

More on the Finite Sequences on the Plane¹

Andrzej Trybulec
University of Białystok

Summary. We continue proving lemmas needed for the proof of the Jordan curve theorem. The main goal was to prove the last theorem being a mutation of the first theorem in [12].

MML Identifier: TOPREAL8.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/topreal8.html>

The articles [18], [1], [15], [9], [16], [4], [2], [5], [19], [10], [13], [8], [21], [3], [17], [6], [7], [11], [14], and [20] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following proposition

- (1) For all sets A, x, y such that $A \subseteq \{x, y\}$ and $x \in A$ and $y \notin A$ holds $A = \{x\}$.

Let us observe that there exists a function which is trivial.

2. FINITE SEQUENCES

We use the following convention: G is a Go-board and i, j, k, m, n are natural numbers.

Let us observe that there exists a finite sequence which is non constant.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (2) For every non trivial finite sequence f holds $1 < \text{len } f$.
- (3) For every non trivial set D and for every non constant circular finite sequence f of elements of D holds $\text{len } f > 2$.
- (4) For every finite sequence f and for every set x holds $x \in \text{rng } f$ or $x \notin f = 0$.
- (5) Let p be a set, D be a non empty set, f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of D , and g be a finite sequence of elements of D . If $p \neq f = \text{len } f$, then $f \frown g \rightarrow p = g$.
- (6) For every non empty set D and for every non empty one-to-one finite sequence f of elements of D holds $f_{\text{len } f} \neq f = \text{len } f$.
- (7) For all finite sequences f, g holds $\text{len } f \leq \text{len}(f \frown g)$.
- (8) For all finite sequences f, g and for every set x such that $x \in \text{rng } f$ holds $x \neq f = x \neq (f \frown g)$.

¹This work has been partially supported by CALCULEMUS grant HPRN-CT-2000-00102.

- (9) For every non empty finite sequence f and for every finite sequence g holds $\text{len } g \leq \text{len}(f \frown g)$.
- (10) For all finite sequences f, g holds $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{rng}(f \frown g)$.
- (11) Let D be a non empty set, f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of D , and g be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of D . If $g_{\text{len } g} = f_1$, then $f \frown g$ is circular.
- (12) Let D be a non empty set, M be a matrix over D , f be a finite sequence of elements of D , and g be a non empty finite sequence of elements of D . Suppose $f_{\text{len } f} = g_1$ and f is a sequence which elements belong to M and g is a sequence which elements belong to M . Then $f \frown g$ is a sequence which elements belong to M .
- (13) For every set D and for every finite sequence f of elements of D such that $1 \leq k$ holds $\langle f(k+1), \dots, f(\text{len } f) \rangle = f \downarrow k$.
- (14) For every set D and for every finite sequence f of elements of D such that $k \leq \text{len } f$ holds $\langle f(1), \dots, f(k) \rangle = f \uparrow k$.
- (15) Let p be a set, D be a non empty set, f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of D , and g be a finite sequence of elements of D . If $p \leftarrow f = \text{len } f$, then $f \frown g \leftarrow p = \langle f(1), \dots, f(\text{len } f - 1) \rangle$.
- (16) Let D be a non empty set and f, g be non empty finite sequences of elements of D . If $g_1 \leftarrow f = \text{len } f$, then $(f \frown g) - : g_1 = g$.
- (17) Let D be a non empty set and f, g be non empty finite sequences of elements of D . If $g_1 \leftarrow f = \text{len } f$, then $(f \frown g) - : g_1 = f$.
- (18) Let D be a non trivial set, f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of D , and g be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of D . Suppose $g_1 = f_{\text{len } f}$ and for every i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i < \text{len } f$ holds $f_i \neq g_1$. Then $f \frown g \cup g_1 = g \frown f$.

