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Summary. This article is the first in a series of four articles (continued in [23], [22], [24]) about modelling circuits by many-sorted algebras.

Here, we introduce some auxiliary notations and prove auxiliary facts about many sorted sets, many sorted functions and trees.

MML Identifier: PRE_CIRC.
WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pre_circ.html

The articles [26], [15], [31], [4], [30], [2], [1], [5], [29], [19], [32], [13], [18], [14], [25], [17], [7], [3], [9], [10], [11], [6], [8], [27], [20], [28], [21], [12], and [16] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. VARIA

The scheme FraenkelFinIm deals with a finite non empty set $\mathcal{A}$, a unary functor $\mathcal{F}$ yielding a set, and a unary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:
$\{\mathcal{F}(x) ; x$ ranges over elements of $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{P}[x]\}$ is finite
for all values of the parameters.
Next we state three propositions:
(2) For every function $f$ and for all sets $x, y$ such that $\operatorname{dom} f=\{x\}$ and $\operatorname{rng} f=\{y\}$ holds $f=\{\langle x, y\rangle\}$.
(3) For all functions $f, g, h$ such that $f \subseteq g$ holds $f+\cdot h \subseteq g+\cdot h$.
(4) For all functions $f, g, h$ such that $f \subseteq g$ and $\operatorname{dom} f$ misses dom $h$ holds $f \subseteq g+\cdot h$.

Let us note that there exists a set which is finite, non empty, and natural-membered.
Let $A$ be a finite non empty real-membered set. Then $\sup A$ is a real number and it can be characterized by the condition:
(Def. 1) $\sup A \in A$ and for every real number $k$ such that $k \in A$ holds $k \leq \sup A$.
We introduce $\max A$ as a synonym of $\sup A$.
Let $X$ be a finite non empty natural-membered set. One can verify that $\max X$ is natural.
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## 2. Many Sorted Sets and Functions

The following proposition is true
(5) For every set $I$ and for every many sorted set $M_{1}$ indexed by $I$ holds $M_{1}{ }^{\#}\left(\varepsilon_{I}\right)=\{0\}$.

The scheme MSSLambda2Part deals with a set $\mathcal{A}$, two unary functors $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ yielding sets, and a unary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

There exists a many sorted set $f$ indexed by $\mathcal{A}$ such that for every element $i$ of $\mathcal{A}$ holds
(i) if $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $f(i)=\mathcal{F}(i)$, and
(ii) if not $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $f(i)=\mathcal{G}(i)$
for all values of the parameters.
Let $I$ be a set and let $I_{1}$ be a many sorted set indexed by $I$. We say that $I_{1}$ is locally-finite if and only if:
(Def. 3 $2^{2}$ For every set $i$ such that $i \in I$ holds $I_{1}(i)$ is finite.
Let $I$ be a set. One can verify that there exists a many sorted set indexed by $I$ which is non-empty and locally-finite.

Let $I, A$ be sets. Then $I \longmapsto A$ is a many sorted set indexed by $I$.
Let $I$ be a set, let $M$ be a many sorted set indexed by $I$, and let $A$ be a subset of $I$. Then $M \upharpoonright A$ is a many sorted set indexed by $A$.

Let $M$ be a non-empty function and let $A$ be a set. Observe that $M\lceil A$ is non-empty.
Next we state three propositions:
(6) For every non empty set $I$ and for every non-empty many sorted set $B$ indexed by $I$ holds $U \mathrm{rng} B$ is non empty.
(7) For every set $I$ holds uncurry $(I \longmapsto \emptyset)=\emptyset$.
(8) Let $I$ be a non empty set, $A$ be a set, $B$ be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by $I$, and $F$ be a many sorted function from $I \longmapsto A$ into $B$. Then domcommute $(F)=A$.

Now we present two schemes. The scheme LambdaRecCorrD deals with a non empty set $\mathcal{A}$, an element $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, and a binary functor $\mathcal{F}$ yielding an element of $\mathcal{A}$, and states that:
(i) There exists a function $f$ from $\mathbb{N}$ into $\mathcal{A}$ such that $f(0)=\mathcal{B}$ and for every natural number $i$ holds $f(i+1)=\mathcal{F}(i, f(i))$, and
(ii) for all functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$ from $\mathbb{N}$ into $\mathcal{A}$ such that $f_{1}(0)=\mathcal{B}$ and for every natural number $i$ holds $f_{1}(i+1)=\mathcal{F}\left(i, f_{1}(i)\right)$ and $f_{2}(0)=\mathcal{B}$ and for every natural number $i$ holds $f_{2}(i+1)=\mathcal{F}\left(i, f_{2}(i)\right)$ holds $f_{1}=f_{2}$ for all values of the parameters.

The scheme LambdaMSFD deals with a non empty set $\mathcal{A}$, a subset $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, many sorted sets $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ indexed by $\mathcal{B}$, and a unary functor $\mathcal{F}$ yielding a set, and states that:

There exists a many sorted function $f$ from $\mathcal{C}$ into $\mathcal{D}$ such that for every element $i$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $i \in \mathcal{B}$ holds $f(i)=\mathcal{F}(i)$
provided the parameters have the following property:

- For every element $i$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $i \in \mathcal{B}$ holds $\mathcal{F}(i)$ is a function from $\mathcal{C}(i)$ into $\mathcal{D}(i)$.

