JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 11, Released 1999, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Retracts and Inheritance[1]

Grzegorz Bancerek
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: YELLOW16.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/yellow16.html

The articles [22], [12], [26], [27], [9], [11], [10], [8], [1], [18], [24], [19], [13], [25], [2], [20], [21], [15], [29], [23], [3], [4], [5], [16], [28], [14], [7], [6], and [17] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Poset Retracts

One can prove the following propositions:

(1) For all binary relations a, b holds $a \cdot b = a\,b$.

(2) Let X be a set, L be a non empty relational structure, S be a non empty relational substructure of L, f, g be functions from X into the carrier of S, and f', g' be functions from X into the carrier of L. If $f' = f$ and $g' = g$ and $f \leq g$, then $f' \leq g'$.

(3) Let X be a set, L be a non empty relational structure, S be a full non empty relational substructure of L, f, g be functions from X into the carrier of S, and f', g' be functions from X into the carrier of L. If $f' = f$ and $g' = g$ and $f' \leq g'$, then $f \leq g$.

Let S be a non empty relational structure and let T be a non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. One can verify that there exists a map from S into T which is directed-sups-preserving and monotone.

Next we state the proposition

(4) For all functions f, g such that f is idempotent and $\mathrm{rng}\, g \subseteq \mathrm{rng}\, f$ and $\mathrm{rng}\, g \subseteq \mathrm{dom}\, f$ holds $f \cdot g = g$.

Let S be a 1-sorted structure. One can check that there exists a map from S into S which is idempotent.

The following four propositions are true:

(5) For every up-complete non empty poset L holds every directed-sups-inheriting full non empty relational substructure of L is up-complete.

(6) Let L be an up-complete non empty poset and f be a map from L into L. Suppose f is idempotent and directed-sups-preserving. Then $\mathrm{Im}\, f$ is directed-sups-inheriting.

(8)[1] Let S, T be non empty relational structures, f be a map from T into S, and g be a map from S into T. If $f \cdot g = \mathrm{id}_S$, then $\mathrm{rng}\, f =$ the carrier of S.

[1]This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.
[1] The proposition (7) has been removed.

(9) Let T be a non empty relational structure, S be a non empty relational substructure of T, and f be a map from T into S. If $f \cdot \mathrm{incl}(S,T) = \mathrm{id}_S$, then f is an idempotent map from T into T.

Let S, T be non empty posets and let f be a function. We say that f is a retraction of T into S if and only if the conditions (Def. 1) are satisfied.

(Def. 1)(i) f is a directed-sups-preserving map from T into S,

(ii) $f \restriction$the carrier of $S = \mathrm{id}_S$, and

(iii) S is a directed-sups-inheriting full relational substructure of T.

We say that f is a UPS retraction of T into S if and only if the conditions (Def. 2) are satisfied.

(Def. 2)(i) f is a directed-sups-preserving map from T into S, and

(ii) there exists a directed-sups-preserving map g from S into T such that $f \cdot g = \mathrm{id}_S$.

Let S, T be non empty posets. We say that S is a retract of T if and only if:

(Def. 3) There exists a map f from T into S such that f is a retraction of T into S.

We say that S is a UPS retract of T if and only if:

(Def. 4) There exists a map f from T into S such that f is a UPS retraction of T into S.

The following propositions are true:

(10) For all non empty posets S, T and for every function f such that f is a retraction of T into S holds $f \cdot \mathrm{incl}(S,T) = \mathrm{id}_S$.

(11) Let S be a non empty poset, T be an up-complete non empty poset, and f be a function. Suppose f is a retraction of T into S. Then f is a UPS retraction of T into S.

(12) Let S, T be non empty posets and f be a function. If f is a retraction of T into S, then $\mathrm{rng}\, f =$ the carrier of S.

(13) Let S, T be non empty posets and f be a function. If f is a UPS retraction of T into S, then $\mathrm{rng}\, f =$ the carrier of S.

(14) Let S, T be non empty posets and f be a function. Suppose f is a retraction of T into S. Then f is an idempotent map from T into T.

(15) Let T, S be non empty posets and f be a map from T into T. Suppose f is a retraction of T into S. Then $\mathrm{Im}\, f =$ the relational structure of S.

(16) Let T be an up-complete non empty poset, S be a non empty poset, and f be a map from T into T. Suppose f is a retraction of T into S. Then f is directed-sups-preserving and projection.

(17) Let S, T be non empty reflexive transitive relational structures and f be a map from S into T. Then f is isomorphic if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) f is monotone, and

(ii) there exists a monotone map g from T into S such that $f \cdot g = \mathrm{id}_T$ and $g \cdot f = \mathrm{id}_S$.

