JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 10, Released 1998, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

The Construction of SCM over Ring

Artur Korniłowicz
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: SCMRING1.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/scmring1.html

The articles [16], [15], [7], [23], [11], [24], [5], [6], [14], [21], [20], [12], [2], [17], [19], [3], [1], [4], [18], [22], [8], [9], [10], and [13] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

For simplicity, we use the following convention: i, k are natural numbers, I is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_8$, i_1 is an element of $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, d_1 is an element of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, and S is a non empty 1-sorted structure.

Let us observe that every set which is infinite is also non trivial and every 1-sorted structure which is infinite is also non trivial.

Let us mention that every non empty loop structure which is trivial is also Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, and right complementable and every non empty double loop structure which is trivial is also right unital and right distributive.

Let us mention that every element of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ is natural.

Let us observe that $\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}$ is non trivial and $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ is infinite.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure. The functor $\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$ yielding a subset of $[:\mathbb{Z}_8, (\bigcup\{\text{the carrier of } S\} \cup \mathbb{N})^*:]$ is defined by the condition (Def. 1).

(Def. 1) $\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S) = \{\langle 0, \emptyset \rangle\} \cup \{\langle I, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle; I$ ranges over elements of $\mathbb{Z}_8$, a ranges over elements of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, b ranges over elements of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$: $I \in \{1,2,3,4\}\} \cup \{\langle 6, \langle i \rangle \rangle : i$ ranges over elements of $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}\} \cup \{\langle 7, \langle i, a \rangle \rangle : i$ ranges over elements of $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, a ranges over elements of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}\} \cup \{\langle 5, \langle a, r \rangle \rangle : a$ ranges over elements of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, r ranges over elements of $S\}$.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure. One can check that $\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$ is non trivial.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure. We say that S is good if and only if:

(Def. 2) The carrier of $S \neq \text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ and the carrier of $S \neq \text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$.

Let us note that every non empty 1-sorted structure which is trivial is also good.

One can check that there exists a 1-sorted structure which is strict, trivial, and non empty.

Let us note that there exists a double loop structure which is strict, trivial, and non empty.

Let us observe that there exists a ring which is strict and trivial.

In the sequel G is a good non empty 1-sorted structure.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure. The functor $\text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$ yields a function from $\mathbb{N}$ into $\{\text{the carrier of } S\} \cup \{\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S), \text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}\}$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) $(\text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(S))(0) = \text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ and for every natural number k holds $(\text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(S))(2 \cdot k + 1) =$ the carrier of S and $(\text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(S))(2 \cdot k + 2) = \text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure. An **SCM**-state over S is an element of $\prod \text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$.

Next we state several propositions:

(1) $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \neq \text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$.

(2) $(\text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(G))(i) = \text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ iff $i = 0$.

(3) $(\text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(G))(i) =$ the carrier of G iff there exists k such that $i = 2 \cdot k + 1$.

(4) $(\text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(G))(i) = \text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(G)$ iff there exists k such that $i = 2 \cdot k + 2$.

(5) $(\text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(G))(d_1) =$ the carrier of G.

(6) $(\text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(G))(i_1) = \text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(G)$.

(7) $\pi_0 \prod \text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(S) = \text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$.

(8) $\pi_{d_1} \prod \text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(G) =$ the carrier of G.

(9) $\pi_{i_1} \prod \text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(G) = \text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(G)$.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let s be an **SCM**-state over S. The functor $\mathbf{IC}_s$ yielding an element of $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) $\mathbf{IC}_s = s(0)$.

Let R be a good non empty 1-sorted structure, let s be an **SCM**-state over R, and let u be an element of $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$. The functor $\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,u)$ yielding an **SCM**-state over R is defined by:

(Def. 5) $\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,u) = s + \cdot (0 \dot{\longmapsto} u)$.

Next we state three propositions:

(10) For every **SCM**-state s over G and for every element u of $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ holds $(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,u))(0) = u$.

(11) For every **SCM**-state s over G and for every element u of $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ and for every element m_1 of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ holds $(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,u))(m_1) = s(m_1)$.

(12) For every **SCM**-state s over G and for all elements u, v of $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ holds $(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,u))(v) = s(v)$.

