

The Jónsson Theorem about the Representation of Modular Lattices

Mariusz Łapiński
University of Białystok

Summary. Formalization of [16, pp. 192–199], chapter IV. Partition Lattices, theorem 8.

MML Identifier: LATTICE8.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol12/lattice8.html>

The articles [22], [14], [26], [27], [28], [11], [12], [4], [24], [1], [2], [3], [23], [21], [13], [18], [9], [25], [20], [8], [5], [10], [6], [29], [17], [19], [15], and [7] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a non empty set and let P, R be binary relations on A . Let us observe that $P \subseteq R$ if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all elements a, b of A such that $\langle a, b \rangle \in P$ holds $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$.

Let L be a relational structure. We say that L is finitely typed if and only if the condition (Def. 2) is satisfied.

(Def. 2) There exists a non empty set A such that

- (i) for every set e such that $e \in$ the carrier of L holds e is an equivalence relation of A , and
- (ii) there exists a natural number o such that for all equivalence relations e_1, e_2 of A and for all sets x, y such that $e_1 \in$ the carrier of L and $e_2 \in$ the carrier of L and $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$ there exists a non empty finite sequence F of elements of A such that $\text{len } F = o$ and x and y are joint by F, e_1 and e_2 .

Let L be a lower-bounded lattice and let n be a natural number. We say that L has a representation of type $\leq n$ if and only if the condition (Def. 3) is satisfied.

(Def. 3) There exists a non trivial set A and there exists a homomorphism f from L to $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ such that

- (i) f is one-to-one,
- (ii) $\text{Im } f$ is finitely typed,
- (iii) there exists an equivalence relation e of A such that $e \in$ the carrier of $\text{Im } f$ and $e \neq \text{id}_A$, and
- (iv) the type of $\text{Im } f \leq n$.

Let us note that there exists a lattice which is lower-bounded, distributive, and finite.

Let A be a non trivial set. Observe that there exists a non empty sublattice of $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$ which is non trivial, finitely typed, and full.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1) For every non empty set A and for every lower-bounded lattice L and for every distance function d of A , L holds $\text{succ}\emptyset \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$.
- (2) Every trivial semilattice is modular.
- (3) Let A be a non empty set and L be a non empty sublattice of $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$. Then L is trivial or there exists an equivalence relation e of A such that $e \in$ the carrier of L and $e \neq \text{id}_A$.
- (4) Let L_1, L_2 be lower-bounded lattices and f be a map from L_1 into L_2 . Suppose f is inf-preserving and sups-preserving. Then f is meet-preserving and join-preserving.
- (5) For all lower-bounded lattices L_1, L_2 such that L_1 and L_2 are isomorphic and L_1 is modular holds L_2 is modular.
- (6) Let S be a lower-bounded non empty poset, T be a non empty poset, and f be a monotone map from S into T . Then $\text{Im } f$ is lower-bounded.
- (7) Let L be a lower-bounded lattice, x, y be elements of L , A be a non empty set, and f be a homomorphism from L to $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$. If f is one-to-one, then if $f^\circ(x) \leq f^\circ(y)$, then $x \leq y$.

2. THE JÓNSSON THEOREM

We now state two propositions:

- (8) Let A be a non trivial set, L be a finitely typed full non empty sublattice of $\text{EqRelPoset}(A)$, and e be an equivalence relation of A . Suppose $e \in$ the carrier of L and $e \neq \text{id}_A$. If the type of $L \leq 2$, then L is modular.
- (9) For every lower-bounded lattice L such that L has a representation of type ≤ 2 holds L is modular.

Let A be a set. The functor $\text{new_set2}A$ is defined by:

(Def. 4) $\text{new_set2}A = A \cup \{\{A\}, \{\{A\}\}\}$.

Let A be a set. One can verify that $\text{new_set2}A$ is non empty.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L , and let q be an element of $[\cdot A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$. The functor $\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q)$ yielding a bifunction from $\text{new_set2}A$ into L is defined by the conditions (Def. 5).

