While Macro Instructions of SCM_{FSA}

Jing-Chao Chen Shanghai Jiaotong University Shanghai

Summary. The article defines *while macro instructions* based on **SCM**_{FSA}. Some theorems about the generalized halting problems of *while macro instructions* are proved.

MML Identifier: SCMFSA_9. WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/scmfsa_9.html

The articles [15], [21], [16], [6], [22], [9], [10], [11], [5], [7], [12], [17], [8], [14], [20], [18], [19], [13], [4], [3], [1], and [2] provide the notation and terminology for this paper. One can prove the following two propositions:

- (1) For every macro instruction *I* and for every integer location *a* holds $\operatorname{card}(\operatorname{if} a = 0 \operatorname{then} I; \operatorname{Goto}(\operatorname{insloc}(0)) \operatorname{else}(\operatorname{Stop}_{\operatorname{SCM}_{\mathrm{FSA}}})) = \operatorname{card} I + 6.$
- (2) For every macro instruction I and for every integer location a holds $\operatorname{card}(\operatorname{if} a > 0 \operatorname{then} I; \operatorname{Goto}(\operatorname{insloc}(0)) \operatorname{else}(\operatorname{Stop}_{\operatorname{SCM}_{\mathrm{FSA}}})) = \operatorname{card} I + 6.$

Let *a* be an integer location and let *I* be a macro instruction. The functor **while** a = 0 **do** *I* yields a macro instruction and is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) while a = 0 do $I = (\text{if } a = 0 \text{ then } I; \text{ Goto}(\text{insloc}(0)) \text{ else } (\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})) + \cdot (\text{insloc}(\text{card} I + 4) \mapsto \text{goto insloc}(0)).$

The functor **while** a > 0 **do** *I* yielding a macro instruction is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) while a > 0 do $I = (\text{if } a > 0 \text{ then } I; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(0)) \text{ else } (\text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}})) + \cdot (\text{insloc}(\text{card} I + 4) \mapsto \text{goto insloc}(0)).$

One can prove the following proposition

(3) For every macro instruction I and for every integer location a holds $card(if a = 0 \text{ then } Stop_{SCM_{FSA}} else (if <math>a > 0 \text{ then } Stop_{SCM_{FSA}} else (I; Goto(insloc(0)))) = card I + 11.$

Let *a* be an integer location and let *I* be a macro instruction. The functor **while** a < 0 **do** *I* yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

(Def. 3) while a < 0 do $I = (\text{if } a = 0 \text{ then } \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \text{ else } (\text{if } a > 0 \text{ then } \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \text{ else } (I; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(0))))) + \cdot (\text{insloc}(a + 1)) + \cdots + (\text{insloc}($

One can prove the following propositions:

(4) For every macro instruction I and for every integer location a holds $\operatorname{card}(\operatorname{while} a = 0 \operatorname{do} I) = \operatorname{card} I + 6$.

