JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 5, Released 1993, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Maximal Discrete Subspaces of Almost Discrete Topological Spaces

Zbigniew Karno
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. Let X be a topological space and let D be a subset of X. D is said to be *discrete* provided for every subset A of X such that $A \subseteq D$ there is an open subset G of X such that $A = D \cap G$ (comp. e.g., [9]). A discrete subset M of X is said to be *maximal discrete* provided for every discrete subset D of X if $M \subseteq D$ then $M = D$. A subspace of X is *discrete* (*maximal discrete*) iff its carrier is discrete (maximal discrete) in X.

Our purpose is to list a number of properties of discrete and maximal discrete sets in Mizar formalism. In particular, we show here that *if D is dense and discrete then D is maximal discrete*; moreover, *if D is open and maximal discrete then D is dense*. We discuss also the problem of the existence of maximal discrete subsets in a topological space.

To present the main results we first recall a definition of a class of topological spaces considered herein. A topological space X is called *almost discrete* if every open subset of X is closed; equivalently, if every closed subset of X is open. Such spaces were investigated in Mizar formalism in [6] and [7]. We show here that *every almost discrete space contains a maximal discrete subspace and every such subspace is a retract of the enveloping space*. Moreover, *if X_0 is a maximal discrete subspace of an almost discrete space X and $r : X \to X_0$ is a continuous retraction, then $r^{-1}(x) = \overline{\{x\}}$ for every point x of X belonging to X_0*. This fact is a specialization, in the case of almost discrete spaces, of the theorem of M.H. Stone that every topological space can be made into a T_0-space by suitable identification of points (see [11]).

MML Identifier: TEX_2.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/tex_2.html

The articles [13], [15], [12], [16], [3], [5], [4], [1], [10], [17], [14], [6], [8], and [2] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Proper Subsets of 1-sorted Structures

Let X be a non empty set. Let us observe that X is trivial if and only if:

(Def. 1) There exists an element s of X such that $X = \{s\}$.

Let us mention that there exists a set which is trivial and non empty.

The following propositions are true:

(1) For every non empty set A and for every trivial non empty set B such that $A \subseteq B$ holds $A = B$.

(2) For every trivial non empty set A and for every set B such that $A \cap B$ is non empty holds $A \subseteq B$.

(4)[1] Let S, T be 1-sorted structures. Suppose the carrier of S = the carrier of T. If S is trivial, then T is trivial.

Let S be a set and let I_1 be an element of S. We say that I_1 is proper if and only if:

(Def. 2) $I_1 \neq \bigcup S$.

Let S be a set. Note that there exists a subset of S which is non proper.

One can prove the following proposition

(5) For every set S and for every subset A of S holds A is proper iff $A \neq S$.

Let S be a non empty set. Observe that every subset of S which is non proper is also non empty and every subset of S which is empty is also proper.

Let S be a trivial non empty set. One can check that every subset of S which is proper is also empty and every subset of S which is non empty is also non proper.

Let S be a non empty set. Observe that there exists a subset of S which is proper and there exists a subset of S which is non proper.

Let S be a non empty set. Observe that there exists a non empty subset of S which is trivial.

Let y be a set. One can verify that $\{y\}$ is trivial.

One can prove the following propositions:

(6) For every non empty set S and for every element y of S such that $\{y\}$ is proper holds S is non trivial.

(7) For every non trivial non empty set S and for every element y of S holds $\{y\}$ is proper.

Let S be a trivial non empty set. Note that every non empty subset of S which is non proper is also trivial.

Let S be a non trivial non empty set. Note that every non empty subset of S which is trivial is also proper and every non empty subset of S which is non proper is also non trivial.

Let S be a non trivial non empty set. One can verify that there exists a non empty subset of S which is trivial and proper and there exists a non empty subset of S which is non trivial and non proper.

One can prove the following propositions:

(8) For every non empty 1-sorted structure Y and for every element y of Y such that $\{y\}$ is proper holds Y is non trivial.

