JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 15, Released 2003, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Morphisms Into Chains. Part I

Artur Korniłowicz
University of Białystok

Summary. This work is the continuation of formalization of [10]. Items from 2.1 to 2.8 of Chapter 4 are proved.

MML Identifier: WAYBEL35.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol15/waybel35.html

The articles [13], [7], [17], [14], [4], [15], [16], [1], [18], [20], [19], [5], [6], [2], [12], [21], [3], [8], [11], and [9] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a set. Note that there exists a subset of X which is trivial.

Let X be a trivial set. Observe that every subset of X is trivial.

Let L be a 1-sorted structure. Note that there exists a subset of L which is trivial.

Let L be a relational structure. Note that there exists a subset of L which is trivial.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure. Note that there exists a subset of L which is non empty and trivial.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. Observe that there exists a subset of L which is non empty and trivial.

One can prove the following propositions:

(1) For every set X holds $\subseteq_X$ is reflexive in X.

(2) For every set X holds $\subseteq_X$ is transitive in X.

(3) For every set X holds $\subseteq_X$ is antisymmetric in X.

2. MAIN PART

Let L be a relational structure. One can verify that there exists a binary relation on L which is auxiliary(i).

Let L be a transitive relational structure. Note that there exists a binary relation on L which is auxiliary(i) and auxiliary(ii).

Let L be an antisymmetric relational structure with l.u.b.'s. Observe that there exists a binary relation on L which is auxiliary(iii).

Let L be a non empty lower-bounded antisymmetric relational structure. Note that there exists a binary relation on L which is auxiliary(iv).

Let L be a non empty relational structure and let R be a binary relation on L. We say that R is extra-order if and only if:

(Def. 1) R is auxiliary(i), auxiliary(ii), and auxiliary(iv).

Let L be a non empty relational structure. Note that every binary relation on L which is extra-order is also auxiliary(i), auxiliary(ii), and auxiliary(iv) and every binary relation on L which is auxiliary(i), auxiliary(ii), and auxiliary(iv) is also extra-order.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. Observe that every binary relation on L which is extra-order and auxiliary(iii) is also auxiliary and every binary relation on L which is auxiliary is also extra-order.

Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric transitive non empty relational structure. Note that there exists a binary relation on L which is extra-order.

Let L be a lower-bounded poset with l.u.b.'s and let R be an auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L. The functor $R-\mathrm{LowerMap}$ yields a map from L into $\langle \mathrm{LOWER}\, L, \subseteq \rangle$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) For every element x of L holds $R-\mathrm{LowerMap}(x) = \downarrow_R x$.

Let L be a lower-bounded poset with l.u.b.'s and let R be an auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L. Observe that $R-\mathrm{LowerMap}$ is monotone.

Let L be a 1-sorted structure and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L. A subset of L is called a strict chain of R if:

(Def. 3) For all sets x, y such that $x \in$ it and $y \in$ it holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ or $x = y$ or $\langle y, x \rangle \in R$.

We now state the proposition

(4) Let L be a 1-sorted structure, C be a trivial subset of L, and R be a binary relation on the carrier of L. Then C is a strict chain of R.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L. Observe that there exists a strict chain of R which is non empty and trivial.

Next we state four propositions:

(5) Let L be an antisymmetric relational structure, R be an auxiliary(i) binary relation on L, C be a strict chain of R, and x, y be elements of L. If $x \in C$ and $y \in C$ and $x < y$, then $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$.

(6) Let L be an antisymmetric relational structure, R be an auxiliary(i) binary relation on L, and x, y be elements of L. If $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $\langle y, x \rangle \in R$, then $x = y$.

(7) Let L be a non empty lower-bounded antisymmetric relational structure, x be an element of L, and R be an auxiliary(iv) binary relation on L. Then $\{\bot_L, x\}$ is a strict chain of R.

(8) Let L be a non empty lower-bounded antisymmetric relational structure, R be an auxiliary(iv) binary relation on L, and C be a strict chain of R. Then $C \cup \{\bot_L\}$ is a strict chain of R.

Let L be a 1-sorted structure, let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L, and let C be a strict chain of R. We say that C is maximal if and only if:

(Def. 4) For every strict chain D of R such that $C \subseteq D$ holds $C = D$.

Let L be a 1-sorted structure, let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L, and let C be a set. The functor $\mathrm{StrictChains}(R,C)$ is defined by:

(Def. 5) For every set x holds $x \in \mathrm{StrictChains}(R,C)$ iff x is a strict chain of R and $C \subseteq x$.