Let R be a good non empty 1-sorted structure, let s be an **SCM**-state over R, let t be an element of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, and let u be an element of R. The functor $\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,t,u)$ yields an **SCM**-state over R and is defined by:

(Def. 6) $\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,t,u) = s + \cdot (t \dot{\longmapsto} u)$.

Next we state four propositions:

(13) For every **SCM**-state s over G and for every element t of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ and for every element u of G holds $(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,t,u))(0) = s(0)$.

(14) For every **SCM**-state s over G and for every element t of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ and for every element u of G holds $(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,t,u))(t) = u$.

(15) Let s be an **SCM**-state over G, t be an element of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, u be an element of G, and m_1 be an element of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$. If $m_1 \neq t$, then $(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,t,u))(m_1) = s(m_1)$.

(16) Let s be an **SCM**-state over G, t be an element of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$, u be an element of G, and v be an element of $\text{Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$. Then $(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s,t,u))(v) = s(v)$.

Let R be a good non empty 1-sorted structure, let s be an **SCM**-state over R, and let a be an element of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$. Then $s(a)$ is an element of R.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let x be an element of $\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$. Let us assume that there exist elements m_1, m_2 of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ and I such that $x = \langle I, \langle m_1, m_2 \rangle \rangle$. The functor $x\,\text{address}_1$ yields an element of $\text{Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}}$ and is defined by:

(Def. 7) There exists a finite sequence f of elements of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ such that $f = x_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $x\,{\rm address}_1 = f_1$.

The functor $x\,{\rm address}_2$ yields an element of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) There exists a finite sequence f of elements of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ such that $f = x_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $x\,{\rm address}_2 = f_2$.

Next we state the proposition

(17) For every element x of Instr$_{\rm SCM}(S)$ and for all elements m_1, m_2 of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ such that $x = \langle\!\langle I, \langle m_1, m_2\rangle\rangle\!\rangle$ holds $x\,{\rm address}_1 = m_1$ and $x\,{\rm address}_2 = m_2$.

Let R be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let x be an element of Instr$_{\rm SCM}(R)$. Let us assume that there exist an element m_1 of Instr-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ and I such that $x = \langle\!\langle I, \langle m_1\rangle\rangle\!\rangle$. The functor $x\,{\rm address}_{\rm j}$ yields an element of Instr-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 9) There exists a finite sequence f of elements of Instr-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ such that $f = x_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $x\,{\rm address}_{\rm j} = f_1$.

Next we state the proposition

(18) For every element x of Instr$_{\rm SCM}(S)$ and for every element m_1 of Instr-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ such that $x = \langle\!\langle I, \langle m_1\rangle\rangle\!\rangle$ holds $x\,{\rm address}_{\rm j} = m_1$.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let x be an element of Instr$_{\rm SCM}(S)$. Let us assume that there exist an element m_1 of Instr-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$, an element m_2 of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$, and I such that $x = \langle\!\langle I, \langle m_1, m_2\rangle\rangle\!\rangle$. The functor $x\,{\rm address}_{\rm j}$ yields an element of Instr-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ and is defined by:

(Def. 10) There exists an element m_1 of Instr-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ and there exists an element m_2 of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ such that $\langle m_1, m_2\rangle = x_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $x\,{\rm address}_{\rm j} = \langle m_1, m_2\rangle_1$.

The functor $x\,{\rm address}_{\rm c}$ yields an element of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 11) There exists an element m_1 of Instr-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ and there exists an element m_2 of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ such that $\langle m_1, m_2\rangle = x_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $x\,{\rm address}_{\rm c} = \langle m_1, m_2\rangle_2$.

We now state the proposition

(19) Let x be an element of Instr$_{\rm SCM}(S)$, m_1 be an element of Instr-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$, and m_2 be an element of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$. If $x = \langle\!\langle I, \langle m_1, m_2\rangle\rangle\!\rangle$, then $x\,{\rm address}_{\rm j} = m_1$ and $x\,{\rm address}_{\rm c} = m_2$.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let d be an element of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$, and let s be an element of S. Then $\langle d, s\rangle$ is a finite sequence of elements of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ $\cup$ the carrier of S.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let x be an element of Instr$_{\rm SCM}(S)$. Let us assume that there exist an element m_1 of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$, an element r of S, and I such that $x = \langle\!\langle I, \langle m_1, r\rangle\rangle\!\rangle$. The functor $x\,$const_address yielding an element of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) There exists a finite sequence f of elements of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ $\cup$ the carrier of S such that $f = x_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $x\,$const_address $= f_1$.

