

Computation in SCM_{FSA}

Andrzej Trybulec
Warsaw University
Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura
Shinshu University
Nagano

Summary. The properties of computations in SCM_{FSA} are investigated.

MML Identifier: SCMFSA_3 .

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa_3.html

The articles [14], [19], [1], [12], [20], [4], [7], [17], [5], [9], [2], [6], [18], [8], [10], [11], [15], [13], [3], and [16] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

The following propositions are true:

- (1) $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \notin \text{Int-Locations}$.
- (2) $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \notin \text{FinSeq-Locations}$.
- (3) Let i be an instruction of SCM_{FSA} and I be an instruction of SCM . Suppose $i = I$. Let s be a state of SCM_{FSA} and S be a state of SCM . Suppose $S = s \upharpoonright \text{the carrier of } \text{SCM} + \cdot ((\text{the instruction locations of } \text{SCM}) \mapsto I)$. Then $\text{Exec}(i, s) = s + \cdot \text{Exec}(I, S) + \cdot s \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } \text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$.
- (4) Let s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{FSA} . Suppose $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations} \cup \{\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}\}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations} \cup \{\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}\})$. Let l be an instruction of SCM_{FSA} . Then $\text{Exec}(l, s_1) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations} \cup \{\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}\}) = \text{Exec}(l, s_2) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations} \cup \{\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}\})$.
- (5) Let N be a set with non empty elements, S be a steady-programmed non empty non void AMI over N , i be an instruction of S , and s be a state of S . Then $\text{Exec}(i, s) \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } S = s \upharpoonright \text{the instruction locations of } S$.

2. FINITE PARTIAL STATES OF SCM_{FSA}

The following propositions are true:

- (6) For every finite partial state p of SCM_{FSA} holds $\text{DataPart}(p) = p \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$.
- (7) For every finite partial state p of SCM_{FSA} holds p is data-only iff $\text{dom } p \subseteq \text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}$.
- (8) For every finite partial state p of SCM_{FSA} holds $\text{dom DataPart}(p) \subseteq \text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}$.
- (9) For every finite partial state p of SCM_{FSA} holds $\text{dom ProgramPart}(p) \subseteq \text{the instruction locations of } \text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$.

- (10) Let i be an instruction of SCM_{FSA} , s be a state of SCM_{FSA} , and p be a programmed finite partial state of SCM_{FSA} . Then $\text{Exec}(i, s + \cdot p) = \text{Exec}(i, s) + \cdot p$.
- (11) Let s be a state of SCM_{FSA} , i_1 be an instruction-location of SCM_{FSA} , and a be an integer location. Then $s(a) = (s + \cdot \text{Start-At}(i_1))(a)$.
- (12) Let s be a state of SCM_{FSA} , i_1 be an instruction-location of SCM_{FSA} , and a be a finite sequence location. Then $s(a) = (s + \cdot \text{Start-At}(i_1))(a)$.
- (13) For all states s, t of SCM_{FSA} holds $s + \cdot t \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$ is a state of SCM_{FSA} .

3. AUTONOMIC FINITE PARTIAL STATES OF SCM_{FSA}

Let l_1 be an integer location and let a be an integer. Then $l_1 \mapsto a$ is a finite partial state of SCM_{FSA} . The following proposition is true

- (14) For every autonomic finite partial state p of SCM_{FSA} such that $\text{DataPart}(p) \neq \emptyset$ holds $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \in \text{dom } p$.

