

# On the Topological Properties of Meet-Continuous Lattices<sup>1</sup>

Artur Korniłowicz  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

**Summary.** This work is continuation of formalization of [12]. Proposition 4.4 from Chapter 0 is proved.

MML Identifier: WAYBEL\_9.

WWW: [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\\_9.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_9.html)

The articles [22], [26], [23], [27], [28], [29], [5], [11], [19], [15], [7], [6], [21], [10], [25], [9], [4], [20], [1], [13], [2], [3], [14], [30], [8], [18], [16], [17], and [24] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure. Note that  $\text{id}_L$  is monotone.

Let  $S, T$  be non empty relational structures and let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Let us observe that  $f$  is antitone if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all elements  $x, y$  of  $S$  such that  $x \leq y$  holds  $f(x) \geq f(y)$ .

We now state several propositions:

(1) Let  $S, T$  be relational structures,  $K, L$  be non empty relational structures,  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $K$  into  $L$ . Suppose that

- (i) the relational structure of  $S =$  the relational structure of  $K$ ,
- (ii) the relational structure of  $T =$  the relational structure of  $L$ ,
- (iii)  $f = g$ , and
- (iv)  $f$  is monotone.

Then  $g$  is monotone.

(2) Let  $S, T$  be relational structures,  $K, L$  be non empty relational structures,  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $K$  into  $L$ . Suppose that

- (i) the relational structure of  $S =$  the relational structure of  $K$ ,
- (ii) the relational structure of  $T =$  the relational structure of  $L$ ,
- (iii)  $f = g$ , and
- (iv)  $f$  is antitone.

Then  $g$  is antitone.

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was partially supported by the Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-95-1-1336.

- (3) Let  $A, B$  be 1-sorted structures,  $F$  be a family of subsets of  $A$ , and  $G$  be a family of subsets of  $B$ . Suppose the carrier of  $A =$  the carrier of  $B$  and  $F = G$  and  $F$  is a cover of  $A$ . Then  $G$  is a cover of  $B$ .
- (4) For every antisymmetric reflexive relational structure  $L$  with l.u.b.'s and for every element  $x$  of  $L$  holds  $\uparrow x = \{x\} \sqcup \Omega_L$ .
- (5) For every antisymmetric reflexive relational structure  $L$  with g.l.b.'s and for every element  $x$  of  $L$  holds  $\downarrow x = \{x\} \cap \Omega_L$ .
- (6) For every antisymmetric reflexive relational structure  $L$  with g.l.b.'s and for every element  $y$  of  $L$  holds  $(y \sqcap \square)^\circ \uparrow y = \{y\}$ .
- (7) For every antisymmetric reflexive relational structure  $L$  with g.l.b.'s and for every element  $x$  of  $L$  holds  $(x \sqcap \square)^{-1}(\{x\}) = \uparrow x$ .
- (8) For every non empty 1-sorted structure  $T$  holds every non empty net structure  $N$  over  $T$  is eventually in rng (the mapping of  $N$ ).

Let  $L$  be a non empty reflexive relational structure, let  $D$  be a non empty directed subset of  $L$ , and let  $n$  be a function from  $D$  into the carrier of  $L$ . Observe that  $\langle D, (\text{the internal relation of } L) \upharpoonright^2 D, n \rangle$  is directed.

Let  $L$  be a non empty reflexive transitive relational structure, let  $D$  be a non empty directed subset of  $L$ , and let  $n$  be a function from  $D$  into the carrier of  $L$ . One can check that  $\langle D, (\text{the internal relation of } L) \upharpoonright^2 D, n \rangle$  is transitive.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (9) Let  $L$  be a non empty reflexive transitive relational structure such that for every element  $x$  of  $L$  and for every net  $N$  in  $L$  such that  $N$  is eventually-directed holds  $x \sqcap \sup N = \sup(\{x\} \sqcap \text{rng netmap}(N, L))$ . Then  $L$  satisfies MC.
- (10) Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure,  $a$  be an element of  $L$ , and  $N$  be a net in  $L$ . Then  $a \sqcap N$  is a net in  $L$ .