The functor $x\,$const_value yielding an element of S is defined by:

(Def. 13) There exists a finite sequence f of elements of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$ $\cup$ the carrier of S such that $f = x_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $x\,$const_value $= f_2$.

Next we state the proposition

(20) Let x be an element of Instr$_{\rm SCM}(S)$, m_1 be an element of Data-Loc$_{\rm SCM}$, and r be an element of S. If $x = \langle\!\langle I, \langle m_1, r\rangle\rangle\!\rangle$, then $x\,$const_address $= m_1$ and $x\,$const_value $= r$.

Let R be a good ring, let x be an element of Instr$_{\rm SCM}(R)$, and let s be an **SCM**-state over R. The functor Exec-Res$_{\rm SCM}(x, s)$ yielding an **SCM**-state over R is defined as follows:

(Def. 14) $\text{Exec-Res}_{\text{SCM}}(x,s) = \begin{cases} \text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s, x\,\text{address}_1, s(x\,\text{address}_2)), \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)), & \text{if thereexistelements } m_1, m_2 \text{ of Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \text{ such that } x = \langle 1, \langle m_1, m_2 \rangle \rangle, \\ \text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s, x\,\text{address}_1, s(x\,\text{address}_1) + s(x\,\text{address}_2)), \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)), & \text{if thereexistelements } m_1, m_2 \text{ of Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \text{ such that } x = \langle 2, \langle m_1, m_2 \rangle \rangle, \\ \text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s, x\,\text{address}_1, s(x\,\text{address}_1) - s(x\,\text{address}_2)), \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)), & \text{if thereexistelements } m_1, m_2 \text{ of Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \text{ such that } x = \langle 3, \langle m_1, m_2 \rangle \rangle, \\ \text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s, x\,\text{address}_1, s(x\,\text{address}_1) \cdot s(x\,\text{address}_2)), \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)), & \text{if thereexistelements } m_1, m_2 \text{ of Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \text{ such that } x = \langle 4, \langle m_1, m_2 \rangle \rangle, \\ \text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s, x\,\text{address}_{\text{j}}), & \text{if thereexists an element } m_1 \text{ of Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \text{ such that } x = \langle 6, \langle m_1 \rangle \rangle, \\ \text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s, (s(x\,\text{address}_{\text{c}}) = 0_R \to x\,\text{address}_{\text{j}}, \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s))), & \text{if thereexists an element } m_1 \text{ of Instr-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \text{ and thereexists an element } m_2 \text{ of Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \text{ such that } x = \langle 7, \langle m_1, m_2 \rangle \rangle, \\ \text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(\text{Chg}_{\text{SCM}}(s, x\,\text{const\_address}, x\,\text{const\_value}), \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_s)), & \text{if thereexists an element } m_1 \text{ of Data-Loc}_{\text{SCM}} \text{ and thereexists an element } r \text{ of } R \text{ such that } x = \langle 5, \langle m_1, r \rangle \rangle, \\ s, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let f be a function from $\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$ into $(\prod \text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(S))^{\prod \text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(S)}$, and let x be an element of $\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(S)$. One can verify that $f(x)$ is function-like and relation-like.

Let R be a good ring. The functor $\text{Exec}_{\text{SCM}}(R)$ yielding a function from $\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(R)$ into $(\prod \text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(R))^{\prod \text{OK}_{\text{SCM}}(R)}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 15) For every element x of $\text{Instr}_{\text{SCM}}(R)$ and for every **SCM**-state y over R holds $(\text{Exec}_{\text{SCM}}(R))(x)(y) = \text{Exec-Res}_{\text{SCM}}(x, y)$.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal2.html.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card_3.html.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[8] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_lang.html.

[9] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.

[10] Czesław Byliński. Subcategories and products of categories. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cat_2.html.

[11] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.

[12] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/vectsp_1.html.

[13] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. On a mathematical model of programs. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/ami_2.html.

[14] Dariusz Surowik. Cyclic groups and some of their properties — part I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/gr_cy_1.html.

[15] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/enumset1.html.

[16] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[17] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html.

[18] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/fraenkel.html.

[19] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html.

[20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/rlvect_1.html.

[21] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group_1.html`.

[22] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_4.html`.

[23] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html`.

[24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html`.

Received November 29, 1998

Published January 2, 2004