- (Def. 5)(i) For all elements u, v of A holds $(\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q))(u, v) = d(u, v)$,
- (ii) $(\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q))(\{A\}, \{A\}) = \perp_L$,
 - (iii) $(\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q))(\{\{A\}\}, \{\{A\}\}) = \perp_L$,
 - (iv) $(\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q))(\{A\}, \{\{A\}\}) = (d(q_1, q_2) \sqcup q_3) \sqcap q_4$,
 - (v) $(\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q))(\{\{A\}\}, \{A\}) = (d(q_1, q_2) \sqcup q_3) \sqcap q_4$, and
 - (vi) for every element u of A holds $(\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q))(u, \{A\}) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3$ and $(\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q))(\{A\}, u) = d(u, q_1) \sqcup q_3$ and $(\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q))(u, \{\{A\}\}) = d(u, q_2) \sqcup q_3$ and $(\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q))(\{\{A\}\}, u) = d(u, q_2) \sqcup q_3$.

The following propositions are true:

- (10) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, and d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is zeroed. Let q be an element of $[\cdot A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$. Then $\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q)$ is zeroed.

- (11) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, and d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is symmetric. Let q be an element of $[A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$. Then $\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q)$ is symmetric.
- (12) Let A be a non empty set and L be a lower-bounded lattice. Suppose L is modular. Let d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is symmetric and satisfies triangle inequality. Let q be an element of $[A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$. If $d(q_1, q_2) \leq q_3 \sqcup q_4$, then $\text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q)$ satisfies triangle inequality.
- (13) For every set A holds $A \subseteq \text{new_set2}A$.
- (14) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L , and q be an element of $[A, A, \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$. Then $d \subseteq \text{new_bi_fun2}(d, q)$.

Let A be a non empty set and let O be an ordinal number. The functor $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O)$ is defined by the condition (Def. 6).

(Def. 6) There exists a transfinite sequence L_0 such that

- (i) $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O) = \text{last } L_0$,
- (ii) $\text{dom } L_0 = \text{succ } O$,
- (iii) $L_0(\emptyset) = A$,
- (iv) for every ordinal number C such that $\text{succ } C \in \text{succ } O$ holds $L_0(\text{succ } C) = \text{new_set2}L_0(C)$, and
- (v) for every ordinal number C such that $C \in \text{succ } O$ and $C \neq \emptyset$ and C is a limit ordinal number holds $L_0(C) = \bigcup \text{rng}(L_0 \upharpoonright C)$.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (15) For every non empty set A holds $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, \emptyset) = A$.
- (16) For every non empty set A and for every ordinal number O holds $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, \text{succ } O) = \text{new_set2}\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O)$.
- (17) Let A be a non empty set, O be an ordinal number, and T be a transfinite sequence. Suppose $O \neq \emptyset$ and O is a limit ordinal number and $\text{dom } T = O$ and for every ordinal number O_1 such that $O_1 \in O$ holds $T(O_1) = \text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O_1)$. Then $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O) = \bigcup \text{rng } T$.

Let A be a non empty set and let O be an ordinal number. One can verify that $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O)$ is non empty.

We now state the proposition

- (18) For every non empty set A and for every ordinal number O holds $A \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O)$.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L , let q be a sequence of quadruples of d , and let O be an ordinal number. Let us assume that $O \in \text{dom } q$. The functor $\text{Quadr2}(q, O)$ yields an element of $[\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O), \text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O), \text{the carrier of } L, \text{the carrier of } L]$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) $\text{Quadr2}(q, O) = q(O)$.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L , let q be a sequence of quadruples of d , and let O be an ordinal number. The functor $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O)$ is defined by the condition (Def. 8).

