# **Moore-Smith Convergence**<sup>1</sup>

# Andrzej Trybulec Warsaw University Białystok

**Summary.** The paper introduces the concept of a net (a generalized sequence). The goal is to enable the continuation of the translation of [14].

MML Identifier: YELLOW\_6.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\_6.html

The articles [23], [12], [27], [24], [28], [29], [10], [11], [9], [1], [3], [19], [2], [4], [20], [17], [13], [21], [25], [18], [26], [5], [22], [6], [7], [15], [16], and [8] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

# 1. Preliminaries

The scheme SubsetEq deals with a non empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , subsets  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$$

provided the following requirements are met:

- For every element y of  $\mathcal{A}$  holds  $y \in \mathcal{B}$  iff  $\mathcal{P}[y]$ , and
- For every element y of  $\mathcal{A}$  holds  $y \in \mathcal{C}$  iff  $\mathcal{P}[y]$ .

Let f be a function. Let us assume that f is non empty and constant. The value of f is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) There exists a set x such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  and the value of f = f(x).

Let us mention that there exists a function which is non empty and constant. The following propositions are true:

- (3) For every function f holds  $\overline{\overline{\text{rng } f}} \subseteq \overline{\overline{\text{dom } f}}$ .

Let us note that every set which is universal is also transitive and a Tarski class and every set which is transitive and a Tarski class is also universal.

For every non empty set *X* and for every set *x* holds the value of  $X \longmapsto x = x$ .

In the sequel x, X denote sets and T denotes a universal class.

Let us consider *X*. The universe of *X* is defined by:

(Def. 3)<sup>2</sup> The universe of  $X = \mathbf{T}(X^{* \in})$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work was partially supported by the Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-95-1-1336.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The proposition (1) has been removed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The definition (Def. 2) has been removed.

Let us consider *X*. One can verify that the universe of *X* is transitive and a Tarski class. Let us consider *X*. One can check that the universe of *X* is universal and non empty. The following proposition is true

(5)<sup>3</sup> For every function f such that dom  $f \in T$  and rng  $f \subseteq T$  holds  $\prod f \in T$ .

# 2. TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Next we state the proposition

(6) Let T be a non empty topological space, A be a subset of T, and p be a point of T. Then  $p \in \overline{A}$  if and only if for every neighbourhood G of p holds G meets A.

Let T be a non empty topological space. We introduce T is Hausdorff as a synonym of T is  $T_2$ . One can check that there exists a non empty topological space which is Hausdorff. Next we state two propositions:

- (7) For every non empty topological space X and for every subset A of X holds  $\Omega_X$  is a neighbourhood of A.
- (8) For every non empty topological space X and for every subset A of X and for every neighbourhood Y of A holds  $A \subseteq Y$ .

#### 3. 1-SORTED STRUCTURES

The following proposition is true

(9) Let Y be a non empty set, J be a 1-sorted yielding many sorted set indexed by Y, and i be an element of Y. Then (the support of J(i) = the carrier of J(i).

One can check that there exists a function which is non empty, constant, and 1-sorted yielding. Let *J* be a 1-sorted yielding function. Let us observe that *J* is nonempty if and only if:

(Def. 4) For every set i such that  $i \in \text{rng } J$  holds i is a non empty 1-sorted structure.

We introduce *J* is yielding non-empty carriers as a synonym of *J* is nonempty.

Let *X* be a set and let *L* be a 1-sorted structure. Observe that  $X \longmapsto L$  is 1-sorted yielding.

Let *I* be a set. Observe that there exists a 1-sorted yielding many sorted set indexed by *I* which is yielding non-empty carriers.

Let I be a non empty set and let J be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Note that the carrier of  $\prod J$  is functional.

Let I be a set and let J be a yielding non-empty carriers 1-sorted yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Note that the support of J is non-empty.

The following proposition is true

(10) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, S be a subset of T, and p be an element of T. Then  $p \notin S$  if and only if  $p \in S^c$ .

#### 4. RELATIONAL STRUCTURES

Let T be a non empty relational structure and let A be a lower subset of T. One can check that  $A^c$  is upper.

