JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 8, Released 1996, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Auxiliary and Approximating Relations[1]

Adam Grabowski
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. The aim of this paper is to formalize the second part of Chapter I Section 1 (1.9–1.19) in [10]. Definitions of Scott's auxiliary and approximating relations are introduced in this work. We showed that in a meet-continuous lattice, the way-below relation is the intersection of all approximating auxiliary relations (proposition (40) — compare 1.13 in [10, pp. 43–47]). By (41) a continuous lattice is a complete lattice in which $\ll$ is the smallest approximating auxiliary relation. The notions of the strong interpolation property and the interpolation property are also introduced.

MML Identifier: `WAYBEL_4`.

WWW: `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_4.html`

The articles [18], [9], [20], [16], [19], [17], [8], [2], [21], [23], [22], [6], [7], [3], [15], [1], [14], [11], [24], [12], [4], [13], and [5] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Auxiliary Relations

Let L be a non empty reflexive relational structure. The functor $\ll_L$ yielding a binary relation on L is defined by:

(Def. 2)[1] For all elements x, y of L holds $\langle x, y\rangle \in \ll_L$ iff $x \ll y$.

Let L be a relational structure. The functor $\leq_L$ yields a binary relation on L and is defined by:

(Def. 3) $\leq_L =$ the internal relation of L.

Let L be a relational structure and let R be a binary relation on L. We say that R is auxiliary(i) if and only if:

(Def. 4) For all elements x, y of L such that $\langle x, y\rangle \in R$ holds $x \leq y$.

We say that R is auxiliary(ii) if and only if:

(Def. 5) For all elements x, y, z, u of L such that $u \leq x$ and $\langle x, y\rangle \in R$ and $y \leq z$ holds $\langle u, z\rangle \in R$.

Let L be a non empty relational structure and let R be a binary relation on L. We say that R is auxiliary(iii) if and only if:

(Def. 6) For all elements x, y, z of L such that $\langle x, z\rangle \in R$ and $\langle y, z\rangle \in R$ holds $\langle x \sqcup y, z\rangle \in R$.

We say that R is auxiliary(iv) if and only if:

[1]This work was partially supported by the Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-95-1-1336.
[1] The definition (Def. 1) has been removed.

(Def. 7) For every element x of L holds $\langle \bot_L, x\rangle \in R$.

Let L be a non empty relational structure and let R be a binary relation on L. We say that R is auxiliary if and only if:

(Def. 8) R is auxiliary(i), auxiliary(ii), auxiliary(iii), and auxiliary(iv).

Let L be a non empty relational structure. One can check that every binary relation on L which is auxiliary is also auxiliary(i), auxiliary(ii), auxiliary(iii), and auxiliary(iv) and every binary relation on L which is auxiliary(i), auxiliary(ii), auxiliary(iii), and auxiliary(iv) is also auxiliary.

Let L be a lower-bounded transitive antisymmetric relational structure with l.u.b.'s. One can verify that there exists a binary relation on L which is auxiliary.

One can prove the following proposition

(1) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice, A_1 be an auxiliary(ii) auxiliary(iii) binary relation on L, and x, y, z, u be elements of L. If $\langle x, z\rangle \in A_1$ and $\langle y, u\rangle \in A_1$, then $\langle x \sqcup y, z \sqcup u\rangle \in A_1$.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. Note that every binary relation on L which is auxiliary(i) and auxiliary(ii) is also transitive.

Let L be a relational structure. Note that $\leq_L$ is auxiliary(i).

Let L be a transitive relational structure. Note that $\leq_L$ is auxiliary(ii).

Let L be an antisymmetric relational structure with l.u.b.'s. One can check that $\leq_L$ is auxiliary(iii).

Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric non empty relational structure. Note that $\leq_L$ is auxiliary(iv).

In the sequel a denotes a set.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. The functor $\mathrm{Aux}(L)$ is defined by:

(Def. 9) $a \in \mathrm{Aux}(L)$ iff a is an auxiliary binary relation on L.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. Observe that $\mathrm{Aux}(L)$ is non empty.

