# The Subformula Tree of a Formula of the First Order Language

Oleg Okhotnikov Ural University Ekaterinburg

**Summary.** A continuation of [12]. The notions of list of immediate constituents of a formula and subformula tree of a formula are introduced. The some propositions related to these notions are proved.

MML Identifier: QC\_LANG4.
WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/qc\_lang4.html

The articles [15], [11], [19], [17], [3], [20], [9], [10], [13], [8], [18], [1], [4], [5], [6], [7], [14], [2], and [16] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

The following propositions are true:

- (4)<sup>1</sup> For every natural number *n* and for every finite sequence *r* there exists a finite sequence *q* such that  $q = r \upharpoonright \text{Seg } n$  and  $q \preceq r$ .
- (6)<sup>2</sup> Let *D* be a non empty set, *r* be a finite sequence of elements of *D*,  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  be finite sequences, and *k* be a natural number. Suppose  $k + 1 \le \text{len } r$  and  $r_1 = r \upharpoonright \text{Seg}(k+1)$  and  $r_2 = r \upharpoonright \text{Seg}k$ . Then there exists an element *x* of *D* such that  $r_1 = r_2 \land \langle x \rangle$ .
- (7) Let *D* be a non empty set, *r* be a finite sequence of elements of *D*, and  $r_1$  be a finite sequence. If  $1 \le \text{len } r$  and  $r_1 = r \upharpoonright \text{Seg } 1$ , then there exists an element *x* of *D* such that  $r_1 = \langle x \rangle$ .

Let D be a non empty set and let T be a tree decorated with elements of D. Observe that every element of dom T is function-like and relation-like.

Let D be a non empty set and let T be a tree decorated with elements of D. Note that every element of dom T is finite sequence-like.

Let D be a non empty set. Observe that there exists a tree decorated with elements of D which is finite.

In the sequel *T* denotes a decorated tree and *p* denotes a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . One can prove the following proposition

(8)  $T(p) = (T \restriction p)(\emptyset).$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The propositions (1)–(3) have been removed.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The proposition (5) has been removed.

In the sequel T is a finite-branching decorated tree, t is an element of dom T, x is a finite sequence, and n is a natural number.

Next we state several propositions:

- (9)  $\operatorname{succ}(T,t) = T \cdot \operatorname{Succ} t.$
- (10)  $\operatorname{dom}(T \cdot \operatorname{Succ} t) = \operatorname{dom} \operatorname{Succ} t.$
- (11) dom succ(T,t) =dom Succt.
- (12)  $t \cap \langle n \rangle \in \operatorname{dom} T \operatorname{iff} n + 1 \in \operatorname{dom} \operatorname{Succ} t$ .
- (13) For all *T*, *x*, *n* such that  $x \cap \langle n \rangle \in \text{dom } T$  holds  $T(x \cap \langle n \rangle) = (\text{succ}(T, x))(n+1)$ .

In the sequel x, x' denote elements of dom T and y' denotes a set. Next we state two propositions:

- (14) If  $x' \in \operatorname{succ} x$ , then  $T(x') \in \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{succ}(T, x)$ .
- (15) If  $y' \in \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{succ}(T, x)$ , then there exists x' such that y' = T(x') and  $x' \in \operatorname{succ} x$ .

In the sequel *n*, *k*, *m* denote natural numbers.

The scheme *ExDecTrees* deals with a non empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , an element  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and states that:

There exists a finite-branching tree *T* decorated with elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $T(\emptyset) = \mathcal{B}$  and for every element *t* of dom *T* and for every element *w* of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that w = T(t) holds succ $(T,t) = \mathcal{F}(w)$ 

for all values of the parameters.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (16) For every tree T and for every element t of T holds  $\text{Seg}_{\prec}(t)$  is a finite chain of T.
- (17) For every tree T holds T-level(0) =  $\{\emptyset\}$ .
- (18) For every tree T holds T-level $(n+1) = \bigcup \{ \text{succ } w; w \text{ ranges over elements of } T : \text{len } w = n \}.$
- (19) For every finite-branching tree T and for every natural number n holds T-level(n) is finite.
- (20) For every finite-branching tree T holds T is finite iff there exists a natural number n such that T-level $(n) = \emptyset$ .
- (21) For every finite-branching tree T such that T is not finite there exists a chain C of T such that C is not finite.
- (22) For every finite-branching tree T such that T is not finite there exists a branch B of T such that B is not finite.
- (23) Let *T* be a tree, *C* be a chain of *T*, and *t* be an element of *T*. If  $t \in C$  and *C* is not finite, then there exists an element t' of *T* such that  $t' \in C$  and  $t \prec t'$ .
- (24) Let *T* be a tree, *B* be a branch of *T*, and *t* be an element of *T*. Suppose  $t \in B$  and *B* is not finite. Then there exists an element t' of *T* such that  $t' \in B$  and  $t' \in \text{succ } t$ .
- (25) Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that for every n holds f(n+1) **qua** natural number  $\leq f(n)$  **qua** natural number. Then there exists m such that for every n such that  $m \leq n$  holds f(n) = f(m).