Let T be a non empty relational structure and let A be an upper subset of T. Observe that  $A^c$  is lower.

Let *N* be a non empty relational structure. Let us observe that *N* is directed if and only if:

(Def. 5) For all elements x, y of N there exists an element z of N such that  $x \le z$  and  $y \le z$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The proposition (4) has been removed.

Let *X* be a set. Note that  $2^X_{\subset}$  is directed.

One can verify that there exists a relational structure which is non empty, directed, transitive, and strict.

Let M be a non empty set, let N be a non empty relational structure, let f be a function from M into the carrier of N, and let m be an element of M. Then f(m) is an element of N.

Let *I* be a set. Note that there exists a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by *I* which is yielding non-empty carriers.

Let I be a non empty set and let J be a yielding non-empty carriers relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Note that  $\prod J$  is non empty.

One can prove the following proposition

(11) For all relational structures  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  holds  $\Omega_{[:R_1,R_2:]} = [:\Omega_{(R_1)},\Omega_{(R_2)}:]$ .

Let  $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$  be directed relational structures. Observe that  $[:Y_1, Y_2:]$  is directed. Next we state the proposition

(12) For every relational structure R holds the carrier of R = the carrier of  $R^{\sim}$ .

Let *S* be a 1-sorted structure and let *N* be a net structure over *S*. We say that *N* is constant if and only if:

(Def. 6) The mapping of N is constant.

Let R be a relational structure, let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, and let p be an element of T. The functor  $R \longmapsto p$  yields a strict net structure over T and is defined by the conditions (Def. 7).

- (Def. 7)(i) The relational structure of  $(R \mapsto p)$  = the relational structure of R, and
  - (ii) the mapping of  $(R \longmapsto p) = (\text{the carrier of } (R \longmapsto p)) \longmapsto p$ .

Let R be a relational structure, let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, and let p be an element of T. Observe that  $R \longmapsto p$  is constant.

Let R be a non empty relational structure, let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, and let p be an element of T. Observe that  $R \longmapsto p$  is non empty.

Let R be a non empty directed relational structure, let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, and let p be an element of T. Observe that  $R \longmapsto p$  is directed.

Let R be a non empty transitive relational structure, let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, and let p be an element of T. Observe that  $R \longmapsto p$  is transitive.

We now state two propositions:

- (13) Let R be a relational structure, T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, and p be an element of T. Then the carrier of  $(R \longmapsto p)$  = the carrier of R.
- (14) Let R be a non empty relational structure, T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, p be an element of T, and q be an element of  $R \longmapsto p$ . Then  $(R \longmapsto p)(q) = p$ .

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a non empty net structure over T. Note that the mapping of N is non empty.

# 5. Substructures of Nets

One can prove the following propositions:

- (15) Every relational structure R is a full relational substructure of R.
- (16) Let *R* be a relational structure and *S* be a relational substructure of *R*. Then every relational substructure of *S* is a relational substructure of *R*.

Let S be a 1-sorted structure and let N be a net structure over S. A net structure over S is said to be a structure of a subnet of N if:

(Def. 8) It is a relational substructure of N and the mapping of it = (the mapping of N) | (the carrier of it).

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (17) For every 1-sorted structure *S* holds every net structure *N* over *S* is a structure of a subnet of *N*.
- (18) Let Q be a 1-sorted structure, R be a net structure over Q, and S be a structure of a subnet of R. Then every structure of a subnet of S is a structure of a subnet of R.

Let *S* be a 1-sorted structure, let *N* be a net structure over *S*, and let *M* be a structure of a subnet of *N*. We say that *M* is full if and only if:

(Def. 9) M is a full relational substructure of N.

Let *S* be a 1-sorted structure and let *N* be a net structure over *S*. Note that there exists a structure of a subnet of *N* which is full and strict.

Let *S* be a 1-sorted structure and let *N* be a non empty net structure over *S*. Note that there exists a structure of a subnet of *N* which is full, non empty, and strict.