We now state two propositions:

(2) For every lower-bounded sup-semilattice L and for every auxiliary(i) binary relation A_1 on L holds $A_1 \subseteq \leq_L$.

(3) For every lower-bounded sup-semilattice L holds $\top_{\langle \mathrm{Aux}(L), \subseteq\rangle} = \leq_L$.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. One can verify that $\langle \mathrm{Aux}(L), \subseteq\rangle$ is upper-bounded.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. The functor $\mathrm{AuxBottom}(L)$ yields a binary relation on L and is defined by:

(Def. 10) For all elements x, y of L holds $\langle x, y\rangle \in \mathrm{AuxBottom}(L)$ iff $x = \bot_L$.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. One can verify that $\mathrm{AuxBottom}(L)$ is auxiliary.

We now state two propositions:

(4) For every lower-bounded sup-semilattice L and for every auxiliary(iv) binary relation A_1 on L holds $\mathrm{AuxBottom}(L) \subseteq A_1$.

(5) For every lower-bounded sup-semilattice L and for every auxiliary(iv) binary relation A_1 on L holds $\bot_{\langle \mathrm{Aux}(L), \subseteq\rangle} = \mathrm{AuxBottom}(L)$.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. One can verify that $\langle \mathrm{Aux}(L), \subseteq\rangle$ is lower-bounded.

Next we state several propositions:

(6) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and a, b be auxiliary(i) binary relations on L. Then $a \cap b$ is an auxiliary(i) binary relation on L.

(7) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and a, b be auxiliary(ii) binary relations on L. Then $a \cap b$ is an auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L.

(8) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and a, b be auxiliary(iii) binary relations on L. Then $a \cap b$ is an auxiliary(iii) binary relation on L.

(9) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and a, b be auxiliary(iv) binary relations on L. Then $a \cap b$ is an auxiliary(iv) binary relation on L.

(10) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and a, b be auxiliary binary relations on L. Then $a \cap b$ is an auxiliary binary relation on L.

(11) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and X be a non empty subset of $\langle \mathrm{Aux}(L), \subseteq \rangle$. Then $\bigcap X$ is an auxiliary binary relation on L.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. Observe that $\langle \mathrm{Aux}(L), \subseteq \rangle$ has g.l.b.'s.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. One can check that $\langle \mathrm{Aux}(L), \subseteq \rangle$ is complete.

Let L be a non empty relational structure, let x be an element of L, and let A_1 be a binary relation on the carrier of L. The functor $\downarrow_{A_1} x$ yields a subset of L and is defined by:

(Def. 11) $\downarrow_{A_1} x = \{y; y$ ranges over elements of L: $\langle y, x \rangle \in A_1\}$.

The functor $\uparrow_{A_1} x$ yields a subset of L and is defined by:

(Def. 12) $\uparrow_{A_1} x = \{y; y$ ranges over elements of L: $\langle x, y \rangle \in A_1\}$.

One can prove the following proposition

(12) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice, x be an element of L, and A_1 be an auxiliary(i) binary relation on L. Then $\downarrow_{A_1} x \subseteq \downarrow x$.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice, let x be an element of L, and let A_1 be an auxiliary(iv) binary relation on L. Observe that $\downarrow_{A_1} x$ is non empty.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice, let x be an element of L, and let A_1 be an auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L. Observe that $\downarrow_{A_1} x$ is lower.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice, let x be an element of L, and let A_1 be an auxiliary(iii) binary relation on L. Note that $\downarrow_{A_1} x$ is directed.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and let A_1 be an auxiliary(ii) auxiliary(iii) auxiliary(iv) binary relation on L. The functor $\downarrow A_1$ yields a map from L into $\langle \mathrm{Ids}(L), \subseteq \rangle$ and is defined by:

(Def. 13) For every element x of L holds $(\downarrow A_1)(x) = \downarrow_{A_1} x$.

We now state three propositions:

(13) Let L be a non empty relational structure, A_1 be a binary relation on L, a be a set, and y be an element of L. Then $a \in \downarrow_{A_1} y$ if and only if $\langle a, y \rangle \in A_1$.