- (5) For every macro instruction I and for every integer location a holds $\operatorname{card}(\operatorname{while} a > 0 \operatorname{do} I) = \operatorname{card} I + 6$.
- (6) For every macro instruction I and for every integer location a holds card(while a < 0 do I) = card I + 11.
- (7) For every integer location *a* and for every instruction-location *l* of **SCM**_{FSA} holds if a = 0 goto $l \neq \text{halt}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$.
- (8) For every integer location *a* and for every instruction-location *l* of **SCM**_{FSA} holds if a > 0 goto $l \neq halt_{SCM}_{FSA}$.
- (9) For every instruction-location l of **SCM**_{FSA} holds go to $l \neq halt_{SCM_{FSA}}$.
- (10) Let *a* be an integer location and *I* be a macro instruction. Then $insloc(0) \in dom(while a = 0 \text{ do } I)$ and $insloc(1) \in dom(while a = 0 \text{ do } I)$ and $insloc(0) \in dom(while a > 0 \text{ do } I)$ and $insloc(1) \in dom(while a > 0 \text{ do } I)$.
- (11) Let *a* be an integer location and *I* be a macro instruction. Then (while a = 0 do *I*)(insloc(0)) = if a = 0 goto insloc(4) and (while a = 0 do *I*)(insloc(1)) = goto insloc(2) and (while a > 0 do *I*)(insloc(0)) = if a > 0 goto insloc(4) and (while a > 0 do *I*)(insloc(1)) = goto insloc(2).
- (12) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, and *k* be a natural number. If k < 6, then $insloc(k) \in dom(while a = 0 \text{ do } I)$.
- (13) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, and *k* be a natural number. If k < 6, then insloc(card I + k) \in dom(while a = 0 do I).
- (14) For every integer location *a* and for every macro instruction *I* holds (while a = 0 do I)(insloc(card I + 5)) = halt_{SCMESA}.
- (15) For every integer location *a* and for every macro instruction *I* holds (while a = 0 do I)(insloc(3)) = goto insloc(card I + 5).
- (16) For every integer location *a* and for every macro instruction *I* holds (while a = 0 do *I*)(insloc(2)) = goto insloc(3).
- (17) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, and *k* be a natural number. If $k < \operatorname{card} I + 6$, then $\operatorname{insloc}(k) \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathbf{while } a = 0 \text{ do } I)$.
- (18) Let *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}, *I* be a macro instruction, and *a* be a read-write integer location. If $s(a) \neq 0$, then while a = 0 do *I* is halting on *s* and while a = 0 do *I* is closed on *s*.
- (19) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, *s* be a state of SCM_{FSA} , and *k* be a natural number. Suppose that
- (i) *I* is closed on *s* and halting on *s*,
- (ii) k < LifeSpan(s + (I + Start At(insloc(0))))),
- (iii) $IC_{(Computation(s+\cdot((while a=0 do I)+\cdot Start-At(insloc(0)))))(1+k)} = IC_{(Computation(s+\cdot(I+\cdot Start-At(insloc(0)))))(k)} + 4$, and
- (iv) (Computation($s + ((while a = 0 \text{ do } I) + Start-At(insloc(0))))(1+k) \upharpoonright (Int-Locations \cup FinSeq-Locations) = (Computation(<math>s + (I + Start-At(insloc(0)))))(k) \upharpoonright (Int-Locations \cup FinSeq-Locations).$

Then $IC_{(Computation(s+\cdot((while a=0 do I)+\cdotStart-At(insloc(0))))(1+k+1)} = IC_{(Computation(s+\cdot(I+\cdotStart-At(insloc(0))))(k+1)} + 4$ and $(Computation(s+\cdot((while a=0 do I)+\cdotStart-At(insloc(0)))))(1+k+1)\upharpoonright(Int-Locations \cup FinSeq-Locations) = (Computation(s+\cdot(I+\cdotStart-At(insloc(0)))))(k+1)\upharpoonright(Int-Locations \cup FinSeq-Locations).$

(20) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, and *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}. Suppose *I* is closed on *s* and halting on *s* and **IC**_{(Computation(*s*+·((**while** *a*=0 **do** *I*)+·Start-At(insloc(0))))(1+LifeSpan(*s*+·(*I*+·Start-At(insloc(0))))) = **IC**_{(Computation(*s*+·(*I*+·Start-At(insloc(0))))(LifeSpan(*s*+·(*I*+·Start-At(insloc(0))))) + 4. Then CurInstr((Computation(*s*+·((**while** *a* = 0 **do** *I*)+·Start-At(insloc(0)))))(1+LifeSpan(*s*+·(*I*+·Start-At(insloc(0))))) = goto insloc(card *I* + 4).}}

- (21) For every integer location *a* and for every macro instruction *I* holds (while a = 0 do I)(insloc(card I + 4)) = goto insloc(0).
- (22) Let *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}, *I* be a macro instruction, and *a* be a readwrite integer location. Suppose *I* is closed on *s* and halting on *s* and s(a) = 0. Then **IC**_{(Computation(s+·((while $a=0 \text{ do } I)+\cdot$ Start-At(insloc(0))))(LifeSpan(s+·(I+·Start-At(insloc(0))))+3) = insloc(0) and for every natural number *k* such that $k \leq \text{LifeSpan}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(insloc(0)))) + 3$ holds **IC**_{(Computation(s+·((while $a=0 \text{ do } I)+\cdot$ Start-At(insloc(0))))(k) \in \text{dom}(while a=0 do I).}}

In the sequel s is a state of SCM_{FSA} , I is a macro instruction, and a is a read-write integer location.

Let us consider s, I, a. The functor StepWhile=0(a, I, s) yields a function from \mathbb{N} into \prod (the object kind of SCM_{FSA}) and is defined by:

(Def. 4) (StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(0) = s and for every natural number *i* holds $(StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(i+1) = (Computation((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(i)+\cdot((while a = 0 do I)+\cdot s_0)))(LifeSpan((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(i)+\cdot(I+\cdot s_0)))$, where $s_0 =$ Start-At(insloc(0)).