We now state three propositions:

- (19) Let *S* be a 1-sorted structure, *N* be a net structure over *S*, and *M* be a structure of a subnet of *N*. Then the carrier of  $M \subseteq$  the carrier of *N*.
- (20) Let *S* be a 1-sorted structure, *N* be a net structure over *S*, *M* be a structure of a subnet of *N*, x, y be elements of *N*, and i, j be elements of *M*. If x = i and y = j and  $i \le j$ , then  $x \le y$ .
- (21) Let *S* be a 1-sorted structure, *N* be a non empty net structure over *S*, *M* be a non empty full structure of a subnet of *N*, *x*, *y* be elements of *N*, and *i*, *j* be elements of *M*. If x = i and y = j and  $x \le y$ , then  $i \le j$ .

# 6. More about nets

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure. Observe that there exists a net in T which is constant and strict.

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a constant net structure over T. Observe that the mapping of N is constant.

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a net structure over T. Let us assume that N is constant and non empty. The value of N yielding an element of T is defined by:

(Def. 10) The value of N = the value of the mapping of N.

We now state the proposition

(22) Let R be a non empty relational structure, T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, and p be an element of T. Then the value of  $R \longmapsto p = p$ .

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a net in T. A net in T is called a subnet of N if it satisfies the condition (Def. 12).

- (Def. 12)<sup>4</sup> There exists a map f from it into N such that
  - (i) the mapping of it = (the mapping of N)  $\cdot f$ , and
  - (ii) for every element m of N there exists an element n of it such that for every element p of it such that  $n \le p$  holds  $m \le f(p)$ .

The following propositions are true:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The definition (Def. 11) has been removed.

- (23) For every non empty 1-sorted structure T holds every net N in T is a subnet of N.
- (24) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure and  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $N_3$  be nets in T. Suppose  $N_1$  is a subnet of  $N_2$  and  $N_2$  is a subnet of  $N_3$ . Then  $N_1$  is a subnet of  $N_3$ .
- (25) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a constant net in T, and i be an element of N. Then N(i) = the value of N.
- (26) Let *L* be a non empty 1-sorted structure, *N* be a net in *L*, and *X*, *Y* be sets. If *N* is eventually in *X* and eventually in *Y*, then *X* meets *Y*.
- (27) Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in S, M be a subnet of N, and given X. If M is often in X, then N is often in X.
- (28) Let *S* be a non empty 1-sorted structure, *N* be a net in *S*, and given *X*. If *N* is eventually in *X*, then *N* is often in *X*.
- (29) For every non empty 1-sorted structure *S* holds every net in *S* is eventually in the carrier of *S*.

#### 7. THE RESTRICTION OF A NET

Let S be a 1-sorted structure, let N be a net structure over S, and let us consider X. The functor  $N^{-1}(X)$  yields a strict structure of a subnet of N and is defined by:

(Def. 13)  $N^{-1}(X)$  is a full relational substructure of N and the carrier of  $N^{-1}(X)$  = (the mapping of N)<sup>-1</sup>(X).

Let S be a 1-sorted structure, let N be a transitive net structure over S, and let us consider X. One can verify that  $N^{-1}(X)$  is transitive and full.

Next we state three propositions:

- (30) Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in S, and given X. If N is often in X, then  $N^{-1}(X)$  is non empty and directed.
- (31) Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in S, and given X. If N is often in X, then  $N^{-1}(X)$  is a subnet of N.
- (32) Let *S* be a non empty 1-sorted structure, *N* be a net in *S*, given *X*, and *M* be a subnet of *N*. If  $M = N^{-1}(X)$ , then *M* is eventually in *X*.

#### 8. The universe of nets

Let X be a non empty 1-sorted structure. The functor NetUniv(X) is defined by the condition (Def. 14).

(Def. 14) Let given x. Then  $x \in \text{NetUniv}(X)$  if and only if there exists a strict net N in X such that N = x and the carrier of  $N \in \text{the universe}$  of the carrier of X.

Let X be a non empty 1-sorted structure. One can verify that NetUniv(X) is non empty.