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure, let  $x$  be an element of  $L$ , and let  $N$  be a net in  $L$ . Then  $x \sqcap N$  is a strict net in  $L$ .

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure, let  $x$  be an element of  $L$ , and let  $N$  be a non empty reflexive net structure over  $L$ . Note that  $x \sqcap N$  is reflexive.

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure, let  $x$  be an element of  $L$ , and let  $N$  be a non empty antisymmetric net structure over  $L$ . Observe that  $x \sqcap N$  is antisymmetric.

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure, let  $x$  be an element of  $L$ , and let  $N$  be a non empty transitive net structure over  $L$ . Note that  $x \sqcap N$  is transitive.

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure, let  $J$  be a set, and let  $f$  be a function from  $J$  into the carrier of  $L$ . Observe that  $\text{FinSups}(f)$  is transitive.

## 2. THE OPERATIONS DEFINED ON NETS

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $N$  be a net structure over  $L$ . The functor  $\text{inf } N$  yields an element of  $L$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 2)  $\text{inf } N = \text{Inf}(\text{the mapping of } N)$ .

Let  $L$  be a relational structure and let  $N$  be a net structure over  $L$ . We say that  $\text{sup } N$  exists if and only if:

(Def. 3)  $\text{Sup rng}(\text{the mapping of } N)$  exists in  $L$ .

We say that  $\text{inf } N$  exists if and only if:

(Def. 4)  $\text{Inf rng}(\text{the mapping of } N)$  exists in  $L$ .

Let  $L$  be a relational structure. The functor  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$  yielding a strict net structure over  $L$  is defined by:

(Def. 5) The relational structure of  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle =$  the relational structure of  $L$  and the mapping of  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle = \text{id}_L$ .

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure. One can check that  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$  is non empty.

Let  $L$  be a reflexive relational structure. Note that  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$  is reflexive.

Let  $L$  be an antisymmetric relational structure. One can verify that  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$  is antisymmetric.

Let  $L$  be a transitive relational structure. Note that  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$  is transitive.

Let  $L$  be a relational structure with l.u.b.'s. One can check that  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$  is directed.

Let  $L$  be a directed relational structure. One can check that  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$  is directed.

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure. One can verify that  $\langle L; \text{id} \rangle$  is monotone and eventually-directed.

Let  $L$  be a relational structure. The functor  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$  yielding a strict net structure over  $L$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 6).

- (Def. 6)(i) The carrier of  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle =$  the carrier of  $L$ ,
- (ii) the internal relation of  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle =$  (the internal relation of  $L$ )<sup>~</sup>, and
- (iii) the mapping of  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle = \text{id}_L$ .

One can prove the following proposition

- (11) For every relational structure  $L$  holds the relational structure of  $L^{\text{~}}$  = the relational structure of  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$ .

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure. One can verify that  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$  is non empty.

Let  $L$  be a reflexive relational structure. Observe that  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$  is reflexive.

Let  $L$  be an antisymmetric relational structure. Observe that  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$  is antisymmetric.

Let  $L$  be a transitive relational structure. Observe that  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$  is transitive.

Let  $L$  be a relational structure with g.l.b.'s. Note that  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$  is directed.

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure. Observe that  $\langle L^{\text{op}}; \text{id} \rangle$  is antitone and eventually-filtered.

Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $N$  be a non empty net structure over  $L$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . The functor  $N|i$  yields a strict net structure over  $L$  and is defined by the conditions (Def. 7).

- (Def. 7)(i) For every set  $x$  holds  $x \in$  the carrier of  $N|i$  iff there exists an element  $y$  of  $N$  such that  $y = x$  and  $i \leq y$ ,
- (ii) the internal relation of  $N|i =$  (the internal relation of  $N$ )<sup>2</sup> (the carrier of  $N|i$ ), and
- (iii) the mapping of  $N|i =$  (the mapping of  $N$ )|(the carrier of  $N|i$ ).