(Def. 8) There exists a transfinite sequence L_0 such that

- (i) $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O) = \text{last}L_0$,
- (ii) $\text{dom}L_0 = \text{succ}O$,
- (iii) $L_0(\emptyset) = d$,
- (iv) for every ordinal number C such that $\text{succ}C \in \text{succ}O$ holds $L_0(\text{succ}C) = \text{new_bi_fun2}(\text{BiFun}(L_0(C), \text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, C), L), \text{Quadr2}(q, C))$, and
- (v) for every ordinal number C such that $C \in \text{succ}O$ and $C \neq \emptyset$ and C is a limit ordinal number holds $L_0(C) = \bigcup \text{rng}(L_0 \upharpoonright C)$.

We now state several propositions:

- (19) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L , and q be a sequence of quadruples of d . Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, \emptyset) = d$.
- (20) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L , q be a sequence of quadruples of d , and O be an ordinal number. Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, \text{succ}O) = \text{new_bi_fun2}(\text{BiFun}(\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O), \text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O), L), \text{Quadr2}(q, O))$.
- (21) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L , q be a sequence of quadruples of d , T be a transfinite sequence, and O be an ordinal number. Suppose $O \neq \emptyset$ and O is a limit ordinal number and $\text{dom}T = O$ and for every ordinal number O_1 such that $O_1 \in O$ holds $T(O_1) = \text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O_1)$. Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O) = \bigcup \text{rng}T$.
- (22) For every non empty set A and for all ordinal numbers O, O_1, O_2 such that $O_1 \subseteq O_2$ holds $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O_1) \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O_2)$.
- (23) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L , q be a sequence of quadruples of d , and O be an ordinal number. Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O)$ is a bifunction from $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O)$ into L .

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L , let q be a sequence of quadruples of d , and let O be an ordinal number. Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O)$ is a bifunction from $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O)$ into L .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (24) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L , q be a sequence of quadruples of d , and O be an ordinal number. Then $d \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O)$.
- (25) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a bifunction from A into L , O_1, O_2 be ordinal numbers, and q be a sequence of quadruples of d . If $O_1 \subseteq O_2$, then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O_1) \subseteq \text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O_2)$.
- (26) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, and d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is zeroed. Let q be a sequence of quadruples of d and O be an ordinal number. Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O)$ is zeroed.
- (27) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, and d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is symmetric. Let q be a sequence of quadruples of d and O be an ordinal number. Then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O)$ is symmetric.
- (28) Let A be a non empty set and L be a lower-bounded lattice. Suppose L is modular. Let d be a bifunction from A into L . Suppose d is symmetric and satisfies triangle inequality. Let O be an ordinal number and q be a sequence of quadruples of d . If $O \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$, then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O)$ satisfies triangle inequality.

- (29) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, d be a distance function of A, L , O be an ordinal number, and q be a sequence of quadruples of d . If $O \subseteq \text{DistEsti}(d)$, then $\text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, O)$ is a distance function of $\text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, O), L$.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, and let d be a bifunction from A into L . The functor $\text{NextSet2}d$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 9) $\text{NextSet2}d = \text{ConsecutiveSet2}(A, \text{DistEsti}(d))$.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, and let d be a bifunction from A into L . Note that $\text{NextSet2}d$ is non empty.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a bifunction from A into L , and let q be a sequence of quadruples of d . The functor $\text{NextDelta2}q$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 10) $\text{NextDelta2}q = \text{ConsecutiveDelta2}(q, \text{DistEsti}(d))$.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, let d be a distance function of A, L , and let q be a sequence of quadruples of d . Then $\text{NextDelta2}q$ is a distance function of $\text{NextSet2}d, L$.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded lattice, let d be a distance function of A, L , let A_1 be a non empty set, and let d_1 be a distance function of A_1, L . We say that A_1, d_1 is extension2 of A, d if and only if:

(Def. 11) There exists a sequence q of quadruples of d such that $A_1 = \text{NextSet2}d$ and $d_1 = \text{NextDelta2}q$.

We now state the proposition

- (30) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded lattice, d be a distance function of A, L , A_1 be a non empty set, and d_1 be a distance function of A_1, L . Suppose A_1, d_1 is extension2 of A, d . Let x, y be elements of A and a, b be elements of L . Suppose $d(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b$. Then there exist elements z_1, z_2 of A_1 such that $d_1(x, z_1) = a$ and $d_1(z_1, z_2) = (d(x, y) \sqcup a) \sqcap b$ and $d_1(z_2, y) = a$.

