JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 3, Released 1991, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Category of Left Modules

Michał Muzalewski
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. We define the category of left modules over an associative ring. The carriers of the modules are included in a universum. The universum is a parameter of the category.

MML Identifier: MODCAT_1.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/modcat_1.html

The articles [10], [5], [13], [14], [2], [3], [12], [6], [4], [11], [9], [7], [8], and [1] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: x, y denote sets, D denotes a non empty set, U_1 denotes a universal class, R denotes a ring, and G, H denote left modules over R.

Let us consider R. A non empty set is called a non empty set of left-modules of R if:

(Def. 1) Every element of it is a strict left module over R.

In the sequel V is a non empty set of left-modules of R.

Let us consider R, V. We see that the element of V is a left module over R.

Let us consider R, V. Note that there exists an element of V which is strict.

Let us consider R. A non empty set is called a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules of R if:

(Def. 2) Every element of it is a strict left module morphism of R.

Let us consider R and let M be a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules of R. We see that the element of M is a left module morphism of R.

Let us consider R and let M be a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules of R. One can verify that there exists an element of M which is strict.

The following proposition is true

(3)[1] For every strict left module morphism f of R holds $\{f\}$ is a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules of R.

Let us consider R, G, H. A non empty set of morphisms of left-modules of R is said to be a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules from G into H if:

(Def. 3) Every element of it is a strict morphism from G to H.

We now state two propositions:

(4) D is a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules from G into H if and only if every element of D is a strict morphism from G to H.

[1] The propositions (1) and (2) have been removed.

(5) Let f be a strict morphism from G to H. Then $\{f\}$ is a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules from G into H.

Let us consider R, G, H. The functor $\mathrm{Morphs}(G,H)$ yields a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules from G into H and is defined by:

(Def. 4) $x \in \mathrm{Morphs}(G,H)$ iff x is a strict morphism from G to H.

Let us consider R, G, H and let M be a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules from G into H. We see that the element of M is a morphism from G to H.

Let us consider x, y, R. The predicate $\mathrm{P_{ob}}\, x, y, R$ is defined by the condition (Def. 5).

(Def. 5) There exist sets x_1, x_2 such that

(i) $x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, and

(ii) there exists a strict left module G over R such that $y = G$ and $x_1 =$ the loop structure of G and $x_2 =$ the left multiplication of G.

Next we state two propositions:

(6) For all sets x, y_1, y_2 such that $\mathrm{P_{ob}}\, x, y_1, R$ and $\mathrm{P_{ob}}\, x, y_2, R$ holds $y_1 = y_2$.

(7) For every U_1 there exists x such that $x \in \{\langle G, f \rangle : G$ ranges over elements of $\mathrm{GroupObj}(U_1)$, f ranges over elements of $\{\emptyset\}^{[:\text{the carrier of } R,\ \{\emptyset\}:]}\}$ and $\mathrm{P_{ob}}\, x, {}_R\Theta, R$.

Let us consider U_1, R. The functor $\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$ yields a set and is defined by the condition (Def. 6).

(Def. 6) Let given y. Then $y \in \mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$ if and only if there exists x such that $x \in \{\langle G, f \rangle : G$ ranges over elements of $\mathrm{GroupObj}(U_1)$, f ranges over elements of $\{\emptyset\}^{[:\text{the carrier of } R,\ \{\emptyset\}:]}\}$ and $\mathrm{P_{ob}}\, x, y, R$.

One can prove the following proposition

(8) ${}_R\Theta \in \mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$.

Let us consider U_1, R. Note that $\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$ is non empty.

We now state the proposition

(9) Every element of $\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$ is a strict left module over R.

Let us consider U_1, R. Then $\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$ is a non empty set of left-modules of R.

Let us consider R, V. The functor $\mathrm{Morphs}\, V$ yielding a non empty set of morphisms of left-modules of R is defined by:

(Def. 7) For every x holds $x \in \mathrm{Morphs}\, V$ iff there exist strict elements G, H of V such that x is a strict morphism from G to H.

Let us consider R, V and let F be an element of $\mathrm{Morphs}\, V$. The functor $\mathrm{dom}' F$ yielding an element of V is defined by:

(Def. 8) $\mathrm{dom}' F = \mathrm{dom}\, F$.

The functor $\mathrm{cod}' F$ yields an element of V and is defined as follows:

(Def. 9) $\mathrm{cod}' F = \mathrm{cod}\, F$.

Let us consider R, V and let G be an element of V. The functor I_G yields a strict element of $\mathrm{Morphs}\, V$ and is defined by:

(Def. 10) $\mathrm{I}_G = \mathrm{I}_G$.

Let us consider R, V. The functor $\mathrm{dom}\, V$ yielding a function from $\mathrm{Morphs}\, V$ into V is defined as follows:

(Def. 11) For every element f of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ holds $(\mathrm{dom}\,V)(f) = \mathrm{dom}' f$.