(18) Let S, T be non empty posets. Then S and T are isomorphic if and only if there exists a monotone map f from S into T and there exists a monotone map g from T into S such that $f \cdot g = \mathrm{id}_T$ and $g \cdot f = \mathrm{id}_S$.

(19) Let S, T be up-complete non empty posets. Suppose S and T are isomorphic. Then S is a UPS retract of T and T is a UPS retract of S.

(20) Let T, S be non empty posets, f be a monotone map from T into S, and g be a monotone map from S into T. Suppose $f \cdot g = \mathrm{id}_S$. Then there exists a projection map h from T into T such that $h = g \cdot f$ and $h\restriction$the carrier of $\mathrm{Im}\,h = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Im}\,h}$ and S and $\mathrm{Im}\,h$ are isomorphic.

(21) Let T be an up-complete non empty poset, S be a non empty poset, and f be a function. Suppose f is a UPS retraction of T into S. Then there exists a directed-sups-preserving projection map h from T into T such that h is a retraction of T into $\mathrm{Im}\,h$ and S and $\mathrm{Im}\,h$ are isomorphic.

(22) For every up-complete non empty poset L and for every non empty poset S such that S is a retract of L holds S is up-complete.

(23) For every complete non empty poset L and for every non empty poset S such that S is a retract of L holds S is complete.

(24) Let L be a continuous complete lattice and S be a non empty poset. If S is a retract of L, then S is continuous.

(25) Let L be an up-complete non empty poset and S be a non empty poset. If S is a UPS retract of L, then S is up-complete.

(26) Let L be a complete non empty poset and S be a non empty poset. If S is a UPS retract of L, then S is complete.

(27) Let L be a continuous complete lattice and S be a non empty poset. If S is a UPS retract of L, then S is continuous.

(28) Let L be a relational structure, S be a full relational substructure of L, and R be a relational substructure of S. Then R is full if and only if R is a full relational substructure of L.

(29) Let L be a non empty transitive relational structure and S be a directed-sups-inheriting non empty full relational substructure of L. Then every directed-sups-inheriting non empty relational substructure of S is a directed-sups-inheriting relational substructure of L.

(30) Let L be an up-complete non empty poset and S_1, S_2 be directed-sups-inheriting full non empty relational substructures of L. Suppose S_1 is a relational substructure of S_2. Then S_1 is a directed-sups-inheriting full relational substructure of S_2.

2. PRODUCTS

Let R be a binary relation. We say that R is poset-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 5) For every set x such that $x \in \mathrm{rng}\,R$ holds x is a poset.

Let us mention that every binary relation which is poset-yielding is also relational structure yielding and reflexive-yielding.

Let X be a non empty set, let L be a non empty relational structure, let i be an element of X, and let Y be a subset of L^X. Then $\pi_i Y$ is a subset of L.

Let X be a set and let S be a poset. One can check that $X \longmapsto S$ is poset-yielding.

Let I be a set. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by I which is poset-yielding and nonempty.

Let I be a non empty set and let J be a poset-yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I. Note that $\prod J$ is transitive and antisymmetric.

Let I be a non empty set, let J be a poset-yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I, and let i be an element of I. Then $J(i)$ is a non empty poset.

Next we state a number of propositions:

(31) Let I be a non empty set, J be a poset-yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I, f be an element of $\prod J$, and X be a subset of $\prod J$. Then $f \geq X$ if and only if for every element i of I holds $f(i) \geq \pi_i X$.

(32) Let I be a non empty set, J be a poset-yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I, f be an element of $\prod J$, and X be a subset of $\prod J$. Then $f \leq X$ if and only if for every element i of I holds $f(i) \leq \pi_i X$.

(33) Let I be a non empty set, J be a poset-yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I, and X be a subset of $\prod J$. Then sup X exists in $\prod J$ if and only if for every element i of I holds sup $\pi_i X$ exists in $J(i)$.

(34) Let I be a non empty set, J be a poset-yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I, and X be a subset of $\prod J$. Then inf X exists in $\prod J$ if and only if for every element i of I holds inf $\pi_i X$ exists in $J(i)$.

(35) Let I be a non empty set, J be a poset-yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I, and X be a subset of $\prod J$. If sup X exists in $\prod J$, then for every element i of I holds $(\sup X)(i) = \sup \pi_i X$.

(36) Let I be a non empty set, J be a poset-yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I, and X be a subset of $\prod J$. If inf X exists in $\prod J$, then for every element i of I holds $(\inf X)(i) = \inf \pi_i X$.

