JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 12, Released 2000, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

On the Order-consistent Topology of Complete and Uncomplete Lattices

Ewa Grądzka
University of Białystok

Summary. This paper is a continuation of the formalisation of [9] pp. 108–109. Order-consistent and upper topologies are defined. The theorem that the Scott and the upper topologies are order-consistent is proved. Remark 1.4 and example 1.5(2) are generalized for proving this theorem.

MML Identifier: WAYBEL32.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol12/waybel32.html

The articles [15], [7], [20], [21], [5], [8], [6], [18], [1], [13], [12], [23], [19], [2], [3], [14], [10], [16], [11], [22], [17], and [4] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

Let T be a non empty FR-structure. We say that T is upper if and only if:

(Def. 1) $\{(\downarrow x)^{\rm c} : x$ ranges over elements of $T\}$ is a prebasis of T.

One can check that there exists a top-lattice which is Scott, up-complete, and strict.

Let T be a topological space-like non empty reflexive FR-structure. We say that T is order consistent if and only if the condition (Def. 2) is satisfied.

(Def. 2) Let x be an element of T. Then

(i) $\downarrow x = \overline{\{x\}}$, and

(ii) for every eventually-directed net N in T such that $x = \sup N$ and for every neighbourhood V of x holds N is eventually in V.

Let us note that every non empty reflexive topological space-like FR-structure which is trivial is also upper.

Let us mention that there exists a top-lattice which is upper, trivial, up-complete, and strict.

One can prove the following propositions:

(1) For every upper up-complete non empty top-poset T and for every subset A of T such that A is open holds A is upper.

(2) For every up-complete non empty top-poset T such that T is upper holds T is order consistent.

(7)[1] For every up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric relational structure R holds there exists a topological augmentation of R which is Scott.

(8) Let R be an up-complete non empty poset and T be a topological augmentation of R. If T is Scott, then T is correct.

[1] The propositions (3)–(6) have been removed.

Let R be an up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric relational structure. Note that every topological augmentation of R which is Scott is also correct.

Let R be an up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric relational structure. Observe that there exists a topological augmentation of R which is Scott and correct.

We now state several propositions:

(9) Let T be a Scott up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric FR-structure and x be an element of T. Then $\overline{\{x\}} = \downarrow x$.

(10) Every up-complete Scott non empty top-poset is order consistent.

(11) Let R be an inf-complete semilattice, Z be a net in R, and D be a subset of R. Suppose $D = \{\bigsqcap_R\{Z(k); k$ ranges over elements of Z: $k \geq j\}$: j ranges over elements of $Z\}$. Then D is non empty and directed.

(12) Let R be an inf-complete semilattice, S be a subset of R, and a be an element of R. If $a \in S$, then $\bigsqcap_R S \leq a$.

(13) For every inf-complete semilattice R and for every monotone reflexive net N in R holds $\liminf N = \sup N$.

(14) Let R be an inf-complete semilattice and S be a subset of R. Then $S \in$ the topology of ConvergenceSpace(the Scott convergence of R) if and only if S is inaccessible and upper.

(15) Let R be an inf-complete up-complete semilattice and T be a topological augmentation of R. If the topology of $T = \sigma(R)$, then T is Scott.

Let R be an inf-complete up-complete semilattice. Note that there exists a topological augmentation of R which is strict, Scott, and correct.

The following two propositions are true:

(16) Let S be an up-complete inf-complete semilattice and T be a Scott topological augmentation of S. Then $\sigma(S) =$ the topology of T.

(17) Every Scott up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric FR-structure is a T_0-space.

Let R be an up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric relational structure. Note that every topological augmentation of R is up-complete.

One can prove the following propositions:

(18) Let R be an up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric relational structure, T be a Scott topological augmentation of R, x be an element of T, and A be an upper subset of T. If $x \notin A$, then $(\downarrow x)^{\mathrm{c}}$ is a neighbourhood of A.

(19) Let R be an up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric FR-structure, T be a Scott topological augmentation of R, and S be an upper subset of T. Then there exists a family F of subsets of T such that $S = \bigcap F$ and for every subset X of T such that $X \in F$ holds X is a neighbourhood of S.

(20) Let T be a Scott up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric FR-structure and S be a subset of T. Then S is open if and only if S is upper and property(S).

(21) Let R be an up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric FR-structure, S be a non empty directed subset of R, and a be an element of R. If $a \in S$, then $a \leq \bigsqcup_R S$.