The functor $\mathrm{cod}\,V$ yields a function from $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ into V and is defined by:

(Def. 12) For every element f of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ holds $(\mathrm{cod}\,V)(f) = \mathrm{cod}' f$.

The functor I_V yielding a function from V into $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 13) For every element G of V holds $\mathrm{I}_V(G) = \mathrm{I}_G$.

The following three propositions are true:

(10) Let g, f be elements of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$. Suppose $\mathrm{dom}' g = \mathrm{cod}' f$. Then there exist strict elements G_1, G_2, G_3 of V such that g is a morphism from G_2 to G_3 and f is a morphism from G_1 to G_2.

(11) For all elements g, f of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ such that $\mathrm{dom}' g = \mathrm{cod}' f$ holds $g \cdot f \in \mathrm{Morphs}\,V$.

(12) For all elements g, f of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ such that $\mathrm{dom}\,g = \mathrm{cod}\,f$ holds $g \cdot f \in \mathrm{Morphs}\,V$.

Let us consider R, V. The functor $\mathrm{comp}\,V$ yields a partial function from $[:\mathrm{Morphs}\,V, \mathrm{Morphs}\,V:]$ to $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ and is defined by the conditions (Def. 14).

(Def. 14)(i) For all elements g, f of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ holds $\langle g, f\rangle \in \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{comp}\,V$ iff $\mathrm{dom}' g = \mathrm{cod}' f$, and

(ii) for all elements g, f of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ such that $\langle g, f\rangle \in \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{comp}\,V$ holds $(\mathrm{comp}\,V)(\langle g, f\rangle) = g \cdot f$.

Next we state the proposition

(13) For all elements g, f of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,V$ holds $\langle g, f\rangle \in \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{comp}\,V$ iff $\mathrm{dom}\,g = \mathrm{cod}\,f$.

Let us consider U_1, R. The functor $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$ yields a strict category structure and is defined as follows:

(Def. 15) $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R) = \langle \mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R), \mathrm{Morphs}\,\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R), \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R), \mathrm{cod}\,\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R), \mathrm{comp}\,\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)}\rangle$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(14) For all morphisms f, g of $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$ holds $\langle g, f\rangle \in \mathrm{dom}$(the composition of $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$) iff $\mathrm{dom}\,g = \mathrm{cod}\,f$.

(15) Let f be a morphism of $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$, f' be an element of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$, b be an object of $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$, and b' be an element of $\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$. Then

(i) f is a strict element of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$,

(ii) f' is a morphism of $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$,

(iii) b is a strict element of $\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$, and

(iv) b' is an object of $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$.

(16) For every object b of $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$ and for every element b' of $\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$ such that $b = b'$ holds $\mathrm{id}_b = \mathrm{I}_{b'}$.

(17) For every morphism f of $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$ and for every element f' of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$ such that $f = f'$ holds $\mathrm{dom}\,f = \mathrm{dom}\,f'$ and $\mathrm{cod}\,f = \mathrm{cod}\,f'$.

(18) Let f, g be morphisms of $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$ and f', g' be elements of $\mathrm{Morphs}\,\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$ such that $f = f'$ and $g = g'$. Then

(i) $\mathrm{dom}\,g = \mathrm{cod}\,f$ iff $\mathrm{dom}\,g' = \mathrm{cod}\,f'$,

(ii) $\mathrm{dom}\,g = \mathrm{cod}\,f$ iff $\langle g', f'\rangle \in \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{comp}\,\mathrm{LModObj}(U_1,R)$,

(iii) if $\mathrm{dom}\,g = \mathrm{cod}\,f$, then $g \cdot f = g' \cdot f'$,

(iv) $\mathrm{dom}\,f = \mathrm{dom}\,g$ iff $\mathrm{dom}\,f' = \mathrm{dom}\,g'$, and

(v) $\mathrm{cod}\,f = \mathrm{cod}\,g$ iff $\mathrm{cod}\,f' = \mathrm{cod}\,g'$.

Let us consider U_1, R. Note that $\mathrm{LModCat}(U_1,R)$ is category-like.

REFERENCES

[1] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_3.html`.

[2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html`.

[3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html`.

[4] Czesław Byliński. Introduction to categories and functors. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/cat_1.html`.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html`.

[6] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/vectsp_1.html`.

[7] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/grcat_1.html`.

[8] Michał Muzalewski. Rings and modules — part II. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/mod_2.html`.

[9] Bogdan Nowak and Grzegorz Bancerek. Universal classes. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/classes2.html`.

[10] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html`.

[11] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/fraenkel.html`.

[12] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/rlvect_1.html`.

[13] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html`.

[14] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html`.

Received December 12, 1991

Published January 2, 2004