

Lower Tolerance. Preliminaries to Wrocław Taxonomy¹

Mariusz Giero
University of Białystok

Roman Matuszewski
University of Białystok

Summary. The paper introduces some preliminary notions concerning the Wrocław taxonomy according to [14]. The classifications and tolerances are defined and considered w.r.t. sets and metric spaces. We prove theorems showing various classifications based on tolerances.

MML Identifier: TAXONOM1.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol12/taxonom1.html>

The articles [18], [8], [20], [2], [19], [7], [21], [23], [5], [22], [6], [13], [16], [12], [11], [10], [17], [3], [4], [15], [1], and [9] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper A, X denote non empty sets, f denotes a partial function from $[X, X]$ to \mathbb{R} , and a denotes a real number.

Let us observe that there exists a real number which is non negative.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (1) For every finite sequence p and for every natural number k such that $k + 1 \in \text{dom } p$ and $k \notin \text{dom } p$ holds $k = 0$.
- (2) Let p be a finite sequence and i, j be natural numbers. Suppose $i \in \text{dom } p$ and $j \in \text{dom } p$ and for every natural number k such that $k \in \text{dom } p$ and $k + 1 \in \text{dom } p$ holds $p(k) = p(k + 1)$. Then $p(i) = p(j)$.
- (3) For every set X and for every binary relation R on X such that R is reflexive in X holds $\text{dom } R = X$.
- (4) For every set X and for every binary relation R on X such that R is reflexive in X holds $\text{rng } R = X$.
- (5) For every set X and for every binary relation R on X such that R is reflexive in X holds R^* is reflexive in X .
- (6) Let X, x, y be sets and R be a binary relation on X . Suppose R is reflexive in X . If R reduces x to y and $x \in X$, then $\langle x, y \rangle \in R^*$.
- (7) Let X be a set and R be a binary relation on X . If R is reflexive in X and symmetric in X , then R^* is symmetric in X .

¹This work has been partially supported by the European Community TYPES grant IST-1999-29001 and CALCULEMUS grant HPRN-CT-2000-00102.

- (8) For every set X and for every binary relation R on X such that R is reflexive in X holds R^* is transitive in X .
- (9) Let X be a non empty set and R be a binary relation on X . Suppose R is reflexive in X and symmetric in X . Then R^* is an equivalence relation of X .
- (10) For all binary relations R_1, R_2 on X such that $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ holds $R_1^* \subseteq R_2^*$.
- (11) $\text{SmallestPartition}(A)$ is finer than $\{A\}$.

2. THE NOTION OF CLASSIFICATION

Let A be a non empty set. A subset of $\text{PARTITIONS}(A)$ is called a classification of A if:

(Def. 1) For all partitions X, Y of A such that $X \in \text{it}$ and $Y \in \text{it}$ holds X is finer than Y or Y is finer than X .

The following three propositions are true:

- (12) $\{\{A\}\}$ is a classification of A .
- (13) $\{\text{SmallestPartition}(A)\}$ is a classification of A .
- (14) For every subset S of $\text{PARTITIONS}(A)$ such that $S = \{\{A\}, \text{SmallestPartition}(A)\}$ holds S is a classification of A .

Let A be a non empty set. A subset of $\text{PARTITIONS}(A)$ is called a strong classification of A if:

(Def. 2) It is a classification of A and $\{A\} \in \text{it}$ and $\text{SmallestPartition}(A) \in \text{it}$.

We now state the proposition

- (15) For every subset S of $\text{PARTITIONS}(A)$ such that $S = \{\{A\}, \text{SmallestPartition}(A)\}$ holds S is a strong classification of A .

3. THE TOLERANCE ON A NON EMPTY SET

Let X be a non empty set, let f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} , and let a be a real number. The functor $T_1(f, a)$ yields a binary relation on X and is defined by:

(Def. 3) For all elements x, y of X holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in T_1(f, a)$ iff $f(x, y) \leq a$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (16) If f is Reflexive and $a \geq 0$, then $T_1(f, a)$ is reflexive in X .
- (17) If f is symmetric, then $T_1(f, a)$ is symmetric in X .
- (18) If $a \geq 0$ and f is Reflexive and symmetric, then $T_1(f, a)$ is a tolerance of X .
- (19) Let X be a non empty set, f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} , and a_1, a_2 be real numbers. If $a_1 \leq a_2$, then $T_1(f, a_1) \subseteq T_1(f, a_2)$.

