## Some Properties of Isomorphism between Relational Structures. On the Product of Topological Spaces<sup>1</sup>

Jarosław Gryko University of Białystok Artur Korniłowicz University of Białystok

MML Identifier: YELLOW14.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/yellow14.html

The articles [26], [10], [31], [32], [33], [16], [15], [7], [9], [12], [11], [1], [27], [22], [24], [25], [23], [14], [35], [6], [20], [30], [2], [19], [3], [4], [13], [8], [18], [34], [5], [28], [29], [21], and [17] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. Preliminaries

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1)  $2^1 = \{0, 1\}.$
- (2) For every set *X* and for every subset *Y* of *X* holds  $rng(id_X \upharpoonright Y) = Y$ .
- (3) For every function f and for all sets a, b holds  $(f+\cdot(a\mapsto b))(a)=b$ .

Let us note that there exists a relational structure which is strict and empty. Next we state four propositions:

- (4) Let S be an empty 1-sorted structure, T be a 1-sorted structure, and f be a map from S into T. If rng  $f = \Omega_T$ , then T is empty.
- (5) Let S be a 1-sorted structure, T be an empty 1-sorted structure, and f be a map from S into T. If dom  $f = \Omega_S$ , then S is empty.
- (6) Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, T be a 1-sorted structure, and f be a map from S into T. If dom  $f = \Omega_S$ , then T is non empty.
- (7) Let S be a 1-sorted structure, T be a non empty 1-sorted structure, and f be a map from S into T. If rng  $f = \Omega_T$ , then S is non empty.

Let S be a non empty reflexive relational structure, let T be a non empty relational structure, and let f be a map from S into T. Let us observe that f is directed-sups-preserving if and only if:

(Def. 1) For every non empty directed subset *X* of *S* holds *f* preserves sup of *X*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

Let R be a 1-sorted structure and let N be a net structure over R. We say that N is function yielding if and only if:

(Def. 2) The mapping of N is function yielding.

Let us observe that there exists a 1-sorted structure which is strict, non empty, and constituted functions.

Let us observe that there exists a relational structure which is strict, non empty, and constituted functions

Let R be a constituted functions 1-sorted structure. Observe that every net structure over R is function yielding.

Let *R* be a constituted functions 1-sorted structure. Note that there exists a net structure over *R* which is strict and function yielding.

Let *R* be a non empty constituted functions 1-sorted structure. Observe that there exists a net structure over *R* which is strict, non empty, and function yielding.

Let R be a constituted functions 1-sorted structure and let N be a function yielding net structure over R. One can check that the mapping of N is function yielding.

Let *R* be a non empty constituted functions 1-sorted structure. Observe that there exists a net in *R* which is strict and function yielding.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a non empty net structure over S. Note that rng (the mapping of N) is non empty.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a non empty net structure over S. One can check that rng netmap(N,S) is non empty.

We now state two propositions:

- (8) Let A, B, C be non empty relational structures, f be a map from B into C, and g, h be maps from A into B. If  $g \le h$  and f is monotone, then  $f \cdot g \le f \cdot h$ .
- (9) Let S be a non empty topological space, T be a non empty topological space-like FR-structure, f, g be maps from S into T, and x, y be elements of  $[S \to T]$ . If x = f and y = g, then  $x \le y$  iff  $f \le g$ .

Let I be a set and let R be a non empty relational structure. Observe that every element of  $R^{I}$  is function-like and relation-like.

Let I be a non empty set, let R be a non empty relational structure, let f be an element of  $R^{I}$ , and let i be an element of I. Then f(i) is an element of R.

2. Some Properties of Isomorphism between Relational Structures

The following proposition is true

- (10) For all relational structures S, T and for every map f from S into T such that f is isomorphic holds f is onto.
- Let S, T be relational structures. One can check that every map from S into T which is isomorphic is also onto.

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (11) Let S, T be non empty relational structures and f be a map from S into T. If f is isomorphic, then UNKNOWN(f) is isomorphic.
- (12) For all non empty relational structures *S*, *T* such that *S* and *T* are isomorphic and *S* has g.l.b.'s holds *T* has g.l.b.'s.
- (13) For all non empty relational structures *S*, *T* such that *S* and *T* are isomorphic and *S* has l.u.b.'s holds *T* has l.u.b.'s.
- (14) For every relational structure L such that L is empty holds L is bounded.

Let us note that every relational structure which is empty is also bounded. One can prove the following propositions:

- (15) Let *S*, *T* be relational structures. Suppose *S* and *T* are isomorphic and *S* is lower-bounded. Then *T* is lower-bounded.
- (16) Let *S*, *T* be relational structures. Suppose *S* and *T* are isomorphic and *S* is upper-bounded. Then *T* is upper-bounded.
- (17) Let S, T be non empty relational structures, A be a subset of S, and f be a map from S into T. Suppose f is isomorphic and sup A exists in S. Then sup  $f \circ A$  exists in T.
- (18) Let S, T be non empty relational structures, A be a subset of S, and f be a map from S into T. Suppose f is isomorphic and inf A exists in S. Then inf f $^{\circ}A$  exists in T.

## 3. On the Product of Topological Spaces

We now state two propositions:

- (19) Let S, T be topological structures. Suppose S and T are homeomorphic or there exists a map f from S into T such that dom  $f = \Omega_S$  and rng  $f = \Omega_T$ . Then S is empty if and only if T is empty.
- (20) For every non empty topological space T holds T and the topological structure of T are homeomorphic.

