JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 10, Released 1998, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

The Composition of Functors and Transformations in Alternative Categories

Artur Korniłowicz
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: FUNCTOR3.
WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/functor3.html

The articles [10], [5], [16], [2], [4], [3], [1], [9], [11], [12], [6], [13], [14], [7], [15], and [8] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

One can check that there exists a non empty category structure which is transitive, associative, and strict and has units.

Let A be a non empty transitive category structure and let B be a non empty category structure with units. Observe that there exists a functor structure from A to B which is strict, comp-preserving, comp-reversing, precovariant, precontravariant, and feasible.

Let A be a transitive non empty category structure with units and let B be a non empty category structure with units. One can check that there exists a functor structure from A to B which is strict, comp-preserving, comp-reversing, precovariant, precontravariant, feasible, and id-preserving.

Let A be a transitive non empty category structure with units and let B be a non empty category structure with units. Note that there exists a functor from A to B which is strict, feasible, covariant, and contravariant.

We now state several propositions:

(1) Let C be a category, o_1, o_2, o_3, o_4 be objects of C, a be a morphism from o_1 to o_2, b be a morphism from o_2 to o_3, c be a morphism from o_1 to o_4, and d be a morphism from o_4 to o_3. Suppose $b \cdot a = d \cdot c$ and $a \cdot a^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_{(o_2)}$ and $d^{-1} \cdot d = \mathrm{id}_{(o_4)}$ and $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ and $\langle o_2, o_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ and $\langle o_2, o_3 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ and $\langle o_3, o_4 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ and $\langle o_4, o_3 \rangle \neq \emptyset$. Then $c \cdot a^{-1} = d^{-1} \cdot b$.

(2) Let A be a non empty transitive category structure, B, C be non empty category structures with units, F be a feasible precovariant functor structure from A to B, G be a functor structure from B to C, and o, o_1 be objects of A. Then $\text{Morph-Map}_{G \cdot F}(o, o_1) = \text{Morph-Map}_G(F(o), F(o_1)) \cdot \text{Morph-Map}_F(o, o_1)$.

(3) Let A be a non empty transitive category structure, B, C be non empty category structures with units, F be a feasible precontravariant functor structure from A to B, G be a functor structure from B to C, and o, o_1 be objects of A. Then $\text{Morph-Map}_{G \cdot F}(o, o_1) = \text{Morph-Map}_G(F(o_1), F(o)) \cdot \text{Morph-Map}_F(o, o_1)$.

(4) Let A be a non empty transitive category structure, B be a non empty category structure with units, and F be a feasible functor structure from A to B. Then $\mathrm{id}_B \cdot F =$ the functor structure of F.

(5) Let A be a transitive non empty category structure with units, B be a non empty category structure with units, and F be a feasible functor structure from A to B. Then $F \cdot \mathrm{id}_A =$ the functor structure of F.

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: A denotes a non empty category structure, B, C denote non empty reflexive category structures, F denotes a feasible precovariant functor structure from A to B, G denotes a feasible precovariant functor structure from B to C, M denotes a feasible precontravariant functor structure from A to B, N denotes a feasible precontravariant functor structure from B to C, o_1, o_2 denote objects of A, and m denotes a morphism from o_1 to o_2.

We now state four propositions:

(6) If $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$, then $(G \cdot F)(m) = G(F(m))$.

(7) If $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$, then $(N \cdot M)(m) = N(M(m))$.

(8) If $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$, then $(N \cdot F)(m) = N(F(m))$.

(9) If $\langle o_1, o_2 \rangle \neq \emptyset$, then $(G \cdot M)(m) = G(M(m))$.

Let A be a non empty transitive category structure, let B be a transitive non empty category structure with units, let C be a non empty category structure with units, let F be a feasible precovariant comp-preserving functor structure from A to B, and let G be a feasible precovariant comp-preserving functor structure from B to C. One can verify that $G \cdot F$ is comp-preserving.

Let A be a non empty transitive category structure, let B be a transitive non empty category structure with units, let C be a non empty category structure with units, let F be a feasible precontravariant comp-reversing functor structure from A to B, and let G be a feasible precontravariant comp-reversing functor structure from B to C. Note that $G \cdot F$ is comp-preserving.

