More on the Lattice of Congruences in Many Sorted Algebra

Robert Milewski Warsaw University Białystok

MML Identifier: MSUALG_8.

The terminology and notation used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [25], [27], [11], [19], [28], [29], [3], [8], [22], [9], [10], [12], [7], [4], [26], [5], [20], [30], [1], [2], [24], [13], [21], [16], [23], [15], [17], [14], [6], and [18].

1. More on the Lattice of Equivalence Relations

For simplicity we follow a convention: Y denotes a set, I denotes a non empty set, M denotes a many sorted set indexed by I, x, y are arbitrary, k denotes a natural number, p denotes a finite sequence, S denotes a non void non empty many sorted signature, and A denotes a non-empty algebra over S.

The following proposition is true

(1) For every natural number n and for every finite sequence p holds $1 \le n$ and $n < \operatorname{len} p$ iff $n \in \operatorname{dom} p$ and $n + 1 \in \operatorname{dom} p$.

The scheme NonUniqSeqEx concerns a natural number \mathcal{A} and a binary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

There exists p such that dom $p = \text{Seg } \mathcal{A}$ and for every k such that $k \in \text{Seg } \mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k, p(k)]$

provided the following requirement is met:

• For every k such that $k \in \text{Seg } \mathcal{A}$ there exists x such that $\mathcal{P}[k, x]$. The following three propositions are true:

- (2) Let a, b be elements of the carrier of EqRelLatt(Y) and let A, B be equivalence relations of Y. If a = A and b = B, then $a \sqsubseteq b$ iff $A \subseteq B$.
- (3) $\perp_{\operatorname{EqRelLatt}(Y)} = \triangle_Y.$

C 1996 Warsaw University - Białystok ISSN 1426-2630 (4) $\top_{\text{EqRelLatt}(Y)} = \nabla_Y.$

Let us consider Y. Note that EqRelLatt(Y) is bounded. Next we state the proposition

(5) EqRelLatt(Y) is complete.

Let us consider Y. One can check that EqRelLatt(Y) is complete.

The following propositions are true:

- (6) For every set Y and for every subset X of the carrier of EqRelLatt(Y) holds $\bigcup X$ is a binary relation on Y.
- (7) For every set Y and for every subset X of the carrier of EqRelLatt(Y) holds $\bigcup X \subseteq \bigsqcup X$.
- (8) Let Y be a set, and let X be a subset of the carrier of EqRelLatt(Y), and let R be a binary relation on Y. If $R = \bigcup X$, then $\bigsqcup X = \text{EqCl}(R)$.
- (9) Let Y be a set, and let X be a subset of the carrier of EqRelLatt(Y), and let R be a binary relation. If $R = \bigcup X$, then $R = R^{\sim}$.
- (10) Let Y be a set and let X be a subset of the carrier of EqRelLatt(Y). Suppose $x \in Y$ and $y \in Y$. Then $\langle x, y \rangle \in \bigsqcup X$ if and only if there exists a finite sequence f such that $1 \leq \operatorname{len} f$ and x = f(1) and $y = f(\operatorname{len} f)$ and for every natural number i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i < \operatorname{len} f$ holds $\langle f(i), f(i+1) \rangle \in \bigcup X$.

2. Lattice of Congruences in Many Sorted Algebra as Sublattice of Lattice of Many Sorted Equivalence Relations Inherited Sup's and Inf's

The following proposition is true

(11) For every subset B of the carrier of CongrLatt(A) holds $\bigcap_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}} B$ is a congruence of A.

Let us consider S, A and let E be an element of the carrier of EqRelLatt(the sorts of A). The functor CongrCl(E) yields a congruence of A and is defined by the condition (Def. 1).

(Def. 1) CongrCl(E) = $\bigcap_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}} \{x : x \text{ ranges over elements of the carrier of EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}, x \text{ is a congruence of } A \land E \sqsubseteq x \}.$

Let us consider S, A and let X be a subset of the carrier of EqRelLatt(the sorts of A). The functor CongrCl(X) yields a congruence of A and is defined by the condition (Def. 2).