The scheme *FinDecTree* deals with a non empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , a finite-branching tree  $\mathcal{B}$  decorated with elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a natural number, and states that:

#### $\mathcal{B}$ is finite

provided the parameters meet the following condition:

For all elements t, t' of dom B and for every element d of A such that t' ∈ succt and d = B(t') holds F(d) < F(B(t)).</li>

In the sequel D denotes a non empty set and T denotes a tree decorated with elements of D. One can prove the following two propositions:

- (26) For every set y such that  $y \in \operatorname{rng} T$  holds y is an element of D.
- (27) For every set *x* such that  $x \in \text{dom } T$  holds T(x) is an element of *D*.

#### 2. SUBFORMULA TREE

In the sequel F, G, H denote elements of WFF.

The following two propositions are true:

- (28) If *F* is a subformula of *G*, then  $\operatorname{len}({}^{@}F) \leq \operatorname{len}({}^{@}G)$ .
- (29) If *F* is a subformula of *G* and  $len({}^{@}F) = len({}^{@}G)$ , then F = G.

Let p be an element of WFF. The list of immediate constituents of p yielding a finite sequence of elements of WFF is defined by:

(Def. 1) The list of immediate constituents of  $p = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{\text{WFF}}, \text{ if } p = \text{VERUM or } p \text{ is atomic}, \\ \langle \text{Arg}(p) \rangle, \text{ if } p \text{ is negative}, \\ \langle \text{LeftArg}(p), \text{RightArg}(p) \rangle, \text{ if } p \text{ is conjunctive}, \\ \langle \text{Scope}(p) \rangle, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

We now state two propositions:

- (30) Suppose  $k \in \text{dom}$  (the list of immediate constituents of *F*) and *G* = (the list of immediate constituents of *F*)(*k*). Then *G* is an immediate constituent of *F*.
- (31) rng (the list of immediate constituents of F) = {G; G ranges over elements of WFF: G is an immediate constituent of F}.

Let p be an element of WFF. The tree of subformulae of p yields a finite tree decorated with elements of WFF and is defined by the conditions (Def. 2).

- (Def. 2)(i) (The tree of subformulae of p)( $\emptyset$ ) = p, and
  - (ii) for every element x of dom (the tree of subformulae of p) holds succ (the tree of subformulae of p, x) = the list of immediate constituents of (the tree of subformulae of p)(x).

In the sequel t, t' denote elements of dom (the tree of subformulae of F). Next we state a number of propositions:

- $(34)^3$   $F \in \operatorname{rng}(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F).$
- (35) Suppose  $t \cap \langle n \rangle \in \text{dom}$  (the tree of subformulae of *F*). Then there exists *G* such that
- (i)  $G = (\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)(t \cap \langle n \rangle), \text{ and }$
- (ii) G is an immediate constituent of (the tree of subformulae of F)(t).
- (36) The following statements are equivalent
- (i) *H* is an immediate constituent of (the tree of subformulae of F)(t),
- (ii) there exists *n* such that  $t \cap \langle n \rangle \in \text{dom}$  (the tree of subformulae of *F*) and *H* = (the tree of subformulae of *F*) $(t \cap \langle n \rangle)$ .
- (37) Suppose  $G \in \operatorname{rng}(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)$  and H is an immediate constituent of G. Then  $H \in \operatorname{rng}(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)$ .
- (38) If  $G \in \operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{the tree of subformulae of } F)$  and H is a subformula of G, then  $H \in \operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{the tree of subformulae of } F)$ .
- (39)  $G \in \operatorname{rng}(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)$  iff G is a subformula of F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The propositions (32) and (33) have been removed.

- (40) rng(the tree of subformulae of F) = Subformulae F.
- (41) Suppose  $t' \in \operatorname{succ} t$ . Then (the tree of subformulae of F)(t') is an immediate constituent of (the tree of subformulae of F)(t).
- (42) If  $t \leq t'$ , then (the tree of subformulae of F)(t') is a subformula of (the tree of subformulae of F)(t).
- (43) If t ≺ t', then len(<sup>@</sup>(the tree of subformulae of F)(t')) < len(<sup>@</sup>(the tree of subformulae of F)(t)).
- (44) If  $t \prec t'$ , then (the tree of subformulae of F) $(t') \neq$  (the tree of subformulae of F)(t).
- (45) If  $t \prec t'$ , then (the tree of subformulae of F)(t') is a proper subformula of (the tree of subformulae of F)(t).
- (46) (The tree of subformulae of F)(t) = F iff  $t = \emptyset$ .
- (47) Suppose  $t \neq t'$  and (the tree of subformulae of F)(t) = (the tree of subformulae of F)(t'). Then t and t' are not  $\subseteq$ -comparable.

