

# Lattice of Substitutions

Adam Grabowski  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

MML Identifier: SUBSTLAT.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/substlat.html>

The articles [5], [7], [4], [6], [1], [8], [2], [3], [10], and [9] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper  $V, C$  are sets.

Let us consider  $V, C$ . The functor  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  yields a subset of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  and is defined by the condition (Def. 1).

(Def. 1)  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C) = \{A; A \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C) : \bigwedge_{u:\text{set}} (u \in A \Rightarrow u \text{ is finite}) \wedge \bigwedge_{s,t:\text{element of } V \dot{\rightarrow} C} (s \in A \wedge t \in A \wedge s \subseteq t \Rightarrow s = t)\}$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (1)  $\emptyset \in \text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ .
- (2)  $\{\emptyset\} \in \text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ .

Let us consider  $V, C$ . Observe that  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  is non empty.

Let us consider  $V, C$  and let  $A, B$  be elements of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ . Then  $A \cup B$  is an element of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$ .

Let us consider  $V, C$ . One can check that there exists an element of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  which is non empty.

Let us consider  $V, C$ . One can verify that every element of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  is finite.

Let us consider  $V, C$  and let  $A$  be an element of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$ . The functor  $\mu A$  yielding an element of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  is defined by:

(Def. 2)  $\mu A = \{t; t \text{ ranges over elements of } V \dot{\rightarrow} C : t \text{ is finite} \wedge \bigwedge_{s:\text{element of } V \dot{\rightarrow} C} (s \in A \wedge s \subseteq t \Leftrightarrow s = t)\}$ .

Let us consider  $V, C$  and let  $A$  be a non empty element of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ . Observe that every element of  $A$  is function-like and relation-like.

Let us consider  $V, C$ . One can verify that every element of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  is function-like and relation-like.

Let us consider  $V, C$  and let  $A, B$  be elements of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$ . The functor  $A \cap B$  yields an element of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  and is defined by:

(Def. 3)  $A \cap B = \{s \cup t; s \text{ ranges over elements of } V \dot{\rightarrow} C, t \text{ ranges over elements of } V \dot{\rightarrow} C : s \in A \wedge t \in B \wedge s \approx t\}$ .

In the sequel  $A, B, D$  denote elements of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$ .

Next we state four propositions:

- (3)  $A \wedge B = B \wedge A$ .
- (4) If  $B = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $A \wedge B = A$ .
- (5) For all sets  $a, b$  such that  $B \in \text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  and  $a \in B$  and  $b \in B$  and  $a \subseteq b$  holds  $a = b$ .
- (6) For every set  $a$  such that  $a \in \mu B$  holds  $a \in B$  and for every set  $b$  such that  $b \in B$  and  $b \subseteq a$  holds  $b = a$ .

Let us consider  $V, C$ . One can check that there exists an element of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  which is finite.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (7) For every finite set  $a$  such that  $a \in B$  and for every finite set  $b$  such that  $b \in B$  and  $b \subseteq a$  holds  $b = a$  holds  $a \in \mu B$ .
- (8)  $\mu A \subseteq A$ .
- (9) If  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $\mu A = \emptyset$ .
- (10) For every finite set  $b$  such that  $b \in B$  there exists a set  $c$  such that  $c \subseteq b$  and  $c \in \mu B$ .
- (11) For every element  $K$  of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  holds  $\mu K = K$ .
- (12)  $\mu(A \cup B) \subseteq \mu A \cup \mu B$ .
- (13)  $\mu(\mu A \cup B) = \mu(A \cup B)$ .
- (14) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A \wedge D \subseteq B \wedge D$ .
- (15) For every set  $a$  such that  $a \in A \wedge B$  there exist sets  $b, c$  such that  $b \in A$  and  $c \in B$  and  $a = b \cup c$ .
- (16) For all elements  $b, c$  of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  such that  $b \in A$  and  $c \in B$  and  $b \approx c$  holds  $b \cup c \in A \wedge B$ .
- (17)  $\mu(A \wedge B) \subseteq \mu A \wedge \mu B$ .
- (18) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $D \wedge A \subseteq D \wedge B$ .
- (19)  $\mu(\mu A \wedge B) = \mu(A \wedge B)$ .
- (20)  $\mu(A \wedge \mu B) = \mu(A \wedge B)$ .
- (21) For all elements  $K, L, M$  of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  holds  $K \wedge (L \wedge M) = (K \wedge L) \wedge M$ .
- (22) For all elements  $K, L, M$  of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  holds  $K \wedge (L \cup M) = K \wedge L \cup K \wedge M$ .
- (23)  $B \subseteq B \wedge B$ .
- (24)  $\mu(A \wedge A) = \mu A$ .
- (25) For every element  $K$  of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  holds  $\mu(K \wedge K) = K$ .

## 2. DEFINITION OF THE LATTICE

Let us consider  $V, C$ . The functor  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$  yields a strict lattice structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

- (Def. 4)(i) The carrier of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C) = \text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ , and  
(ii) for all elements  $A, B$  of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  holds (the join operation of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$ )( $A, B$ ) =  $\mu(A \cup B)$  and (the meet operation of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$ )( $A, B$ ) =  $\mu(A \cap B)$ .

Let us consider  $V, C$ . Observe that  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$  is non empty.

Let us consider  $V, C$ . Observe that  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$  is lattice-like.

Let us consider  $V, C$ . Note that  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$  is distributive and bounded.

The following propositions are true:

$$(26) \quad \perp_{\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)} = \emptyset.$$

$$(27) \quad \top_{\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)} = \{\emptyset\}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/binop\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/binop_1.html).
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_1.html).
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/partfun1.html>.
- [4] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finset_1.html).
- [5] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [6] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finsub\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finsub_1.html).
- [7] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html).
- [8] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_1.html).
- [9] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset_1.html).
- [10] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/lattices.html>.

Received May 21, 1997

Published January 2, 2004

---