# Introduction to Meet-Continuous Topological Lattices<sup>1</sup>

## Artur Korniłowicz University of Białystok

MML Identifier: YELLOW13.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow13.html

The articles [21], [8], [26], [27], [6], [7], [11], [24], [19], [28], [25], [10], [15], [14], [1], [20], [4], [22], [5], [2], [3], [13], [12], [9], [29], [16], [17], [23], and [18] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

#### 1. Preliminaries

Let S be a finite 1-sorted structure. Note that the carrier of S is finite.

Let S be a trivial 1-sorted structure. Note that the carrier of S is trivial.

Let us mention that every set which is trivial is also finite.

Let us mention that every 1-sorted structure which is trivial is also finite.

Let us note that every 1-sorted structure which is non trivial is also non empty.

One can verify the following observations:

- \* there exists a 1-sorted structure which is strict, non empty, and trivial,
- \* there exists a relational structure which is strict, non empty, and trivial, and
- \* there exists a FR-structure which is strict, non empty, and trivial.

We now state the proposition

(1) For every  $T_1$  non empty topological space T holds every finite subset of T is closed.

Let T be a  $T_1$  non empty topological space. One can check that every subset of T which is finite is also closed.

Let T be a compact topological structure. Note that  $\Omega_T$  is compact.

Let us note that there exists a topological space which is strict, non empty, and trivial.

Let us observe that every non empty topological space which is finite and  $T_1$  is also discrete.

Let us mention that every topological space which is finite is also compact.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (2) Every discrete non empty topological space is a  $T_4$  space.
- (3) Every discrete non empty topological space is a  $T_3$  space.
- (4) Every discrete non empty topological space is a  $T_2$  space.

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

(5) Every discrete non empty topological space is a  $T_1$  space.

One can verify that every topological space which is discrete and non empty is also  $T_4$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_1$ .

One can check that every non empty topological space which is  $T_4$  and  $T_1$  is also  $T_3$ .

Let us note that every non empty topological space which is  $T_3$  and  $T_1$  is also  $T_2$ .

Let us note that every topological space which is  $T_2$  is also  $T_1$ .

Let us observe that every topological space which is  $T_1$  is also  $T_0$ .

We now state three propositions:

- (6) Let S be a reflexive relational structure, T be a reflexive transitive relational structure, f be a map from S into T, and X be a subset of S. Then  $\downarrow (f^{\circ}X) \subseteq \downarrow (f^{\circ}\downarrow X)$ .
- (7) Let S be a reflexive relational structure, T be a reflexive transitive relational structure, f be a map from S into T, and X be a subset of S. If f is monotone, then  $\downarrow (f^{\circ}X) = \downarrow (f^{\circ} \downarrow X)$ .
- (8) For every non empty poset N holds IdsMap(N) is one-to-one.

Let N be a non empty poset. Note that IdsMap(N) is one-to-one. The following proposition is true

(9) For every finite lattice N holds SupMap(N) is one-to-one.

Let N be a finite lattice. Observe that SupMap(N) is one-to-one. One can prove the following three propositions:

- (10) For every finite lattice *N* holds *N* and  $\langle Ids(N), \subseteq \rangle$  are isomorphic.
- (11) Let N be a complete non empty poset, x be an element of N, and X be a non empty subset of N. Then  $x \sqcap \square$  preserves inf of X.
- (12) For every complete non empty poset N and for every element x of N holds  $x \sqcap \square$  is meet-preserving.

Let *N* be a complete non empty poset and let *x* be an element of *N*. Observe that  $x \sqcap \square$  is meet-preserving.

### 2. On the Basis of Topological Spaces

We now state several propositions:

- (13) Let T be an anti-discrete non empty topological structure and p be a point of T. Then {the carrier of T} is a basis of p.
- (14) Let T be an anti-discrete non empty topological structure, p be a point of T, and D be a basis of p. Then  $D = \{$ the carrier of T $\}$ .
- (15) Let T be a non empty topological space, P be a basis of T, and p be a point of T. Then  $\{A; A \text{ ranges over subsets of } T: A \in P \land p \in A\}$  is a basis of p.
- (16) Let T be a non empty topological structure, A be a subset of T, and p be a point of T. Then  $p \in \overline{A}$  if and only if for every basis K of p and for every subset Q of T such that  $Q \in K$  holds A meets Q.
- (17) Let T be a non empty topological structure, A be a subset of T, and p be a point of T. Then  $p \in \overline{A}$  if and only if there exists a basis K of p such that for every subset Q of T such that  $Q \in K$  holds A meets Q.

Let T be a topological structure and let p be a point of T. A family of subsets of T is said to be a generalized basis of p if:

(Def. 1) For every subset A of T such that  $p \in IntA$  there exists a subset P of T such that  $P \in IntA$  and  $P \subseteq IntA$  and  $P \subseteq A$ .