(14) Let L be a sup-semilattice, A_1 be a binary relation on L, and y be an element of L. Then $a \in \uparrow_{A_1} y$ if and only if $\langle y, a \rangle \in A_1$.

(15) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice, A_1 be an auxiliary(i) binary relation on L, and x be an element of L. If $A_1 =$ the internal relation of L, then $\downarrow_{A_1} x = \downarrow x$.

Let L be a non empty poset. The functor $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ yields a strict relational structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 14).

(Def. 14)(i) $a \in$ the carrier of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ iff there exists a map s from L into $\langle \mathrm{Ids}(L), \subseteq \rangle$ such that $a = s$ and s is monotone and for every element x of L holds $s(x) \subseteq \downarrow x$, and

(ii) for all sets c, d holds $\langle c, d \rangle \in$ the internal relation of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ iff there exist maps f, g from L into $\langle \mathrm{Ids}(L), \subseteq \rangle$ such that $c = f$ and $d = g$ and $c \in$ the carrier of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ and $d \in$ the carrier of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ and $f \leq g$.

We now state two propositions:

(16) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. Then $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ is a full relational substructure of $(\langle \mathrm{Ids}(L), \subseteq \rangle)^{\text{the carrier of } L}$.

(17) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice, A_1 be an auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L, and x, y be elements of L. If $x \leq y$, then $\downarrow_{A_1} x \subseteq \downarrow_{A_1} y$.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and let A_1 be an auxiliary(ii) auxiliary(iii) auxiliary(iv) binary relation on L. Observe that $\downarrow A_1$ is monotone.

Next we state the proposition

(18) Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and A_1 be an auxiliary binary relation on L. Then $\downarrow A_1 \in$ the carrier of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$.

Let L be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice. Note that $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ is non empty.

One can prove the following propositions:

(19) For every lower-bounded sup-semilattice L holds $\mathrm{IdsMap}(L) \in$ the carrier of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$.

(20) For every lower-bounded sup-semilattice L and for every auxiliary binary relation A_1 on L holds $\downarrow A_1 \leq \mathrm{IdsMap}(L)$.

(21) For every lower-bounded non empty poset L and for every ideal I of L holds $\perp_L \in I$.

(22) For every upper-bounded non empty poset L and for every filter F of L holds $\top_L \in F$.

(23) For every lower-bounded non empty poset L holds $\downarrow(\perp_L) = \{\perp_L\}$.

(24) For every upper-bounded non empty poset L holds $\uparrow(\top_L) = \{\top_L\}$.

In the sequel L is a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and x is an element of L.

Next we state three propositions:

(25) (The carrier of L) $\longmapsto \{\perp_L\}$ is a map from L into $\langle \mathrm{Ids}(L), \subseteq \rangle$.

(26) (The carrier of L) $\longmapsto \{\perp_L\} \in$ the carrier of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$.

(27) For every auxiliary binary relation A_1 on L holds $\langle\!\langle$(the carrier of L) $\longmapsto \{\perp_L\}, \downarrow A_1 \rangle\!\rangle \in$ the internal relation of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$.

Let us consider L. Note that $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ is upper-bounded.

Let us consider L. The functor $\mathrm{Rel2Map}(L)$ yields a map from $\langle \mathrm{Aux}(L), \subseteq \rangle$ into $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 15) For every auxiliary binary relation A_1 on L holds $(\mathrm{Rel2Map}(L))(A_1) = \downarrow A_1$.

The following propositions are true:

(28) For all auxiliary binary relations R_1, R_2 on L such that $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ holds $\downarrow R_1 \leq \downarrow R_2$.

(29) For all binary relations R_1, R_2 on L such that $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ holds $\downarrow_{R_1} x \subseteq \downarrow_{R_2} x$.

Let us consider L. Note that $\mathrm{Rel2Map}(L)$ is monotone.

Let us consider L. The functor $\mathrm{Map2Rel}(L)$ yields a map from $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$ into $\langle \mathrm{Aux}(L), \subseteq \rangle$ and is defined by the condition (Def. 16).