In the sequel *k*, *n* denote natural numbers. We now state the proposition

 $(25)^1$ (StepWhile=0(a, I, s))(k+1) = (StepWhile=0(a, I, (StepWhile=<math>0(a, I, s))(k)))(1).

The scheme *MinIndex* deals with a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a natural number and a natural number \mathcal{A} , and states that:

There exists k such that $\mathcal{F}(k) = 0$ and for every n such that $\mathcal{F}(n) = 0$ holds $k \le n$ provided the following conditions are met:

- $\mathcal{F}(0) = \mathcal{A}$, and
- For every k holds $\mathcal{F}(k+1) < \mathcal{F}(k)$ or $\mathcal{F}(k) = 0$.

Next we state three propositions:

- (26) For all functions f, g holds f + g + g = f + g.
- (27) For all functions f, g, h and for every set D such that $(f+\cdot g)|D = h|D$ holds $(h+\cdot g)|D = (f+\cdot g)|D$.
- (28) For all functions f, g, h and for every set D such that $f \upharpoonright D = h \upharpoonright D$ holds $(h + \cdot g) \upharpoonright D = (f + \cdot g) \upharpoonright D$.

We now state several propositions:

- (29) For all states s_1 , s_2 of **SCM**_{FSA} such that $\mathbf{IC}_{(s_1)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(s_2)}$ and $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ and $s_1 \upharpoonright I_1 = s_2 \upharpoonright I_1$ holds $s_1 = s_2$, where I_1 = the instruction locations of **SCM**_{FSA}.
- (30) Let *I* be a macro instruction, *a* be a read-write integer location, and *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}. Then $(StepWhile=0(a, I, s))(0 + 1) = (Computation(s+\cdot((while a = 0 do I)+\cdot s_0)))(LifeSpan(s+\cdot(I+\cdot s_0))+3)$, where $s_0 = Start-At(insloc(0))$.
- (31) Let *I* be a macro instruction, *a* be a read-write integer location, *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}, and *k*, *n* be natural numbers. Suppose **IC**_{(StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)} = insloc(0) and (StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k) = (Computation(s+·((**while** *a* = 0 **do** *I*)+·Start-At(insloc(0)))))(*n*). Then (StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k) = (StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)+·((**while** *a* = 0 **do** *I*)+·Start-At(insloc(0)))) and (StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k+1) = (Computation(s+·((**while** *a* = 0 **do** *I*)+·Start-At(insloc(0))))(n+ (LifeSpan((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)+·(I+·Start-At(insloc(0))))+3)).

¹ The propositions (23) and (24) have been removed.

- (32) Let *I* be a macro instruction, *a* be a read-write integer location, and *s* be a state of SCM_{FSA} . Suppose that
- (i) for every natural number k holds I is closed on (StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k) and halting on (StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k), and
- (ii) there exists a function f from \prod (the object kind of **SCM**_{FSA}) into \mathbb{N} such that for every natural number k holds f((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k+1)) < f((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)) or f((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)) = 0 but f((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)) = 0 iff $(StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)(a) \neq 0$.

Then while a = 0 do I is halting on s and while a = 0 do I is closed on s.

- (33) Let *I* be a parahalting macro instruction, *a* be a read-write integer location, and *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}. Given a function *f* from \prod (the object kind of **SCM**_{FSA}) into \mathbb{N} such that let *k* be a natural number. Then f((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k+1)) < f((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)) or f((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)) = 0 but f((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)) = 0 iff $(StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(k)(a) \neq 0$. Then while a = 0 do *I* is halting on *s* and while a = 0 do *I* is closed on *s*.
- (34) Let *I* be a parahalting macro instruction and *a* be a read-write integer location. Given a function *f* from \prod (the object kind of **SCM**_{FSA}) into \mathbb{N} such that let *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}. Then f((StepWhile=0(a,I,s))(1)) < f(s) or f(s) = 0 but f(s) = 0 iff $s(a) \neq 0$. Then while a = 0 do *I* is parahalting.
- (35) For all instruction-locations l_1 , l_2 of **SCM**_{FSA} and for every integer location *a* holds $l_1 \mapsto \text{goto } l_2$ does not destroy *a*.
- (36) For every instruction *i* of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ such that *i* does not destroy intloc(0) holds Macro(i) is good.

Let *I*, *J* be good macro instructions and let *a* be an integer location. One can check that if a = 0 then *I* else *J* is good.

Let *I* be a good macro instruction and let *a* be an integer location. Observe that while a = 0 do *I* is good.

