

Development of Terminology for SCM¹

Grzegorz Bancerek
Polish Academy of Sciences
Institute of Mathematics
Warsaw

Piotr Rudnicki
University of Alberta
Department of Computing Science
Edmonton

Summary. We develop a higher level terminology for the **SCM** machine defined by Nakamura and Trybulec in [5]. Among numerous technical definitions and lemmas we define a complexity measure of a halting state of **SCM** and a loader for **SCM** for arbitrary finite sequence of instructions. In order to test the introduced terminology we discuss properties of eight shortest halting programs, one for each instruction.

MML Identifier: SCM_1.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/scm_1.html

The articles [6], [11], [8], [1], [10], [7], [12], [3], [4], [2], [5], and [9] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

Let i be an integer. Then $\langle i \rangle$ is a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{Z} .

The following propositions are true:

- (1) For every state s of **SCM** holds $\mathbf{IC}_s = s(0)$ and $\text{CurInstr}(s) = s(s(0))$.
- (2) For every state s of **SCM** and for every natural number k holds $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s))(k)) = s(\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)})$ and $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s))(k)) = s((\text{Computation}(s))(k)(0))$.
- (3) For every state s of **SCM** such that there exists a natural number k such that $s(\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)}) = \mathbf{halts}_{\text{SCM}}$ holds s is halting.
- (4) For every state s of **SCM** and for every natural number k such that $s(\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)}) = \mathbf{halts}_{\text{SCM}}$ holds $\text{Result}(s) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)$.
- (7)¹ For all natural numbers n, m holds $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}} \neq \mathbf{i}_n$ and $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{SCM}} \neq \mathbf{d}_n$ and $\mathbf{i}_n \neq \mathbf{d}_m$.

Let I be a finite sequence of elements of the instructions of **SCM, let D be a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{Z} , and let i_1, p_1, d_1 be natural numbers. A state of **SCM** is called a state with instruction counter on i_1 , with I located from p_1 , and D from d_1 if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 1).**

- (Def. 1)(i) $\mathbf{IC}_{it} = \mathbf{i}_{(i_1)}$,
- (ii) for every natural number k such that $k < \text{len}I$ holds $\text{it}(\mathbf{i}_{p_1+k}) = I(k+1)$, and
 - (iii) for every natural number k such that $k < \text{len}D$ holds $\text{it}(\mathbf{d}_{d_1+k}) = D(k+1)$.

The following propositions are true:

¹This work was partially supported by NSERC Grant OGP9207 while the first author visited University of Alberta, May–June 1993.

¹ The propositions (5) and (6) have been removed.

- (8) Let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 be sets and p be a finite sequence. If $p = \langle x_1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_3 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_4 \rangle$, then $\text{len } p = 4$ and $p(1) = x_1$ and $p(2) = x_2$ and $p(3) = x_3$ and $p(4) = x_4$.
- (9) Let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 be sets and p be a finite sequence. Suppose $p = \langle x_1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_3 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_4 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_5 \rangle$. Then $\text{len } p = 5$ and $p(1) = x_1$ and $p(2) = x_2$ and $p(3) = x_3$ and $p(4) = x_4$ and $p(5) = x_5$.
- (10) Let $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6$ be sets and p be a finite sequence. Suppose $p = \langle x_1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_3 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_4 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_5 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_6 \rangle$. Then $\text{len } p = 6$ and $p(1) = x_1$ and $p(2) = x_2$ and $p(3) = x_3$ and $p(4) = x_4$ and $p(5) = x_5$ and $p(6) = x_6$.
- (11) Let $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7$ be sets and p be a finite sequence. Suppose $p = \langle x_1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_3 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_4 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_5 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_6 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_7 \rangle$. Then $\text{len } p = 7$ and $p(1) = x_1$ and $p(2) = x_2$ and $p(3) = x_3$ and $p(4) = x_4$ and $p(5) = x_5$ and $p(6) = x_6$ and $p(7) = x_7$.
- (12) Let $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8$ be sets and p be a finite sequence. Suppose $p = \langle x_1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_3 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_4 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_5 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_6 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_7 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_8 \rangle$. Then $\text{len } p = 8$ and $p(1) = x_1$ and $p(2) = x_2$ and $p(3) = x_3$ and $p(4) = x_4$ and $p(5) = x_5$ and $p(6) = x_6$ and $p(7) = x_7$ and $p(8) = x_8$.
- (13) Let $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9$ be sets and p be a finite sequence. Suppose $p = \langle x_1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_3 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_4 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_5 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_6 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_7 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_8 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x_9 \rangle$. Then $\text{len } p = 9$ and $p(1) = x_1$ and $p(2) = x_2$ and $p(3) = x_3$ and $p(4) = x_4$ and $p(5) = x_5$ and $p(6) = x_6$ and $p(7) = x_7$ and $p(8) = x_8$ and $p(9) = x_9$.
- (14) Let $I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5, I_6, I_7, I_8, I_9$ be instructions of **SCM**, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5 be integers, i_1 be a natural number, and s be a state with instruction counter on i_1 , with $\langle I_1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle I_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle I_3 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle I_4 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle I_5 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle I_6 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle I_7 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle I_8 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle I_9 \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle i_3 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle i_4 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle i_5 \rangle$ from 0. Then $\mathbf{IC}_s = \mathbf{i}_{(i_1)}$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_0) = I_1$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_1) = I_2$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_2) = I_3$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_3) = I_4$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_4) = I_5$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_5) = I_6$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_6) = I_7$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_7) = I_8$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_8) = I_9$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_0) = i_2$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_1) = i_3$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_2) = i_4$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_3) = i_5$.
- (15) Let I_1, I_2 be instructions of **SCM**, i_2, i_3 be integers, i_1 be a natural number, and s be a state with instruction counter on i_1 , with $\langle I_1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle I_2 \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle i_3 \rangle$ from 0. Then $\mathbf{IC}_s = \mathbf{i}_{(i_1)}$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_0) = I_1$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_1) = I_2$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_0) = i_2$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_1) = i_3$.