(37) Let I be a non empty set, J be a relational structure yielding nonempty reflexive-yielding many sorted set indexed by I, X be a directed subset of $\prod J$, and i be an element of I. Then $\pi_i X$ is directed.

(38) Let I be a non empty set and J, K be relational structure yielding nonempty many sorted sets indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds $K(i)$ is a relational substructure of $J(i)$. Then $\prod K$ is a relational substructure of $\prod J$.

(39) Let I be a non empty set and J, K be relational structure yielding nonempty many sorted sets indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds $K(i)$ is a full relational substructure of $J(i)$. Then $\prod K$ is a full relational substructure of $\prod J$.

(40) Let L be a non empty relational structure, S be a non empty relational substructure of L, and X be a set. Then S^X is a relational substructure of L^X.

(41) Let L be a non empty relational structure, S be a full non empty relational substructure of L, and X be a set. Then S^X is a full relational substructure of L^X.

3. INHERITANCE

Let S, T be non empty relational structures and let X be a set. We say that S inherits sup of X from T if and only if:

(Def. 6) If sup X exists in T, then $\bigsqcup_T X \in$ the carrier of S.

We say that S inherits inf of X from T if and only if:

(Def. 7) If inf X exists in T, then $\bigsqcap_T X \in$ the carrier of S.

The following propositions are true:

(42) Let T be a non empty transitive relational structure, S be a full non empty relational substructure of T, and X be a subset of S. Then S inherits sup of X from T if and only if if sup X exists in T, then sup X exists in S and $\sup X = \bigsqcup_T X$.

(43) Let T be a non empty transitive relational structure, S be a full non empty relational substructure of T, and X be a subset of S. Then S inherits inf of X from T if and only if if inf X exists in T, then inf X exists in S and $\inf X = \bigsqcap_T X$.

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme *ProductSupsInheriting* deals with a non empty set $\mathcal{A}$, poset-yielding nonempty many sorted sets $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ indexed by $\mathcal{A}$, and a binary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

For every subset X of $\prod \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \prod \mathcal{C}]$ holds $\prod \mathcal{C}$ inherits sup of X from $\prod \mathcal{B}$

provided the parameters satisfy the following conditions:

- For every subset X of $\prod \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \prod \mathcal{C}]$ and for every element i of $\mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\pi_i X, \mathcal{C}(i)]$,
- For every element i of $\mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{C}(i)$ is a full relational substructure of $\mathcal{B}(i)$, and
- For every element i of $\mathcal{A}$ and for every subset X of $\mathcal{C}(i)$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \mathcal{C}(i)]$ holds $\mathcal{C}(i)$ inherits sup of X from $\mathcal{B}(i)$.

The scheme *PowerSupsInheriting* deals with a non empty set $\mathcal{A}$, a non empty poset $\mathcal{B}$, a non empty full relational substructure $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{B}$, and a binary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

For every subset X of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}]$ holds $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ inherits sup of X from $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}$

provided the parameters meet the following conditions:

- For every subset X of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}]$ and for every element i of $\mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\pi_i X, \mathcal{C}]$, and
- For every subset X of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \mathcal{C}]$ holds $\mathcal{C}$ inherits sup of X from $\mathcal{B}$.

The scheme *ProductInfsInheriting* deals with a non empty set $\mathcal{A}$, poset-yielding nonempty many sorted sets $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ indexed by $\mathcal{A}$, and a binary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

For every subset X of $\prod \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \prod \mathcal{C}]$ holds $\prod \mathcal{C}$ inherits inf of X from $\prod \mathcal{B}$

provided the following conditions are met:

- For every subset X of $\prod \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \prod \mathcal{C}]$ and for every element i of $\mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\pi_i X, \mathcal{C}(i)]$,
- For every element i of $\mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{C}(i)$ is a full relational substructure of $\mathcal{B}(i)$, and
- For every element i of $\mathcal{A}$ and for every subset X of $\mathcal{C}(i)$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \mathcal{C}(i)]$ holds $\mathcal{C}(i)$ inherits inf of X from $\mathcal{B}(i)$.

The scheme *PowerInfsInheriting* deals with a non empty set $\mathcal{A}$, a non empty poset $\mathcal{B}$, a non empty full relational substructure $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{B}$, and a binary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

For every subset X of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}]$ holds $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ inherits inf of X from $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}$

provided the parameters have the following properties:

- For every subset X of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}]$ and for every element i of $\mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\pi_i X, \mathcal{C}]$, and
- For every subset X of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, \mathcal{C}]$ holds $\mathcal{C}$ inherits inf of X from $\mathcal{B}$.

