

# The Contraction Lemma

Grzegorz Bancerek<sup>1</sup>  
 Warsaw University  
 Białystok

**Summary.** The article includes the proof of the contraction lemma which claims that every class in which the axiom of extensionality is valid is isomorphic with a transitive class. In this article the isomorphism (wrt membership relation) of two sets is defined. It is based on [6].

The articles [7], [8], [4], [1], [5], [3], and [2] provide the terminology and notation for this paper. For simplicity we adopt the following convention:  $X, Y, Z$  denote objects of the type `set`;  $x, y$  denote objects of the type `Any`;  $E$  denotes an object of the type `SET_DOMAIN`;  $A, B, C$  denote objects of the type `Ordinal`;  $L$  denotes an object of the type `Transfinite-Sequence`;  $f$  denotes an object of the type `Function`;  $d, d1, d'$  denote objects of the type `Element of E`. Let us consider  $E, A$ . The functor

$$M_\mu(E, A),$$

with values of the type `set`, is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{ex} L \mathbf{st} \mathbf{it} = \{ d : \mathbf{for} d1 \mathbf{st} d1 \in d \mathbf{ex} B \mathbf{st} B \in \text{dom } L \ \& \ d1 \in \bigcup \{ L.B \} \} \ \& \ \text{dom } L = A \\ \ \& \ \mathbf{for} B \mathbf{st} B \in A \\ \mathbf{holds} L.B = \{ d1 : \mathbf{for} d \mathbf{st} d \in d1 \mathbf{ex} C \mathbf{st} C \in \text{dom } (L | B) \ \& \ d \in \bigcup \{ L | B.C \} \}. \end{aligned}$$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1)  $M_\mu(E, A) = \{ d : \mathbf{for} d1 \mathbf{st} d1 \in d \mathbf{ex} B \mathbf{st} B \in A \ \& \ d1 \in M_\mu(E, B) \},$
- (2)  $\mathbf{not} (\mathbf{ex} d1 \mathbf{st} d1 \in d) \mathbf{iff} d \in M_\mu(E, \mathbf{0}),$
- (3)  $d \cap E \subseteq M_\mu(E, A) \mathbf{iff} d \in M_\mu(E, \text{succ } A),$
- (4)  $A \subseteq B \mathbf{implies} M_\mu(E, A) \subseteq M_\mu(E, B),$

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by RPB.P.III-24.C1.

- (5)  $\mathbf{ex} A \mathbf{st} d \in M_\mu(E, A),$
- (6)  $d' \in d \ \& \ d \in M_\mu(E, A)$   
 $\mathbf{implies} \ d' \in M_\mu(E, A) \ \& \ \mathbf{ex} B \mathbf{st} B \in A \ \& \ d' \in M_\mu(E, B),$
- (7)  $M_\mu(E, A) \subseteq E,$
- (8)  $\mathbf{ex} A \mathbf{st} E = M_\mu(E, A),$
- (9)  $\mathbf{ex} f \mathbf{st} \mathbf{dom} f = E \ \& \ \mathbf{for} \ d \ \mathbf{holds} \ f.d = f \circ d.$

Let us consider  $f, X, Y$ . The predicate

$$f \text{ is\_}\in\text{-isomorphism\_of } X, Y$$

is defined by

$$\mathbf{dom} f = X \ \& \ \mathbf{rng} f = Y \ \& \ f \text{ is\_one-to-one} \ \& \ \mathbf{for} \ x, y$$

$$\mathbf{st} \ x \in X \ \& \ y \in X \ \mathbf{holds} \ (\mathbf{ex} \ Z \ \mathbf{st} \ Z = y \ \& \ x \in Z) \ \mathbf{iff} \ \mathbf{ex} \ Z \ \mathbf{st} \ f.y = Z \ \& \ f.x \in Z.$$

Next we state a proposition

- (10)  $f \text{ is\_}\in\text{-isomorphism\_of } X, Y \ \mathbf{iff} \ \mathbf{dom} f = X \ \& \ \mathbf{rng} f = Y \ \& \ f \text{ is\_one-to-one} \ \&$   
 $\mathbf{for} \ x, y \ \mathbf{st} \ x \in X \ \& \ y \in X$   
 $\mathbf{holds} \ (\mathbf{ex} \ Z \ \mathbf{st} \ Z = y \ \& \ x \in Z) \ \mathbf{iff} \ \mathbf{ex} \ Z \ \mathbf{st} \ f.y = Z \ \& \ f.x \in Z.$

Let us consider  $X, Y$ . The predicate

$$X, Y \text{ are\_}\in\text{-isomorphic} \quad \text{is defined by} \quad \mathbf{ex} \ f \ \mathbf{st} \ f \text{ is\_}\in\text{-isomorphism\_of } X, Y.$$

Next we state two propositions:

- (11)  $X, Y \text{ are\_}\in\text{-isomorphic} \ \mathbf{iff} \ \mathbf{ex} \ f \ \mathbf{st} \ f \text{ is\_}\in\text{-isomorphism\_of } X, Y,$
- (12)  $\mathbf{dom} f = E \ \& \ (\mathbf{for} \ d \ \mathbf{holds} \ f.d = f \circ d) \ \mathbf{implies} \ \mathbf{rng} f \text{ is\_}\in\text{-transitive}.$

In the sequel  $u, v, w$  will denote objects of the type **Element of**  $E$ . Next we state two propositions:

- (13)  $E \models \text{the\_axiom\_of\_extensionality}$   
 $\mathbf{implies} \ \mathbf{for} \ u, v \ \mathbf{st} \ \mathbf{for} \ w \ \mathbf{holds} \ w \in u \ \mathbf{iff} \ w \in v \ \mathbf{holds} \ u = v,$
- (14)  $E \models \text{the\_axiom\_of\_extensionality}$   
 $\mathbf{implies} \ \mathbf{ex} \ X \ \mathbf{st} \ X \text{ is\_}\in\text{-transitive} \ \& \ E, X \text{ are\_}\in\text{-isomorphic}.$

## References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. A model of ZF set theory language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Models and satisfiability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.
- [6] Andrzej Mostowski. *Constructible Sets*. North Holland, 1970.
- [7] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.
- [8] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.

*Received April 14, 1989*

---