# 9. PARAMETRIZED FAMILIES OF NETS, ITERATION

Let X be a set and let T be a 1-sorted structure. A many sorted set indexed by X is said to be a net set of X, T if:

(Def. 15) For every set i such that  $i \in \text{rngit holds } i$  is a net in T.

We now state the proposition

(33) Let X be a set, T be a 1-sorted structure, and F be a many sorted set indexed by X. Then F is a net set of X, T if and only if for every set i such that  $i \in X$  holds F(i) is a net in T.

Let X be a non empty set, let T be a 1-sorted structure, let J be a net set of X, T, and let i be an element of X. Then J(i) is a net in T.

Let X be a set and let T be a 1-sorted structure. Observe that every net set of X, T is relational structure yielding.

Let T be a 1-sorted structure and let Y be a net in T. One can verify that every net set of the carrier of Y, T is yielding non-empty carriers.

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let Y be a net in T, and let J be a net set of the carrier of Y, T. One can verify that  $\prod J$  is directed and transitive.

Let X be a set and let T be a 1-sorted structure. Note that every net set of X, T is yielding non-empty carriers.

Let X be a set and let T be a 1-sorted structure. Note that there exists a net set of X, T which is yielding non-empty carriers.

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let Y be a net in T, and let J be a net set of the carrier of Y, T. The functor Iterated(J) yields a strict net in T and is defined by the conditions (Def. 16).

- (Def. 16)(i) The relational structure of Iterated(J) = [:Y,  $\prod J$ :], and
  - (ii) for every element i of Y and for every function f such that  $i \in$  the carrier of Y and  $f \in$  the carrier of Y holds (the mapping of Iterated(J))(i, f) = (the mapping of Y)(f(i)).

We now state four propositions:

- (34) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, Y be a net in T, and J be a net set of the carrier of Y, T. If  $Y \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$  and for every element i of Y holds  $J(i) \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$ , then  $\text{Iterated}(J) \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$ .
- (35) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in T, and J be a net set of the carrier of N, T. Then the carrier of Iterated(J) = [:the carrier of N,  $\prod$  (the support of J):].
- (36) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in T, J be a net set of the carrier of N, T, i be an element of N, f be an element of  $\prod J$ , and x be an element of Iterated(J). If  $x = \langle i, f \rangle$ , then (Iterated(J))(x) = (the mapping of J(i))(f(i)).
- (37) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, Y be a net in T, and J be a net set of the carrier of Y, T. Then rng (the mapping of Iterated(J))  $\subseteq \bigcup \{ \text{rng (the mapping of } J(i)) : i \text{ ranges over elements of } Y \}$ .

# 10. Poset of open neighbourhoods

Let T be a non empty topological space and let p be a point of T. The open neighbourhoods of p constitute a relational structure defined as follows:

(Def. 17) The open neighbourhoods of  $p = (\langle \{V; V \text{ ranges over subsets of } T : p \in V \land V \text{is open} \}, \subseteq \rangle)^{\smile}$ .

Let T be a non empty topological space and let p be a point of T. Note that the open neighbourhoods of p is non empty.

We now state three propositions:

- (38) Let T be a non empty topological space, p be a point of T, and x be an element of the open neighbourhoods of p. Then there exists a subset W of T such that W = x and  $p \in W$  and W is open.
- (39) Let T be a non empty topological space, p be a point of T, and x be a subset of T. Then  $x \in$  the carrier of the open neighbourhoods of p if and only if  $p \in x$  and x is open.
- (40) Let T be a non empty topological space, p be a point of T, and x, y be elements of the open neighbourhoods of p. Then  $x \le y$  if and only if  $y \subseteq x$ .

Let T be a non empty topological space and let p be a point of T. One can verify that the open neighbourhoods of p is transitive and directed.

#### 11. NETS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Let T be a non empty topological space and let N be a net in T. The functor Lim N yields a subset of T and is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) For every point p of T holds  $p \in \text{Lim } N$  iff for every neighbourhood V of p holds N is eventually in V.