The following propositions are true:

- (37) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, and *k* be a natural number. If k < 6, then $insloc(k) \in dom(while a > 0 \text{ do } I)$.
- (38) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, and *k* be a natural number. If k < 6, then insloc(card I + k) \in dom(while a > 0 do I).
- (39) For every integer location *a* and for every macro instruction *I* holds (while a > 0 do *I*)(insloc(card *I*+5)) = halt_{SCMESA}.
- (40) For every integer location *a* and for every macro instruction *I* holds (while a > 0 do *I*)(insloc(3)) = goto insloc(card *I* + 5).
- (41) For every integer location a and for every macro instruction I holds (while a > 0 do I)(insloc(2)) = goto insloc(3).
- (42) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, and *k* be a natural number. If $k < \operatorname{card} I + 6$, then $\operatorname{insloc}(k) \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathbf{while } a > 0 \text{ do } I)$.
- (43) Let *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}, *I* be a macro instruction, and *a* be a read-write integer location. If $s(a) \le 0$, then while a > 0 do *I* is halting on *s* and while a > 0 do *I* is closed on *s*.

The following four propositions are true:

- (44) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, *s* be a state of SCM_{FSA} , and *k* be a natural number. Suppose that
- (i) *I* is closed on *s* and halting on *s*,
- (ii) k < LifeSpan(s + (I + Start-At(insloc(0))))),
- (iii) $IC_{(Computation(s+\cdot((while a>0 do I)+\cdot Start-At(insloc(0)))))(1+k)} = IC_{(Computation(s+\cdot(I+\cdot Start-At(insloc(0)))))(k)} + 4$, and
- (iv) (Computation(s+·((**while** a > 0 **do** I)+·Start-At(insloc(0)))))(1+k) $\upharpoonright D$ = (Computation(s+·(I+·Start-At(insloc(0))))(1+k) = **IC**_{(Computation(s+·(I+·Start-At(insloc(0))))(k+1) + 4 and (Computation(s+·((**while** a > 0 **do** I)+·Start-At(insloc(0)))))(1 + k + 1) $\upharpoonright D$ = (Computation(s+·(I+·Start-At(insloc(0)))))(k+1) $\upharpoonright D$, where D = Int-Locations \cup FinSeq-Locations.}
- (45) Let *a* be an integer location, *I* be a macro instruction, and *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}. Suppose *I* is closed on *s* and halting on *s* and **IC**_{(Computation(s+·((while $a > 0 \text{ do } I)+\cdot\text{Start-At}(insloc(0))))(1+LifeSpan(s+·(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(insloc(0))))) = IC_{(Computation(s+·(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(insloc(0))))(LifeSpan(s+·(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(insloc(0))))) + 4. Then CurInstr((Computation(s+·((while <math>a > 0 \text{ do } I)+\cdot\text{Start-At}(insloc(0)))))(1+LifeSpan(s+·(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(insloc(0))))) = goto insloc(card I + 4).$}}
- (46) For every integer location *a* and for every macro instruction *I* holds (while a > 0 do *I*)(insloc(card *I*+4)) = goto insloc(0).
- (47) Let *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}, *I* be a macro instruction, and *a* be a readwrite integer location. Suppose *I* is closed on *s* and halting on *s* and s(a) > 0. Then **IC**_{(Computation(*s*+·((**while** *a*>0 **do** *I*)+·Start-At(insloc(0)))))(LifeSpan(*s*+·(*I*+·Start-At(insloc(0))))+3) = insloc(0) and for every natural number *k* such that $k \le \text{LifeSpan}(s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(insloc(0)))) + 3$ holds **IC**_{(Computation(*s*+·((**while** *a*>0 **do** *I*)+·Start-At(insloc(0)))))(*k*) $\in \text{dom}(\textbf{while } a > 0 \textbf{ do } I)$.}}

In the sequel *s* denotes a state of SCM_{FSA} , *I* denotes a macro instruction, and *a* denotes a readwrite integer location.

Let us consider *s*, *I*, *a*. The functor *StepWhile*>0(a, I, s) yielding a function from \mathbb{N} into \prod (the object kind of **SCM**_{FSA}) is defined by:

- (Def. 5) (StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(0) = s and for every natural number *i* holds (StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(i+i)
 - 1) = (Computation((*StepWhile*>0(a, I, s))(*i*)+·((**while** a > 0 **do** I)+· s_0)))(LifeSpan((*StepWhile*>0(a, I, s))(*i*)+·(I+·. 3), where s_0 = Start-At(insloc(0)).

