

# Relations of Tolerance<sup>1</sup>

Krzysztof Hryniewiecki  
Warsaw University

**Summary.** Introduces notions of relations of tolerance, tolerance set and neighbourhood of an element. The basic properties of relations of tolerance are proved.

MML Identifier: TOLER\_1.

WWW: [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/toler\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/toler_1.html)

The articles [5], [3], [6], [7], [8], [9], [2], [1], and [4] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper  $X, Y, Z, x, y$  are sets.

The following propositions are true:

- (1)  $\text{field } \emptyset = \emptyset$ .
- (2)  $\emptyset$  is reflexive.
- (3)  $\emptyset$  is symmetric.
- (4)  $\emptyset$  is irreflexive.
- (5)  $\emptyset$  is antisymmetric.
- (6)  $\emptyset$  is asymmetric.
- (7)  $\emptyset$  is connected.
- (8)  $\emptyset$  is strongly connected.
- (9)  $\emptyset$  is transitive.

Let us observe that  $\emptyset$  is reflexive, irreflexive, symmetric, antisymmetric, asymmetric, connected, strongly connected, and transitive.

Let us consider  $X$ . We introduce  $\nabla_X$  as a synonym of  $\nabla_X$ .

Let  $R$  be a binary relation and let  $Y$  be a set. Then  $R|Y$  is a relation between  $Y$  and  $Y$ .

Next we state several propositions:

$$(12)^1 \quad \text{dom}(\nabla_X) = X.$$

$$(13) \quad \text{rng}(\nabla_X) = X.$$

$$(15)^2 \quad \text{For all } x, y \text{ such that } x \in X \text{ and } y \in X \text{ holds } \langle x, y \rangle \in \nabla_X.$$

<sup>1</sup>Supported by Philippe le Hodey Foundation. This work had been done on Mizar Workshop '89 (Foudrain, France) in Summer '89.

<sup>1</sup> The propositions (10) and (11) have been removed.

<sup>2</sup> The proposition (14) has been removed.

(16) For all  $x, y$  such that  $x \in \text{field}(\nabla_X)$  and  $y \in \text{field}(\nabla_X)$  holds  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \nabla_X$ .

(19)<sup>3</sup>  $\nabla_X$  is strongly connected.

(21)<sup>4</sup>  $\nabla_X$  is connected.

In the sequel  $T, R$  are tolerances of  $X$ .

We now state several propositions:

(24)<sup>5</sup> For every tolerance  $T$  of  $X$  holds  $\text{dom } T = X$ .

(25) For every tolerance  $T$  of  $X$  holds  $\text{rng } T = X$ .

(27)<sup>6</sup> For every total reflexive binary relation  $T$  on  $X$  holds  $x \in X$  iff  $\langle x, x \rangle \in T$ .

(28) Every tolerance of  $X$  is reflexive in  $X$ .

(29) Every tolerance of  $X$  is symmetric in  $X$ .

(32)<sup>7</sup> For every relation  $R$  between  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $R$  is symmetric holds  $R \upharpoonright^2 Z$  is symmetric.

Let us consider  $X, T$  and let  $Y$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $T \upharpoonright^2 Y$  is a tolerance of  $Y$ .

The following proposition is true

(33) If  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $T \upharpoonright^2 Y$  is a tolerance of  $Y$ .

Let us consider  $X$  and let  $T$  be a tolerance of  $X$ . A set is called a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$  if:

(Def. 3)<sup>8</sup> For all  $x, y$  such that  $x \in \text{it}$  and  $y \in \text{it}$  holds  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$ .

We now state the proposition

(34)  $\emptyset$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ .

Let us consider  $X$ , let  $T$  be a tolerance of  $X$ , and let  $I_1$  be a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ . We say that  $I_1$  is tolerance class-like if and only if:

(Def. 4) For every  $x$  such that  $x \notin I_1$  and  $x \in X$  there exists  $y$  such that  $y \in I_1$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle \notin T$ .

Let us consider  $X$  and let  $T$  be a tolerance of  $X$ . Note that there exists a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$  which is tolerance class-like.

Let us consider  $X$  and let  $T$  be a tolerance of  $X$ . A tolerance class of  $T$  is a tolerance class-like set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

(38)<sup>9</sup> For every tolerance  $T$  of  $X$  such that  $\emptyset$  is a tolerance class of  $T$  holds  $T = \emptyset$ .

(39)  $\emptyset$  is a tolerance of  $\emptyset$ .

(40) For all  $x, y$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$  holds  $\{x, y\}$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ .

(41) For every  $x$  such that  $x \in X$  holds  $\{x\}$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ .

(42) Let given  $Y, Z$ . Suppose  $Y$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$  and  $Z$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ . Then  $Y \cap Z$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ .

<sup>3</sup> The propositions (17) and (18) have been removed.

<sup>4</sup> The proposition (20) has been removed.

<sup>5</sup> The propositions (22) and (23) have been removed.

<sup>6</sup> The proposition (26) has been removed.

<sup>7</sup> The propositions (30) and (31) have been removed.

<sup>8</sup> The definitions (Def. 1) and (Def. 2) have been removed.

<sup>9</sup> The propositions (35)–(37) have been removed.

