JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 4, Released 1992, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Some Properties of Binary Relations

Waldemar Korczyński
Pedagogical University
Kielce

Summary. The article contains some theorems on binary relations, which are used in papers [2], [3], [4], and other.

MML Identifier: SYSREL.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/sysrel.html

The articles [5], [1], and [6] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

We adopt the following convention: x, y, X, Y, Z, W are sets and R, S, T are binary relations.

Let us consider X, Y. One can check that $[: X, Y :]$ is relation-like.

We now state a number of propositions:

(12)[1] If $X \neq \emptyset$ and $Y \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{dom}[: X, Y :] = X$ and $\mathrm{rng}[: X, Y :] = Y$.

(13) $\mathrm{dom}(R \cap [: X, Y :]) \subseteq X$ and $\mathrm{rng}(R \cap [: X, Y :]) \subseteq Y$.

(14) If X misses Y, then $\mathrm{dom}(R \cap [: X, Y :])$ misses $\mathrm{rng}(R \cap [: X, Y :])$ and $\mathrm{dom}(R^{\smile} \cap [: X, Y :])$ misses $\mathrm{rng}(R^{\smile} \cap [: X, Y :])$.

(15) If $R \subseteq [: X, Y :]$, then $\mathrm{dom} R \subseteq X$ and $\mathrm{rng} R \subseteq Y$.

(16) If $R \subseteq [: X, Y :]$, then $R^{\smile} \subseteq [: Y, X :]$.

(18)[2] $[: X, Y :]^{\smile} = [: Y, X :]$.

(20)[3] $(R \cup S) \cdot T = R \cdot T \cup S \cdot T$ and $R \cdot (S \cup T) = R \cdot S \cup R \cdot T$.

(22)[4](i) If X misses Y and $R \subseteq [: X, Y :] \cup [: Y, X :]$ and $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $x \in X$, then $x \notin Y$ and $y \notin X$ and $y \in Y$,

(ii) if X misses Y and $R \subseteq [: X, Y :] \cup [: Y, X :]$ and $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $y \in Y$, then $y \notin X$ and $x \notin Y$ and $x \in X$,

(iii) if X misses Y and $R \subseteq [: X, Y :] \cup [: Y, X :]$ and $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $x \in Y$, then $x \notin X$ and $y \notin Y$ and $y \in X$, and

(iv) if X misses Y and $R \subseteq [: X, Y :] \cup [: Y, X :]$ and $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $y \in X$, then $x \notin X$ and $y \notin Y$ and $x \in Y$.

(24)[5] If $R \subseteq [: X, Y :]$ and $Z \subseteq X$, then $R \restriction Z = R \cap [: Z, Y :]$ and if $R \subseteq [: X, Y :]$ and $Z \subseteq Y$, then $Z \restriction R = R \cap [: X, Z :]$.

[1] The propositions (1)–(11) have been removed.
[2] The proposition (17) has been removed.
[3] The proposition (19) has been removed.
[4] The proposition (21) has been removed.
[5] The proposition (23) has been removed.

© Association of Mizar Users

(25) If $R \subseteq [:X, Y:]$ and $X = Z \cup W$, then $R = R{\upharpoonright}Z \cup R{\upharpoonright}W$.

(26) If X misses Y and $R \subseteq [:X, Y:] \cup [:Y, X:]$, then $R{\upharpoonright}X \subseteq [:X, Y:]$.

(27) If $R \subseteq S$, then $R^{\smile} \subseteq S^{\smile}$.

(29)[6] $\mathrm{id}_X \cdot \mathrm{id}_X = \mathrm{id}_X$.

(30) $\mathrm{id}_{\{x\}} = \{\langle x, x\rangle\}$.

(31) $\langle x, y\rangle \in \mathrm{id}_X$ iff $\langle y, x\rangle \in \mathrm{id}_X$.

(32) $\mathrm{id}_{X \cup Y} = \mathrm{id}_X \cup \mathrm{id}_Y$ and $\mathrm{id}_{X \cap Y} = \mathrm{id}_X \cap \mathrm{id}_Y$ and $\mathrm{id}_{X \setminus Y} = \mathrm{id}_X \setminus \mathrm{id}_Y$.

(33) If $X \subseteq Y$, then $\mathrm{id}_X \subseteq \mathrm{id}_Y$.

(34) $\mathrm{id}_{X \setminus Y} \setminus \mathrm{id}_X = \emptyset$.

(35) If $R \subseteq \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R}$, then $R = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R}$.

(36) If $\mathrm{id}_X \subseteq R \cup R^{\smile}$, then $\mathrm{id}_X \subseteq R$ and $\mathrm{id}_X \subseteq R^{\smile}$.

(37) If $\mathrm{id}_X \subseteq R$, then $\mathrm{id}_X \subseteq R^{\smile}$.

(38) If $R \subseteq [:X, X:]$, then $R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R} = R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X$ and $R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\, R} = R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X$.

(39) If $\mathrm{id}_X \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X) = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{dom}(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X) = \mathrm{dom}\, R \setminus \mathrm{dom}(\mathrm{id}_X)$ and if $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X) \cdot \mathrm{id}_X = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{rng}(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X) = \mathrm{rng}\, R \setminus \mathrm{rng}(\mathrm{id}_X)$.