The following three propositions are true:

- (12) Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure,  $N$  be a non empty net structure over  $L$ , and  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . Then the carrier of  $N|i = \{y; y \text{ ranges over elements of } N: i \leq y\}$ .
- (13) Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure,  $N$  be a non empty net structure over  $L$ , and  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . Then the carrier of  $N|i \subseteq$  the carrier of  $N$ .
- (14) Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure,  $N$  be a non empty net structure over  $L$ , and  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . Then  $N|i$  is a full structure of a subnet of  $N$ .

Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $N$  be a non empty reflexive net structure over  $L$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . Observe that  $N|i$  is non empty and reflexive.

Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $N$  be a non empty directed net structure over  $L$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . One can verify that  $N|i$  is non empty.

Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $N$  be a non empty reflexive antisymmetric net structure over  $L$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . Observe that  $N|i$  is antisymmetric.

Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $N$  be a non empty directed antisymmetric net structure over  $L$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . One can check that  $N|i$  is antisymmetric.

Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $N$  be a non empty reflexive transitive net structure over  $L$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . Note that  $N|i$  is transitive.

Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $N$  be a net in  $L$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . One can verify that  $N|i$  is transitive and directed.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (15) Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure,  $N$  be a non empty reflexive net structure over  $L$ ,  $i$ ,  $x$  be elements of  $N$ , and  $x_1$  be an element of  $N|i$ . If  $x = x_1$ , then  $N(x) = (N|i)(x_1)$ .
- (16) Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure,  $N$  be a non empty directed net structure over  $L$ ,  $i$ ,  $x$  be elements of  $N$ , and  $x_1$  be an element of  $N|i$ . If  $x = x_1$ , then  $N(x) = (N|i)(x_1)$ .
- (17) Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure,  $N$  be a net in  $L$ , and  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . Then  $N|i$  is a subnet of  $N$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let  $N$  be a net in  $T$ . Note that there exists a subnet of  $N$  which is strict.

Let  $L$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $N$  be a net in  $L$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $N$ . Then  $N|i$  is a strict subnet of  $N$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $T$  be a 1-sorted structure, let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and let  $N$  be a net structure over  $S$ . The functor  $f \cdot N$  yielding a strict net structure over  $T$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 8).

- (Def. 8)(i) The relational structure of  $f \cdot N =$  the relational structure of  $N$ , and  
(ii) the mapping of  $f \cdot N = f \cdot$  the mapping of  $N$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $T$  be a 1-sorted structure, let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and let  $N$  be a non empty net structure over  $S$ . Observe that  $f \cdot N$  is non empty.

Let  $S$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $T$  be a 1-sorted structure, let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and let  $N$  be a reflexive net structure over  $S$ . Observe that  $f \cdot N$  is reflexive.

Let  $S$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $T$  be a 1-sorted structure, let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and let  $N$  be an antisymmetric net structure over  $S$ . One can verify that  $f \cdot N$  is antisymmetric.

Let  $S$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $T$  be a 1-sorted structure, let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and let  $N$  be a transitive net structure over  $S$ . Observe that  $f \cdot N$  is transitive.

Let  $S$  be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let  $T$  be a 1-sorted structure, let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and let  $N$  be a directed net structure over  $S$ . One can check that  $f \cdot N$  is directed.

Next we state the proposition

- (18) Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure,  $N$  be a non empty net structure over  $L$ , and  $x$  be an element of  $L$ . Then  $(x \sqcap \square) \cdot N = x \sqcap N$ .

### 3. THE PROPERTIES OF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

One can prove the following propositions:

- (19) Let  $S, T$  be topological structures,  $F$  be a family of subsets of  $S$ , and  $G$  be a family of subsets of  $T$ . Suppose the topological structure of  $S =$  the topological structure of  $T$  and  $F = G$  and  $F$  is open. Then  $G$  is open.
- (20) Let  $S, T$  be topological structures,  $F$  be a family of subsets of  $S$ , and  $G$  be a family of subsets of  $T$ . Suppose the topological structure of  $S =$  the topological structure of  $T$  and  $F = G$  and  $F$  is closed. Then  $G$  is closed.