- (43) If  $Y$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ , then  $Y \subseteq X$ .
- (45)<sup>10</sup> For every set  $Y$  of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$  there exists a tolerance class  $Z$  of  $T$  such that  $Y \subseteq Z$ .
- (46) For all  $x, y$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$  there exists a tolerance class  $Z$  of  $T$  such that  $x \in Z$  and  $y \in Z$ .
- (47) For every  $x$  such that  $x \in X$  there exists a tolerance class  $Z$  of  $T$  such that  $x \in Z$ .
- (49)<sup>11</sup>  $T \subseteq \nabla_X$ .
- (50)  $\text{id}_X \subseteq T$ .

The scheme *ToleranceEx* deals with a set  $\mathcal{A}$  and a binary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:  
 There exists a tolerance  $T$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for all  $x, y$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{A}$  holds  
 $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$  iff  $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$

provided the parameters satisfy the following conditions:

- For every  $x$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[x, x]$ , and
- For all  $x, y$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[y, x]$ .

Next we state three propositions:

- (51) Let given  $Y$ . Then there exists a tolerance  $T$  of  $\bigcup Y$  such that for every  $Z$  if  $Z \in Y$ , then  $Z$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ .
- (52) Let  $Y$  be a set and  $T, R$  be tolerances of  $\bigcup Y$ . Suppose that
- (i) for all  $x, y$  holds  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$  iff there exists  $Z$  such that  $Z \in Y$  and  $x \in Z$  and  $y \in Z$ , and
  - (ii) for all  $x, y$  holds  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$  iff there exists  $Z$  such that  $Z \in Y$  and  $x \in Z$  and  $y \in Z$ .
- Then  $T = R$ .
- (53) Let  $T, R$  be tolerances of  $X$ . Suppose that for every  $Z$  holds  $Z$  is a tolerance class of  $T$  iff  $Z$  is a tolerance class of  $R$ . Then  $T = R$ .

Let us consider  $X$ , let  $T$  be a tolerance of  $X$ , and let us consider  $x$ . We introduce neighbourhood( $x, T$ ) as a synonym of  $[x]_T$ .

The following three propositions are true:

- (54) For every set  $y$  holds  $y \in \text{neighbourhood}(x, T)$  iff  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$ .
- (58)<sup>12</sup> For every  $Y$  such that for every set  $Z$  holds  $Z \in Y$  iff  $x \in Z$  and  $Z$  is a tolerance class of  $T$  holds  $\text{neighbourhood}(x, T) = \bigcup Y$ .
- (59) Let given  $Y$ . Suppose that for every  $Z$  holds  $Z \in Y$  iff  $x \in Z$  and  $Z$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ . Then  $\text{neighbourhood}(x, T) = \bigcup Y$ .

Let us consider  $X$  and let  $T$  be a tolerance of  $X$ . The functor TolSets  $T$  yielding a set is defined by:

- (Def. 6)<sup>13</sup> For every  $Y$  holds  $Y \in \text{TolSets } T$  iff  $Y$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ .

The functor TolClasses  $T$  yielding a set is defined as follows:

- (Def. 7) For every  $Y$  holds  $Y \in \text{TolClasses } T$  iff  $Y$  is a tolerance class of  $T$ .

The following propositions are true:

<sup>10</sup> The proposition (44) has been removed.

<sup>11</sup> The proposition (48) has been removed.

<sup>12</sup> The propositions (55)–(57) have been removed.

<sup>13</sup> The definition (Def. 5) has been removed.

- (64)<sup>14</sup> If  $\text{TolClasses } R \subseteq \text{TolClasses } T$ , then  $R \subseteq T$ .
- (65) For all tolerances  $T, R$  of  $X$  such that  $\text{TolClasses } T = \text{TolClasses } R$  holds  $T = R$ .
- (66)  $\bigcup \text{TolClasses } T = X$ .
- (67)  $\bigcup \text{TolSets } T = X$ .
- (68) If for every  $x$  such that  $x \in X$  holds  $\text{neighbourhood}(x, T)$  is a set of mutually elements w.r.t.  $T$ , then  $T$  is transitive.
- (69) If  $T$  is transitive, then for every  $x$  such that  $x \in X$  holds  $\text{neighbourhood}(x, T)$  is a tolerance class of  $T$ .
- (70) For every  $x$  and for every tolerance class  $Y$  of  $T$  such that  $x \in Y$  holds  $Y \subseteq \text{neighbourhood}(x, T)$ .
- (71)  $\text{TolSets } R \subseteq \text{TolSets } T$  iff  $R \subseteq T$ .
- (72)  $\text{TolClasses } T \subseteq \text{TolSets } T$ .
- (73) If for every  $x$  such that  $x \in X$  holds  $\text{neighbourhood}(x, R) \subseteq \text{neighbourhood}(x, T)$ , then  $R \subseteq T$ .
- (74)  $T \subseteq T \cdot T$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The well ordering relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/wellord1.html>.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/partfun1.html>.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/zfmisc\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/zfmisc_1.html).
- [4] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/eqrel\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/eqrel_1.html).
- [5] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [6] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html).
- [7] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_1.html).
- [8] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset_1.html).
- [9] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_2.html).

*Received September 20, 1990*

*Published January 2, 2004*

---

<sup>14</sup> The propositions (60)–(63) have been removed.