SCMPDS Is Not Standard

Artur Korniłowicz University of Bialystok, Poland Yasunari Shidama Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan

Summary. The aim of the paper is to show that SCMPDS ([8]) does not belong to the class of standard computers ([16]).

MML Identifier: SCMPDS_9.
WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol15/scmpds_9.html

The articles [13], [19], [11], [3], [2], [14], [5], [12], [17], [1], [6], [9], [18], [20], [7], [4], [10], [15], [8], and [16] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper *r*, *s* are real numbers.

The following propositions are true:

- (1) $0 \le r + |r|$.
- (2) $0 \leq -r + |r|$.
- (3) If |r| = |s|, then r = s or r = -s.
- (4) For all natural numbers *i*, *j* such that i < j and $i \neq 0$ holds $\frac{i}{i}$ is not integer.
- (5) $\{2 \cdot k; k \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } k > 1\}$ is infinite.
- (6) For every function f and for all sets a, b, c such that $a \neq c$ holds $(f + (a \mapsto b))(c) = f(c)$.
- (7) For every function f and for all sets a, b, c, d such that $a \neq b$ holds $(f + \cdot [a \mapsto c, b \mapsto d])(a) = c$ and $(f + \cdot [a \mapsto c, b \mapsto d])(b) = d$.

2. SCMPDS

For simplicity, we use the following convention: a, b are Int positions, i is an instruction of SCMPDS, l is an instruction-location of SCMPDS, and k, k_1 , k_2 are integers.

Let l_1, l_2 be Int positions and let a, b be integers. Then $[l_1 \mapsto a, l_2 \mapsto b]$ is a finite partial state of SCMPDS.

Let us note that SCMPDS has non trivial instruction locations.

Let l be an instruction-location of SCMPDS. The functor locnum(l) yields a natural number and is defined as follows:

(Def. 1)
$$\mathbf{i}_{\operatorname{locnum}(l)} = l$$
.

Let l be an instruction-location of SCMPDS. Then locnum(l) is a natural number. The following propositions are true:

- (8) $l = 2 \cdot \text{locnum}(l) + 2.$
- (9) For all instruction-locations l_3 , l_4 of SCMPDS such that $l_3 \neq l_4$ holds locnum $(l_3) \neq l_4$ locnum (l_4) .
- (10) For all instruction-locations l_3 , l_4 of SCMPDS such that $l_3 \neq l_4$ holds Next $(l_3) \neq$ Next (l_4) .
- (11) Let N be a set with non empty elements, S be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N, i be an instruction of S, and l be an instruction-location of S. Then $JUMP(i) \subseteq NIC(i, l)$.
- (12) If for every state s of SCMPDS such that $IC_s = l$ and s(l) = i holds $(Exec(i,s))(IC_{SCMPDS}) = Next(IC_s)$, then $NIC(i,l) = \{Next(l)\}$.
- (13) If for every instruction-location l of SCMPDS holds NIC $(i, l) = {Next(l)}$, then JUMP(i) is empty.
- (14) NIC(goto k, l) = {2 · |k + locnum(l)| + 2}.
- (15) NIC(return a, l) = {2 · k; k ranges over natural numbers: k > 1}.
- (16) NIC(saveIC(a, k_1), l) = {Next(l)}.
- (17) NIC $(a:=k_1, l) = \{Next(l)\}.$
- (18) NIC $(a_{k_1}:=k_2, l) = \{Next(l)\}.$
- (19) NIC $((a,k_1) := (b,k_2), l) = \{Next(l)\}.$
- (20) NIC(AddTo $(a, k_1, k_2), l) = {Next(l)}.$
- (21) NIC(AddTo $(a, k_1, b, k_2), l) = {Next(l)}.$
- (22) NIC(SubFrom $(a, k_1, b, k_2), l) = {Next(l)}.$
- (23) NIC(MultBy $(a, k_1, b, k_2), l) = {Next(l)}.$
- (24) NIC(Divide $(a, k_1, b, k_2), l) = {Next(l)}.$
- (25) NIC($(a,k_1) \ll 0$ -goto k_2, l) = {Next(l), $|2 \cdot (k_2 + \text{locnum}(l))| + 2$ }.
- (26) NIC($(a,k_1) \le 0$ -goto k_2, l) = {Next(l), $|2 \cdot (k_2 + \text{locnum}(l))| + 2$ }.
- (27) NIC($(a,k_1) >= 0$ -goto k_2, l) = {Next $(l), |2 \cdot (k_2 + \text{locnum}(l))| + 2$ }.

Let us consider k. Observe that JUMP(goto k) is empty. One can prove the following proposition

(28) JUMP(return *a*) = $\{2 \cdot k; k \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } k > 1\}.$

```
Let us consider a. One can verify that JUMP(return a) is infinite.
Let us consider a, k_1. Observe that JUMP(saveIC(a, k_1)) is empty.
Let us consider a, k_1. One can check that JUMP(a:=k_1) is empty.
Let us consider a, k_1, k_2. Observe that JUMP(a_{k_1}:=k_2) is empty.
Let us consider a, b, k_1, k_2. One can verify that JUMP((a, k_1) := (b, k_2)) is empty.
Let us consider a, k_1, k_2. Observe that JUMP(AddTo(a, k_1, k_2)) is empty.
Let us consider a, b, k_1, k_2. One can verify the following observations:
```

- * JUMP(AddTo (a, k_1, b, k_2)) is empty,
- * JUMP(SubFrom (a, k_1, b, k_2)) is empty,
- * JUMP(MultBy (a, k_1, b, k_2)) is empty, and
- * JUMP(Divide (a, k_1, b, k_2)) is empty.

Let us consider a, k_1, k_2 . One can check the following observations:

- * JUMP $((a,k_1) \ll 0_{-goto} k_2)$ is empty,
- * JUMP $((a,k_1) \le 0_{\text{goto}} k_2)$ is empty, and
- * JUMP $((a,k_1) \ge 0_{\text{-goto } k_2})$ is empty.

Next we state two propositions:

- (29) SUCC(l) = the instruction locations of SCMPDS.
- (30) Let *N* be a set with non empty elements, *S* be an IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over *N*, and l_3 , l_4 be instruction-locations of *S*. If SUCC(l_3) = the instruction locations of *S*, then $l_3 \leq l_4$.

Let us observe that SCMPDS is non InsLoc-antisymmetric. Let us note that SCMPDS is non standard.

REFERENCES

- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/nat_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinall. html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ ordinal2.html.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finseq_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ funct_1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_lang.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.
- [8] Jing-Chao Chen. The SCMPDS computer and the basic semantics of its instructions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll1/scmpds_2.html.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finset_1.html.
- [10] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http: //mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/ami_1.html.
- [11] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/setfam_1.html.
- [12] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Voll/absvalue.html.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Addenda, 2003. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/ numbers.html.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/ami_3.html.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec, Piotr Rudnicki, and Artur Korniłowicz. Standard ordering of instruction locations. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 12, 2000. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol12/amistd_1.html.
- [17] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/int_1.html.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group_1.html.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html.

SCMPDS IS NOT STANDARD

[20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Voll/relat_1.html.

Received September 27, 2003

Published January 2, 2004