Let T be a non empty topological space and let p be a point of T. Let us note that the generalized basis of p can be characterized by the following (equivalent) condition:

(Def. 2) For every neighbourhood A of p there exists a neighbourhood P of p such that  $P \in \text{it}$  and  $P \subset A$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (18) Let T be a topological structure and p be a point of T. Then  $2^{\text{the carrier of } T}$  is a generalized basis of p.
- (19) For every non empty topological space T and for every point p of T holds every generalized basis of p is non empty.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let p be a point of T. One can verify that every generalized basis of p is non empty.

Let T be a topological structure and let p be a point of T. Note that there exists a generalized basis of p which is non empty.

Let T be a topological structure, let p be a point of T, and let P be a generalized basis of p. We say that P is correct if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every subset *A* of *T* holds  $A \in P$  iff  $p \in Int A$ .

Let T be a topological structure and let p be a point of T. One can verify that there exists a generalized basis of p which is correct.

Next we state the proposition

(20) Let T be a topological structure and p be a point of T. Then  $\{A; A \text{ ranges over subsets of } T \colon p \in \text{Int} A\}$  is a correct generalized basis of p.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let p be a point of T. Observe that there exists a generalized basis of p which is non empty and correct.

The following propositions are true:

- (21) Let T be an anti-discrete non empty topological structure and p be a point of T. Then {the carrier of T} is a correct generalized basis of p.
- (22) Let T be an anti-discrete non empty topological structure, p be a point of T, and D be a correct generalized basis of p. Then  $D = \{$ the carrier of  $T \}$ .
- (23) For every non empty topological space T and for every point p of T holds every basis of p is a generalized basis of p.

Let *T* be a topological structure. A family of subsets of *T* is said to be a generalized basis of *T* if:

(Def. 4) For every point p of T holds it is a generalized basis of p.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (24) For every topological structure T holds  $2^{\text{the carrier of } T}$  is a generalized basis of T.
- (25) For every non empty topological space T holds every generalized basis of T is non empty.

Let T be a non empty topological space. Observe that every generalized basis of T is non empty. Let T be a topological structure. Observe that there exists a generalized basis of T which is non empty.

Next we state two propositions:

- (26) For every non empty topological space T and for every generalized basis P of T holds the topology of  $T \subseteq \text{UniCl}(\text{Int } P)$ .
- (27) For every topological space T holds every basis of T is a generalized basis of T.

Let *T* be a non empty topological space-like FR-structure. We say that *T* satisfies conditions of topological semilattice if and only if:

(Def. 5) For every map f from  $[T, (T \mathbf{qua} \text{ topological space})]$  into T such that  $f = \sqcap_T \text{ holds } f$  is continuous.

One can verify that every non empty topological space-like FR-structure which is reflexive and trivial satisfies also conditions of topological semilattice.

Let us mention that there exists a FR-structure which is reflexive, trivial, non empty, and topological space-like.

The following proposition is true

(28) Let T be a non empty topological space-like FR-structure satisfying conditions of topological semilattice and x be an element of T. Then  $x \sqcap \Box$  is continuous.

Let T be a non empty topological space-like FR-structure satisfying conditions of topological semilattice and let x be an element of T. Observe that  $x \sqcap \square$  is continuous.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol8/yellow\_0.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol8/waybel\_0.html.
- [4] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/realsetl. html.
- [5] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. Dyadic numbers and T<sub>4</sub> topological spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/urysohnl.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct\_1.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct\_2.html.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/zfmisc\_1.html.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\_1.html.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/compts\_1.html.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset\_1.html.
- [12] Adam Grabowski. On the category of posets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/orders\_ 3.html.
- [13] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\_1.html.
- [14] Zbigniew Karno. The lattice of domains of an extremally disconnected space. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/tdlat\_3.html.
- [15] Zbigniew Karno and Toshihiko Watanabe. Completeness of the lattices of domains of a topological space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/tdlat\_2.html.
- [16] Artur Korniłowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\_3.html.
- [17] Artur Korniłowicz. Meet continuous lattices. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\_ 2.html.
- [18] Artur Komiłowicz. On the topological properties of meet-continuous lattices. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\_9.html.

- [19] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre\_topc.html.
- [20] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol7/cantor\_1.html.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/borsuk\_1.html.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Baire spaces, Sober spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/yellow\_8.html.
- [24] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders\_
- [25] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group\_1.html.
- [26] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\_1.html.
- [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat\_1.html.
- [28] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops\_1.html.
- [29] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\_2.html.

Received November 3, 1998

Published January 2, 2004