Let *T* be a Scott reflexive non empty FR-structure. Observe that every subset of *T* which is open is also inaccessible and upper and every subset of *T* which is inaccessible and upper is also open. We now state several propositions:

- (21) Let T be a topological structure, x, y be points of T, and X, Y be subsets of T. If  $X = \{x\}$  and  $\overline{X} \subseteq \overline{Y}$ , then  $x \in \overline{Y}$ .
- (22) Let T be a topological structure, x, y be points of T, and Y, V be subsets of T. If  $Y = \{y\}$  and  $x \in \overline{Y}$  and V is open and  $x \in V$ , then  $y \in V$ .
- (23) Let T be a topological structure, x, y be points of T, and X, Y be subsets of T. Suppose  $X = \{x\}$  and  $Y = \{y\}$ . Suppose that for every subset V of T such that V is open holds if  $x \in V$ , then  $y \in V$ . Then  $\overline{X} \subseteq \overline{Y}$ .
- (24) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces, A be an irreducible subset of S, and B be a subset of T. Suppose A = B and the topological structure of S = the topological structure of T. Then B is irreducible.
- (25) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces, a be a point of S, b be a point of T, A be a subset of S, and B be a subset of T. Suppose a = b and A = B and the topological structure of S = the topological structure of T and a is dense point of A. Then b is dense point of B.
- (26) Let S, T be topological structures, A be a subset of S, and B be a subset of T. Suppose A = B and the topological structure of S = the topological structure of T and A is compact. Then B is compact.
- (27) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces. Suppose the topological structure of S = the topological structure of T and S is sober. Then T is sober.
- (28) Let S, T be non empty topological spaces. Suppose the topological structure of S = the topological structure of T and S is locally-compact. Then T is locally-compact.
- (29) Let S, T be topological structures. Suppose the topological structure of S = the topological structure of T and S is compact. Then T is compact.

Let *I* be a non empty set, let *T* be a non empty topological space, let *x* be a point of  $\prod(I \longmapsto T)$ , and let *i* be an element of *I*. Then x(i) is an element of *T*.

The following propositions are true:

- (30) Let M be a non empty set, J be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by M, and x, y be points of  $\prod J$ . Then  $x \in \{y\}$  if and only if for every element i of M holds  $x(i) \in \{y(i)\}$ .
- (31) Let M be a non empty set, T be a non empty topological space, and x, y be points of  $\prod (M \longmapsto T)$ . Then  $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$  if and only if for every element i of M holds  $x(i) \in \overline{\{y(i)\}}$ .
- (32) Let M be a non empty set, i be an element of M, J be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by M, and x be a point of  $\prod J$ . Then  $\pi_i\{x\} = \{x(i)\}$ .
- (33) Let M be a non empty set, i be an element of M, T be a non empty topological space, and x be a point of  $\prod (M \longmapsto T)$ . Then  $\pi_i \overline{\{x\}} = \overline{\{x(i)\}}$ .
- (34) Let X, Y be non empty topological structures, f be a map from X into Y, and g be a map from Y into X. Suppose  $f = \mathrm{id}_X$  and  $g = \mathrm{id}_X$  and f is continuous and g is continuous. Then the topological structure of X = the topological structure of Y.
- (35) Let X, Y be non empty topological spaces and f be a map from X into Y. If  $f^{\circ}$  is continuous, then f is continuous.

Let X be a non empty topological space and let Y be a non empty subspace of X. Observe that Y is continuous.

Next we state three propositions:

- (36) For every non empty topological space T and for every map f from T into T such that  $f \cdot f = f$  holds  $f^{\circ} \cdot (\stackrel{\operatorname{Im} f}{\hookrightarrow}) = \operatorname{id}_{\operatorname{Im} f}$ .
- (37) For every non empty topological space Y and for every non empty subspace W of Y holds  $\binom{W}{\hookrightarrow}$  is a homeomorphism.
- (38) Let M be a non empty set and J be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by M. Suppose that for every element i of M holds J(i) is a  $T_0$  topological space. Then  $\prod J$  is  $T_0$ .

Let *I* be a non empty set and let *T* be a non empty  $T_0$  topological space. Observe that  $\prod(I \mapsto T)$  is  $T_0$ .

We now state the proposition

(39) Let M be a non empty set and J be a topological space yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by M. Suppose that for every element i of M holds J(i) is  $T_1$  and topological space-like. Then  $\prod J$  is a  $T_1$  space.

Let *I* be a non empty set and let *T* be a non empty  $T_1$  topological space. Note that  $\prod(I \mapsto T)$  is  $T_1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card\_3.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol8/yellow\_0.html.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol8/waybel 0.html.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\_3.html.

- [6] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. Dyadic numbers and T<sub>4</sub> topological spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/urysohnl.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct 1.html.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\_ 2.html.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc\_1.html.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc\_lang.html.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct\_4.html.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\_1.html.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/compts\_1.html.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops\_2.html.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finset\_1.html.
- [17] Adam Grabowski. Scott-continuous functions. Part II. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/waybe124.html.
- [18] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\_1.html.
- [19] Jarosław Gryko. Injective spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/waybel18.html.
- [20] Zbigniew Karno. Continuity of mappings over the union of subspaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/tmap\_1.html.
- [21] Artur Komiłowicz. On the topological properties of meet-continuous lattices. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\_9.html.
- [22] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vo15/pralg\_1.html.
- [23] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/grcat\_1.html.
- [24] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pre\_circ.html.
- [25] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre\_topo.html.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pboole.html.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\_6.html.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Baire spaces, Sober spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/yellow\_8.html.
- [30] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders\_1.html.
- [31] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\_1.html.
- [32] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat\_1.html.
- [33] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset\_1.html.
- [34] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\_2.html.

[35] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski.  $T_0$  topological spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/t\_Otopsp.html.

Received June 22, 1999

Published January 2, 2004

\_\_\_\_