

# Representation Theorem for Heyting Lattices

Jolanta Kamieńska  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

MML Identifier: OPENLATT.

The articles [11], [4], [5], [3], [9], [10], [7], [12], [13], [8], [1], [2], and [6] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

One can check that every lower bound lattice which is Heyting is also implicative and every lattice which is implicative is also upper-bounded.

In the sequel  $T$  will denote a topological space and  $A, B, C$  will denote subsets of the carrier of  $T$ .

We now state two propositions:

- (1)  $A \cap \text{Int}(A^c \cup B) \subseteq B$ .
- (2) If  $C$  is open and  $A \cap C \subseteq B$ , then  $C \subseteq \text{Int}(A^c \cup B)$ .

Let us consider  $T$ . The functor  $\text{Topology}(T)$  yields a non empty family of subsets of the carrier of  $T$  and is defined as follows:

(Def.1)  $\text{Topology}(T) =$  the topology of  $T$ .

In the sequel  $P, Q$  denote elements of  $\text{Topology}(T)$ .

The following proposition is true

- (3)  $A$  is open iff  $A \in \text{Topology}(T)$ .

Let us consider  $T, P, Q$ . Then  $P \cup Q$  is an element of  $\text{Topology}(T)$ .

Let us consider  $T, P, Q$ . Then  $P \cap Q$  is an element of  $\text{Topology}(T)$ .

Let us consider  $T$ . The functor  $\text{TopUnion}(T)$  yields a binary operation on  $\text{Topology}(T)$  and is defined by:

(Def.2)  $(\text{TopUnion}(T))(P, Q) = P \cup Q$ .

Let us consider  $T$ . The functor  $\text{TopMeet}(T)$  yielding a binary operation on  $\text{Topology}(T)$  is defined as follows:

(Def.3)  $(\text{TopMeet}(T))(P, Q) = P \cap Q$ .

The following proposition is true

- (4) For every topological space  $T$  holds  $\langle \text{Topology}(T), \text{TopUnion}(T), \text{TopMeet}(T) \rangle$  is a lattice.

Let us consider  $T$ . The functor  $\text{OpenSetLatt}(T)$  yields a lattice and is defined by:

- (Def.4)  $\text{OpenSetLatt}(T) = \langle \text{Topology}(T), \text{TopUnion}(T), \text{TopMeet}(T) \rangle$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (5) The carrier of  $\text{OpenSetLatt}(T) = \text{Topology}(T)$ .

In the sequel  $p, q$  will denote elements of the carrier of  $\text{OpenSetLatt}(T)$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (6)  $p \sqcup q = p \cup q$  and  $p \sqcap q = p \cap q$ .  
 (7)  $p \sqsubseteq q$  iff  $p \subseteq q$ .  
 (8) For all elements  $p', q'$  of  $\text{Topology}(T)$  such that  $p = p'$  and  $q = q'$  holds  $p \sqsubseteq q$  iff  $p' \subseteq q'$ .  
 (9)  $\text{OpenSetLatt}(T)$  is implicative.  
 (10)  $\text{OpenSetLatt}(T)$  is lower-bounded and  $\perp_{\text{OpenSetLatt}(T)} = \emptyset$ .  
 (11)  $\top_{\text{OpenSetLatt}(T)} =$  the carrier of  $T$ .

Let us consider  $T$ . Then  $\text{OpenSetLatt}(T)$  is a Heyting lattice.

For simplicity we adopt the following convention:  $L$  will denote a distributive lattice,  $F$  will denote a filter of  $L$ ,  $a, b$  will denote elements of the carrier of  $L$ ,  $x$  will be arbitrary, and  $X_1, X_2, Y, Z$  will denote sets.

Let us consider  $L$ . The functor  $\text{PrimeFilters}(L)$  yielding a set is defined as follows:

- (Def.5)  $\text{PrimeFilters}(L) = \{F : F \neq \text{the carrier of } L \wedge F \text{ is prime}\}$ .

We now state the proposition

- (12)  $F \in \text{PrimeFilters}(L)$  iff  $F \neq \text{the carrier of } L$  and  $F$  is prime.