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (41) For every non empty topological space T and for every net N in T and for every subnet Y of N holds  $\operatorname{Lim} N \subseteq \operatorname{Lim} Y$ .
- (42) For every non empty topological space T and for every constant net N in T holds the value of  $N \in \text{Lim } N$ .
- (43) Let T be a non empty topological space, N be a net in T, and p be a point of T. Suppose  $p \in \text{Lim } N$ . Let d be an element of N. Then there exists a subset S of T such that  $S = \{N(c); c \text{ ranges over elements of } N: d \le c\}$  and  $p \in \overline{S}$ .
- (44) Let T be a non empty topological space. Then T is Hausdorff if and only if for every net N in T and for all points p, q of T such that  $p \in \text{Lim } N$  and  $q \in \text{Lim } N$  holds p = q.

Let T be a Hausdorff non empty topological space and let N be a net in T. Observe that Lim N is trivial.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let N be a net in T. We say that N is convergent if and only if:

(Def. 19)  $\operatorname{Lim} N \neq \emptyset$ .

Let T be a non empty topological space. One can verify that every net in T which is constant is also convergent.

Let T be a non empty topological space. Note that there exists a net in T which is convergent and strict.

Let T be a Hausdorff non empty topological space and let N be a convergent net in T. The functor  $\lim N$  yielding an element of T is defined as follows:

(Def. 20)  $\lim N \in \text{Lim } N$ .

We now state four propositions:

- (45) For every Hausdorff non empty topological space T and for every constant net N in T holds  $\lim N =$  the value of N.
- (46) Let T be a non empty topological space, N be a net in T, and p be a point of T. Suppose  $p \notin \text{Lim } N$ . Then it is not true that there exists a subnet Y of N and there exists a subnet Z of Y such that  $p \in \text{Lim } Z$ .
- (47) Let T be a non empty topological space and N be a net in T. Suppose  $N \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$ . Let p be a point of T. Suppose  $p \notin \text{Lim} N$ . Then there exists a subnet Y of N such that  $Y \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$  and it is not true that there exists a subnet Z of Y such that  $p \in \text{Lim} Z$ .
- (48) Let T be a non empty topological space, N be a net in T, and p be a point of T. Suppose  $p \in \text{Lim } N$ . Let J be a net set of the carrier of N, T. If for every element i of N holds  $N(i) \in \text{Lim } J(i)$ , then  $p \in \text{Lim Iterated}(J)$ .

#### 12. Convergence classes

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure. Convergence class of S is defined by:

(Def. 21) It  $\subseteq$  [: NetUniv(S), the carrier of S:].

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure. Observe that every convergence class of S is relation-like.

Let T be a non empty topological space. The functor Convergence (T) yielding a convergence class of T is defined by:

(Def. 22) For every net N in T and for every point p of T holds  $\langle N, p \rangle \in \text{Convergence}(T)$  iff  $N \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$  and  $p \in \text{Lim } N$ .

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let C be a convergence class of T. We say that C has (CONSTANTS) property if and only if:

- (Def. 23) For every constant net N in T such that  $N \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$  holds  $\langle N, \text{the value of } N \rangle \in C$ .
  - We say that *C* has (SUBNETS) property if and only if the condition (Def. 24) is satisfied.
- (Def. 24) Let N be a net in T and Y be a subnet of N. If  $Y \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$ , then for every element p of T such that  $\langle N, p \rangle \in C$  holds  $\langle Y, p \rangle \in C$ .

We say that *C* has (DIVERGENCE) property if and only if the condition (Def. 25) is satisfied.

(Def. 25) Let X be a net in T and p be an element of T. Suppose  $X \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$  and  $\langle X, p \rangle \notin C$ . Then there exists a subnet Y of X such that  $Y \in \text{NetUniv}(T)$  and it is not true that there exists a subnet Z of Y such that  $\langle Z, p \rangle \in C$ .

We say that C has (ITERATED LIMITS) property if and only if the condition (Def. 26) is satisfied.