Let A be a non empty transitive category structure, let B be a transitive non empty category structure with units, let C be a non empty category structure with units, let F be a feasible precovariant comp-preserving functor structure from A to B, and let G be a feasible precontravariant comp-reversing functor structure from B to C. Observe that $G \cdot F$ is comp-reversing.

Let A be a non empty transitive category structure, let B be a transitive non empty category structure with units, let C be a non empty category structure with units, let F be a feasible precontravariant comp-reversing functor structure from A to B, and let G be a feasible precovariant comp-preserving functor structure from B to C. One can check that $G \cdot F$ is comp-reversing.

Let A, B be transitive non empty category structures with units, let C be a non empty category structure with units, let F be a covariant functor from A to B, and let G be a covariant functor from B to C. Then $G \cdot F$ is a strict covariant functor from A to C.

Let A, B be transitive non empty category structures with units, let C be a non empty category structure with units, let F be a contravariant functor from A to B, and let G be a contravariant functor from B to C. Then $G \cdot F$ is a strict covariant functor from A to C.

Let A, B be transitive non empty category structures with units, let C be a non empty category structure with units, let F be a covariant functor from A to B, and let G be a contravariant functor from B to C. Then $G \cdot F$ is a strict contravariant functor from A to C.

Let A, B be transitive non empty category structures with units, let C be a non empty category structure with units, let F be a contravariant functor from A to B, and let G be a covariant functor from B to C. Then $G \cdot F$ is a strict contravariant functor from A to C.

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: A, B, C, D are transitive non empty category structures with units, F_1, F_2, F_3 are covariant functors from A to B, G_1, G_2, G_3 are covariant functors from B to C, H_1, H_2 are covariant functors from C to D, p is a transformation from F_1 to F_2, p_1 is a transformation from F_2 to F_3, q is a transformation from G_1 to G_2, q_1 is a transformation from G_2 to G_3, and r is a transformation from H_1 to H_2.

We now state the proposition

(10) If F_1 is transformable to F_2 and G_1 is transformable to G_2, then $G_1 \cdot F_1$ is transformable to $G_2 \cdot F_2$.

2. The Composition of Functors with Transformations

Let A, B, C be transitive non empty category structures with units, let F_1, F_2 be covariant functors from A to B, let t be a transformation from F_1 to F_2, and let G be a covariant functor from B to C. Let us assume that F_1 is transformable to F_2. The functor $G \cdot t$ yielding a transformation from $G \cdot F_1$ to $G \cdot F_2$ is defined by:

(Def. 1) For every object o of A holds $(G \cdot t)(o) = G(t[o])$.

We now state the proposition

(11) For every object o of A such that F_1 is transformable to F_2 holds $(G_1 \cdot p)[o] = G_1(p[o])$.

Let A, B, C be transitive non empty category structures with units, let G_1, G_2 be covariant functors from B to C, let F be a covariant functor from A to B, and let s be a transformation from G_1 to G_2. Let us assume that G_1 is transformable to G_2. The functor $s \cdot F$ yields a transformation from $G_1 \cdot F$ to $G_2 \cdot F$ and is defined by:

(Def. 2) For every object o of A holds $(s \cdot F)(o) = s[F(o)]$.

We now state a number of propositions:

(12) For every object o of A such that G_1 is transformable to G_2 holds $(q \cdot F_1)[o] = q[F_1(o)]$.

(13) If F_1 is transformable to F_2 and F_2 is transformable to F_3, then $G_1 \cdot (p_1 \circ p) = G_1 \cdot p_1 \circ G_1 \cdot p$.

(14) If G_1 is transformable to G_2 and G_2 is transformable to G_3, then $(q_1 \circ q) \cdot F_1 = q_1 \cdot F_1 \circ q \cdot F_1$.

(15) If H_1 is transformable to H_2, then $(r \cdot G_1) \cdot F_1 = r \cdot (G_1 \cdot F_1)$.