(9) For every non trivial non empty 1-sorted structure Y and for every element y of Y holds $\{y\}$ is proper.

Let Y be a trivial non empty 1-sorted structure. Note that every non empty subset of Y is non proper and every non empty subset of Y which is non proper is also trivial.

Let Y be a non trivial non empty 1-sorted structure. Note that every non empty subset of Y which is trivial is also proper and every non empty subset of Y which is non proper is also non trivial.

Let Y be a non trivial non empty 1-sorted structure. Note that there exists a non empty subset of Y which is trivial and proper and there exists a non empty subset of Y which is non trivial and non proper.

Let Y be a non trivial non empty 1-sorted structure. Observe that there exists a subset of Y which is non empty, trivial, and proper.

[1] The proposition (3) has been removed.

2. Proper Subspaces of Topological Spaces

One can prove the following propositions:

(10) Let X be a non empty topological structure and X_0 be a subspace of X. Then the topological structure of X_0 is a strict subspace of X.

(12)[2] Let Y_0, Y_1 be topological structures. Suppose the topological structure of $Y_0 =$ the topological structure of Y_1. If Y_0 is topological space-like, then Y_1 is topological space-like.

Let Y be a topological structure and let I_1 be a subspace of Y. We say that I_1 is proper if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every subset A of Y such that $A =$ the carrier of I_1 holds A is proper.

In the sequel Y is a topological structure.

One can prove the following three propositions:

(13) Let Y_0 be a subspace of Y and A be a subset of Y. If $A =$ the carrier of Y_0, then A is proper iff Y_0 is proper.

(14) Let Y_0, Y_1 be subspaces of Y. Suppose the topological structure of $Y_0 =$ the topological structure of Y_1. If Y_0 is proper, then Y_1 is proper.

(15) For every subspace Y_0 of Y such that the carrier of $Y_0 =$ the carrier of Y holds Y_0 is non proper.

Let Y be a trivial non empty topological structure. One can verify that every non empty subspace of Y is non proper and every non empty subspace of Y which is non proper is also trivial.

Let Y be a non trivial non empty topological structure. Note that every non empty subspace of Y which is trivial is also proper and every non empty subspace of Y which is non proper is also non trivial.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure. Observe that there exists a subspace of Y which is non proper, strict, and non empty.

The following proposition is true

(16) Let Y be a non empty topological structure and Y_0 be a non proper subspace of Y. Then the topological structure of $Y_0 =$ the topological structure of Y.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure. One can check the following observations:

* every subspace of Y which is discrete is also topological space-like,
* every subspace of Y which is anti-discrete is also topological space-like,
* every subspace of Y which is non topological space-like is also non discrete, and
* every subspace of Y which is non topological space-like is also non anti-discrete.

We now state two propositions:

(17) Let Y_0, Y_1 be topological structures. Suppose the topological structure of $Y_0 =$ the topological structure of Y_1. If Y_0 is discrete, then Y_1 is discrete.

(18) Let Y_0, Y_1 be topological structures. Suppose the topological structure of $Y_0 =$ the topological structure of Y_1. If Y_0 is anti-discrete, then Y_1 is anti-discrete.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure. One can verify the following observations:

* every subspace of Y which is discrete is also almost discrete,

[2] The proposition (11) has been removed.

* every subspace of Y which is non almost discrete is also non discrete,
* every subspace of Y which is anti-discrete is also almost discrete, and
* every subspace of Y which is non almost discrete is also non anti-discrete.

One can prove the following proposition

(19) Let Y_0, Y_1 be topological structures. Suppose the topological structure of $Y_0 =$ the topological structure of Y_1. If Y_0 is almost discrete, then Y_1 is almost discrete.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure. One can check the following observations:

* every non empty subspace of Y which is discrete and anti-discrete is also trivial,
* every non empty subspace of Y which is anti-discrete and non trivial is also non discrete, and
* every non empty subspace of Y which is discrete and non trivial is also non anti-discrete.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure and let y be a point of Y. The functor $\mathrm{Sspace}(y)$ yields a strict non empty subspace of Y and is defined by:

(Def. 4) The carrier of $\mathrm{Sspace}(y) = \{y\}$.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure. Note that there exists a subspace of Y which is trivial, strict, and non empty.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure and let y be a point of Y. Note that $\mathrm{Sspace}(y)$ is trivial.