We introduce FR-structures which are extensions of topological structure and relational structure and are systems

$\langle$  a carrier, an internal relation, a topology  $\rangle$ ,

where the carrier is a set, the internal relation is a binary relation on the carrier, and the topology is a family of subsets of the carrier.

Let  $A$  be a non empty set, let  $R$  be a relation between  $A$  and  $A$ , and let  $T$  be a family of subsets of  $A$ . Note that  $\langle A, R, T \rangle$  is non empty.

Let  $x$  be a set, let  $R$  be a binary relation on  $\{x\}$ , and let  $T$  be a family of subsets of  $\{x\}$ . Note that  $\langle \{x\}, R, T \rangle$  is trivial.

Let  $X$  be a set, let  $O$  be an order in  $X$ , and let  $T$  be a family of subsets of  $X$ . Note that  $\langle X, O, T \rangle$  is reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric.

Let us note that there exists a FR-structure which is trivial, reflexive, non empty, discrete, strict, and finite.

A top-lattice is a reflexive transitive antisymmetric topological space-like FR-structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s.

Let us observe that there exists a top-lattice which is strict, non empty, trivial, discrete, finite, compact, and Hausdorff.

Let  $T$  be a Hausdorff non empty topological space. Note that every non empty subspace of  $T$  is Hausdorff.

Next we state several propositions:

- (21) For every non empty topological space  $T$  and for every point  $p$  of  $T$  holds every element of the open neighbourhoods of  $p$  is a neighbourhood of  $p$ .
- (22) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $p$  be a point of  $T$ , and  $A, B$  be elements of the open neighbourhoods of  $p$ . Then  $A \cap B$  is an element of the open neighbourhoods of  $p$ .
- (23) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $p$  be a point of  $T$ , and  $A, B$  be elements of the open neighbourhoods of  $p$ . Then  $A \cup B$  is an element of the open neighbourhoods of  $p$ .
- (24) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $p$  be an element of  $T$ , and  $N$  be a net in  $T$ . Suppose  $p \in \text{Lim}N$ . Let  $S$  be a subset of  $T$ . If  $S = \text{rng}(\text{the mapping of } N)$ , then  $p \in \bar{S}$ .
- (25) Let  $T$  be a Hausdorff top-lattice,  $N$  be a convergent net in  $T$ , and  $f$  be a map from  $T$  into  $T$ . If  $f$  is continuous, then  $f(\text{lim}N) \in \text{Lim}(f \cdot N)$ .
- (26) Let  $T$  be a Hausdorff top-lattice,  $N$  be a convergent net in  $T$ , and  $x$  be an element of  $T$ . If  $x \sqcap \square$  is continuous, then  $x \sqcap \text{lim}N \in \text{Lim}(x \sqcap N)$ .
- (27) Let  $S$  be a Hausdorff top-lattice and  $x$  be an element of  $S$ . If for every element  $a$  of  $S$  holds  $a \sqcap \square$  is continuous, then  $\uparrow x$  is closed.
- (28) Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff top-lattice and  $x$  be an element of  $S$ . If for every element  $b$  of  $S$  holds  $b \sqcap \square$  is continuous, then  $\downarrow x$  is closed.

#### 4. THE CLUSTER POINTS OF NETS

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space, let  $N$  be a non empty net structure over  $T$ , and let  $p$  be a point of  $T$ . We say that  $p$  is a cluster point of  $N$  if and only if:

(Def. 9) For every neighbourhood  $O$  of  $p$  holds  $N$  is often in  $O$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (29) Let  $L$  be a non empty topological space,  $N$  be a net in  $L$ , and  $c$  be a point of  $L$ . If  $c \in \text{Lim}N$ , then  $c$  is a cluster point of  $N$ .
- (30) For every compact Hausdorff non empty topological space  $T$  and for every net  $N$  in  $T$  holds there exists a point of  $T$  which is a cluster point of  $N$ .
- (31) Let  $L$  be a non empty topological space,  $N$  be a net in  $L$ ,  $M$  be a subnet of  $N$ , and  $c$  be a point of  $L$ . If  $c$  is a cluster point of  $M$ , then  $c$  is a cluster point of  $N$ .

