## **The Contraction Lemma**

## Grzegorz Bancerek Warsaw University Białystok

**Summary.** The article includes the proof of the contraction lemma which claims that every class in which the axiom of extensionality is valid is isomorphic with a transitive class. In this article the isomorphism (wrt membership relation) of two sets is defined. It is based on [6].

MML Identifier: ZF\_COLLA.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zf\_colla.html

The articles [7], [8], [9], [4], [1], [5], [3], and [2] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

For simplicity, we follow the rules: X, Y, Z are sets, x, y are sets, E is a non empty set, A, B, C are ordinal numbers, E is a transfinite sequence, E is a function, and E are elements of E.

Let us consider E, A. The functor E<sup>A</sup> yields a set and is defined by the condition (Def. 1).

(Def. 1) There exists L such that

- (i)  $E_A = \{d : \bigwedge_{d_1} (d_1 \in d \Rightarrow \bigvee_B (B \in \text{dom} L \land d_1 \in \bigcup \{L(B)\}))\},$
- (ii) dom L = A, and
- (iii) for every B such that  $B \in A$  holds  $L(B) = \{d_1 : \bigwedge_d (d \in d_1 \Rightarrow \bigvee_C (C \in \text{dom}(L \upharpoonright B) \land d \in \bigcup \{(L \upharpoonright B)(C)\}))\}.$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1)  $E_A = \{d : \bigwedge_{d_1} (d_1 \in d \Rightarrow \bigvee_B (B \in A \land d_1 \in E_B))\}.$
- (2) It is not true that there exists  $d_1$  such that  $d_1 \in d$  iff  $d \in E_0$ .
- (3)  $d \cap E \subseteq E_A \text{ iff } d \in E_{\text{succ }A}.$
- (4) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $E_A \subseteq E_B$ .
- (5) There exists A such that  $d \in E_A$ .
- (6) If  $d' \in d$  and  $d \in E_A$ , then  $d' \in E_A$  and there exists B such that  $B \in A$  and  $d' \in E_B$ .
- (7)  $E_A \subseteq E$ .
- (8) There exists A such that  $E = E_A$ .
- (9) There exists f such that dom f = E and for every d holds  $f(d) = f^{\circ}d$ .

Let us consider f, X, Y. We say that f is an isomorphism between X and Y if and only if the conditions (Def. 2) are satisfied.

- (Def. 2)(i)  $\operatorname{dom} f = X$ ,
  - (ii)  $\operatorname{rng} f = Y$ ,
  - (iii) f is one-to-one, and
  - (iv) for all x, y such that  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$  holds there exists Z such that Z = y and  $x \in Z$  iff there exists Z such that f(y) = Z and  $f(x) \in Z$ .

Let us consider X, Y. We say that X and Y are isomorphic if and only if:

(Def. 3) There exists f which is an isomorphism between X and Y.

Next we state the proposition

(12)<sup>1</sup> If dom f = E and for every d holds  $f(d) = f \circ d$ , then rng f is transitive.

In the sequel u, v, w denote elements of E.

Next we state two propositions:

- (13) If  $E \models$  the axiom of extensionality, then for all u, v such that for every w holds  $w \in u$  iff  $w \in v$  holds u = v.
- (14) If  $E \models$  the axiom of extensionality, then there exists X such that X is transitive and E and X are isomorphic.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. A model of ZF set theory language. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/zf lang.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Models and satisfiability. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zf\_model.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinall. html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct\_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\_2.html.
- [6] Andrzej Mostowski. Constructible Sets with Applications. North Holland, 1969.
- [7] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [8] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\_1.html.
- [9] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Vol1/relat\_1.html.

Received April 14, 1989

Published January 2, 2004

<sup>1</sup> The propositions (10) and (11) have been removed.

\_\_\_\_