(Def. 16) Let s be a set. Suppose $s \in$ the carrier of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$. Then there exists an auxiliary binary relation A_1 on L such that

(i) $A_1 = (\mathrm{Map2Rel}(L))(s)$, and

(ii) for all sets x, y holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in A_1$ iff there exist elements x', y' of L and there exists a map s' from L into $\langle \mathrm{Ids}(L), \subseteq \rangle$ such that $x' = x$ and $y' = y$ and $s' = s$ and $x' \in s'(y')$.

Let us consider L. Note that $\mathrm{Map2Rel}(L)$ is monotone.
We now state two propositions:

(30) $\mathrm{Map2Rel}(L) \cdot \mathrm{Rel2Map}(L) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{Rel2Map}(L)}$.

(31) $\mathrm{Rel2Map}(L) \cdot \mathrm{Map2Rel}(L) = \mathrm{id}_{\text{the carrier of } \mathrm{MonSet}(L)}$.

Let us consider L. Observe that $\mathrm{Rel2Map}(L)$ is one-to-one.
We now state three propositions:

(32) $(\mathrm{Rel2Map}(L))^{-1} = \mathrm{Map2Rel}(L)$.

(33) $\mathrm{Rel2Map}(L)$ is isomorphic.

(34) For every complete lattice L and for every element x of L holds $\bigcap\{I; I$ ranges over ideals of L: $x \leq \sup I\} = \downarrow\!\!\downarrow x$.

The scheme *LambdaC'* deals with a non empty relational structure $\mathcal{A}$, a unary functor $\mathcal{F}$ yielding a set, a unary functor $\mathcal{G}$ yielding a set, and a unary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

There exists a function f such that $\mathrm{dom}\, f =$ the carrier of $\mathcal{A}$ and for every element x of $\mathcal{A}$ holds if $\mathcal{P}[x]$, then $f(x) = \mathcal{F}(x)$ and if not $\mathcal{P}[x]$, then $f(x) = \mathcal{G}(x)$

for all values of the parameters.

Let L be a semilattice and let I be an ideal of L. The functor $\mathrm{DownMap}(I)$ yielding a map from L into $\langle \mathrm{Ids}(L), \subseteq \rangle$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 17) For every element x of L holds if $x \leq \sup I$, then $(\mathrm{DownMap}(I))(x) = \downarrow x \cap I$ and if $x \not\leq \sup I$, then $(\mathrm{DownMap}(I))(x) = \downarrow x$.

Next we state two propositions:

(35) For every semilattice L and for every ideal I of L holds $\mathrm{DownMap}(I) \in$ the carrier of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$.

(36) Let L be an antisymmetric reflexive relational structure with g.l.b.'s, x be an element of L, and D be a non empty lower subset of L. Then $\{x\} \sqcap D = \downarrow x \cap D$.

2. Approximating Relations

Let L be a non empty relational structure and let A_1 be a binary relation on L. We say that A_1 is approximating if and only if:

(Def. 18) For every element x of L holds $x = \sup \downarrow\!\!\downarrow_{A_1} x$.

Let L be a non empty poset and let m_1 be a map from L into $\langle \mathrm{Ids}(L), \subseteq \rangle$. We say that m_1 is approximating if and only if:

(Def. 19) For every element x of L there exists a subset i_1 of L such that $i_1 = m_1(x)$ and $x = \sup i_1$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(37) For every lower-bounded meet-continuous semilattice L and for every ideal I of L holds $\mathrm{DownMap}(I)$ is approximating.

(38) Every lower-bounded continuous lattice is meet-continuous.

One can verify that every lower-bounded lattice which is continuous is also meet-continuous.
We now state the proposition

(39) For every lower-bounded continuous lattice L and for every ideal I of L holds $\mathrm{DownMap}(I)$ is approximating.

Let L be a non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. One can verify that $\ll_L$ is auxiliary(i).

Let L be a non empty reflexive transitive relational structure. One can check that $\ll_L$ is auxiliary(ii).

