

On the Characterization of Hausdorff Spaces¹

Artur Korniłowicz
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: YELLOW12.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow12.html>

The articles [18], [9], [25], [14], [26], [7], [27], [8], [19], [6], [16], [10], [17], [15], [20], [28], [24], [1], [2], [3], [11], [12], [13], [4], [21], [22], [23], and [5] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. THE PROPERTIES OF SOME FUNCTIONS

In this paper A, B, X, Y are sets.

Let X be an empty set. Observe that $\bigcup X$ is empty.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) $(\delta_X)^\circ A \subseteq [A, A]$.
- (2) $(\delta_X)^{-1}([A, A]) \subseteq A$.
- (3) For every subset A of X holds $(\delta_X)^{-1}([A, A]) = A$.
- (4) $\text{dom}\langle\pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y)\rangle = [X, Y]$ and $\text{rng}\langle\pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y)\rangle = [Y, X]$.
- (5) $\langle\pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y)\rangle^\circ[A, B] \subseteq [B, A]$.
- (6) For every subset A of X and for every subset B of Y holds $\langle\pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y)\rangle^\circ[A, B] = [B, A]$.
- (7) $\langle\pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y)\rangle$ is one-to-one.

Let X, Y be sets. Observe that $\langle\pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y)\rangle$ is one-to-one.

Next we state the proposition

- (8) $\langle\pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y)\rangle^{-1} = \langle\pi_2(Y \times X), \pi_1(Y \times X)\rangle$.

2. THE PROPERTIES OF THE RELATIONAL STRUCTURES

One can prove the following propositions:

- (9) Let L_1 be a semilattice, L_2 be a non empty relational structure, x, y be elements of L_1 , and x_1, y_1 be elements of L_2 . Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $x = x_1$ and $y = y_1$. Then $x \sqcap y = x_1 \sqcap y_1$.

¹This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

- (10) Let L_1 be a sup-semilattice, L_2 be a non empty relational structure, x, y be elements of L_1 , and x_1, y_1 be elements of L_2 . Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $x = x_1$ and $y = y_1$. Then $x \sqcup y = x_1 \sqcup y_1$.
- (11) Let L_1 be a semilattice, L_2 be a non empty relational structure, X, Y be subsets of L_1 , and X_1, Y_1 be subsets of L_2 . Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $X = X_1$ and $Y = Y_1$. Then $X \sqcap Y = X_1 \sqcap Y_1$.
- (12) Let L_1 be a sup-semilattice, L_2 be a non empty relational structure, X, Y be subsets of L_1 , and X_1, Y_1 be subsets of L_2 . Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $X = X_1$ and $Y = Y_1$. Then $X \sqcup Y = X_1 \sqcup Y_1$.
- (13) Let L_1 be an antisymmetric up-complete non empty reflexive relational structure, L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure, x be an element of L_1 , and y be an element of L_2 . Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $x = y$. Then $\downarrow x = \downarrow y$ and $\uparrow x = \uparrow y$.
- (14) Let L_1 be a meet-continuous semilattice and L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 . Then L_2 is meet-continuous.
- (15) Let L_1 be a continuous antisymmetric non empty reflexive relational structure and L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 . Then L_2 is continuous.
- (16) Let L_1, L_2 be relational structures, A be a subset of L_1 , and J be a subset of L_2 . Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $A = J$. Then $\text{sub}(A) = \text{sub}(J)$.
- (17) Let L_1, L_2 be non empty relational structures, A be a relational substructure of L_1 , and J be a relational substructure of L_2 . Suppose that
- (i) the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 ,
 - (ii) the relational structure of $A =$ the relational structure of J , and
 - (iii) A is meet-inheriting.
- Then J is meet-inheriting.
- (18) Let L_1, L_2 be non empty relational structures, A be a relational substructure of L_1 , and J be a relational substructure of L_2 . Suppose that
- (i) the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 ,
 - (ii) the relational structure of $A =$ the relational structure of J , and
 - (iii) A is join-inheriting.
- Then J is join-inheriting.
- (19) Let L_1 be an up-complete antisymmetric non empty reflexive relational structure, L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure, X be a subset of L_1 , and Y be a subset of L_2 such that the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $X = Y$ and X has the property (S). Then Y has the property (S).
- (20) Let L_1 be an up-complete antisymmetric non empty reflexive relational structure, L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure, X be a subset of L_1 , and Y be a subset of L_2 . Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $X = Y$ and X is directly closed. Then Y is directly closed.
- (21) Let N be an antisymmetric relational structure with g.l.b.'s, D, E be subsets of N , and X be an upper subset of N . If D misses X , then $D \sqcap E$ misses X .
- (22) Let R be a reflexive non empty relational structure. Then $\text{id}_{\text{the carrier of } R} \subseteq (\text{the internal relation of } R) \cap (\text{the internal relation of } R^\smile)$.