(40) If $R \subseteq R \cdot R$ and $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\, R}) = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\, R} \subseteq R$ and if $R \subseteq R \cdot R$ and $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R}) \cdot R = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R} \subseteq R$.

(41) If $R \subseteq R \cdot R$ and $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\, R}) = \emptyset$, then $R \cap \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\, R} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\, R}$ and if $R \subseteq R \cdot R$ and $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R}) \cdot R = \emptyset$, then $R \cap \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R}$.

(42) If $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X) = \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{rng}\, R \subseteq X$, then $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\, R}) = \emptyset$ and if $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X) \cdot R = \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{dom}\, R \subseteq X$, then $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R}) \cdot R = \emptyset$.

Let us consider R. The functor $\mathrm{CL}(R)$ yielding a binary relation is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $\mathrm{CL}(R) = R \cap \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R}$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(43) $\mathrm{CL}(R) \subseteq R$ and $\mathrm{CL}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, R}$.

(44) If $\langle x, y\rangle \in \mathrm{CL}(R)$, then $x \in \mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$ and $x = y$.

(45) $\mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{CL}(R) = \mathrm{rng}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$.

(46)(i) $x \in \mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$ iff $x \in \mathrm{dom}\, R$ and $\langle x, x\rangle \in R$,

(ii) $x \in \mathrm{rng}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$ iff $x \in \mathrm{dom}\, R$ and $\langle x, x\rangle \in R$,

(iii) $x \in \mathrm{rng}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$ iff $x \in \mathrm{rng}\, R$ and $\langle x, x\rangle \in R$, and

(iv) $x \in \mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$ iff $x \in \mathrm{rng}\, R$ and $\langle x, x\rangle \in R$.

(47) $\mathrm{CL}(R) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)}$.

(48)(i) If $R \cdot R = R$ and $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{CL}(R)) = \emptyset$ and $\langle x, y\rangle \in R$ and $x \neq y$, then $x \in \mathrm{dom}\, R \setminus \mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$ and $y \in \mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$, and

(ii) if $R \cdot R = R$ and $(R \setminus \mathrm{CL}(R)) \cdot R = \emptyset$ and $\langle x, y\rangle \in R$ and $x \neq y$, then $y \in \mathrm{rng}\, R \setminus \mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$ and $x \in \mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{CL}(R)$.

[6] The proposition (28) has been removed.

(49)(i) If $R \cdot R = R$ and $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) = \emptyset$ and $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $x \neq y$, then $x \in \mathrm{dom}\,R \setminus \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)$ and $y \in \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)$, and

(ii) if $R \cdot R = R$ and $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) \cdot R = \emptyset$ and $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $x \neq y$, then $y \in \mathrm{rng}\,R \setminus \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)$ and $x \in \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)$.

(50) If $R \cdot R = R$ and $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) = \mathrm{rng}\,R$ and $\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) = \mathrm{rng}\,R$ and if $R \cdot R = R$ and $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) \cdot R = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) = \mathrm{dom}\,R$ and $\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) = \mathrm{dom}\,R$.

(51) $\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{dom}\,R$ and $\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{rng}\,R$ and $\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{dom}\,R$ and $\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{rng}\,R$.

(52) $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)} \subseteq \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}$ and $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)} \subseteq \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}$.

(53) $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)} \subseteq R$ and $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)} \subseteq R$.

(54) If $\mathrm{id}_X \subseteq R$ and $\mathrm{id}_X \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X) = \emptyset$, then $R \restriction X = \mathrm{id}_X$ and if $\mathrm{id}_X \subseteq R$ and $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_X) \cdot \mathrm{id}_X = \emptyset$, then $X \restriction R = \mathrm{id}_X$.

(55)(i) If $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)} \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)}) = \emptyset$, then $R \restriction \mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)}$ and $R \restriction \mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)}$, and

(ii) if $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)}) \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)} = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) \restriction R = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)}$ and $\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R) \restriction R = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)}$.

(56) If $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)} \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)}) = \emptyset$ and if $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) \cdot R = \emptyset$, then $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)}) \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{CL}(R)} = \emptyset$.

(57) If $S \cdot R = S$ and $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) = \emptyset$, then $S \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) = \emptyset$ and if $R \cdot S = S$ and $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) \cdot R = \emptyset$, then $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) \cdot S = \emptyset$.

(58) If $S \cdot R = S$ and $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{CL}(S) \subseteq \mathrm{CL}(R)$ and if $R \cdot S = S$ and $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) \cdot R = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{CL}(S) \subseteq \mathrm{CL}(R)$.

(59)(i) If $S \cdot R = S$ and $R \cdot (R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) = \emptyset$ and $R \cdot S = R$ and $S \cdot (S \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,S}) = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{CL}(S) = \mathrm{CL}(R)$, and

(ii) if $R \cdot S = S$ and $(R \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,R}) \cdot R = \emptyset$ and $S \cdot R = R$ and $(S \setminus \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{dom}\,S}) \cdot S = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{CL}(S) = \mathrm{CL}(R)$.

REFERENCES

[1] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html`.

[2] Waldemar Korczyński. Definitions of Petri net. Part I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/ff_siec.html`.

[3] Waldemar Korczyński. Definitions of Petri net. Part II. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/e_siec.html`.

[4] Waldemar Korczyński. Definitions of Petri net. Part III. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/s_siec.html`.

[5] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html`.

[6] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html`.

Received January 17, 1992

Published January 2, 2004