Let L be a 1-sorted structure, let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L, and let C be a strict chain of R. Note that $\mathrm{StrictChains}(R,C)$ is non empty.

Let R be a binary relation and let X be a set. We introduce X is inductive w.r.t. R as a synonym of X has the upper Zorn property w.r.t. R.

We now state several propositions:

(9) Let L be a 1-sorted structure, R be a binary relation on the carrier of L, and C be a strict chain of R. Then $\mathrm{StrictChains}(R,C)$ is inductive w.r.t. $\subseteq_{\mathrm{StrictChains}(R,C)}$ and there exists a set D such that D is maximal in $\subseteq_{\mathrm{StrictChains}(R,C)}$ and $C \subseteq D$.

(10) Let L be a non empty transitive relational structure, C be a non empty subset of L, and X be a subset of C. Suppose sup X exists in L and $\bigsqcup_L X \in C$. Then sup X exists in $\mathrm{sub}(C)$ and $\bigsqcup_L X = \bigsqcup_{\mathrm{sub}(C)} X$.

(11) Let L be a non empty poset, R be an auxiliary(i) auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L, C be a non empty strict chain of R, and X be a subset of C. If sup X exists in L and C is maximal, then sup X exists in $\mathrm{sub}(C)$.

(12) Let L be a non empty poset, R be an auxiliary(i) auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L, C be a non empty strict chain of R, and X be a subset of C. Suppose inf $\uparrow\bigsqcup_L X \cap C$ exists in L and sup X exists in L and C is maximal. Then $\bigsqcup_{\mathrm{sub}(C)} X = \bigsqcap_L(\uparrow\bigsqcup_L X \cap C)$ and if $\bigsqcup_L X \notin C$, then $\bigsqcup_L X < \bigsqcap_L(\uparrow\bigsqcup_L X \cap C)$.

(13) Let L be a complete non empty poset, R be an auxiliary(i) auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L, and C be a non empty strict chain of R. If C is maximal, then $\mathrm{sub}(C)$ is complete.

(14) Let L be a non empty lower-bounded antisymmetric relational structure, R be an auxiliary(iv) binary relation on L, and C be a strict chain of R. If C is maximal, then $\bot_L \in C$.

(15) Let L be a non empty upper-bounded poset, R be an auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L, C be a strict chain of R, and m be an element of L. Suppose C is maximal and m is a maximum of C and $\langle m, \top_L \rangle \in R$. Then $\langle \top_L, \top_L \rangle \in R$ and $m = \top_L$.

Let L be a relational structure, let C be a set, and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L. We say that R satisfies SIC on C if and only if the condition (Def. 6) is satisfied.

(Def. 6) Let x, z be elements of L. Suppose $x \in C$ and $z \in C$ and $\langle x, z \rangle \in R$ and $x \neq z$. Then there exists an element y of L such that $y \in C$ and $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $\langle y, z \rangle \in R$ and $x \neq y$.

Let L be a relational structure, let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L, and let C be a strict chain of R. We say that C satisfies SIC if and only if:

(Def. 7) R satisfies SIC on C.

We introduce C satisfies the interpolation property and C satisfies the interpolation property as synonyms of C satisfies SIC.

Next we state the proposition

(16) Let L be a relational structure, C be a set, and R be an auxiliary(i) binary relation on L. Suppose R satisfies SIC on C. Let x, z be elements of L. Suppose $x \in C$ and $z \in C$ and $\langle x, z \rangle \in R$ and $x \neq z$. Then there exists an element y of L such that $y \in C$ and $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $\langle y, z \rangle \in R$ and $x < y$.

Let L be a relational structure and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L. One can verify that every strict chain of R which is trivial satisfies also SIC.

Let L be a non empty relational structure and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L. Observe that there exists a strict chain of R which is non empty and trivial.

One can prove the following proposition

(17) Let L be a lower-bounded poset with l.u.b.'s, R be an auxiliary(i) auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L, and C be a strict chain of R. Suppose C is maximal and R satisfies strong interpolation property. Then R satisfies SIC on C.

Let R be a binary relation and let C, y be sets. The functor $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,y)$ is defined by:

(Def. 8) $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,y) = R^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap C$.

The following proposition is true

(18) For every binary relation R and for all sets C, x, y holds $x \in \mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,y)$ iff $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $x \in C$.

Let L be a 1-sorted structure, let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L, and let C, y be sets. Then $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,y)$ is a subset of L.