Next we state three propositions:

(20) For every non empty topological structure Y and for every point y of Y holds $\mathrm{Sspace}(y)$ is proper iff $\{y\}$ is proper.

(21) For every non empty topological structure Y and for every point y of Y such that $\mathrm{Sspace}(y)$ is proper holds Y is non trivial.

(22) For every non trivial non empty topological structure Y and for every point y of Y holds $\mathrm{Sspace}(y)$ is proper.

Let Y be a non trivial non empty topological structure. Note that there exists a non empty subspace of Y which is proper, trivial, and strict.

The following propositions are true:

(23) Let Y be a non empty topological structure and Y_0 be a trivial non empty subspace of Y. Then there exists a point y of Y such that the topological structure of $Y_0 =$ the topological structure of $\mathrm{Sspace}(y)$.

(24) Let Y be a non empty topological structure and y be a point of Y. If $\mathrm{Sspace}(y)$ is topological space-like, then $\mathrm{Sspace}(y)$ is discrete and anti-discrete.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure. Observe that every non empty subspace of Y which is trivial and topological space-like is also discrete and anti-discrete.

Let X be a non empty topological space. Observe that there exists a subspace of X which is trivial, strict, topological space-like, and non empty.

Let X be a non empty topological space and let x be a point of X. Note that $\mathrm{Sspace}(x)$ is topological space-like.

Let X be a non empty topological space. One can verify that there exists a subspace of X which is discrete, anti-discrete, strict, and non empty.

Let X be a non empty topological space and let x be a point of X. One can check that $\mathrm{Sspace}(x)$ is discrete and anti-discrete.

Let X be a non empty topological space. One can verify the following observations:

* every subspace of X which is non proper is also open and closed,
* every subspace of X which is non open is also proper, and
* every subspace of X which is non closed is also proper.

Let X be a non empty topological space. Note that there exists a subspace of X which is open, closed, and strict.

Let X be a discrete non empty topological space. One can check that every non empty subspace of X which is anti-discrete is also trivial and every non empty subspace of X which is non trivial is also non anti-discrete.

Let X be a discrete non trivial non empty topological space. Note that there exists a subspace of X which is discrete, open, closed, proper, and strict.

Let X be an anti-discrete non empty topological space. One can verify that every non empty subspace of X which is discrete is also trivial and every non empty subspace of X which is non trivial is also non discrete.

Let X be an anti-discrete non trivial non empty topological space. One can check that every proper non empty subspace of X is non open and non closed and every discrete non empty subspace of X is trivial and proper.

Let X be an anti-discrete non trivial non empty topological space. Observe that there exists a subspace of X which is anti-discrete, non open, non closed, proper, and strict.

Let X be an almost discrete non trivial non empty topological space. Observe that there exists a subspace of X which is almost discrete, proper, strict, and non empty.

3. Maximal Discrete Subsets and Subspaces

Let Y be a topological structure and let I_1 be a subset of Y. We say that I_1 is discrete if and only if:

(Def. 5) For every subset D of Y such that $D \subseteq I_1$ there exists a subset G of Y such that G is open and $I_1 \cap G = D$.

Let Y be a topological structure and let A be a subset of Y. Let us observe that A is discrete if and only if:

(Def. 6) For every subset D of Y such that $D \subseteq A$ there exists a subset F of Y such that F is closed and $A \cap F = D$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(25) Let Y_0, Y_1 be topological structures, D_0 be a subset of Y_0, and D_1 be a subset of Y_1. Suppose the topological structure of Y_0 = the topological structure of Y_1 and $D_0 = D_1$. If D_0 is discrete, then D_1 is discrete.

