On the Segmentation of a Simple Closed Curve¹

Andrzej Trybulec University of Białystok

Summary. The main goal of the work was to introduce the concept of the segmentation of a simple closed curve into (arbitrary small) arcs. The existence of it has been proved by Yatsuka Nakamura [21]. The concept of the gap of a segmentation is also introduced. It is the smallest distance between disjoint segments in the segmentation. For this purpose, the relationship between segments of an arc [24] and segments on a simple closed curve [21] has been shown.

MML Identifier: JORDAN_A.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol15/jordan_a.html

The articles [29], [35], [10], [3], [2], [32], [1], [13], [8], [9], [7], [4], [34], [25], [33], [22], [20], [28], [15], [26], [27], [18], [6], [12], [30], [19], [14], [16], [17], [23], [5], [24], [21], [11], and [31] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

The scheme And Scheme deals with a non empty set \mathcal{A} and two unary predicates \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{Q} , and states that:

 $\{a; a \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{P}[a] \land \mathcal{Q}[a]\} = \{a_1; a_1 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{P}[a_1]\} \cap \{a_2; a_2 \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{Q}[a_2]\}$ for all values of the parameters.

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: C is a simple closed curve, p, q are points of \mathcal{E}_{T}^{2} , i, j, k, n are natural numbers, and e is a real number.

Next we state the proposition

(1) For all finite non empty subsets A, B of \mathbb{R} holds $\min(A \cup B) = \min(\min A, \min B)$.

Let T be a non empty topological space. Note that there exists a subset of T which is compact and non empty.

The following propositions are true:

- (2) Let T be a non empty topological space, f be a continuous real map of T, and A be a compact subset of T. Then f $^{\circ}A$ is compact.
- (3) For every compact subset A of \mathbb{R} and for every non empty subset B of \mathbb{R} such that $B \subseteq A$ holds inf $B \in A$.
- (4) Let A, B be compact non empty subsets of \mathcal{E}_{T}^{n} , f be a continuous real map of $[:\mathcal{E}_{T}^{n}, \mathcal{E}_{T}^{n}:]$, and g be a real map of \mathcal{E}_{T}^{n} . Suppose that for every point p of \mathcal{E}_{T}^{n} there exists a subset G of \mathbb{R} such that $G = \{f(p,q); q \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_{T}^{n}: q \in B\}$ and $g(p) = \inf G$. Then $\inf(f^{\circ}[:A, B:]) = \inf(g^{\circ}A)$.

1

© Association of Mizar Users

¹This work has been partially supported by the CALCULEMUS grant HPRN-CT-2000-00102 and TYPES grant IST-1999-29001.

- (5) Let A, B be compact non empty subsets of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^n$, f be a continuous real map of $[:\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^n, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^n:]$, and g be a real map of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^n$. Suppose that for every point q of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^n$ there exists a subset G of \mathbb{R} such that $G = \{f(p,q); p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^n: p \in A\}$ and $g(q) = \inf G$. Then $\inf(f^{\circ}[:A, B:]) = \inf(g^{\circ}B)$.
- (6) If $q \in \text{LowerArc}(C)$ and $q \neq W_{\min}(C)$, then $E_{\max}(C) \leq_C q$.
- (7) If $q \in \text{UpperArc}(C)$, then $q \leq_C E_{\text{max}}(C)$.

2. THE EUCLIDEAN DISTANCE

Let us consider n. The functor EuclDist(n) yielding a real map of $[: \mathcal{E}_T^n, \mathcal{E}_T^n:]$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) For all points p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds (EuclDist(n))(p,q) = |p-q|.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let f be a real map of T. Let us observe that f is continuous if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every point p of T and for every neighbourhood N of f(p) there exists a neighbourhood V of p such that $f^{\circ}V \subseteq N$.

Let us consider n. Note that EuclDist(n) is continuous.