Let L be a poset with l.u.b.'s. Note that $\ll_L$ is auxiliary(iii).

Let L be an inf-complete non empty poset. One can verify that $\ll_L$ is auxiliary(iii).

Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric reflexive non empty relational structure. Note that $\ll_L$ is auxiliary(iv).

We now state two propositions:

(40) For every complete lattice L and for every element x of L holds $\downarrow_{\ll_L} x = \downarrow x$.

(41) For every lattice L holds $\leq_L$ is approximating.

Let L be a lower-bounded continuous lattice. Observe that $\ll_L$ is approximating.

Let L be a complete lattice. One can check that there exists a binary relation on L which is approximating and auxiliary.

Let L be a complete lattice. The functor $\mathrm{App}(L)$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 20) $a \in \mathrm{App}(L)$ iff a is an approximating auxiliary binary relation on L.

Let L be a complete lattice. One can check that $\mathrm{App}(L)$ is non empty.

One can prove the following propositions:

(42) Let L be a complete lattice and m_1 be a map from L into $\langle \mathrm{Ids}(L), \subseteq \rangle$. Suppose m_1 is approximating and $m_1 \in$ the carrier of $\mathrm{MonSet}(L)$. Then there exists an approximating auxiliary binary relation A_1 on L such that $A_1 = (\mathrm{Map2Rel}(L))(m_1)$.

(43) For every complete lattice L and for every element x of L holds $\bigcap\{(\mathrm{DownMap}(I))(x) : I$ ranges over ideals of $L\} = \downarrow x$.

(44) Let L be a lower-bounded meet-continuous lattice and x be an element of L. Then $\bigcap\{\downarrow_{A_1} x; A_1$ ranges over auxiliary binary relations on L: $A_1 \in \mathrm{App}(L)\} = \downarrow x$.

In the sequel L is a complete lattice.

One can prove the following propositions:

(45) L is continuous if and only if for every approximating auxiliary binary relation R on L holds $\ll_L \subseteq R$ and $\ll_L$ is approximating.

(46) L is continuous if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) L is meet-continuous, and

(ii) there exists an approximating auxiliary binary relation R on L such that for every approximating auxiliary binary relation R' on L holds $R \subseteq R'$.

Let L be a relational structure and let A_1 be a binary relation on L. We say that A_1 satisfies strong interpolation property if and only if:

(Def. 21) For all elements x, z of L such that $\langle x, z\rangle \in A_1$ and $x \neq z$ there exists an element y of L such that $\langle x, y\rangle \in A_1$ and $\langle y, z\rangle \in A_1$ and $x \neq y$.

Let L be a relational structure and let A_1 be a binary relation on L. We say that A_1 satisfies interpolation property if and only if:

(Def. 22) For all elements x, z of L such that $\langle x, z\rangle \in A_1$ there exists an element y of L such that $\langle x, y\rangle \in A_1$ and $\langle y, z\rangle \in A_1$.

We now state the proposition

(48)[2] Let L be a relational structure and A_1 be a binary relation on L. Suppose A_1 satisfies strong interpolation property. Then A_1 satisfies interpolation property.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. One can verify that every binary relation on L which satisfies strong interpolation property satisfies also interpolation property.

In the sequel A_1 denotes a binary relation on L and x, y, z denote elements of L.

The following four propositions are true:

(49) For every approximating binary relation A_1 on L such that $x \not\leq y$ there exists an element u of L such that $\langle u, x\rangle \in A_1$ and $u \not\leq y$.

(50) Let R be an approximating auxiliary(i) auxiliary(iii) binary relation on L. If $\langle x, z\rangle \in R$ and $x \neq z$, then there exists y such that $x \leq y$ and $\langle y, z\rangle \in R$ and $x \neq y$.

(51) Let R be an approximating auxiliary binary relation on L. Suppose $x \ll z$ and $x \neq z$. Then there exists an element y of L such that $\langle x, y\rangle \in R$ and $\langle y, z\rangle \in R$ and $x \neq y$.

(52) For every lower-bounded continuous lattice L holds $\ll_L$ satisfies strong interpolation property.