- (32) Let  $T$  be a non empty topological space,  $N$  be a net in  $T$ , and  $x$  be a point of  $T$ . If  $x$  is a cluster point of  $N$ , then there exists a subnet  $M$  of  $N$  such that  $x \in \text{Lim}M$ .
- (33) Let  $L$  be a compact Hausdorff non empty topological space and  $N$  be a net in  $L$ . Suppose that for all points  $c, d$  of  $L$  such that  $c$  is a cluster point of  $N$  and  $d$  is a cluster point of  $N$  holds  $c = d$ . Let  $s$  be a point of  $L$ . If  $s$  is a cluster point of  $N$ , then  $s \in \text{Lim}N$ .
- (34) Let  $S$  be a non empty topological space,  $c$  be a point of  $S$ ,  $N$  be a net in  $S$ , and  $A$  be a subset of  $S$ . Suppose  $c$  is a cluster point of  $N$  and  $A$  is closed and  $\text{rng}(\text{the mapping of } N) \subseteq A$ . Then  $c \in A$ .
- (35) Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff top-lattice,  $c$  be a point of  $S$ , and  $N$  be a net in  $S$ . Suppose for every element  $x$  of  $S$  holds  $x \sqcap \square$  is continuous and  $N$  is eventually-directed and  $c$  is a cluster point of  $N$ . Then  $c = \sup N$ .
- (36) Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff top-lattice,  $c$  be a point of  $S$ , and  $N$  be a net in  $S$ . Suppose for every element  $x$  of  $S$  holds  $x \sqcap \square$  is continuous and  $N$  is eventually-filtered and  $c$  is a cluster point of  $N$ . Then  $c = \inf N$ .

## 5. ON THE TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF MEET-CONTINUOUS LATTICES

The following propositions are true:

- (37) Let  $S$  be a Hausdorff top-lattice. Suppose that
- (i) for every net  $N$  in  $S$  such that  $N$  is eventually-directed holds  $\sup N$  exists and  $\sup N \in \text{Lim}N$ , and
  - (ii) for every element  $x$  of  $S$  holds  $x \sqcap \square$  is continuous.
- Then  $S$  is meet-continuous.
- (38) Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff top-lattice. Suppose that for every element  $x$  of  $S$  holds  $x \sqcap \square$  is continuous. Let  $N$  be a net in  $S$ . If  $N$  is eventually-directed, then  $\sup N$  exists and  $\sup N \in \text{Lim}N$ .
- (39) Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff top-lattice. Suppose that for every element  $x$  of  $S$  holds  $x \sqcap \square$  is continuous. Let  $N$  be a net in  $S$ . If  $N$  is eventually-filtered, then  $\inf N$  exists and  $\inf N \in \text{Lim}N$ .
- (40) Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff top-lattice. If for every element  $x$  of  $S$  holds  $x \sqcap \square$  is continuous, then  $S$  is bounded.
- (41) Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff top-lattice. Suppose that for every element  $x$  of  $S$  holds  $x \sqcap \square$  is continuous. Then  $S$  is meet-continuous.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html>.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\\_0.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html).
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\\_0.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html).
- [4] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/realset1.html>.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html).
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html).
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html>.

- [8] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_1.html).
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/compts\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/compts_1.html).
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops_2.html).
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html).
- [12] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
- [13] Adam Grabowski. On the category of posets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/orders\\_3.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/orders_3.html).
- [14] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_1.html).
- [15] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Relations of tolerance. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/toler\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/toler_1.html).
- [16] Artur Korniłowicz. Definitions and properties of the join and meet of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\\_4.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_4.html).
- [17] Artur Korniłowicz. Meet – continuous lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_2.html).
- [18] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/grcat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/grcat_1.html).
- [19] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html).
- [20] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/connspace\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/connspace_2.html).
- [21] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre\\_topc.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html).
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html).
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\\_6.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_6.html).
- [25] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html).
- [26] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html).
- [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html).
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html).
- [29] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_2.html).
- [30] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_2.html).

*Received December 20, 1996*

*Published January 2, 2004*

---