3. On the Distance between Subsets of a Euclidean Space

The following proposition is true

(8) For all non empty compact subsets A, B of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that A misses B holds $\operatorname{dist}_{\min}(A,B) > 0$.

4. On the Segments

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (9) If $p \le_C q$ and $q \le_C E_{\text{max}}(C)$ and $p \ne q$, then Segment $(p, q, C) = \text{Segment}(\text{UpperArc}(C), W_{\text{min}}(C), E_{\text{max}}(C), p, q)$.
- $(10) \quad \text{If } \mathbf{E}_{\max}(C) \leq_C q \text{, then Segment}(\mathbf{E}_{\max}(C), q, C) = \mathbf{Segment}(\mathbf{LowerArc}(C), \mathbf{E}_{\max}(C), \mathbf{W}_{\min}(C), \mathbf{E}_{\max}(C), q).$
- (11) If $E_{\text{max}}(C) \leq_C q$, then $Segment(q, W_{\text{min}}(C), C) = Segment(LowerArc(C), E_{\text{max}}(C), W_{\text{min}}(C), q, W_{\text{min}}(C))$.
- (12) If $p \le_C q$ and $E_{max}(C) \le_C p$, then $Segment(p,q,C) = Segment(LowerArc(C), E_{max}(C), W_{min}(C), p, q)$.
- (13) If $p \le_C E_{\max}(C)$ and $E_{\max}(C) \le_C q$, then $Segment(p,q,C) = RSegment(UpperArc(C), W_{\min}(C), E_{\max}(C), p) \cup LSegment(LowerArc(C), E_{\max}(C), W_{\min}(C), q)$.
- (14) If $p \leq_C E_{\max}(C)$, then Segment $(p, W_{\min}(C), C) = RSegment(UpperArc(C), W_{\min}(C), E_{\max}(C), p) \cup LSegment(LowerArc(C), E_{\max}(C), W_{\min}(C), W_{\min}(C))$.
- (15) $RSegment(UpperArc(C), W_{min}(C), E_{max}(C), p) = Segment(UpperArc(C), W_{min}(C), E_{max}(C), p, E_{max}(C)).$
- (16) LSegment(LowerArc(C), $E_{max}(C)$, $W_{min}(C)$, p) = Segment(LowerArc(C), $E_{max}(C)$, $W_{min}(C)$, $E_{max}(C)$, $E_{max}(C)$
- (17) For every point p of \mathcal{E}^2_T such that $p \in C$ and $p \neq W_{\min}(C)$ holds Segment $(p, W_{\min}(C), C)$ is an arc from p to $W_{\min}(C)$.
- (18) For all points p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p \neq q$ and $p \leq_C q$ holds Segment(p, q, C) is an arc from p to q.
- (19) $C = Segment(W_{min}(C), W_{min}(C), C).$
- (20) For every point q of \mathcal{E}^2_T such that $q \in C$ holds Segment $(q, W_{\min}(C), C)$ is compact.
- (21) For all points q_1, q_2 of \mathcal{E}^2_T such that $q_1 \leq_C q_2$ holds Segment (q_1, q_2, C) is compact.

5. THE CONCEPT OF A SEGMENTATION

Let us consider C. A finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 is said to be a segmentation of C if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 3).

(Def. 3) It₁ = W_{min}(C) and it is one-to-one and $8 \le \text{len it}$ and rng it $\subseteq C$ and for every natural number i such that $1 \le i$ and i < len it holds it_i \le_C it_{i+1} and for every natural number i such that $1 \le i$ and i+1 < len it holds Segment(it_i, it_{i+1}, C) \cap Segment(it_{i+1}, it_{i+2}, C) = {it_{i+1}} and Segment(it_{len it}, it₁, C) \cap Segment(it_{len it}, it₁, C) \cap Segment(it_{len it}, it₁, C) \cap Segment(it_{len it}, it₁, C) misses Segment(it₁, it₂, C) and for all natural numbers i, j such that $1 \le i$ and i < j and j < len it and j are not adjacent holds Segment(it_i, it_{i+1}, C) misses Segment(it_j, it_{j+1}, C) and for every natural number i such that 1 < i and i + 1 < len it holds Segment(it_{len it}, it₁, C) misses Segment(it_i, it_{i+1}, C).