Let N be a set with non empty elements, let S be a halting IC-Ins-separated definite non empty non void AMI over N , and let s be a state of S . Let us assume that s is halting. The complexity of s is a natural number and is defined by the conditions (Def. 2).

- (Def. 2)(i) $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s))(\text{the complexity of } s)) = \mathbf{halt}_S$, and
- (ii) for every natural number k such that $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s))(k)) = \mathbf{halt}_S$ holds the complexity of $s \leq k$.

We introduce $\text{LifeSpan}(s)$ as a synonym of the complexity of s .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (16) Let s be a state of **SCM** and k be a natural number. Then $s(\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)}) \neq \mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}}$ and $s(\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)}) = \mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}}$ if and only if the complexity of $s = k + 1$ and s is halting.
- (17) Let s be a state of **SCM** and k be a natural number. If $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)} \neq \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)}$ and $s(\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)}) = \mathbf{halt}_{\mathbf{SCM}}$, then the complexity of $s = k + 1$.
- (18) Let k, n be natural numbers, s be a state of **SCM**, and a, b be data-locations. Suppose $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)} = \mathbf{i}_n$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_n) = a := b$. Then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = \mathbf{i}_{n+1}$ and $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(a) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(b)$ and for every data-location d such that $d \neq a$ holds $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(d) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(d)$.