Let L be a lower-bounded continuous lattice. Observe that $\ll_L$ satisfies strong interpolation property.

Next we state two propositions:

(53) Let L be a lower-bounded continuous lattice and x, y be elements of L. If $x \ll y$, then there exists an element x' of L such that $x \ll x'$ and $x' \ll y$.

(54) Let L be a lower-bounded continuous lattice and x, y be elements of L. Then $x \ll y$ if and only if for every non empty directed subset D of L such that $y \leq \sup D$ there exists an element d of L such that $d \in D$ and $x \ll d$.

3. Exercises

Let L be a relational structure, let X be a subset of L, and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L. We say that X is directed w.r.t. R if and only if:

(Def. 23) For all elements x, y of L such that $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ there exists an element z of L such that $z \in X$ and $\langle x, z\rangle \in R$ and $\langle y, z\rangle \in R$.

One can prove the following proposition

(55) Let L be a relational structure and X be a subset of L. Suppose X is directed w.r.t. the internal relation of L. Then X is directed.

Let X, x be sets and let R be a binary relation. We say that x is maximal w.r.t. X, R if and only if:

(Def. 24) $x \in X$ and it is not true that there exists a set y such that $y \in X$ and $y \neq x$ and $\langle x, y\rangle \in R$.

Let L be a relational structure, let X be a set, and let x be an element of L. We say that x is maximal in X if and only if:

(Def. 25) x is maximal w.r.t. X, the internal relation of L.

One can prove the following proposition

(56) Let L be a relational structure, X be a set, and x be an element of L. Then x is maximal in X if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $x \in X$, and

(ii) it is not true that there exists an element y of L such that $y \in X$ and $x < y$.

[2] The proposition (47) has been removed.

Let X, x be sets and let R be a binary relation. We say that x is minimal w.r.t. X, R if and only if:

(Def. 26) $x \in X$ and it is not true that there exists a set y such that $y \in X$ and $y \neq x$ and $\langle y, x \rangle \in R$.

Let L be a relational structure, let X be a set, and let x be an element of L. We say that x is minimal in X if and only if:

(Def. 27) x is minimal w.r.t. X, the internal relation of L.

The following propositions are true:

(57) Let L be a relational structure, X be a set, and x be an element of L. Then x is minimal in X if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $x \in X$, and

(ii) it is not true that there exists an element y of L such that $y \in X$ and $x > y$.

(58) If A_1 satisfies strong interpolation property, then for every x such that there exists y which is maximal w.r.t. $\downarrow_{A_1} x$, A_1 holds $\langle x, x \rangle \in A_1$.

(59) If for every x such that there exists y which is maximal w.r.t. $\downarrow_{A_1} x$, A_1 holds $\langle x, x \rangle \in A_1$, then A_1 satisfies strong interpolation property.

(60) Let A_1 be an auxiliary(ii) auxiliary(iii) binary relation on L. Suppose A_1 satisfies interpolation property. Let given x. Then $\downarrow_{A_1} x$ is directed w.r.t. A_1.

(61) If for every x holds $\downarrow_{A_1} x$ is directed w.r.t. A_1, then A_1 satisfies interpolation property.

(62) Let R be an approximating auxiliary(i) auxiliary(ii) auxiliary(iii) binary relation on L. Suppose R satisfies interpolation property. Then R satisfies strong interpolation property.

Let us consider L. One can check that every approximating auxiliary binary relation on L which satisfies interpolation property satisfies also strong interpolation property.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card_3.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.

[5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_3.html.

[6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[8] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html.

[9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[10] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.

[11] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_1.html.

[12] Artur Korniłowicz. Definitions and properties of the join and meet of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_4.html.

[13] Artur Korniłowicz. Meet – continuous lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_2.html.

[14] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pralg_1.html`.

[15] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pre_circ.html`.

[16] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html`.

[17] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html`.

[18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html`.

[19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html`.

[20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html`.

[21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html`.

[22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html`.

[23] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_2.html`.

[24] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_2.html`.

Received October 21, 1996

Published January 2, 2004