## More on the Lattice of Many Sorted Equivalence Relations

Robert Milewski Warsaw University Białystok

MML Identifier: MSUALG\_7.
WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/msualg\_7.html

The articles [18], [6], [20], [21], [3], [5], [4], [17], [16], [19], [22], [1], [8], [7], [13], [2], [11], [12], [14], [15], [9], and [10] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. LATTICE OF MANY SORTED EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS IS COMPLETE

For simplicity, we follow the rules: I denotes a non empty set, M denotes a many sorted set indexed by I, x denotes a set, and  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  denote real numbers.

Next we state three propositions:

- (1) For every set X holds  $x \in$  the carrier of EqRelLatt(X) iff x is an equivalence relation of X.
- (2)  $id_M$  is an equivalence relation of M.
- (3) [[M,M]] is an equivalence relation of M.

Let us consider I, M. Observe that EqRelLatt(M) is bounded. The following propositions are true:

- (4)  $\perp_{\text{EqRelLatt}(M)} = \text{id}_M.$
- (5)  $\top_{\operatorname{EqRelLatt}(M)} = \llbracket M, M \rrbracket.$
- (6) Every subset of EqRelLatt(M) is a family of many sorted subsets indexed by [M, M].
- (7) Let *a*, *b* be elements of EqRelLatt(*M*) and *A*, *B* be equivalence relations of *M*. If a = A and b = B, then  $a \sqsubseteq b$  iff  $A \subseteq B$ .
- (8) Let *X* be a subset of EqRelLatt(*M*) and *X*<sub>1</sub> be a family of many sorted subsets indexed by  $[\![M,M]\!]$ . Suppose  $X_1 = X$ . Let *a*, *b* be equivalence relations of *M*. If  $a = \bigcap |:X_1:|$  and  $b \in X$ , then  $a \subseteq b$ .
- (9) Let X be a subset of EqRelLatt(M) and  $X_1$  be a family of many sorted subsets indexed by [[M,M]]. If  $X_1 = X$  and X is non empty, then  $\bigcap |:X_1:|$  is an equivalence relation of M.

Let L be a non empty lattice structure. Let us observe that L is complete if and only if the condition (Def. 1) is satisfied.

(Def. 1) Let *X* be a subset of *L*. Then there exists an element *a* of *L* such that  $X \sqsubseteq a$  and for every element *b* of *L* such that  $X \sqsubseteq b$  holds  $a \sqsubseteq b$ .

Next we state the proposition

(10) EqRelLatt(M) is complete.

Let us consider I, M. One can check that EqRelLatt(M) is complete. The following proposition is true

(11) Let X be a subset of EqRelLatt(M) and  $X_1$  be a family of many sorted subsets indexed by [[M,M]]. Suppose  $X_1 = X$  and X is non empty. Let a, b be equivalence relations of M. If  $a = \bigcap |:X_1:|$  and  $b = \bigcap_{\text{EqRelLatt}(M)} X$ , then a = b.

## 2. SUBLATTICES INHERITING SUP'S AND INF'S

Let *L* be a lattice and let  $I_1$  be a sublattice of *L*. We say that  $I_1$  is  $\square$ -inheriting if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every subset X of  $I_1$  holds  $\square_L X \in$  the carrier of  $I_1$ .

We say that  $I_1$  is  $\sqcup$ -inheriting if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every subset *X* of  $I_1$  holds  $\bigsqcup_L X \in$  the carrier of  $I_1$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (12) Let *L* be a lattice, *L'* be a sublattice of *L*, *a*, *b* be elements of *L*, and *a'*, *b'* be elements of *L'*. If a = a' and b = b', then  $a \sqcup b = a' \sqcup b'$  and  $a \sqcap b = a' \sqcap b'$ .
- (13) Let *L* be a lattice, *L'* be a sublattice of *L*, *X* be a subset of *L'*, *a* be an element of *L*, and *a'* be an element of *L'*. If a = a', then  $a \sqsubseteq X$  iff  $a' \sqsubseteq X$ .
- (14) Let *L* be a lattice, *L'* be a sublattice of *L*, *X* be a subset of *L'*, *a* be an element of *L*, and *a'* be an element of *L'*. If a = a', then  $X \sqsubseteq a$  iff  $X \sqsubseteq a'$ .
- (15) Let L be a complete lattice and L' be a sublattice of L. If L' is  $\square$ -inheriting, then L' is complete.
- (16) Let L be a complete lattice and L' be a sublattice of L. If L' is  $\sqcup$ -inheriting, then L' is complete.