(26) Let Y be a non empty topological structure, Y_0 be a non empty subspace of Y, and A be a subset of Y. Suppose A = the carrier of Y_0. Then A is discrete if and only if Y_0 is discrete.

(27) Let Y be a non empty topological structure and A be a subset of Y. Suppose A = the carrier of Y. Then A is discrete if and only if Y is discrete.

(28) For all subsets A, B of Y such that $B \subseteq A$ holds if A is discrete, then B is discrete.

(29) For all subsets A, B of Y such that A is discrete or B is discrete holds $A \cap B$ is discrete.

(30) Suppose that for all subsets P, Q of Y such that P is open and Q is open holds $P \cap Q$ is open and $P \cup Q$ is open. Let A, B be subsets of Y. Suppose A is open and B is open. If A is discrete and B is discrete, then $A \cup B$ is discrete.

(31) Suppose that for all subsets P, Q of Y such that P is closed and Q is closed holds $P \cap Q$ is closed and $P \cup Q$ is closed. Let A, B be subsets of Y. Suppose A is closed and B is closed. If A is discrete and B is discrete, then $A \cup B$ is discrete.

(32) Let A be a subset of Y. Suppose A is discrete. Let x be a point of Y. If $x \in A$, then there exists a subset G of Y such that G is open and $A \cap G = \{x\}$.

(33) Let A be a subset of Y. Suppose A is discrete. Let x be a point of Y. If $x \in A$, then there exists a subset F of Y such that F is closed and $A \cap F = \{x\}$.

In the sequel X denotes a non empty topological space.
Next we state a number of propositions:

(34) Let A_0 be a non empty subset of X. Suppose A_0 is discrete. Then there exists a discrete strict non empty subspace X_0 of X such that $A_0 =$ the carrier of X_0.

(35) Every empty subset of X is discrete.

(36) For every point x of X holds $\{x\}$ is discrete.

(37) Let A be a subset of X. Suppose that for every point x of X such that $x \in A$ there exists a subset G of X such that G is open and $A \cap G = \{x\}$. Then A is discrete.

(38) Let A, B be subsets of X. Suppose A is open and B is open. If A is discrete and B is discrete, then $A \cup B$ is discrete.

(39) Let A, B be subsets of X. Suppose A is closed and B is closed. If A is discrete and B is discrete, then $A \cup B$ is discrete.

(40) For every subset A of X such that A is everywhere dense holds if A is discrete, then A is open.

(41) For every subset A of X holds A is discrete iff for every subset D of X such that $D \subseteq A$ holds $A \cap \overline{D} = D$.

(42) For every subset A of X such that A is discrete and for every point x of X such that $x \in A$ holds $A \cap \overline{\{x\}} = \{x\}$.

(43) For every discrete non empty topological space X holds every subset of X is discrete.

(44) Let X be an anti-discrete non empty topological space and A be a non empty subset of X. Then A is discrete if and only if A is trivial.

Let Y be a topological structure and let I_1 be a subset of Y. We say that I_1 is maximal discrete if and only if:

(Def. 7) I_1 is discrete and for every subset D of Y such that D is discrete and $I_1 \subseteq D$ holds $I_1 = D$.

The following propositions are true:

(45) Let Y_0, Y_1 be topological structures, D_0 be a subset of Y_0, and D_1 be a subset of Y_1. Suppose the topological structure of $Y_0 =$ the topological structure of Y_1 and $D_0 = D_1$. If D_0 is maximal discrete, then D_1 is maximal discrete.

(46) For every empty subset A of X holds A is not maximal discrete.

(47) For every subset A of X such that A is open holds if A is maximal discrete, then A is dense.

(48) For every subset A of X such that A is dense holds if A is discrete, then A is maximal discrete.

(49) Let X be a discrete non empty topological space and A be a subset of X. Then A is maximal discrete if and only if A is non proper.