- (23) Let R be an antisymmetric relational structure. Then $(\text{the internal relation of } R) \cap (\text{the internal relation of } R^\sim) \subseteq \text{id}_{\text{the carrier of } R}$.
- (24) Let R be an upper-bounded semilattice and X be a subset of $[:R, R:]$. If $\inf (\sqcap_R)^\circ X$ exists in R , then \sqcap_R preserves \inf of X .

Let R be a complete semilattice. Observe that \sqcap_R is infs-preserving.
We now state the proposition

- (25) Let R be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and X be a subset of $[:R, R:]$. If $\sup (\sqcup_R)^\circ X$ exists in R , then \sqcup_R preserves \sup of X .

Let R be a complete sup-semilattice. Note that \sqcup_R is sups-preserving.
We now state several propositions:

- (26) For every semilattice N and for every subset A of N such that $\text{sub}(A)$ is meet-inheriting holds A is filtered.
- (27) For every sup-semilattice N and for every subset A of N such that $\text{sub}(A)$ is join-inheriting holds A is directed.
- (28) Let N be a transitive relational structure and A, J be subsets of N . If A is coarser than $\uparrow J$, then $\uparrow A \subseteq \uparrow J$.
- (29) For every transitive relational structure N and for all subsets A, J of N such that A is finer than $\downarrow J$ holds $\downarrow A \subseteq \downarrow J$.
- (30) Let N be a non empty reflexive relational structure, x be an element of N , and X be a subset of N . If $x \in X$, then $\uparrow x \subseteq \uparrow X$.
- (31) Let N be a non empty reflexive relational structure, x be an element of N , and X be a subset of N . If $x \in X$, then $\downarrow x \subseteq \downarrow X$.

3. ON THE HAUSDORFF SPACES

In the sequel R, S, T are non empty topological spaces.

Let T be a non empty topological structure. One can check that the topological structure of T is non empty.

Let T be a topological space. Note that the topological structure of T is topological space-like.
One can prove the following three propositions:

- (32) Let S, T be topological structures and B be a basis of S . Suppose the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T . Then B is a basis of T .
- (33) Let S, T be topological structures and B be a prebasis of S . Suppose the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T . Then B is a prebasis of T .
- (34) Every basis of T is non empty.

Let T be a non empty topological space. One can verify that every basis of T is non empty.
We now state the proposition