Next we state several propositions:

- $(50)^2$ (*StepWhile*>0(*a*,*I*,*s*))(*k*+1) = (*StepWhile*>0(*a*,*I*,(*StepWhile*>0(*a*,*I*,*s*))(*k*)))(1).
- (51) Let *I* be a macro instruction, *a* be a read-write integer location, and *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}. Then $(StepWhile>0(a, I, s))(0 + 1) = (Computation(s+\cdot((while a > 0 do I)+\cdot s_0)))(LifeSpan(s+\cdot(I+\cdot s_0))+3)$, where $s_0 = Start-At(insloc(0))$.
- (52) Let *I* be a macro instruction, *a* be a read-write integer location, *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}, and *k*, *n* be natural numbers. Suppose **IC**_{(StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)} = insloc(0) and (StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k) = (Computation(s+·((**while** a > 0 **do** I)+·Start-At(insloc(0)))))(n). Then (StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k) = (StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)+·((**while** a > 0 **do** I)+·Start-At(insloc(0))) and (StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k+1) = (Computation(s+·((**while** a > 0 **do** I)+·Start-At(insloc(0))))(n+ (LifeSpan((StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)+·(I+·Start-At(insloc(0))))+3)).
- (53) Let *I* be a macro instruction, *a* be a read-write integer location, and *s* be a state of SCM_{FSA} . Suppose that
- (i) for every natural number k holds I is closed on (StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k) and halting on (StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k), and
- (ii) there exists a function f from \prod (the object kind of **SCM**_{FSA}) into \mathbb{N} such that for every natural number k holds f((StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k+1)) < f((StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)) or

² The propositions (48) and (49) have been removed.

 $f((StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)) = 0 \text{ but } f((StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)) = 0 \text{ iff } (StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)(a) \le 0.$

Then while a > 0 do *I* is halting on *s* and while a > 0 do *I* is closed on *s*.

- (54) Let *I* be a parahalting macro instruction, *a* be a read-write integer location, and *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}. Given a function *f* from \prod (the object kind of **SCM**_{FSA}) into \mathbb{N} such that let *k* be a natural number. Then f((StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k+1)) < f((StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)) or f((StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)) = 0 but f((StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)) = 0 iff $(StepWhile>0(a,I,s))(k)(a) \le 0$. Then while a > 0 do *I* is halting on *s* and while a > 0 do *I* is closed on *s*.
- (55) Let *I* be a parahalting macro instruction and *a* be a read-write integer location. Given a function *f* from \prod (the object kind of **SCM**_{FSA}) into \mathbb{N} such that let *s* be a state of **SCM**_{FSA}. Then f((StepWhile > 0(a, I, s))(1)) < f(s) or f(s) = 0 but f(s) = 0 iff $s(a) \le 0$. Then while a > 0 do *I* is parahalting.

Let *I*, *J* be good macro instructions and let *a* be an integer location. Note that if a > 0 then *I* else *J* is good.

Let *I* be a good macro instruction and let *a* be an integer location. Note that while a > 0 do *I* is good.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank Prof. Andrzej Trybulec and Dr. Grzegorz Bancerek for their helpful comments and encouragement during his stay in Białystok.

REFERENCES

- Noriko Asamoto. Conditional branch macro instructions of SCM_{FSA}. Part I. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http: //mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa8a.html.
- [2] Noriko Asamoto. Conditional branch macro instructions of SCM_{FSA}. Part II. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http: //mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa8b.html.
- [3] Noriko Asamoto. Constant assignment macro instructions of SCM_{FSA}. Part II. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http: //mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa7b.html.
- [4] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part II. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa6b.html.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card_1.html.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/nat_1.html.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card_3.html.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Development of terminology for scm. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/scm_1.html.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ funct_1.html.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_ 2.html.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.
- [12] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http: //mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/ami_1.html.
- [13] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Memory handling for SCM_{FSA}. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar. org/JFM/Vol8/sf_mastr.html.
- [14] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Vol5/ami_5.html.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Axiomatics/tarski.html.

- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Addenda, 2003. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/ numbers.html.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/ami_3.html.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Modifying addresses of instructions of SCM_{FSA}. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa_4.html.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Noriko Asamoto. On the compositions of macro instructions. Part I. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa6a.html.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The SCM_{FSA} computer. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa_2.html.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Voll/relat_1.html.

Received December 10, 1997

Published January 2, 2004