(Def. 26) Let X be a net in T and p be an element of T. Suppose  $\langle X, p \rangle \in C$ . Let J be a net set of the carrier of X, T. If for every element i of X holds  $\langle J(i), X(i) \rangle \in C$ , then  $\langle \text{Iterated}(J), p \rangle \in C$ .

Let T be a non empty topological space. One can check that Convergence(T) has (CONSTANTS) property, (SUBNETS) property, (DIVERGENCE) property, and (ITERATED LIMITS) property.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let C be a convergence class of S. The functor ConvergenceSpace(C) yielding a strict topological structure is defined by the conditions (Def. 27).

- (Def. 27)(i) The carrier of ConvergenceSpace(C) = the carrier of S, and
  - (ii) the topology of ConvergenceSpace(C) = {V; V ranges over subsets of S:  $\bigwedge_{p:\text{element of }S}$  ( $p \in V \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{N:\text{net in }S}$  ( $\langle N, p \rangle \in C \Rightarrow N$  is eventually in V))}.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let C be a convergence class of S. Observe that ConvergenceSpace(C) is non empty.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let C be a convergence class of S. One can check that ConvergenceSpace(C) is topological space-like.

One can prove the following proposition

(49) For every non empty 1-sorted structure S and for every convergence class C of S holds  $C \subseteq \text{Convergence}(\text{Convergence}\text{Space}(C))$ .

Let *T* be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let *C* be a convergence class of *T*. We say that *C* is topological if and only if:

(Def. 28) *C* has (CONSTANTS) property, (SUBNETS) property, (DIVERGENCE) property, and (IT-ERATED LIMITS) property.

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure. One can check that there exists a convergence class of T which is non empty and topological.

Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure. Observe that every convergence class of T which is topological has also (CONSTANTS) property, (SUBNETS) property, (DIVERGENCE) property, and (ITERATED LIMITS) property and every convergence class of T which has (CONSTANTS) property, (SUBNETS) property, (DIVERGENCE) property, and (ITERATED LIMITS) property is also topological.

Next we state four propositions:

- (50) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, C be a topological convergence class of T, and S be a subset of ConvergenceSpace(C) **qua** non empty topological space. Then S is open if and only if for every element p of T such that  $p \in S$  and for every net N in T such that  $\langle N, p \rangle \in C$  holds N is eventually in S.
- (51) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, C be a topological convergence class of T, and S be a subset of ConvergenceSpace(C) **qua** non empty topological space. Then S is closed if and only if for every element p of T and for every net N in T such that  $\langle N, p \rangle \in C$  and N is often in S holds  $p \in S$ .
- (52) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, C be a topological convergence class of T, S be a subset of ConvergenceSpace(C), and p be a point of ConvergenceSpace(C). Suppose  $p \in \overline{S}$ . Then there exists a net N in ConvergenceSpace(C) such that  $\langle N, p \rangle \in C$  and rng (the mapping of N)  $\subseteq S$  and  $p \in \text{Lim } N$ .
- (53) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure and C be a convergence class of T. Then Convergence(ConvergenceSpace(C)) = C if and only if C is topological.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card\_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinall.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card\_3.html.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski's classes and ranks. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/classes1.html.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol8/yellow\_0.html.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol8/waybel\_0.html.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\_ 3.html.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/binop\_1.html.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct\_1.html.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\_ 2.html.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc 1.html.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/compts 1.html.
- [14] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. A Compendium of Continuous Lattices. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
- [15] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\_1.html.
- [16] Artur Korniłowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\_3.html.

- [17] Jaroslaw Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Voll/seqm\_3.html.
- [18] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vo15/pralg\_1.html.
- [19] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pre\_circ.html.
- [20] Bogdan Nowak and Grzegorz Bancerek. Universal classes. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/classes2.html.
- [21] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/connsp\_2.html.
- [22] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre\_topc.html.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/moart\_1.html.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pboole.html.
- [26] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders\_ 1.html.
- [27] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\_1.html.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat 1.html.
- [29] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset\_l.html.

Received November 12, 1996

Published January 2, 2004