Let I be a set, let L be a non empty relational structure, let X be a non empty subset of L^I, and let i be a set. One can verify that $\pi_i X$ is non empty.

We now state the proposition

(44) Let L be a non empty poset, S be a directed-sups-inheriting non empty full relational substructure of L, and X be a non empty set. Then S^X is a directed-sups-inheriting relational substructure of L^X.

Let I be a non empty set, let J be a relational structure yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I, let X be a non empty subset of $\prod J$, and let i be a set. One can check that $\pi_i X$ is non empty.

Next we state the proposition

(45) For every non empty set X and for every up-complete non empty poset L holds L^X is up-complete.

Let L be an up-complete non empty poset and let X be a non empty set. Observe that L^X is up-complete.

4. TOPOLOGICAL RETRACTS

Let T be a topological space. One can check that the topology of T is non empty.

One can prove the following propositions:

(46) Let T be a non empty topological space, S be a non empty subspace of T, and f be a map from T into S. If f is a retraction, then $\mathrm{rng}\, f =$ the carrier of S.

(47) Let T be a non empty topological space, S be a non empty subspace of T, and f be a continuous map from T into S. If f is a retraction, then f is idempotent.

(48) Let T be a non empty topological space and V be an open subset of T. Then $\chi_{V,\text{the carrier of } T}$ is a continuous map from T into the Sierpiński space.

(49) Let T be a non empty topological space and x, y be elements of T. Suppose that for every open subset W of T such that $y \in W$ holds $x \in W$. Then $[0 \longmapsto y, 1 \longmapsto x]$ is a continuous map from the Sierpiński space into T.

(50) Let T be a non empty topological space, x, y be elements of T, and V be an open subset of T. Suppose $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$. Then $\chi_{V,\text{the carrier of } T} \cdot [0 \longmapsto y, 1 \longmapsto x] = \mathrm{id}_{\text{the Sierpiński space}}$.

(51) Let T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, V, W be subsets of T, S be a topological augmentation of $2^1_\subseteq$, and f, g be maps from T into S. Suppose $f = \chi_{V,\text{the carrier of } T}$ and $g = \chi_{W,\text{the carrier of } T}$. Then $V \subseteq W$ if and only if $f \leq g$.

(52) Let L be a non empty relational structure, X be a non empty set, and R be a full non empty relational substructure of L^X. Suppose that for every set a holds a is an element of R iff there exists an element x of L such that $a = X \longmapsto x$. Then L and R are isomorphic.

(53) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces. Then S and T are homeomorphic if and only if there exists a continuous map f from S into T and there exists a continuous map g from T into S such that $f \cdot g = \mathrm{id}_T$ and $g \cdot f = \mathrm{id}_S$.

(54) Let T, S, R be non empty topological spaces, f be a map from T into S, g be a map from S into T, and h be a map from S into R. If $f \cdot g = \mathrm{id}_S$ and h is a homeomorphism, then $h \cdot f \cdot (g \cdot h^{-1}) = \mathrm{id}_R$.

(55) Let T, S, R be non empty topological spaces. Suppose S is a topological retract of T and S and R are homeomorphic. Then R is a topological retract of T.

(56) For every non empty topological space T and for every non empty subspace S of T holds $\mathrm{incl}(S,T)$ is continuous.

(57) Let T be a non empty topological space, S be a non empty subspace of T, and f be a continuous map from T into S. If f is a retraction, then $f \cdot \mathrm{incl}(S,T) = \mathrm{id}_S$.

(58) Let T be a non empty topological space and S be a non empty subspace of T. If S is a retract of T, then S is a topological retract of T.

(59) Let R, T be non empty topological spaces. Then R is a topological retract of T if and only if there exists a non empty subspace S of T such that S is a retract of T and S and R are homeomorphic.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card_3.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Quantales. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/quantal1.html.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.

[5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.

[6] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_3.html.

[7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow_9.html`.

[8] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_3.html`.

[9] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html`.

[10] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html`.

[11] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html`.

[12] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html`.

[13] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html`.

[14] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_1.html`.

[15] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops_2.html`.

[16] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_1.html`.

[17] Jarosław Gryko. Injective spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/waybel18.html`.

[18] Andrzej Kondracki. Mostowski's fundamental operations — part I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/zf_fund1.html`.

[19] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pralg_1.html`.

[20] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/grcat_1.html`.

[21] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html`.

[22] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html`.

[23] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/borsuk_1.html`.

[24] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pboole.html`.

[25] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html`.

[26] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html`.

[27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html`.

[28] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_2.html`.

[29] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski. T_0 topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/t_0topsp.html`.

Received September 7, 1999

Published January 2, 2004