One can prove the following propositions:

(19) Let L be a relational structure, R be an auxiliary(i) binary relation on L, C be a set, and y be an element of L. Then $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,y) \leq y$.

(20) Let L be a reflexive transitive relational structure, R be an auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L, C be a subset of L, and x, y be elements of L. If $x \leq y$, then $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,x) \subseteq \mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,y)$.

(21) Let L be a relational structure, R be an auxiliary(i) binary relation on L, C be a set, and x be an element of L. If $x \in C$ and $\langle x, x \rangle \in R$ and sup $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,x)$ exists in L, then $x = \sup \mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,x)$.

Let L be a relational structure and let C be a subset of L. We say that C is sup-closed if and only if:

(Def. 9) For every subset X of C such that sup X exists in L holds $\bigsqcup_L X = \bigsqcup_{\mathrm{sub}(C)} X$.

Next we state three propositions:

(22) Let L be a complete non empty poset, R be an extra-order binary relation on L, C be a strict chain of R satisfying SIC, and p, q be elements of L. Suppose $p \in C$ and $q \in C$ and $p < q$. Then there exists an element y of L such that $p < y$ and $\langle y, q \rangle \in R$ and $y = \sup \mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,y)$.

(23) Let L be a lower-bounded non empty poset, R be an extra-order binary relation on L, and C be a non empty strict chain of R. Suppose that

(i) C is sup-closed,

(ii) for every element c of L such that $c \in C$ holds sup $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,c)$ exists in L, and

(iii) R satisfies SIC on C.

Let c be an element of L. If $c \in C$, then $c = \sup \mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,c)$.

(24) Let L be a non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure, R be an auxiliary(i) binary relation on L, and C be a strict chain of R. Suppose that for every element c of L such that $c \in C$ holds sup $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,c)$ exists in L and $c = \sup \mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,c)$. Then R satisfies SIC on C.

Let L be a non empty relational structure, let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L, and let C be a set. The functor $\mathrm{SupBelow}(R,C)$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 10) For every set y holds $y \in \mathrm{SupBelow}(R,C)$ iff $y = \sup \mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,y)$.

Let L be a non empty relational structure, let R be a binary relation on the carrier of L, and let C be a set. Then $\mathrm{SupBelow}(R,C)$ is a subset of L.

One can prove the following propositions:

(25) Let L be a non empty reflexive transitive relational structure, R be an auxiliary(i) auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L, and C be a strict chain of R. Suppose that for every element c of L holds sup $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,c)$ exists in L. Then $\mathrm{SupBelow}(R,C)$ is a strict chain of R.

(26) Let L be a non empty poset, R be an auxiliary(i) auxiliary(ii) binary relation on L, and C be a subset of L. Suppose that for every element c of L holds sup $\mathrm{SetBelow}(R,C,c)$ exists in L. Then $\mathrm{SupBelow}(R,C)$ is sup-closed.

(27) Let L be a complete non empty poset, R be an extra-order binary relation on L, C be a strict chain of R satisfying SIC, and d be an element of L. If $d \in \mathrm{SupBelow}(R,C)$, then $d = \bigsqcup_L \{b; b$ ranges over elements of L: $b \in \mathrm{SupBelow}(R,C) \wedge \langle b, d \rangle \in R\}$.

(28) Let L be a complete non empty poset, R be an extra-order binary relation on L, and C be a strict chain of R satisfying SIC. Then R satisfies SIC on $\mathrm{SupBelow}(R,C)$.

(29) Let L be a complete non empty poset, R be an extra-order binary relation on L, C be a strict chain of R satisfying SIC, and a, b be elements of L. Suppose $a \in C$ and $b \in C$ and $a < b$. Then there exists an element d of L such that $d \in \mathrm{SupBelow}(R,C)$ and $a < d$ and $\langle d, b \rangle \in R$.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.

[4] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/realset1.html.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[8] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_1.html.

[9] Marek Dudzicz. Representation theorem for finite distributive lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 12, 2000. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol12/lattice7.html.

[10] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.

[11] Adam Grabowski. Auxiliary and approximating relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_4.html.

[12] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_1.html.

[13] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[14] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html.

[15] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.

[16] Wojciech A. Trybulec and Grzegorz Bancerek. Kuratowski - Zorn lemma. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_2.html.

[17] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

[18] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

[19] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.

[20] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_2.html.

[21] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_2.html.

Received February 6, 2003

Published January 2, 2004