JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 2, Released 1990, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

The Collinearity Structure

Wojciech Skaba
Nicolaus Copernicus University
Toruń

Summary. The text includes basic axioms and theorems concerning the collinearity structure based on Wanda Szmielew [2], pp. 18–20. Collinearity is defined as a relation on Cartesian product $[:S,S,S:]$ of set S. The basic text is preceeded with a few auxiliary theorems (e.g: ternary relation). Then come the two basic axioms of the collinearity structure: A1.1.1 and A1.1.2 and a few theorems. Another axiom: Aks dim, which states that there exist at least 3 non-collinear points, excludes the trivial structures (i.e. pairs $\langle S,[:S,S,S:]\rangle$). Following it the notion of a line is included and several additional theorems are appended.

MML Identifier: COLLSP.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/collsp.html

The articles [4], [1], [5], and [3] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper X is a set.

Let us consider X. A set is called a 3-ary relation of X if:

(Def. 1) It $\subseteq [:X,X,X:]$.

The following proposition is true

(2)[1] $X = \emptyset$ or there exists a set a such that $\{a\} = X$ or there exist sets a, b such that $a \neq b$ and $a \in X$ and $b \in X$.

We consider collinearity structures as extensions of 1-sorted structure as systems
$\langle$ a carrier, a collinearity relation $\rangle$,
where the carrier is a set and the collinearity relation is a 3-ary relation of the carrier.

Let us observe that there exists a collinearity structure which is non empty and strict.

In the sequel C_1 is a non empty collinearity structure and a, b, c are points of C_1.

Let us consider C_1, a, b, c. We say that a, b and c are collinear if and only if:

(Def. 2) $\langle a,b,c\rangle \in$ the collinearity relation of C_1.

Let I_1 be a non empty collinearity structure. We say that I_1 is reflexive if and only if:

(Def. 3) For all points a, b, c of I_1 such that $a = b$ or $a = c$ or $b = c$ holds $\langle a,b,c\rangle \in$ the collinearity relation of I_1.

Let I_1 be a non empty collinearity structure. We say that I_1 is transitive if and only if the condition (Def. 4) is satisfied.

[1] The proposition (1) has been removed.

© Association of Mizar Users

(Def. 4) Let a, b, p, q, r be points of I_1. Suppose that

(i) $a \neq b$,

(ii) $\langle a, b, p \rangle \in$ the collinearity relation of I_1,

(iii) $\langle a, b, q \rangle \in$ the collinearity relation of I_1, and

(iv) $\langle a, b, r \rangle \in$ the collinearity relation of I_1.

Then $\langle p, q, r \rangle \in$ the collinearity relation of I_1.

Let us note that there exists a non empty collinearity structure which is strict, reflexive, and transitive.

A collinearity space is a reflexive transitive non empty collinearity structure.

We follow the rules: C_2 is a collinearity space and a, b, c, d, p, q, r are points of C_2.

Next we state several propositions:

(7)[2] If $a = b$ or $a = c$ or $b = c$, then a, b and c are collinear.

(8) Suppose $a \neq b$ and a, b and p are collinear and a, b and q are collinear and a, b and r are collinear. Then p, q and r are collinear.

(9) If a, b and c are collinear, then b, a and c are collinear and a, c and b are collinear.

(10) a, b and a are collinear.

(11) If $a \neq b$ and a, b and c are collinear and a, b and d are collinear, then a, c and d are collinear.

(12) If a, b and c are collinear, then b, a and c are collinear.

(13) If a, b and c are collinear, then b, c and a are collinear.

(14) Suppose $p \neq q$ and a, b and p are collinear and a, b and q are collinear and p, q and r are collinear. Then a, b and r are collinear.

Let us consider C_2, a, b. The functor $\mathrm{Line}(a,b)$ yields a set and is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) $\mathrm{Line}(a,b) = \{p : a,\ b \text{ and } p \text{ are collinear}\}$.

We now state two propositions:

(16)[3] $a \in \mathrm{Line}(a,b)$ and $b \in \mathrm{Line}(a,b)$.

(17) a, b and r are collinear iff $r \in \mathrm{Line}(a,b)$.

Let I_1 be a non empty collinearity structure. We say that I_1 is proper if and only if:

(Def. 6) There exist points a, b, c of I_1 such that a, b and c are not collinear.

One can check that there exists a collinearity space which is strict and proper.

We use the following convention: C_2 denotes a proper collinearity space and a, b, p, q, r denote points of C_2.

One can prove the following proposition

(19)[4] For all p, q such that $p \neq q$ there exists r such that p, q and r are not collinear.

Let us consider C_2. A set is called a line of C_2 if:

(Def. 7) There exist a, b such that $a \neq b$ and it $= \mathrm{Line}(a,b)$.

In the sequel P, Q denote lines of C_2.

We now state a number of propositions:

[2] The propositions (3)–(6) have been removed.
[3] The proposition (15) has been removed.
[4] The proposition (18) has been removed.

(22)[5] If $a = b$, then Line$(a,b) =$ the carrier of C_2.

(23) For every P there exist a, b such that $a \neq b$ and $a \in P$ and $b \in P$.

(24) If $a \neq b$, then there exists P such that $a \in P$ and $b \in P$.

(25) If $p \in P$ and $q \in P$ and $r \in P$, then p, q and r are collinear.

(26) If $P \subseteq Q$, then $P = Q$.

(27) If $p \neq q$ and $p \in P$ and $q \in P$, then Line$(p,q) \subseteq P$.

(28) If $p \neq q$ and $p \in P$ and $q \in P$, then Line$(p,q) = P$.

(29) If $p \neq q$ and $p \in P$ and $q \in P$ and $p \in Q$ and $q \in Q$, then $P = Q$.

(30) $P = Q$ or P misses Q or there exists p such that $P \cap Q = \{p\}$.

(31) If $a \neq b$, then Line$(a,b) \neq$ the carrier of C_2.

REFERENCES

[1] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[2] Wanda Szmielew. *From Affine to Euclidean Geometry*, volume 27. PWN – D.Reidel Publ. Co., Warszawa – Dordrecht, 1983.

[3] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain_1.html.

[4] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[5] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

Received May 9, 1990

Published January 2, 2004

[5] The propositions (20) and (21) have been removed.