Let F, G be elements of WFF. The F-entry points in subformula tree of G yields an antichain of prefixes of dom(the tree of subformulae of F) and is defined by the condition (Def. 3).

(Def. 3) Let t be an element of dom(the tree of subformulae of F). Then  $t \in$  the F-entry points in subformula tree of G if and only if (the tree of subformulae of F)(t) = G.

Next we state several propositions:

- (49)<sup>4</sup> The *F*-entry points in subformula tree of  $G = \{t; t \text{ ranges over elements of dom(the tree of subformulae of$ *F*): (the tree of subformulae of*F*)(*t*) =*G* $}.$
- (50) *G* is a subformula of *F* iff the *F*-entry points in subformula tree of  $G \neq \emptyset$ .
- (51) Suppose  $t' = t \cap \langle m \rangle$  and (the tree of subformulae of F)(t) is negative. Then (the tree of subformulae of F)(t') = Arg((the tree of subformulae of F)(t)) and m = 0.
- (52) Suppose  $t' = t \cap \langle m \rangle$  and (the tree of subformulae of F)(t) is conjunctive. Then
- (i) (the tree of subformulae of F)(t') = LeftArg((the tree of subformulae of F)(t)) and m = 0, or
- (ii) (the tree of subformulae of F)(t') = RightArg((the tree of subformulae of F)(t)) and m = 1.
- (53) Suppose  $t' = t \cap \langle m \rangle$  and (the tree of subformulae of F)(t) is universal. Then (the tree of subformulae of F)(t') = Scope((the tree of subformulae of F)(t)) and m = 0.
- (54) Suppose (the tree of subformulae of F)(t) is negative. Then
- (i)  $t \cap \langle 0 \rangle \in \text{dom}$  (the tree of subformulae of *F*), and
- (ii) (the tree of subformulae of F) $(t \cap \langle 0 \rangle) = \operatorname{Arg}((\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)(t)).$
- (55) Suppose (the tree of subformulae of F)(t) is conjunctive. Then
- (i)  $t \cap \langle 0 \rangle \in \text{dom}(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F),$
- (ii) (the tree of subformulae of F) $(t \cap \langle 0 \rangle)$  = LeftArg((the tree of subformulae of F)(t)),
- (iii)  $t \cap \langle 1 \rangle \in \text{dom}(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)$ , and
- (iv) (the tree of subformulae of F) $(t \land \langle 1 \rangle) =$ RightArg((the tree of subformulae of F)(t)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The proposition (48) has been removed.

- (56) Suppose (the tree of subformulae of F)(t) is universal. Then
- (i)  $t \cap \langle 0 \rangle \in \text{dom}(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)$ , and
- (ii) (the tree of subformulae of  $F(t \cap \langle 0 \rangle) = \text{Scope}((\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)(t)).$

In the sequel t denotes an element of dom (the tree of subformulae of F) and s denotes an element of dom (the tree of subformulae of G).

Next we state the proposition

(57) Suppose  $t \in$  the *F*-entry points in subformula tree of *G* and  $s \in$  the *G*-entry points in subformula tree of *H*. Then  $t \cap s \in$  the *F*-entry points in subformula tree of *H*.

In the sequel t denotes an element of dom(the tree of subformulae of F) and s denotes a finite sequence.

Next we state several propositions:

- (58) Suppose  $t \in$  the *F*-entry points in subformula tree of *G* and  $t \cap s \in$  the *F*-entry points in subformula tree of *H*. Then  $s \in$  the *G*-entry points in subformula tree of *H*.
- (59) Let given F, G, H. Then  $\{t \cap s; t \text{ ranges over elements of dom(the tree of subformulae of <math>F$ ), s ranges over elements of dom(the tree of subformulae of G):  $t \in$  the F-entry points in subformula tree of  $G \land s \in$  the G-entry points in subformula tree of H  $\subseteq$  the F-entry points in subformula tree of H.
- (60) (The tree of subformulae of F)|t = the tree of subformulae of (the tree of subformulae of F)(t).
- (61)  $t \in$  the *F*-entry points in subformula tree of *G* if and only if (the tree of subformulae of *F*)|t| = the tree of subformulae of *G*.
- (62) The *F*-entry points in subformula tree of  $G = \{t; t \text{ ranges over elements of dom (the tree of subformulae of$ *F*): (the tree of subformulae of*F*)|*t*= the tree of subformulae of*G* $}.$

In the sequel C is a chain of dom (the tree of subformulae of F). One can prove the following proposition

- (63) Let given F, G, H, C. Suppose that
  - (i)  $G \in \{(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)(t); t \text{ ranges over elements of dom(the tree of subformulae of } F): t \in C\}, and$
- (ii)  $H \in \{(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F)(t); t \text{ ranges over elements of dom}(\text{the tree of subformulae of } F): t \in C\}.$

Then G is a subformula of H or H is a subformula of G.