Let X be a set and let f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} . We say that f is non-negative if and only if:

(Def. 4) For all elements x, y of X holds $f(x, y) \geq 0$.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (20) Let X be a non empty set, f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} , and x, y be sets. Suppose f is non-negative, Reflexive, and discernible. If $\langle x, y \rangle \in T_1(f, 0)$, then $x = y$.
- (21) Let X be a non empty set, f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} , and x be an element of X . If f is Reflexive and discernible, then $\langle x, x \rangle \in T_1(f, 0)$.
- (22) Let X be a non empty set, f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} , and a be a real number. Suppose $T_1(f, a)$ is reflexive in X and f is symmetric. Then $(T_1(f, a))^*$ is an equivalence relation of X .

4. THE PARTITIONS DEFINED BY LOWER TOLERANCE

The following propositions are true:

- (23) Let X be a non empty set and f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} . Suppose f is non-negative, Reflexive, and discernible. Then $(T_1(f, 0))^* = T_1(f, 0)$.
- (24) Let X be a non empty set, f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} , and R be an equivalence relation of X . Suppose $R = (T_1(f, 0))^*$ and f is non-negative, Reflexive, and discernible. Then $R = \text{id}_X$.
- (25) Let X be a non empty set, f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} , and R be an equivalence relation of X . Suppose $R = (T_1(f, 0))^*$ and f is non-negative, Reflexive, and discernible. Then $\text{Classes } R = \text{SmallestPartition}(X)$.
- (26) Let X be a finite non empty subset of \mathbb{R} , f be a function from $[:X, X:]$ into \mathbb{R} , z be a finite non empty subset of \mathbb{R} , and A be a real number. If $z = \text{rng } f$ and $A \geq \max z$, then for all elements x, y of X holds $f(x, y) \leq A$.
- (27) Let X be a finite non empty subset of \mathbb{R} , f be a function from $[:X, X:]$ into \mathbb{R} , z be a finite non empty subset of \mathbb{R} , and A be a real number. Suppose $z = \text{rng } f$ and $A \geq \max z$. Let R be an equivalence relation of X . If $R = (T_1(f, A))^*$, then $\text{Classes } R = \{X\}$.
- (28) Let X be a finite non empty subset of \mathbb{R} , f be a function from $[:X, X:]$ into \mathbb{R} , z be a finite non empty subset of \mathbb{R} , and A be a real number. If $z = \text{rng } f$ and $A \geq \max z$, then $(T_1(f, A))^* = T_1(f, A)$.

5. THE CLASSIFICATION ON A NON EMPTY SET

Let X be a non empty set and let f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} . The functor $\text{FamClass } f$ yielding a subset of $\text{PARTITIONS}(X)$ is defined by the condition (Def. 5).

(Def. 5) Let x be a set. Then $x \in \text{FamClass } f$ if and only if there exists a non negative real number a and there exists an equivalence relation R of X such that $R = (T_1(f, a))^*$ and $\text{Classes } R = x$.

Next we state four propositions:

- (29) Let X be a non empty set, f be a partial function from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} , and a be a non negative real number. If $T_1(f, a)$ is reflexive in X and f is symmetric, then $\text{FamClass } f$ is a non empty set.
- (30) Let X be a finite non empty subset of \mathbb{R} and f be a function from $[:X, X:]$ into \mathbb{R} . If f is symmetric and non-negative, then $\{X\} \in \text{FamClass } f$.
- (31) For every non empty set X and for every partial function f from $[:X, X:]$ to \mathbb{R} holds $\text{FamClass } f$ is a classification of X .
- (32) Let X be a finite non empty subset of \mathbb{R} and f be a function from $[:X, X:]$ into \mathbb{R} . Suppose $\text{SmallestPartition}(X) \in \text{FamClass } f$ and f is symmetric and non-negative. Then $\text{FamClass } f$ is a strong classification of X .