- (19) Let k, n be natural numbers, s be a state of **SCM**, and a, b be data-locations. Suppose $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)} = \mathbf{i}_n$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_n) = \text{AddTo}(a, b)$. Then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = \mathbf{i}_{n+1}$ and $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(a) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(a) + (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(b)$ and for every data-location d such that $d \neq a$ holds $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(d) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(d)$.
- (20) Let k, n be natural numbers, s be a state of **SCM**, and a, b be data-locations. Suppose $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)} = \mathbf{i}_n$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_n) = \text{SubFrom}(a, b)$. Then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = \mathbf{i}_{n+1}$ and $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(a) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(a) - (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(b)$ and for every data-location d such that $d \neq a$ holds $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(d) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(d)$.
- (21) Let k, n be natural numbers, s be a state of **SCM**, and a, b be data-locations. Suppose $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)} = \mathbf{i}_n$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_n) = \text{MultBy}(a, b)$. Then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = \mathbf{i}_{n+1}$ and $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(a) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(a) \cdot (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(b)$ and for every data-location d such that $d \neq a$ holds $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(d) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(d)$.
- (22) Let k, n be natural numbers, s be a state of **SCM**, and a, b be data-locations. Suppose $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)} = \mathbf{i}_n$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_n) = \text{Divide}(a, b)$ and $a \neq b$. Then
- (i) $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = \mathbf{i}_{n+1}$,
 - (ii) $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(a) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(a) \div (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(b)$,
 - (iii) $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(b) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(a) \bmod (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(b)$, and
 - (iv) for every data-location d such that $d \neq a$ and $d \neq b$ holds $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(d) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(d)$.
- (23) Let k, n be natural numbers, s be a state of **SCM**, and i_1 be an instruction-location of **SCM**. Suppose $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)} = \mathbf{i}_n$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_n) = \text{goto } i_1$. Then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = i_1$ and for every data-location d holds $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(d) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(d)$.
- (24) Let k, n be natural numbers, s be a state of **SCM**, a be a data-location, and i_1 be an instruction-location of **SCM**. Suppose $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)} = \mathbf{i}_n$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_n) = \text{if } a = 0 \text{ goto } i_1$. Then
- (i) if $(\text{Computation}(s))(k)(a) = 0$, then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = i_1$,
 - (ii) if $(\text{Computation}(s))(k)(a) \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = \mathbf{i}_{n+1}$, and
 - (iii) for every data-location d holds $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(d) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(d)$.
- (25) Let k, n be natural numbers, s be a state of **SCM**, a be a data-location, and i_1 be an instruction-location of **SCM**. Suppose $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k)} = \mathbf{i}_n$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_n) = \text{if } a > 0 \text{ goto } i_1$. Then
- (i) if $(\text{Computation}(s))(k)(a) > 0$, then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = i_1$,
 - (ii) if $(\text{Computation}(s))(k)(a) \leq 0$, then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)} = \mathbf{i}_{n+1}$, and
 - (iii) for every data-location d holds $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1)(d) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)(d)$.
- (26) $(\mathbf{halts}_{\text{scm}})_1 = 0$ and for all data-locations a, b holds $(a:=b)_1 = 1$ and for all data-locations a, b holds $(\text{AddTo}(a, b))_1 = 2$ and for all data-locations a, b holds $(\text{SubFrom}(a, b))_1 = 3$ and for all data-locations a, b holds $(\text{MultBy}(a, b))_1 = 4$ and for all data-locations a, b holds $(\text{Divide}(a, b))_1 = 5$ and for every instruction-location i of **SCM** holds $(\text{goto } i)_1 = 6$ and for every data-location a and for every instruction-location i of **SCM** holds $(\text{if } a = 0 \text{ goto } i)_1 = 7$ and for every data-location a and for every instruction-location i of **SCM** holds $(\text{if } a > 0 \text{ goto } i)_1 = 8$.
- (27) Let N be a non empty set with non empty elements, S be an IC-Ins-separated definite halting non empty non void AMI over N , s be a state of S , and m be a natural number. Then s is halting if and only if $(\text{Computation}(s))(m)$ is halting.