- (Def. 2) CongrCl(X) = $\bigcap_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}} \{x : x \text{ ranges over elements of the carrier of EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}, x \text{ is a congruence of } A \land X \sqsubseteq x \}$. The following propositions are true:
 - (12) For every element C of the carrier of EqRelLatt(the sorts of A) such that C is a congruence of A holds CongrCl(C) = C.

- (13) For every subset X of the carrier of EqRelLatt(the sorts of A) holds $\operatorname{CongrCl}(\bigsqcup_{\operatorname{EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}} X) = \operatorname{CongrCl}(X).$
- (14) Let B_1 , B_2 be subsets of the carrier of CongrLatt(A) and let C_1 , C_2 be congruences of A. Suppose $C_1 = \bigsqcup_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of <math>A)}} B_1$ and $C_2 = \bigsqcup_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of <math>A)}} B_2$. Then $C_1 \sqcup C_2 = \bigsqcup_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of <math>A)}} (B_1 \cup B_2)$.
- (15) Let X be a subset of the carrier of CongrLatt(A). Then $\bigsqcup_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}} X = \bigsqcup_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}} \{\bigsqcup_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}} X_0 : X_0 \text{ ranges over subsets of the carrier of EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}, X_0 \text{ is a finite subset of } X \}.$
- (16) Let *i* be an element of *I* and let *e* be an equivalence relation of M(i). Then there exists an equivalence relation *E* of *M* such that E(i) = e and for every element *j* of *I* such that $j \neq i$ holds $E(j) = \nabla_{M(j)}$.

Let I be a non empty set, let M be a many sorted set indexed by I, let i be an element of I, and let X be a subset of the carrier of EqRelLatt(M). Then $\pi_i X$ is a subset of the carrier of EqRelLatt(M(i)) and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 3) $x \in \pi_i X$ iff there exists an equivalence relation E_1 of M such that $x = E_1(i)$ and $E_1 \in X$.

We introduce EqRelSet(X, i) as a synonym of $\pi_i X$. Next we state four propositions:

- (17) Let *i* be an element of the carrier of *S*, and let *X* be a subset of the carrier of EqRelLatt(the sorts of *A*), and let *B* be an equivalence relation of the sorts of *A*. If $B = \bigsqcup X$, then $B(i) = \bigsqcup_{\text{EqRelLatt}((\text{the sorts of } A)(i))} \text{EqRelSet}(X, i).$
- (18) For every subset X of the carrier of CongrLatt(A) holds $\bigsqcup_{\text{EqRelLatt(the sorts of A)}} X$ is a congruence of A.
- (19) CongrLatt(A) is \square -inheriting.
- (20) CongrLatt(A) is ||-inheriting.

Let us consider S, A. Observe that $\operatorname{CongrLatt}(A)$ is \square -inheriting and \square -inheriting.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Filters part II. Quotient lattices modulo filters and direct product of two lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(3):433–438, 1991.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Reduction relations. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):469–478, 1996.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Translations, endomorphisms, and stable equational theories. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):553–564, 1996.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.

ROBERT MILEWSKI

- Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [13] Artur Korniłowicz. Certain facts about families of subsets of many sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):451–456, 1996.
- [14] Artur Korniłowicz. On the closure operator and the closure system of many sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):543-551, 1996.
- [15] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Many sorted quotient algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):79–84, 1996.
- [16] Beata Madras. Products of many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):55–60, 1996.
- [17] Robert Milewski. Lattice of congruences in many sorted algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):479-483, 1996.
- [18] Robert Milewski. More on the lattice of many sorted equivalence relations. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):565–569, 1996.
- [19] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [20] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [21] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):233-236, 1996.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):37–42, 1996.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [26] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [27] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [29] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [30] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):215– 222, 1990.

Received March 6, 1996