Let T be an up-complete non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric FR-structure. One can check that every subset of T which is lower is also property(S).

Next we state three propositions:

(22) For every finite up-complete non empty poset T holds every subset of T is inaccessible.

(23) Let R be a complete connected lattice, T be a Scott topological augmentation of R, and x be an element of T. Then $(\downarrow x)^{\rm c}$ is open.

(24) Let R be a complete connected lattice, T be a Scott topological augmentation of R, and S be a subset of T. Then S is open if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) $S =$ the carrier of T, or

(ii) $S \in \{(\downarrow x)^{\rm c} : x$ ranges over elements of $T\}$.

Let R be an up-complete non empty poset. Note that there exists a correct topological augmentation of R which is order consistent.

Let us mention that there exists a top-lattice which is order consistent and complete.

One can prove the following propositions:

(25) Let R be a non empty FR-structure and A be a subset of R. Suppose that for every element x of R holds $\downarrow x = \overline{\{x\}}$. If A is open, then A is upper.

(26) Let R be a non empty FR-structure and A be a subset of R. Suppose that for every element x of R holds $\downarrow x = \overline{\{x\}}$. Let A be a subset of R. If A is closed, then A is lower.

(27) For every up-complete inf-complete lattice T and for every net N in T and for every element i of N holds $\liminf(N\restriction i) = \liminf N$.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let R be a non empty relational structure, and let f be a function from the carrier of R into the carrier of S. The functor $R * f$ yields a strict non empty net structure over S and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) The relational structure of $R*f =$ the relational structure of R and the mapping of $R*f = f$.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let R be a non empty transitive relational structure, and let f be a function from the carrier of R into the carrier of S. Observe that $R*f$ is transitive.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let R be a non empty directed relational structure, and let f be a function from the carrier of R into the carrier of S. Note that $R*f$ is directed.

Let R be a non empty relational structure and let N be a prenet over R. The functor inf_net$N$ yielding a strict prenet over R is defined by:

(Def. 4) There exists a map f from N into R such that inf_net$N = N*f$ and for every element i of N holds $f(i) = \bigsqcap_R \{N(k); k$ ranges over elements of N: $k \geq i\}$.

Let R be a non empty relational structure and let N be a net in R. One can check that inf_net$N$ is transitive.

Let R be a non empty relational structure and let N be a net in R. Observe that inf_net$N$ is directed.

Let R be an inf-complete non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure and let N be a net in R. One can check that inf_net$N$ is monotone.

Let R be an inf-complete non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure and let N be a net in R. Observe that inf_net$N$ is eventually-directed.

Next we state several propositions:

(28) Let R be a non empty relational structure and N be a net in R. Then rng(the mapping of inf_net$N$) $= \{\bigsqcap_R \{N(i); i$ ranges over elements of N: $i \geq j\} : j$ ranges over elements of $N\}$.

(29) For every up-complete inf-complete lattice R and for every net N in R holds sup inf_net$N = \liminf N$.

(30) For every up-complete inf-complete lattice R and for every net N in R and for every element i of N holds sup inf_net$N = \liminf(N\restriction i)$.

(31) Let R be an inf-complete semilattice, N be a net in R, and V be an upper subset of R. If inf_net$N$ is eventually in V, then N is eventually in V.

(32) Let R be an inf-complete semilattice, N be a net in R, and V be a lower subset of R. If N is eventually in V, then $\inf\_net N$ is eventually in V.

(33) Let R be an order consistent up-complete inf-complete non empty top-lattice, N be a net in R, and x be an element of R. If $x \leq \liminf N$, then x is a cluster point of N.

(34) Let R be an order consistent up-complete inf-complete non empty top-lattice, N be an eventually-directed net in R, and x be an element of R. Then $x \leq \liminf N$ if and only if x is a cluster point of N.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Grzegorz Bancerek for his help in the preparation of this article.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow_9.html.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[8] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.

[9] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.

[10] Artur Korniłowicz. Meet – continuous lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_2.html.

[11] Artur Korniłowicz. On the topological properties of meet-continuous lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_9.html.

[12] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/connsp_2.html.

[13] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.

[14] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/cantor_1.html.

[15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[16] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_6.html.

[17] Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/waybel11.html.

[18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.

[19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group_1.html.

[20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

[21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

[22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.

[23] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski. T_0 topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/t_0topsp.html.

Received May 23, 2000

Published January 2, 2004