Let F be an element of WFF. An element of WFF is called a subformula of F if:

(Def. 4) It is a subformula of F.

Let F be an element of WFF and let G be a subformula of F. An element of dom(the tree of subformulae of F) is said to be an entry point in subformula tree of G if:

(Def. 5) (The tree of subformulae of F)(it) = G.

In the sequel G denotes a subformula of F and t, t' denote entry points in subformula tree of G. Next we state the proposition

(65)<sup>5</sup> If  $t \neq t'$ , then t and t' are not  $\subseteq$ -comparable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The proposition (64) has been removed.

Let F be an element of WFF and let G be a subformula of F. The entry points in subformula tree of G yields a non empty antichain of prefixes of dom(the tree of subformulae of F) and is defined by:

(Def. 6) The entry points in subformula tree of G = the *F*-entry points in subformula tree of *G*.

We now state two propositions:

- $(67)^6$   $t \in$  the entry points in subformula tree of G.
- (68) The entry points in subformula tree of  $G = \{t; t \text{ ranges over entry points in subformula tree of } G: t = t\}.$

In the sequel  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  denote subformulae of F,  $t_1$  denotes an entry point in subformula tree of  $G_1$ , and s denotes an element of dom(the tree of subformulae of  $G_1$ ).

Next we state the proposition

(69) If  $s \in \text{the } G_1\text{-entry points in subformula tree of } G_2$ , then  $t_1 \cap s$  is an entry point in subformula tree of  $G_2$ .

In the sequel *s* is a finite sequence. We now state three propositions:

- (70) If  $t_1 \cap s$  is an entry point in subformula tree of  $G_2$ , then  $s \in$  the  $G_1$ -entry points in subformula tree of  $G_2$ .
- (71) Let given F,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ . Then  $\{t \cap s; t \text{ ranges over entry points in subformula tree of } G_1$ , s ranges over elements of dom(the tree of subformulae of  $G_1$ ):  $s \in \text{the } G_1$ -entry points in subformula tree of  $G_2$  =  $\{t \cap s; t \text{ ranges over elements of dom(the tree of subformulae of } F)$ , s ranges over elements of dom(the tree of subformulae of  $G_1$ ):  $t \in \text{the } F$ -entry points in subformula tree of  $G_1 \wedge s \in \text{the } G_1$ -entry points in subformula tree of  $G_2$ .
- (72) Let given F,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ . Then  $\{t \cap s; t \text{ ranges over entry points in subformula tree of } G_1, s \text{ ranges over elements of dom(the tree of subformulae of } G_1): s \in \text{the } G_1\text{-entry points in subformula tree of } G_2\} \subseteq \text{the entry points in subformula tree of } G_2$ .

In the sequel  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are subformulae of F,  $t_1$  is an entry point in subformula tree of  $G_1$ , and  $t_2$  is an entry point in subformula tree of  $G_2$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (73) If there exist  $t_1, t_2$  such that  $t_1 \leq t_2$ , then  $G_2$  is a subformula of  $G_1$ .
- (74) If  $G_2$  is a subformula of  $G_1$ , then for every  $t_1$  there exists  $t_2$  such that  $t_1 \leq t_2$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank to G. Bancerek for his assistance during the preparation of this paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card\_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Connectives and subformulae of the first order language. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http: //mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/qc\_lang2.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat\_1.html.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Introduction to trees. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/trees\_1. html.

[5] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's Lemma. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/trees\_2.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The proposition (66) has been removed.

- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/trees\_ 4.html.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Subtrees. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/trees\_9.html.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finseq\_1.html.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ funct\_1.html.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct\_ 2.html.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ zfmisc\_1.html.
- [12] Czesław Byliński and Grzegorz Bancerek. Variables in formulae of the first order language. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/qc\_lang3.html.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finset\_1.html.
- [14] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. A first order language. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Voll/qc\_langl.html.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Voll/mcart\_1.html.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Addenda, 2003. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/ numbers.html.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq\_ 4.html.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\_1.html.
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Voll/relat\_1.html.

Received October 2, 1995

Published January 2, 2004