Let us note that there exists a finite partial state of SCM_{FSA} which is autonomic and non programmed.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (15) For every autonomic non programmed finite partial state p of SCM_{FSA} holds $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \in \text{dom } p$.
- (16) For every autonomic finite partial state p of SCM_{FSA} such that $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \in \text{dom } p$ holds $\mathbf{IC}_p \in \text{dom } p$.
- (17) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{FSA} and s be a state of SCM_{FSA} . If $p \subseteq s$, then for every natural number i holds $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(i)} \in \text{dom } \text{ProgramPart}(p)$.
- (18) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{FSA} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{FSA} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number. Then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)}$ and $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_2))(i))$.
- (19) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{FSA} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{FSA} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number and d_1, d_2 be integer locations. If $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = d_1 := d_2$ and $d_1 \in \text{dom } p$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_2) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_2)$.
- (20) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{FSA} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{FSA} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number and d_1, d_2 be integer locations. If $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{AddTo}(d_1, d_2)$ and $d_1 \in \text{dom } p$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_1) + (\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_2) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_1) + (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_2)$.
- (21) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{FSA} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{FSA} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number and d_1, d_2 be integer locations. If $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{SubFrom}(d_1, d_2)$ and $d_1 \in \text{dom } p$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_1) - (\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_2) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_1) - (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_2)$.
- (22) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{FSA} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{FSA} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number and d_1, d_2 be integer locations. If $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{MultBy}(d_1, d_2)$ and $d_1 \in \text{dom } p$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_1) \cdot (\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_2) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_1) \cdot (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_2)$.

- (23) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{fsa} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{fsa} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number and d_1, d_2 be integer locations. If $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{Divide}(d_1, d_2)$ and $d_1 \in \text{dom } p$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_1) \div (\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_2) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_1) \div (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_2)$.
- (24) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{fsa} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{fsa} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number and d_1, d_2 be integer locations. If $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{Divide}(d_1, d_2)$ and $d_2 \in \text{dom } p$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_1) \bmod (\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_2) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_1) \bmod (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_2)$.
- (25) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{fsa} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{fsa} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number, d_1 be an integer location, and l_2 be an instruction-location of SCM_{fsa} . If $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{if } d_1 = 0 \text{ goto } l_2$ and $l_2 \neq \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)})$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_1) = 0$ iff $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_1) = 0$.
- (26) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{fsa} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{fsa} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number, d_1 be an integer location, and l_2 be an instruction-location of SCM_{fsa} . If $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = \text{if } d_1 > 0 \text{ goto } l_2$ and $l_2 \neq \text{Next}(\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)})$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_1) > 0$ iff $(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_1) > 0$.
- (27) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{fsa} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{fsa} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number, d_1, d_2 be integer locations, and f be a finite sequence location. Suppose $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = d_1 := f_{d_2}$ and $d_1 \in \text{dom } p$. Let k_1, k_2 be natural numbers. If $k_1 = |(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_2)|$ and $k_2 = |(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_2)|$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(f)_{k_1} = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(f)_{k_2}$.
- (28) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{fsa} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{fsa} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number, d_1, d_2 be integer locations, and f be a finite sequence location. Suppose $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = f_{d_2} := d_1$ and $f \in \text{dom } p$. Let k_1, k_2 be natural numbers. If $k_1 = |(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_2)|$ and $k_2 = |(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_2)|$, then $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(f) + (k_1, (\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_1)) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(f) + (k_2, (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_1))$.
- (29) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{fsa} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{fsa} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number, d_1 be an integer location, and f be a finite sequence location. If $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = d_1 := \text{len } f$ and $d_1 \in \text{dom } p$, then $\text{len}(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(f) = \text{len}(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(f)$.
- (30) Let p be an autonomic non programmed finite partial state of SCM_{fsa} and s_1, s_2 be states of SCM_{fsa} . Suppose $p \subseteq s_1$ and $p \subseteq s_2$. Let i be a natural number, d_1 be an integer location, and f be a finite sequence location. Suppose $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)) = f := \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_{d_1}$ and $f \in \text{dom } p$. Let k_1, k_2 be natural numbers. If $k_1 = |(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)(d_1)|$ and $k_2 = |(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)(d_1)|$, then $k_1 \mapsto 0 = k_2 \mapsto 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card_3.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/funct_7.html.

- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_lang.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_2.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/cat_3.html.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.
- [10] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/ami_1.html.
- [11] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. On a mathematical model of programs. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/ami_2.html.
- [12] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/absvalue.html>.
- [13] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/ami_5.html.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/ami_3.html.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The **SCM_{fsa}** computer. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/scmfsa_2.html.
- [17] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/int_1.html.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_4.html.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

Received February 7, 1996

Published January 2, 2004