- (35) For every point x of T holds every basis of x is non empty.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let x be a point of T . One can verify that every basis of x is non empty.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (36) Let S_1, T_1, S_2, T_2 be non empty topological spaces, f be a map from S_1 into S_2 , and g be a map from T_1 into T_2 . Suppose that
- (i) the topological structure of $S_1 =$ the topological structure of T_1 ,
 - (ii) the topological structure of $S_2 =$ the topological structure of T_2 ,
 - (iii) $f = g$, and
 - (iv) f is continuous.
- Then g is continuous.
- (37) $\text{id}_{\text{the carrier of } T} = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } [T, T]: \pi_1(\text{the carrier of } T) \times \text{the carrier of } T)(p) = \pi_2(\text{the carrier of } T) \times \text{the carrier of } T)(p)\}$.
- (38) $\delta_{\text{the carrier of } T}$ is a continuous map from T into $[T, T]$.
- (39) $\pi_1(\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T$ is a continuous map from $[S, T]$ into S .
- (40) $\pi_2(\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T$ is a continuous map from $[S, T]$ into T .
- (41) Let f be a continuous map from T into S and g be a continuous map from T into R . Then $\langle f, g \rangle$ is a continuous map from T into $[S, R]$.
- (42) $\langle \pi_2(\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T, \pi_1(\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T \rangle$ is a continuous map from $[S, T]$ into $[T, S]$.
- (43) Let f be a map from $[S, T]$ into $[T, S]$. Suppose $f = \langle \pi_2(\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T, \pi_1(\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T \rangle$. Then f is a homeomorphism.
- (44) $[S, T]$ and $[T, S]$ are homeomorphic.
- (45) Let T be a Hausdorff non empty topological space and f, g be continuous maps from S into T . Then
- (i) for every subset X of S such that $X = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } S: f(p) \neq g(p)\}$ holds X is open, and
 - (ii) for every subset X of S such that $X = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } S: f(p) = g(p)\}$ holds X is closed.
- (46) T is Hausdorff iff for every subset A of $[T, T]$ such that $A = \text{id}_{\text{the carrier of } T}$ holds A is closed.

Let S, T be topological structures. Observe that there exists a refinement of S and T which is strict.

Let S be a non empty topological structure and let T be a topological structure. One can verify that there exists a refinement of S and T which is strict and non empty and there exists a refinement of T and S which is strict and non empty.

Next we state the proposition

- (47) Let R, S, T be topological structures. Then R is a refinement of S and T if and only if the topological structure of R is a refinement of S and T .

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2 denote non empty topological spaces, R denotes a refinement of $[S_1, T_1]$ and $[S_2, T_2]$, R_1 denotes a refinement of S_1 and S_2 , and R_2 denotes a refinement of T_1 and T_2 .

The following three propositions are true:

- (48) Suppose the carrier of $S_1 =$ the carrier of S_2 and the carrier of $T_1 =$ the carrier of T_2 . Then $\{[U_1, V_1] \cap [U_2, V_2]; U_1 \text{ ranges over subsets of } S_1, U_2 \text{ ranges over subsets of } S_2, V_1 \text{ ranges over subsets of } T_1, V_2 \text{ ranges over subsets of } T_2: U_1 \text{ is open} \wedge U_2 \text{ is open} \wedge V_1 \text{ is open} \wedge V_2 \text{ is open}\}$ is a basis of R .

- (49) Suppose the carrier of $S_1 =$ the carrier of S_2 and the carrier of $T_1 =$ the carrier of T_2 . Then the carrier of $[:R_1, R_2:] =$ the carrier of R and the topology of $[:R_1, R_2:] =$ the topology of R .
- (50) Suppose the carrier of $S_1 =$ the carrier of S_2 and the carrier of $T_1 =$ the carrier of T_2 . Then $[:R_1, R_2:]$ is a refinement of $[:S_1, T_1:]$ and $[:S_2, T_2:]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html>.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_3.html.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow_9.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_3.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html>.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops_2.html.
- [11] Artur Kornilowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_3.html.
- [12] Artur Kornilowicz. Definitions and properties of the join and meet of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_4.html.
- [13] Artur Kornilowicz. Meet – continuous lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_2.html.
- [14] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.
- [15] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/connspace_2.html.
- [16] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.
- [17] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/cantor_1.html.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/borsuk_1.html.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_6.html.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Baire spaces, Sober spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/yellow_8.html.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/waybel11.html>.
- [24] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.
- [25] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [26] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

- [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.
- [28] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski. T_0 topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/t_0topsp.html.

Received April 18, 1998

Published January 2, 2004