Let *L* be a complete lattice. Observe that there exists a sublattice of *L* which is complete.

Let *L* be a complete lattice. One can check that every sublattice of *L* which is  $\square$ -inheriting is also complete and every sublattice of *L* which is  $\square$ -inheriting is also complete.

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (17) Let *L* be a complete lattice and *L'* be a sublattice of *L*. Suppose *L'* is  $\bigcap$ -inheriting. Let *A'* be a subset of *L'*. Then  $\bigcap_{L} A' = \bigcap_{L'} A'$ .
- (18) Let *L* be a complete lattice and *L'* be a sublattice of *L*. Suppose *L'* is  $\square$ -inheriting. Let *A'* be a subset of *L'*. Then  $\square_L A' = \bigsqcup_{L'} A'$ .
- (19) Let *L* be a complete lattice and *L'* be a sublattice of *L*. Suppose *L'* is  $\square$ -inheriting. Let *A* be a subset of *L* and *A'* be a subset of *L'*. If A = A', then  $\square A = \square A'$ .
- (20) Let *L* be a complete lattice and *L'* be a sublattice of *L*. Suppose *L'* is  $\square$ -inheriting. Let *A* be a subset of *L* and *A'* be a subset of *L'*. If A = A', then  $\square A = \bigsqcup A'$ .

Let us consider  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ . Let us assume that  $r_1 \le r_2$ . The functor RealSubLatt $(r_1, r_2)$  yields a strict lattice and is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

(Def. 4)(i) The carrier of RealSubLatt $(r_1, r_2) = [r_1, r_2]$ ,

- (ii) the join operation of RealSubLatt $(r_1, r_2) = \max_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \left( \left[ \left[ r_1, r_2 \right], \left[ r_1, r_2 \right] \right] \right] \right]$  quaset), and
- (iii) the meet operation of RealSubLatt $(r_1, r_2) = \min_{\mathbb{R}} [(:[r_1, r_2], [r_1, r_2]]]$  qua set).

One can prove the following propositions:

- (21) For all  $r_1, r_2$  such that  $r_1 \le r_2$  holds RealSubLatt $(r_1, r_2)$  is complete.
- (22) There exists a sublattice of RealSubLatt(0, 1) which is ||-inheriting and non ||-inheriting.
- (23) There exists a complete lattice L such that there exists a sublattice of L which is  $\square$ -inheriting and non  $\square$ -inheriting.
- (24) There exists a sublattice of RealSubLatt(0, 1) which is  $\square$ -inheriting and non | |-inheriting.
- (25) There exists a complete lattice L such that there exists a sublattice of L which is  $\square$ -inheriting and non  $\square$ -inheriting.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.
- [2] Ewa Burakowska. Subalgebras of many sorted algebra. Lattice of subalgebras. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/msualg\_2.html.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ funct\_1.html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct\_ 2.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ zfmisc\_1.html.
- [7] Marek Chmur. The lattice of real numbers. The lattice of real functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/real\_lat.html.
- [8] Marek Chmur. The lattice of natural numbers and the sublattice of it. The set of prime numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/nat\_lat.html.
- [9] Artur Korniłowicz. Certain facts about families of subsets of many sorted sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 7, 1995. http: //mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/mssubfam.html.
- [10] Artur Korniłowicz. On the closure operator and the closure system of many sorted sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/closure2.html.
- [11] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/msualg\_3.html.
- [12] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Many sorted quotient algebra. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/ msualg\_4.html.
- [13] Beata Madras. Products of many sorted algebras. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pralg\_ 2.html.
- [14] Robert Milewski. Lattice of congruences in many sorted algebra. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol8/msualg\_5.html.
- [15] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/setfam\_1.html.
- [16] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/eqrel\_1.html.
- [17] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/rcomp\_1.html.

- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pboole.html.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\_1.html.
- [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ relset\_1.html.
- [22] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ lattices.html.

Received February 9, 1996

Published January 2, 2004