Let $F$ be a non-empty function and let $f$ be a function. Observe that $F \cdot f$ is non-empty.
Let $I$ be a set and let $M_{1}$ be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by $I$. One can verify that every element of $\Pi M_{1}$ is function-like and relation-like.

Next we state four propositions:
(9) Let $I$ be a set, $f$ be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by $I, g$ be a function, and $s$ be an element of $\Pi f$. Suppose $\operatorname{dom} g \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$ and for every set $x$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} g$ holds $g(x) \in f(x)$. Then $s+g$ is an element of $\Pi f$.

[^1](10) Let $A, B$ be non empty sets, $C$ be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by $A, I_{2}$ be a many sorted function from $A \longmapsto B$ into $C$, and $b$ be an element of $B$. Then there exists a many sorted set $c$ indexed by $A$ such that $c=\left(\operatorname{commute}\left(I_{2}\right)\right)(b)$ and $c \in C$.
(11) Let $I$ be a set, $M$ be a many sorted set indexed by $I$, and $x, g$ be functions. If $x \in \Pi M$, then $x \cdot g \in \Pi(M \cdot g)$.
(12) For every natural number $n$ and for every set $a$ holds $\prod(n \mapsto\{a\})=\{n \mapsto a\}$.

## 3. Trees

We adopt the following rules: $T, T_{1}$ denote finite trees, $t, p$ denote elements of $T$, and $t_{1}$ denotes an element of $T_{1}$.

Let $D$ be a non empty set. Observe that every element of $\operatorname{FinTrees}(D)$ is finite.
Let $T$ be a finite decorated tree and let $t$ be an element of dom $T$. One can check that $T \upharpoonright t$ is finite.
One can prove the following proposition
(13) $T \upharpoonright p \approx\{t: p \preceq t\}$.

Let $T$ be a finite decorated tree, let $t$ be an element of $\operatorname{dom} T$, and let $T_{1}$ be a finite decorated tree. Observe that $T$ with-replacement $\left(t, T_{1}\right)$ is finite.

Next we state a number of propositions:
(14) $T$ with-replacement $\left(p, T_{1}\right)=\{t: p \npreceq t\} \cup\left\{p^{\wedge} t_{1}\right\}$.
(15) For every finite sequence $f$ of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in T$ with-replacement $\left(p, T_{1}\right)$ and $p \preceq f$ there exists $t_{1}$ such that $f=p^{\complement} t_{1}$.
(16) For every tree yielding finite sequence $p$ and for every natural number $k$ such that $k+1 \in$ $\operatorname{dom} p$ holds $\overbrace{p} \upharpoonright\langle k\rangle=p(k+1)$.
(17) Let $q$ be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence and $k$ be a natural number. If $k+1 \in$ $\operatorname{dom} q$, then $\langle k\rangle \in \overbrace{\operatorname{dom}_{\kappa} q(\kappa)}$.
(18) Let $p, q$ be tree yielding finite sequences and $k$ be a natural number. Suppose len $p=\operatorname{len} q$ and $k+1 \in \operatorname{dom} p$ and for every natural number $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} p$ and $i \neq k+1$ holds $p(i)=q(i)$. Let $t$ be a tree. If $q(k+1)=t$, then $\overbrace{q}=\overbrace{p}$ with-replacement $(\langle k\rangle, t)$.
(19) Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be finite decorated trees, $x$ be a set, $k$ be a natural number, and $p$ be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Suppose $\langle k\rangle \in \operatorname{dom} e_{1}$ and $e_{1}=x$-tree $(p)$. Then there exists a decorated tree yielding finite sequence $q$ such that $e_{1}$ with-replacement $\left(\langle k\rangle, e_{2}\right)=x$-tree $(q)$ and len $q=\operatorname{len} p$ and $q(k+1)=e_{2}$ and for every natural number $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} p$ and $i \neq k+1$ holds $q(i)=p(i)$.
(20) For every finite tree $T$ and for every element $p$ of $T$ such that $p \neq \emptyset$ holds $\operatorname{card}(T \upharpoonright p)<$ $\operatorname{card} T$.
(21) For every function $f$ holds $\overline{\overline{(f \text { qua set })}}=\overline{\overline{\operatorname{dom} f}}$.
(22) For all finite trees $T, T_{1}$ and for every element $p$ of $T$ holds $\operatorname{card}\left(T\right.$ with-replacement $\left.\left(p, T_{1}\right)\right)+$ $\operatorname{card}(T \upharpoonright p)=\operatorname{card} T+\operatorname{card} T_{1}$.
(23) For all finite decorated trees $T, T_{1}$ and for every element $p$ of $\operatorname{dom} T$ holds $\operatorname{card}\left(T\right.$ with-replacement $\left.\left(p, T_{1}\right)\right)+\operatorname{card}(T \upharpoonright p)=\operatorname{card} T+\operatorname{card} T_{1}$.

Let $x$ be a set. One can check that the root tree of $x$ is finite.
One can prove the following proposition
For every set $x$ holds card (the root tree of $x$ ) $=1$.
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