SW} g \rangle \in$  the indices of the Go-board of g and (the Go-board of g)<sub>1,isw g</sub> = W-min  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ .
  - The functor  $i_{NW} g$  yields a natural number and is defined by:
- (Def. 2)  $\langle 1, i_{NW} g \rangle \in$  the indices of the Go-board of g and (the Go-board of g)<sub>1,i<sub>NW</sub> g</sub> = W-max  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ .

The functor  $i_{SE} g$  yielding a natural number is defined by the conditions (Def. 3).

(Def. 3)(i)  $\langle \text{ len the Go-board of } g, i_{\text{SE}} g \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } g, \text{ and}$ (ii) (the Go-board of g)<sub>len the Go-board of  $g, i_{\text{SE}} g = \text{E-min } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ .</sub>

The functor  $i_{NE} g$  yielding a natural number is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

(Def. 4)(i)  $\langle \text{len the Go-board of } g, i_{\text{NE}} g \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } g,$ and

(ii) (the Go-board of g)<sub>len the Go-board of g,  $i_{NE}g = E-\max \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ .</sub>

The functor  $i_{WS} g$  yields a natural number and is defined by:

(Def. 5)  $\langle i_{WS} g, 1 \rangle \in$  the indices of the Go-board of g and (the Go-board of g)<sub> $i_{WS} g,1$ </sub> = S-min  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ .

The functor  $i_{ES} g$  yields a natural number and is defined by:

(Def. 6)  $\langle i_{\text{ES}} g, 1 \rangle \in$  the indices of the Go-board of g and (the Go-board of g)<sub> $i_{\text{ES}} g,1$ </sub> = S-max  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ .

The functor  $i_{WN} g$  yields a natural number and is defined by the conditions (Def. 7).

(Def. 7)(i)  $\langle i_{WN} g, width \text{ the Go-board of } g \rangle \in \text{the indices of the Go-board of } g$ , and

(ii) (the Go-board of g)<sub>iwN g,width the Go-board of g = N-min  $\mathcal{L}(g)$ .</sub>

The functor  $i_{EN} g$  yields a natural number and is defined by the conditions (Def. 8).

(Def. 8)(i)  $\langle i_{\text{EN}} g$ , width the Go-board of  $g \rangle \in$  the indices of the Go-board of g, and

(ii) (the Go-board of g)<sub>iEN g,width the Go-board of g = N-max  $\mathcal{L}(g)$ .</sub>

Next we state two propositions:

- $(47)(i) \quad 1 \leq i_{\rm WN} h,$ 
  - (ii)  $i_{WN} h \leq \text{len the Go-board of } h$ ,
- (iii)  $1 \leq i_{EN} h$ ,
- (iv)  $i_{\text{EN}} h \leq \text{len the Go-board of } h$ ,
- (v)  $1 \leq i_{WS} h$ ,
- (vi)  $i_{WS} h \leq \text{len the Go-board of } h$ ,
- (vii)  $1 \leq i_{ES} h$ , and
- (viii)  $i_{\rm ES} h \leq \text{len the Go-board of } h.$
- $(48)(i) \quad 1 \leq i_{\rm NE} h,$ 
  - (ii)  $i_{\text{NE}} h \leq \text{width the Go-board of } h$ ,
- (iii)  $1 \leq i_{SE} h$ ,
- (iv)  $i_{SE} h \leq width the Go-board of h$ ,
- $(\mathbf{v}) \quad 1 \leq \mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{NW}} h,$
- (vi)  $i_{NW} h \leq width the Go-board of h$ ,
- (vii)  $1 \leq i_{SW} h$ , and
- (viii)  $i_{SW} h \leq width the Go-board of h.$

Let g be a non constant standard special circular sequence. The functor  $n_{SW} g$  yields a natural number and is defined as follows:

(Def. 9)  $1 \leq n_{SW} g$  and  $n_{SW} g + 1 \leq len g$  and  $g(n_{SW} g) = W-\min \mathcal{L}(g)$ .

The functor  $n_{NW} g$  yielding a natural number is defined as follows:

- (Def. 10)  $1 \leq n_{\text{NW}} g$  and  $n_{\text{NW}} g + 1 \leq \text{len } g$  and  $g(n_{\text{NW}} g) = \text{W-max } \mathcal{L}(g)$ . The functor  $n_{\text{SE}} g$  yielding a natural number is defined by:
- (Def. 11)  $1 \leq n_{\text{SE}} g$  and  $n_{\text{SE}} g + 1 \leq \text{len } g$  and  $g(n_{\text{SE}} g) = \text{E-min } \hat{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ . The functor  $n_{\text{NE}} g$  yielding a natural number is defined by:
- (Def. 12)  $1 \leq n_{\text{NE}} g$  and  $n_{\text{NE}} g + 1 \leq \text{len } g$  and  $g(n_{\text{NE}} g) = \text{E-max } \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g).$
- The functor  $n_{WS} g$  yielding a natural number is defined by:
- (Def. 13)  $1 \leq n_{WS} g$  and  $n_{WS} g + 1 \leq len g$  and  $g(n_{WS} g) = S-\min \mathcal{L}(g)$ . The functor  $n_{ES} g$  yields a natural number and is defined as follows:
- (Def. 14)  $1 \leq n_{\text{ES}} g \text{ and } n_{\text{ES}} g + 1 \leq \text{len } g \text{ and } g(n_{\text{ES}} g) = \text{S-max } \mathcal{L}(g).$ 
  - The functor  $n_{WN} g$  yielding a natural number is defined by:
- (Def. 15)  $1 \leq n_{WN} g$  and  $n_{WN} g + 1 \leq len g$  and  $g(n_{WN} g) = N-\min \mathcal{L}(g)$ . The functor  $n_{EN} g$  yielding a natural number is defined by:
- (Def. 16)  $1 \leq n_{\text{EN}} g$  and  $n_{\text{EN}} g + 1 \leq \text{len } g$  and  $g(n_{\text{EN}} g) = \text{N-max } \mathcal{L}(g)$ .

Next we state four propositions:

- (49)  $\operatorname{n_{WN}} h \neq \operatorname{n_{WS}} h$ .
- (50)  $n_{SW} h \neq n_{SE} h$ .
- (51)  $n_{\rm EN} h \neq n_{\rm ES} h$ .
- (52)  $n_{NW} h \neq n_{NE} h$ .

## References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in  $\mathcal{E}^2$ . Formalized Mathematics, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [5] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [6] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space  $\mathcal{E}_{T}^{2}$ . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał and Andrzej Trybulec. Similarity of formulae. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):635-642, 1991.
- [9] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):475–480, 1991.
- [10] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [11] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):471-475, 1990.
- [12] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board part I. Formalized Mathematics, 3(1):107–115, 1992.
- [13] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-board part II. Formalized Mathematics, 3(1):117–121, 1992.
- [14] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):167–172, 1996.
- [15] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-board into cells. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):323–328, 1996.
- [16] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [17] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [18] Yukio Takeuchi and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the Jordan curve theorem. Technical Report 19804, Dept. of Information Eng., Shinshu University, 500 Wakasato, Nagano city, Japan, April 1980.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. On the decomposition of finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):317–322, 1996.
- [21] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [22] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received June 8, 1998