(16) If G_1 is transformable to G_2, then $(H_1 \cdot q) \cdot F_1 = H_1 \cdot (q \cdot F_1)$.

(17) If F_1 is transformable to F_2, then $(H_1 \cdot G_1) \cdot p = H_1 \cdot (G_1 \cdot p)$.

(18) $\mathrm{id}_{(G_1)} \cdot F_1 = \mathrm{id}_{G_1 \cdot F_1}$.

(19) $G_1 \cdot \mathrm{id}_{(F_1)} = \mathrm{id}_{G_1 \cdot F_1}$.

(20) If F_1 is transformable to F_2, then $\mathrm{id}_B \cdot p = p$.

(21) If G_1 is transformable to G_2, then $q \cdot \mathrm{id}_B = q$.

3. The Composition of Transformations

Let A, B, C be transitive non empty category structures with units, let F_1, F_2 be covariant functors from A to B, let G_1, G_2 be covariant functors from B to C, let t be a transformation from F_1 to F_2, and let s be a transformation from G_1 to G_2. The functor $s\,t$ yields a transformation from $G_1 \cdot F_1$ to $G_2 \cdot F_2$ and is defined by:

(Def. 3) $s\,t = s \cdot F_2 \circ G_1 \cdot t$.

Next we state several propositions:

(22) Let q be a natural transformation from G_1 to G_2. Suppose F_1 is transformable to F_2 and G_1 is naturally transformable to G_2. Then $q\,p = G_2 \cdot p \circ q \cdot F_1$.

(23) If F_1 is transformable to F_2, then $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{id}_B}\ p = p$.

(24) If G_1 is transformable to G_2, then $q\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{id}_B} = q$.

(25) If F_1 is transformable to F_2, then $G_1 \cdot p = \mathrm{id}_{(G_1)}\ p$.

(26) If G_1 is transformable to G_2, then $q \cdot F_1 = q\ \mathrm{id}_{(F_1)}$.

We adopt the following convention: A, B, C, D are categories, F_1, F_2, F_3 are covariant functors from A to B, and G_1, G_2, G_3 are covariant functors from B to C.

Next we state the proposition

(27) Let H_1, H_2 be covariant functors from C to D, t be a transformation from F_1 to F_2, s be a transformation from G_1 to G_2, and u be a transformation from H_1 to H_2. Suppose F_1 is transformable to F_2 and G_1 is transformable to G_2 and H_1 is transformable to H_2. Then $(u\,s)\,t = u\,(s\,t)$.

In the sequel t denotes a natural transformation from F_1 to F_2, s denotes a natural transformation from G_1 to G_2, and s_1 denotes a natural transformation from G_2 to G_3.

We now state four propositions:

(28) If F_1 is naturally transformable to F_2, then $G_1 \cdot t$ is a natural transformation from $G_1 \cdot F_1$ to $G_1 \cdot F_2$.

(29) If G_1 is naturally transformable to G_2, then $s \cdot F_1$ is a natural transformation from $G_1 \cdot F_1$ to $G_2 \cdot F_1$.

(30) Suppose F_1 is naturally transformable to F_2 and G_1 is naturally transformable to G_2. Then $G_1 \cdot F_1$ is naturally transformable to $G_2 \cdot F_2$ and $s\,t$ is a natural transformation from $G_1 \cdot F_1$ to $G_2 \cdot F_2$.

(31) Let t be a transformation from F_1 to F_2 and t_1 be a transformation from F_2 to F_3. Suppose that

(i) F_1 is naturally transformable to F_2,

(ii) F_2 is naturally transformable to F_3,

(iii) G_1 is naturally transformable to G_2, and

(iv) G_2 is naturally transformable to G_3.

Then $(s_1 \circ s)\,(t_1 \circ t) = s_1\,t_1 \circ s\,t$.

4. Natural Equivalences

We now state the proposition

(32) Suppose F_1 is naturally transformable to F_2 and F_2 is transformable to F_1 and for every object a of A holds $t[a]$ is iso. Then

(i) F_2 is naturally transformable to F_1, and

(ii) there exists a natural transformation f from F_2 to F_1 such that for every object a of A holds $f(a) = t[a]^{-1}$ and $f[a]$ is iso.