(50) Let X be an anti-discrete non empty topological space and A be a non empty subset of X. Then A is maximal discrete if and only if A is trivial.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure and let I_1 be a subspace of Y. We say that I_1 is maximal discrete if and only if:

(Def. 8) For every subset A of Y such that $A =$ the carrier of I_1 holds A is maximal discrete.

Next we state the proposition

(51) Let Y be a non empty topological structure, Y_0 be a subspace of Y, and A be a subset of Y. Suppose $A =$ the carrier of Y_0. Then A is maximal discrete if and only if Y_0 is maximal discrete.

Let Y be a non empty topological structure. Observe that every non empty subspace of Y which is maximal discrete is also discrete and every non empty subspace of Y which is non discrete is also non maximal discrete.

One can prove the following propositions:

(52) Let X_0 be a non empty subspace of X. Then X_0 is maximal discrete if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) X_0 is discrete, and

(ii) for every discrete non empty subspace Y_0 of X such that X_0 is a subspace of Y_0 holds the topological structure of $X_0 =$ the topological structure of Y_0.

(53) Let A_0 be a non empty subset of X. Suppose A_0 is maximal discrete. Then there exists a strict non empty subspace X_0 of X such that X_0 is maximal discrete and $A_0 =$ the carrier of X_0.

Let X be a discrete non empty topological space. One can check the following observations:

* every subspace of X which is maximal discrete is also non proper,
* every subspace of X which is proper is also non maximal discrete,
* every subspace of X which is non proper is also maximal discrete, and
* every subspace of X which is non maximal discrete is also proper.

Let X be an anti-discrete non empty topological space. One can verify the following observations:

* every non empty subspace of X which is maximal discrete is also trivial,
* every non empty subspace of X which is non trivial is also non maximal discrete,
* every non empty subspace of X which is trivial is also maximal discrete, and
* every non empty subspace of X which is non maximal discrete is also non trivial.

4. Maximal Discrete Subspaces of Almost Discrete Spaces

The scheme *ExChoiceFCol* deals with a non empty topological structure $\mathcal{A}$, a family $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets of $\mathcal{A}$, and a binary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

There exists a function f from $\mathcal{B}$ into the carrier of $\mathcal{A}$ such that for every subset S of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $S \in \mathcal{B}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[S, f(S)]$

provided the following requirement is met:

- For every subset S of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $S \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a point x of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[S, x]$.

In the sequel X denotes an almost discrete non empty topological space.

We now state a number of propositions:

(54) For every subset A of X holds $\overline{A} = \bigcup\{\overline{\{a\}}; a$ ranges over points of X: $a \in A\}$.

(55) For all points a, b of X such that $a \in \overline{\{b\}}$ holds $\overline{\{a\}} = \overline{\{b\}}$.

(56) For all points a, b of X holds $\overline{\{a\}}$ misses $\overline{\{b\}}$ or $\overline{\{a\}} = \overline{\{b\}}$.

(57) Let A be a subset of X. Suppose that for every point x of X such that $x \in A$ there exists a subset F of X such that F is closed and $A \cap F = \{x\}$. Then A is discrete.

(58) For every subset A of X such that for every point x of X such that $x \in A$ holds $A \cap \overline{\{x\}} = \{x\}$ holds A is discrete.

(59) Let A be a subset of X. Then A is discrete if and only if for all points a, b of X such that $a \in A$ and $b \in A$ holds if $a \neq b$, then $\overline{\{a\}}$ misses $\overline{\{b\}}$.

(60) Let A be a subset of X. Then A is discrete if and only if for every point x of X such that $x \in \overline{A}$ there exists a point a of X such that $a \in A$ and $A \cap \overline{\{x\}} = \{a\}$.

(61) For every subset A of X such that A is open and closed holds if A is maximal discrete, then A is not proper.

(62) For every subset A of X such that A is maximal discrete holds A is dense.

(63) For every subset A of X such that A is maximal discrete holds $\bigcup\{\overline{\{a\}}; a$ ranges over points of $X\colon a \in A\} =$ the carrier of X.