Let us consider  $L$ . The functor  $\text{StoneH}(L)$  yielding a function is defined by:

- (Def.6)  $\text{dom StoneH}(L) = \text{the carrier of } L$  and  $(\text{StoneH}(L))(a) = \{F : F \in \text{PrimeFilters}(L) \wedge a \in F\}$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (13)  $F \in (\text{StoneH}(L))(a)$  iff  $F \in \text{PrimeFilters}(L)$  and  $a \in F$ .  
 (14)  $x \in (\text{StoneH}(L))(a)$  iff there exists  $F$  such that  $F = x$  and  $F \neq \text{the carrier of } L$  and  $F$  is prime and  $a \in F$ .

Let us consider  $L$ . The functor  $\text{StoneS}(L)$  yielding a non empty set is defined as follows:

- (Def.7)  $\text{StoneS}(L) = \text{rng StoneH}(L)$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (15)  $x \in \text{StoneS}(L)$  iff there exists  $a$  such that  $x = (\text{StoneH}(L))(a)$ .  
 (16)  $(\text{StoneH}(L))(a \sqcup b) = (\text{StoneH}(L))(a) \cup (\text{StoneH}(L))(b)$ .  
 (17)  $(\text{StoneH}(L))(a \sqcap b) = (\text{StoneH}(L))(a) \cap (\text{StoneH}(L))(b)$ .

Let us consider  $L$  and let us consider  $a$ . The functor  $\text{Filters}(a)$  yields a non empty family of subsets of  $L$  and is defined by:

(Def.8)  $\text{Filters}(a) = \{F : a \in F\}$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (18)  $x \in \text{Filters}(a)$  iff  $x$  is a filter of  $L$  and  $a \in x$ .
- (19) If  $x \in \text{Filters}(b) \setminus \text{Filters}(a)$ , then  $x$  is a filter of  $L$  and  $b \in x$  and  $a \notin x$ .
- (20) Given  $Z$ . Suppose  $Z \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z \subseteq \text{Filters}(b) \setminus \text{Filters}(a)$  and for all  $X_1, X_2$  such that  $X_1 \in Z$  and  $X_2 \in Z$  holds  $X_1 \subseteq X_2$  or  $X_2 \subseteq X_1$ . Then there exists  $Y$  such that  $Y \in \text{Filters}(b) \setminus \text{Filters}(a)$  and for every  $X_1$  such that  $X_1 \in Z$  holds  $X_1 \subseteq Y$ .
- (21) If  $b \not\sqsubseteq a$ , then  $[b] \in \text{Filters}(b) \setminus \text{Filters}(a)$ .
- (22) If  $b \not\sqsubseteq a$ , then there exists  $F$  such that  $F \in \text{PrimeFilters}(L)$  and  $a \notin F$  and  $b \in F$ .
- (23) If  $a \neq b$ , then there exists  $F$  such that  $F \in \text{PrimeFilters}(L)$ .
- (24) If  $a \neq b$ , then  $(\text{StoneH}(L))(a) \neq (\text{StoneH}(L))(b)$ .
- (25)  $\text{StoneH}(L)$  is one-to-one.

Let us consider  $L$  and let  $A, B$  be elements of  $\text{StoneS}(L)$ . Then  $A \cup B$  is an element of  $\text{StoneS}(L)$ .

Let us consider  $L$  and let  $A, B$  be elements of  $\text{StoneS}(L)$ . Then  $A \cap B$  is an element of  $\text{StoneS}(L)$ .

Let us consider  $L$ . The functor  $\text{SetUnion}(L)$  yielding a binary operation on  $\text{StoneS}(L)$  is defined as follows:

(Def.9) For all elements  $A, B$  of  $\text{StoneS}(L)$  holds  $(\text{SetUnion}(L))(A, B) = A \cup B$ .

Let us consider  $L$ . The functor  $\text{SetMeet}(L)$  yielding a binary operation on  $\text{StoneS}(L)$  is defined by:

(Def.10) For all elements  $A, B$  of  $\text{StoneS}(L)$  holds  $(\text{SetMeet}(L))(A, B) = A \cap B$ .