Let A, B be categories and let F_1, F_2 be covariant functors from A to B. We say that F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent if and only if the conditions (Def. 4) are satisfied.

(Def. 4)(i) F_1 is naturally transformable to F_2,

(ii) F_2 is transformable to F_1, and

(iii) there exists a natural transformation t from F_1 to F_2 such that for every object a of A holds $t[a]$ is iso.

Let us notice that the predicate F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent is reflexive and symmetric.

Let A, B be categories and let F_1, F_2 be covariant functors from A to B. Let us assume that F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent. A natural transformation from F_1 to F_2 is said to be a natural equivalence of F_1 and F_2 if:

(Def. 5) For every object a of A holds it$[a]$ is iso.

In the sequel e is a natural equivalence of F_1 and F_2, e_1 is a natural equivalence of F_2 and F_3, and f is a natural equivalence of G_1 and G_2.

Next we state several propositions:

(33) Suppose F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent and F_2 and F_3 are naturally equivalent. Then F_1 and F_3 are naturally equivalent.

(34) Suppose F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent and F_2 and F_3 are naturally equivalent. Then $e_1 \circ e$ is a natural equivalence of F_1 and F_3.

(35) Suppose F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent. Then $G_1 \cdot F_1$ and $G_1 \cdot F_2$ are naturally equivalent and $G_1 \cdot e$ is a natural equivalence of $G_1 \cdot F_1$ and $G_1 \cdot F_2$.

(36) Suppose G_1 and G_2 are naturally equivalent. Then $G_1 \cdot F_1$ and $G_2 \cdot F_1$ are naturally equivalent and $f \cdot F_1$ is a natural equivalence of $G_1 \cdot F_1$ and $G_2 \cdot F_1$.

(37) Suppose F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent and G_1 and G_2 are naturally equivalent. Then $G_1 \cdot F_1$ and $G_2 \cdot F_2$ are naturally equivalent and $f\, e$ is a natural equivalence of $G_1 \cdot F_1$ and $G_2 \cdot F_2$.

Let A, B be categories, let F_1, F_2 be covariant functors from A to B, and let e be a natural equivalence of F_1 and F_2. Let us assume that F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent. The functor e^{-1} yields a natural equivalence of F_2 and F_1 and is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) For every object a of A holds $e^{-1}(a) = e[a]^{-1}$.

The following three propositions are true:

(38) For every object o of A such that F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent holds $e^{-1}[o] = e[o]^{-1}$.

(39) If F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent, then $e \circ e^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_{(F_2)}$.

(40) If F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent, then $e^{-1} \circ e = \mathrm{id}_{(F_1)}$.

Let A, B be categories and let F be a covariant functor from A to B. Then id_F is a natural equivalence of F and F.

The following propositions are true:

(41) If F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent, then $(e^{-1})^{-1} = e$.

(42) Let k be a natural equivalence of F_1 and F_3. Suppose $k = e_1 \circ e$ and F_1 and F_2 are naturally equivalent and F_2 and F_3 are naturally equivalent. Then $k^{-1} = e^{-1} \circ {e_1}^{-1}$.

(43) $(\mathrm{id}_{(F_1)})^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_{(F_1)}$.

REFERENCES

[1] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/binop_1.html`.

[2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html`.

[3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html`.

[4] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html`.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html`.

[6] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/msualg_3.html`.

[7] Beata Madras-Kobus. Basic properties of objects and morphisms. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/altcat_3.html`.

[8] Robert Nieszczerzewski. Category of functors between alternative categories. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/functor2.html`.

[9] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funcop_1.html`.

[10] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html`.

[11] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pboole.html`.

[12] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/msualg_1.html`.

[13] Andrzej Trybulec. Categories without uniqueness of cod and dom. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/altcat_1.html`.

[14] Andrzej Trybulec. Examples of category structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/altcat_2.html`.

[15] Andrzej Trybulec. Functors for alternative categories. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/functor0.html`.

[16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html`.

Received January 21, 1998

Published January 2, 2004