3. ON THE PLANE

Next we state several propositions:

- (19) For every non trivial finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $\mathcal{L}(f, 1) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \downarrow 2)$.
- (20) For every s.c.c. finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and for every n such that $n < \text{len } f$ holds $f \downarrow n$ is s.n.c..
- (21) For every s.c.c. finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and for every n such that $1 \leq n$ holds $f \uparrow n$ is s.n.c..
- (22) Let f be a circular s.c.c. finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and given n . If $n < \text{len } f$ and $\text{len } f > 4$, then $f \downarrow n$ is one-to-one.
- (23) Let f be a circular s.c.c. finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose $\text{len } f > 4$. Let i, j be natural numbers. If $1 < i$ and $i < j$ and $j \leq \text{len } f$, then $f_i \neq f_j$.
- (24) Let f be a circular s.c.c. finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and given n . If $1 \leq n$ and $\text{len } f > 4$, then $f \uparrow n$ is one-to-one.
- (25) For every special non empty finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $\langle f(m), \dots, f(n) \rangle$ is special.
- (26) Let f be a special non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and g be a special non trivial finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $f_{\text{len } f} = g_1$, then $f \frown g$ is special.
- (27) For every circular unfolded s.c.c. finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $\text{len } f > 4$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, 1) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \downarrow 1) = \{f_1, f_2\}$.

Let us note that there exists a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is one-to-one, special, unfolded, s.n.c., and non empty.

We now state several propositions:

- (28) For all finite sequences f, g of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $j < \text{len } f$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f \smile g, j) = \mathcal{L}(f, j)$.
- (29) For all non empty finite sequences f, g of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $1 \leq j$ and $j + 1 < \text{len } g$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f \smile g, \text{len } f + j) = \mathcal{L}(g, j + 1)$.
- (30) Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and g be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $f_{\text{len } f} = g_1$, then $\mathcal{L}(f \smile g, \text{len } f) = \mathcal{L}(g, 1)$.
- (31) Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and g be a non trivial finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $j + 1 < \text{len } g$ and $f_{\text{len } f} = g_1$, then $\mathcal{L}(f \smile g, \text{len } f + j) = \mathcal{L}(g, j + 1)$.
- (32) Let f be a non empty s.n.c. unfolded finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and given i . If $1 \leq i$ and $i < \text{len } f$, then $\mathcal{L}(f, i) \cap \text{rng } f = \{f_i, f_{i+1}\}$.
- (33) Let f, g be non trivial s.n.c. one-to-one unfolded finite sequences of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \{f_1, g_1\}$ and $f_1 = g_{\text{len } g}$ and $g_1 = f_{\text{len } f}$, then $f \smile g$ is s.c.c..

In the sequel f, g are finite sequences of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

The following three propositions are true:

- (34) If f is unfolded and g is unfolded and $f_{\text{len } f} = g_1$ and $\mathcal{L}(f, \text{len } f - 1) \cap \mathcal{L}(g, 1) = \{f_{\text{len } f}\}$, then $f \smile g$ is unfolded.
- (35) If f is non empty and g is non trivial and $f_{\text{len } f} = g_1$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \smile g) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$.
- (36) Suppose that
 - (i) for every n such that $n \in \text{dom } f$ there exist i, j such that $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of G and $f_n = G \circ (i, j)$,
 - (ii) f is non constant, circular, unfolded, s.c.c., and special, and
 - (iii) $\text{len } f > 4$.

Then there exists g such that

- (iv) g is a sequence which elements belong to G , unfolded, s.c.c., and special,
- (v) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$,
- (vi) $f_1 = g_1$,
- (vii) $f_{\text{len } f} = g_{\text{len } g}$, and
- (viii) $\text{len } f \leq \text{len } g$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/nat_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finseq_1.html.
- [3] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/realset1.html>.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/finseq_5.html.
- [6] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/euclid.html>.

- [7] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/topreall.html>.
- [8] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/matrix_1.html.
- [9] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/seqm_3.html.
- [10] Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/rfinseq.html>.
- [11] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-Board — part I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/goboard1.html>.
- [12] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Properties of Go-Board — part III. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/goboard3.html>.
- [13] Yatsuka Nakamura and Piotr Rudnicki. Vertex sequences induced by chains. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/graph_2.html.
- [14] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-Board into cells. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/goboard5.html>.
- [15] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/binarith.html>.
- [16] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.
- [17] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. On the decomposition of finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/finseq_6.html.
- [20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_4.html.
- [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.

Received October 25, 2001

Published January 2, 2004