The following proposition is true

(26)  $\langle \text{StoneS}(L), \text{SetUnion}(L), \text{SetMeet}(L) \rangle$  is a lattice.

Let us consider  $L$ . The functor  $\text{StoneLatt}(L)$  yields a lattice and is defined by:

(Def.11)  $\text{StoneLatt}(L) = \langle \text{StoneS}(L), \text{SetUnion}(L), \text{SetMeet}(L) \rangle$ .

In the sequel  $p, q$  are elements of the carrier of  $\text{StoneLatt}(L)$ .

We now state three propositions:

(27) For every  $L$  holds the carrier of  $\text{StoneLatt}(L) = \text{StoneS}(L)$ .

(28)  $p \sqcup q = p \cup q$  and  $p \sqcap q = p \cap q$ .

(29)  $p \sqsubseteq q$  iff  $p \subseteq q$ .

Let us consider  $L$ . Then  $\text{StoneH}(L)$  is a homomorphism from  $L$  to  $\text{StoneLatt}(L)$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

(30)  $\text{StoneH}(L)$  is isomorphism.

(31)  $\text{StoneLatt}(L)$  is distributive.

(32)  $L$  and  $\text{StoneLatt}(L)$  are isomorphic.

Let us note that there exists a Heyting lattice which is non trivial.

In the sequel  $H$  denotes a non trivial Heyting lattice and  $p', q'$  denote elements of the carrier of  $H$ .

The following three propositions are true:

$$(33) \quad (\text{Stone}H(H))(\top_H) = \text{PrimeFilters}(H).$$

$$(34) \quad (\text{Stone}H(H))(\perp_H) = \emptyset.$$

$$(35) \quad \text{Stone}S(H) \subseteq 2^{\text{PrimeFilters}(H)}.$$

Let us consider  $H$ . Then  $\text{PrimeFilters}(H)$  is a non empty set.

Let us consider  $H$ . The functor  $\text{HTopSpace}(H)$  yielding a strict topological space is defined as follows:

(Def.12) The carrier of  $\text{HTopSpace}(H) = \text{PrimeFilters}(H)$  and the topology of  $\text{HTopSpace}(H) = \{\bigcup A : A \text{ ranges over subsets of } \text{Stone}S(H), \}$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

$$(36) \quad \text{The carrier of } \text{OpenSetLatt}(\text{HTopSpace}(H)) = \{\bigcup A : A \text{ ranges over subsets of } \text{Stone}S(H), \}.$$

$$(37) \quad \text{Stone}S(H) \subseteq \text{the carrier of } \text{OpenSetLatt}(\text{HTopSpace}(H)).$$

Let us consider  $H$ . Then  $\text{Stone}H(H)$  is a homomorphism from  $H$  to  $\text{OpenSetLatt}(\text{HTopSpace}(H))$ .

The following propositions are true:

$$(38) \quad \text{Stone}H(H) \text{ is monomorphism.}$$

$$(39) \quad (\text{Stone}H(H))(p' \Rightarrow q') = (\text{Stone}H(H))(p') \Rightarrow (\text{Stone}H(H))(q').$$

$$(40) \quad \text{Stone}H(H) \text{ preserves implication.}$$

$$(41) \quad \text{Stone}H(H) \text{ preserves top.}$$

$$(42) \quad \text{Stone}H(H) \text{ preserves bottom.}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Filters - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):813-819, 1990.
- [2] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433-439, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175-180, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55-65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153-164, 1990.
- [6] Jolanta Kamińska and Jarosław Stanisław Walijewski. Homomorphisms of lattices, finite join and finite meet. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):35-40, 1993.
- [7] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223-230, 1990.
- [8] Andrzej Trybulec. Finite join and finite meet and dual lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):983-988, 1990.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):187-190, 1990.
- [10] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67-71, 1990.
- [11] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17-23, 1990.
- [12] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231-237, 1990.

- [13] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):215–222, 1990.

*Received July 14, 1993*

---