6. THE CLASSIFICATION ON A METRIC SPACE

Let M be a metric structure, let a be a real number, and let x, y be elements of M . We say that x, y are in tolerance w.r.t. a if and only if:

(Def. 6) $\rho(x, y) \leq a$.

Let M be a non empty metric structure and let a be a real number. The functor $T_m(M, a)$ yields a binary relation on M and is defined by:

(Def. 7) For all elements x, y of M holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in T_m(M, a)$ iff x, y are in tolerance w.r.t. a .

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (33) For every non empty metric structure M and for every real number a holds $T_m(M, a) = T_1(\text{the distance of } M, a)$.
- (34) Let M be a non empty Reflexive symmetric metric structure, a be a real number, and T be a relation between the carrier of M and the carrier of M . If $T = T_m(M, a)$ and $a \geq 0$, then T is a tolerance of the carrier of M .

Let M be a Reflexive symmetric non empty metric structure. The functor $\text{MetricFamClass}M$ yielding a subset of $\text{PARTITIONS}(\text{the carrier of } M)$ is defined by the condition (Def. 8).

(Def. 8) Let x be a set. Then $x \in \text{MetricFamClass}M$ if and only if there exists a non negative real number a and there exists an equivalence relation R of M such that $R = (T_m(M, a))^*$ and $\text{Classes}R = x$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (35) For every Reflexive symmetric non empty metric structure M holds $\text{MetricFamClass}M = \text{FamClass the distance of } M$.
- (36) Let M be a non empty metric space and R be an equivalence relation of M . If $R = (T_m(M, 0))^*$, then $\text{Classes}R = \text{SmallestPartition}(\text{the carrier of } M)$.
- (37) For every Reflexive symmetric bounded non empty metric structure M such that $a \geq \emptyset(\Omega_M)$ holds $T_m(M, a) = \nabla_{\text{the carrier of } M}$.
- (38) For every Reflexive symmetric bounded non empty metric structure M such that $a \geq \emptyset(\Omega_M)$ holds $T_m(M, a) = (T_m(M, a))^*$.
- (39) For every Reflexive symmetric bounded non empty metric structure M such that $a \geq \emptyset(\Omega_M)$ holds $(T_m(M, a))^* = \nabla_{\text{the carrier of } M}$.
- (40) Let M be a Reflexive symmetric bounded non empty metric structure, R be an equivalence relation of M , and a be a non negative real number. If $a \geq \emptyset(\Omega_M)$ and $R = (T_m(M, a))^*$, then $\text{Classes}R = \{\text{the carrier of } M\}$.

Let M be a Reflexive symmetric triangle non empty metric structure and let C be a non empty bounded subset of M . Note that $\emptyset C$ is non negative.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (41) For every bounded non empty metric space M holds $\{\text{the carrier of } M\} \in \text{MetricFamClass}M$.
- (42) For every Reflexive symmetric non empty metric structure M holds $\text{MetricFamClass}M$ is a classification of the carrier of M .
- (43) For every bounded non empty metric space M holds $\text{MetricFamClass}M$ is a strong classification of the carrier of M .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. Andrzej Trybulec for his introduction to this topic. We thank Dr. Artur Kornilowicz for his advice on this article. We also thank Robert Milewski and Adam Naumowicz for their helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/nat_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinal1.html>.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Reduction relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/rewritel.html>.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finseq_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_2.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/partfun1.html>.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/zfmisc_1.html.
- [9] Patricia L. Carlson and Grzegorz Bancerek. Context-free grammar — part I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lang1.html>.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finset_1.html.
- [11] Alicia de la Cruz. Totally bounded metric spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/tbsp_1.html.
- [12] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/metric_1.html.
- [13] Shunichi Kobayashi and Kui Jia. A theory of partitions. Part I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/partit1.html>.
- [14] Roman Matuszewski and Andrzej Trybulec. *Certain algorithm of classification in metric spaces*, volume V, Number 20 of *Mathematical Papers*. Warsaw University, Białystok Campus, 1977.
- [15] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pre_circ.html.
- [16] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/pre_topc.html.
- [17] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/eqrel_1.html.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html.
- [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_1.html.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset_1.html.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_2.html.

Received December 5, 2000

Published January 2, 2004