- (28) Let s_1, s_2 be states of **SCM** and k, c be natural numbers. Suppose $s_2 = \text{Computation}(s_1)(k)$ and the complexity of $s_2 = c$ and s_2 is halting and $0 < c$. Then the complexity of $s_1 = k + c$.
- (29) For all states s_1, s_2 of **SCM** and for every natural number k such that $s_2 = \text{Computation}(s_1)(k)$ and s_2 is halting holds $\text{Result}(s_2) = \text{Result}(s_1)$.
- (30) Let $I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5, I_6, I_7, I_8, I_9$ be instructions of **SCM**, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5 be integers, i_1 be a natural number, and s be a state of **SCM**. Suppose that $\mathbf{IC}_s = \mathbf{i}_{(i_1)}$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_0) = I_1$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_1) = I_2$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_2) = I_3$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_3) = I_4$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_4) = I_5$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_5) = I_6$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_6) = I_7$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_7) = I_8$ and $s(\mathbf{i}_8) = I_9$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_0) = i_2$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_1) = i_3$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_2) = i_4$ and $s(\mathbf{d}_3) = i_5$. Then s is a state with instruction counter on i_1 , with $\langle I_1 \rangle \wedge \langle I_2 \rangle \wedge \langle I_3 \rangle \wedge \langle I_4 \rangle \wedge \langle I_5 \rangle \wedge \langle I_6 \rangle \wedge \langle I_7 \rangle \wedge \langle I_8 \rangle \wedge \langle I_9 \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \wedge \langle i_3 \rangle \wedge \langle i_4 \rangle \wedge \langle i_5 \rangle$ from 0.
- (31) Let s be a state with instruction counter on 0, with $\langle \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}} \rangle$ located from 0, and $\varepsilon_{\mathbb{Z}}$ from 0. Then s is halting and the complexity of $s = 0$ and $\text{Result}(s) = s$.
- (32) Let i_2, i_3 be integers and s be a state with instruction counter on 0, with $\langle \mathbf{d}_0 := \mathbf{d}_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}} \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \wedge \langle i_3 \rangle$ from 0. Then
- (i) s is halting,
 - (ii) the complexity of $s = 1$,
 - (iii) $(\text{Result}(s))(\mathbf{d}_0) = i_3$, and
 - (iv) for every data-location d such that $d \neq \mathbf{d}_0$ holds $(\text{Result}(s))(d) = s(d)$.
- (33) Let i_2, i_3 be integers and s be a state with instruction counter on 0, with $\langle \text{AddTo}(\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d}_1) \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}} \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \wedge \langle i_3 \rangle$ from 0. Then
- (i) s is halting,
 - (ii) the complexity of $s = 1$,
 - (iii) $(\text{Result}(s))(\mathbf{d}_0) = i_2 + i_3$, and
 - (iv) for every data-location d such that $d \neq \mathbf{d}_0$ holds $(\text{Result}(s))(d) = s(d)$.
- (34) Let i_2, i_3 be integers and s be a state with instruction counter on 0, with $\langle \text{SubFrom}(\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d}_1) \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}} \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \wedge \langle i_3 \rangle$ from 0. Then
- (i) s is halting,
 - (ii) the complexity of $s = 1$,
 - (iii) $(\text{Result}(s))(\mathbf{d}_0) = i_2 - i_3$, and
 - (iv) for every data-location d such that $d \neq \mathbf{d}_0$ holds $(\text{Result}(s))(d) = s(d)$.
- (35) Let i_2, i_3 be integers and s be a state with instruction counter on 0, with $\langle \text{MultBy}(\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d}_1) \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}} \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \wedge \langle i_3 \rangle$ from 0. Then
- (i) s is halting,
 - (ii) the complexity of $s = 1$,
 - (iii) $(\text{Result}(s))(\mathbf{d}_0) = i_2 \cdot i_3$, and
 - (iv) for every data-location d such that $d \neq \mathbf{d}_0$ holds $(\text{Result}(s))(d) = s(d)$.
- (36) Let i_2, i_3 be integers and s be a state with instruction counter on 0, with $\langle \text{Divide}(\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d}_1) \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbf{halts}_{\mathbf{SCM}} \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \wedge \langle i_3 \rangle$ from 0. Then
- (i) s is halting,
 - (ii) the complexity of $s = 1$,
 - (iii) $(\text{Result}(s))(\mathbf{d}_0) = i_2 \div i_3$,
 - (iv) $(\text{Result}(s))(\mathbf{d}_1) = i_2 \bmod i_3$, and
 - (v) for every data-location d such that $d \neq \mathbf{d}_0$ and $d \neq \mathbf{d}_1$ holds $(\text{Result}(s))(d) = s(d)$.

- (37) Let i_2, i_3 be integers and s be a state with instruction counter on 0, with $\langle \text{goto } (i_1) \rangle \wedge \langle \text{halt}_{\text{scm}} \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \wedge \langle i_3 \rangle$ from 0. Then s is halting and the complexity of $s = 1$ and for every data-location d holds $(\text{Result}(s))(d) = s(d)$.
- (38) Let i_2, i_3 be integers and s be a state with instruction counter on 0, with $\langle \text{if } d_0 = 0 \text{ goto } i_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \text{halt}_{\text{scm}} \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \wedge \langle i_3 \rangle$ from 0. Then s is halting and the complexity of $s = 1$ and for every data-location d holds $(\text{Result}(s))(d) = s(d)$.
- (39) Let i_2, i_3 be integers and s be a state with instruction counter on 0, with $\langle \text{if } d_0 > 0 \text{ goto } i_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \text{halt}_{\text{scm}} \rangle$ located from 0, and $\langle i_2 \rangle \wedge \langle i_3 \rangle$ from 0. Then s is halting and the complexity of $s = 1$ and for every data-location d holds $(\text{Result}(s))(d) = s(d)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [5] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/ami_1.html.
- [6] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [7] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html.
- [8] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/ami_3.html.
- [10] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/int_1.html.
- [11] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [12] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

Received October 8, 1993

Published January 2, 2004