Let A be a non empty set, let L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, and let d be a distance function of A, L . A function is called an ExtensionSeq2 of A, d if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 12).

- (Def. 12)(i) $\text{dom it} = \mathbb{N}$,
(ii) $\text{it}(0) = \langle A, d \rangle$, and
(iii) for every natural number n there exists a non empty set A' and there exists a distance function d' of A', L and there exists a non empty set A_1 and there exists a distance function d_1 of A_1, L such that A_1, d_1 is extension2 of A', d' and $\text{it}(n) = \langle A', d' \rangle$ and $\text{it}(n+1) = \langle A_1, d_1 \rangle$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (31) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, d be a distance function of A, L , S be an ExtensionSeq2 of A, d , and k, l be natural numbers. If $k \leq l$, then $S(k)_1 \subseteq S(l)_1$.
- (32) Let A be a non empty set, L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, d be a distance function of A, L , S be an ExtensionSeq2 of A, d , and k, l be natural numbers. If $k \leq l$, then $S(k)_2 \subseteq S(l)_2$.
- (33) Let L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, S be an ExtensionSeq2 of the carrier of $L, \delta_0(L)$, and F_1 be a non empty set. Suppose $F_1 = \bigcup \{S(i)_1 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$. Then $\bigcup \{S(i)_2 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$ is a distance function of F_1, L .
- (34) Let L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, S be an ExtensionSeq2 of the carrier of $L, \delta_0(L)$, F_1 be a non empty set, F_2 be a distance function of F_1, L , x, y be elements of F_1 , and a, b be elements of L . Suppose $F_1 = \bigcup \{S(i)_1 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$ and $F_2 = \bigcup \{S(i)_2 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$ and $F_2(x, y) \leq a \sqcup b$. Then there exist elements z_1, z_2 of F_1 such that $F_2(x, z_1) = a$ and $F_2(z_1, z_2) = (F_2(x, y) \sqcup a) \sqcap b$ and $F_2(z_2, y) = a$.

(35) Let L be a lower-bounded modular lattice, S be an ExtensionSeq2 of the carrier of L , $\delta_0(L)$, F_1 be a non empty set, F_2 be a distance function of F_1 , L , f be a homomorphism from L to EqRelPoset(F_1), e_1 , e_2 be equivalence relations of F_1 , and x , y be sets. Suppose that

- (i) $f = \alpha(F_2)$,
- (ii) $F_1 = \bigcup \{S(i)_1 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$,
- (iii) $F_2 = \bigcup \{S(i)_2 : i \text{ ranges over natural numbers}\}$,
- (iv) $e_1 \in$ the carrier of $\text{Im } f$,
- (v) $e_2 \in$ the carrier of $\text{Im } f$, and
- (vi) $\langle x, y \rangle \in e_1 \sqcup e_2$.

Then there exists a non empty finite sequence F of elements of F_1 such that $\text{len } F = 2 + 2$ and x and y are joint by F , e_1 and e_2 .

(36) For every lower-bounded modular lattice L holds L has a representation of type ≤ 2 .

(37) For every lower-bounded lattice L holds L has a representation of type ≤ 2 iff L is modular.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal1.html>.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal2.html>.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cartesian product of functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/funct_6.html.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html>.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.
- [9] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/realset1.html>.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/binop_1.html.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html>.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_1.html.
- [16] George Grätzer. *General Lattice Theory*. Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [17] Jarosław Gryko. The Jónsson theorem. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/lattice5.html>.
- [18] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pralg_1.html.
- [19] Adam Naumowicz. On the characterization of modular and distributive lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow11.html>.
- [20] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/eqrel_1.html.

- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain_1.html.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [25] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.
- [26] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.
- [29] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_2.html.

Received June 29, 2000

Published January 2, 2004