(64) Let A be a subset of X. Then A is maximal discrete if and only if for every point x of X there exists a point a of X such that $a \in A$ and $A \cap \overline{\{x\}} = \{a\}$.

(65) For every subset A of X such that A is discrete there exists a subset M of X such that $A \subseteq M$ and M is maximal discrete.

(66) There exists a subset of X which is maximal discrete.

(67) Let Y_0 be a discrete non empty subspace of X. Then there exists a strict non empty subspace X_0 of X such that Y_0 is a subspace of X_0 and X_0 is maximal discrete.

Let X be an almost discrete non discrete non empty topological space. One can verify that every non empty subspace of X which is maximal discrete is also proper and every non empty subspace of X which is non proper is also non maximal discrete.

Let X be an almost discrete non anti-discrete non empty topological space. One can check that every non empty subspace of X which is maximal discrete is also non trivial and every non empty subspace of X which is trivial is also non maximal discrete.

Let X be an almost discrete non empty topological space. Note that there exists a subspace of X which is maximal discrete, strict, non empty, and non empty.

5. Continuous Mappings and Almost Discrete Spaces

The scheme *MapExChoiceF* deals with non empty topological structures $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and a binary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

There exists a map f from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{B}$ such that for every point x of $\mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x, f(x)]$

provided the following condition is met:

- For every point x of $\mathcal{A}$ there exists a point y of $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$.

In the sequel X, Y denote non empty topological spaces.

Next we state four propositions:

(68) For every discrete non empty topological space X holds every map from X into Y is continuous.

(69) If for every non empty topological space Y holds every map from X into Y is continuous, then X is discrete.

(70) For every anti-discrete non empty topological space Y holds every map from X into Y is continuous.

(71) If for every non empty topological space X holds every map from X into Y is continuous, then Y is anti-discrete.

In the sequel X is a discrete non empty topological space and X_0 is a non empty subspace of X. The following propositions are true:

(72) There exists a continuous map from X into X_0 which is a retraction.

(73) X_0 is a retract of X.

In the sequel X is an almost discrete non empty topological space and X_0 is a maximal discrete non empty subspace of X.

The following four propositions are true:

(74) There exists a continuous map from X into X_0 which is a retraction.

(75) X_0 is a retract of X.

(76) Let r be a continuous map from X into X_0. Suppose r is a retraction. Let F be a subset of X_0 and E be a subset of X. If $F = E$, then $r^{-1}(F) = \overline{E}$.

(77) Let r be a continuous map from X into X_0. Suppose r is a retraction. Let a be a point of X_0 and b be a point of X. If $a = b$, then $r^{-1}(\{a\}) = \overline{\{b\}}$.

In the sequel X_0 is a discrete non empty subspace of X.

One can prove the following propositions:

(78) There exists a continuous map from X into X_0 which is a retraction.

(79) X_0 is a retract of X.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank Professor A. Trybulec for many helpful conversations during the preparation of this paper. The author is also grateful to G. Bancerek for the definition of the clustered attribute *proper*.

REFERENCES

[1] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/realset1.html.

[2] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/pcomps_1.html.

[3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html.

[6] Zbigniew Karno. The lattice of domains of an extremally disconnected space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/tdlat_3.html.

[7] Zbigniew Karno. On discrete and almost discrete topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/tex_1.html.

[8] Zbigniew Karno. Remarks on special subsets of topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/tops_3.html.

[9] Kazimierz Kuratowski. *Topology*, volume I. PWN - Polish Scientific Publishers, Academic Press, Warsaw, New York and London, 1966.

[10] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.

[11] M. H. Stone. Application of Boolean algebras to topology. *Math. Sb.*, 1:765–771, 1936.

[12] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain_1.html`.

[13] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html`.

[14] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/borsuk_1.html`.

[15] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html`.

[16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html`.

[17] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops_1.html`.

Received November 5, 1993

Published January 2, 2004