

# Calculus of Propositions

Jan Popiołek  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

Andrzej Trybulec  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

**Summary.** Continues the analysis of classical language of first order (see [6], [1], [3], [4], [2]). Three connectives: truth, negation and conjunction are primary (see [6]). The others (alternative, implication and equivalence) are defined with respect to them (see [1]). We prove some important tautologies of the calculus of propositions. Most of them are given as the axioms of classical logical calculus (see [5]). In the last part of our article we give some basic rules of inference.

MML Identifier: PROCAL\_1.

WWW: [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/procal\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/procal_1.html)

The articles [7], [3], and [4] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper  $p, q, r, s$  are elements of CQC-WFF.

The following propositions are true:

- (1)  $\neg(p \wedge \neg p) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (2)  $p \vee \neg p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (3)  $p \Rightarrow p \vee q \in \text{Taut.}$
- (4)  $q \Rightarrow p \vee q \in \text{Taut.}$
- (5)  $p \vee q \Rightarrow (\neg p \Rightarrow q) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (6)  $\neg(p \vee q) \Rightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q \in \text{Taut.}$
- (7)  $\neg p \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow \neg(p \vee q) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (8)  $p \vee q \Rightarrow q \vee p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (9)  $\neg p \vee p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (10)  $\neg(p \vee q) \Rightarrow \neg p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (11)  $p \vee p \Rightarrow p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (12)  $p \Rightarrow p \vee p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (13)  $p \wedge \neg p \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut.}$
- (14)  $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow \neg p \vee q \in \text{Taut.}$
- (15)  $p \wedge q \Rightarrow \neg(p \Rightarrow \neg q) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (16)  $\neg(p \Rightarrow \neg q) \Rightarrow p \wedge q \in \text{Taut.}$

- (17)  $\neg(p \wedge q) \Rightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q \in \text{Taut.}$
- (18)  $\neg p \vee \neg q \Rightarrow \neg(p \wedge q) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (19)  $p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (20)  $p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \vee q \in \text{Taut.}$
- (21)  $p \wedge q \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut.}$
- (22)  $p \Rightarrow p \wedge p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (23)  $(p \Leftrightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow q) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (24)  $(p \Leftrightarrow q) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (25)  $p \vee q \vee r \Rightarrow p \vee (q \vee r) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (26)  $p \wedge q \wedge r \Rightarrow p \wedge (q \wedge r) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (27)  $p \vee (q \vee r) \Rightarrow p \vee q \vee r \in \text{Taut.}$
- (28)  $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p \wedge q) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (29)  $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((q \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (p \Leftrightarrow q)) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (30)  $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (31)  $(p \wedge q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (32)  $(p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)) \Rightarrow (p \wedge q \Rightarrow r) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (33)  $(r \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow ((r \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (r \Rightarrow p \wedge q)) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (34)  $(p \vee q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r) \vee (q \Rightarrow r) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (35)  $(p \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow ((q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \vee q \Rightarrow r)) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (36)  $(p \Rightarrow r) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \vee q \Rightarrow r) \in \text{Taut.}$
- (37)  $(p \Rightarrow q \wedge \neg q) \Rightarrow \neg p \in \text{Taut.}$
- (38)  $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r) \Rightarrow p \vee q \wedge r \in \text{Taut.}$
- (39)  $p \wedge (q \vee r) \Rightarrow p \wedge q \vee p \wedge r \in \text{Taut.}$
- (40)  $(p \vee r) \wedge (q \vee r) \Rightarrow p \wedge q \vee r \in \text{Taut.}$
- (41)  $(p \vee q) \wedge r \Rightarrow p \wedge r \vee q \wedge r \in \text{Taut.}$
- (42) If  $p \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \vee q \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (43) If  $q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \vee q \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (44) If  $p \wedge q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (45) If  $p \wedge q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $q \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (46) If  $p \wedge q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \vee q \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (47) If  $p \in \text{Taut}$  and  $q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \wedge q \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (48) If  $p \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \vee r \Rightarrow q \vee r \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (49) If  $p \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $r \vee p \Rightarrow r \vee q \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (50) If  $p \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $r \wedge p \Rightarrow r \wedge q \in \text{Taut}$ .

- (51) If  $p \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \wedge r \Rightarrow q \wedge r \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (52) If  $r \Rightarrow p \in \text{Taut}$  and  $r \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $r \Rightarrow p \wedge q \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (53) If  $p \Rightarrow r \in \text{Taut}$  and  $q \Rightarrow r \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \vee q \Rightarrow r \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (54) If  $p \vee q \in \text{Taut}$  and  $\neg p \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $q \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (55) If  $p \vee q \in \text{Taut}$  and  $\neg q \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (56) If  $p \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut}$  and  $r \Rightarrow s \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \wedge r \Rightarrow q \wedge s \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (57) If  $p \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut}$  and  $r \Rightarrow s \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \vee r \Rightarrow q \vee s \in \text{Taut}$ .
- (58) If  $p \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p \in \text{Taut}$ , then  $p \Rightarrow q \in \text{Taut}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Connectives and subformulae of the first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/qc\\_lang2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/qc_lang2.html).
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Agata Darmochwał, and Andrzej Trybulec. Propositional calculus. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/lukasi\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/lukasi_1.html).
- [3] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc\\_lang.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_lang.html).
- [4] Agata Darmochwał. A first-order predicate calculus. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc\\_the1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_the1.html).
- [5] Andrzej Grzegorzcyk. *Zarys logiki matematycznej*. PWN, Warsaw, 1973.
- [6] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. A first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/qc\\_lang1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/qc_lang1.html).
- [7] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html).

*Received October 23, 1990*

*Published January 2, 2004*

---