Let us consider C. One can verify that every segmentation of C is non trivial. Next we state the proposition

(22) For every segmentation *S* of *C* and for every *i* such that $1 \le i$ and $i \le \text{len } S$ holds $S_i \in C$.

6. THE SEGMENTS OF A SEGMENTATION

Let us consider C, let i be a natural number, and let S be a segmentation of C. The functor Segm(S, i) yields a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and is defined by:

(Def. 4)
$$\operatorname{Segm}(S, i) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Segment}(S_i, S_{i+1}, C), & \text{if } 1 \leq i \text{ and } i < \text{len } S, \\ \operatorname{Segment}(S_{\text{len } S}, S_1, C), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following proposition is true

(23) For every segmentation *S* of *C* such that $i \in \text{dom } S \text{ holds Segm}(S, i) \subseteq C$.

Let us consider C, let S be a segmentation of C, and let us consider i. Note that Segm(S,i) is non empty and compact.

The following propositions are true:

- (24) For every segmentation S of C and for every p such that $p \in C$ there exists a natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } S$ and $p \in \text{Segm}(S, i)$.
- (25) Let S be a segmentation of C and given i, j. Suppose $1 \le i$ and i < j and j < len S and i and j are not adjacent. Then Segm(S, i) misses Segm(S, j).
- (26) For every segmentation S of C and for every j such that 1 < j and j < len S 1 len S -
- (27) Let *S* be a segmentation of *C* and given *i*, *j*. Suppose $1 \le i$ and i < j and j < len S and *i* and *j* are adjacent. Then Segm $(S, i) \cap \text{Segm}(S, j) = \{S_{i+1}\}.$
- (28) Let S be a segmentation of C and given i, j. Suppose $1 \le i$ and i < j and j < len S and i and j are adjacent. Then Segm(S, i) meets Segm(S, j).
- (29) For every segmentation *S* of *C* holds $Segm(S, len S) \cap Segm(S, 1) = \{S_1\}.$
- (30) For every segmentation S of C holds Segm(S, len S) meets Segm(S, 1).
- (31) For every segmentation *S* of *C* holds $\operatorname{Segm}(S, \operatorname{len} S) \cap \operatorname{Segm}(S, \operatorname{len} S 1) = \{S_{\operatorname{len} S}\}.$
- (32) For every segmentation S of C holds Segm(S, len S) meets Segm(S, len S 1).

7. THE DIAMETER OF A SEGMENTATION

Let us consider n and let C be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n . The functor $\emptyset C$ yields a real number and is defined by:

(Def. 5) There exists a subset W of \mathcal{E}^n such that W = C and $\emptyset C = \emptyset W$.

Let us consider C and let S be a segmentation of C. The functor $\emptyset S$ yields a real number and is defined by:

(Def. 6) There exists a non empty finite subset S_1 of \mathbb{R} such that $S_1 = \{\emptyset \operatorname{Segm}(S, i) : i \in \operatorname{dom} S\}$ and $\emptyset S = \max S_1$.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (33) For every segmentation *S* of *C* and for every *i* holds \emptyset Segm(S,i) $\leq \emptyset S$.
- (34) For every segmentation S of C and for every real number e such that for every i holds $\emptyset \operatorname{Segm}(S,i) < e$ holds $\emptyset S < e$.
- (35) For every real number e such that e > 0 there exists a segmentation S of C such that $\emptyset S < e$.

8. The Concept of the Gap of a Segmentation

Let us consider C and let S be a segmentation of C. The functor Gap(S) yields a real number and is defined by the condition (Def. 7).

(Def. 7) There exist non empty finite subsets S_1 , S_2 of \mathbb{R} such that $S_1 = \{ \operatorname{dist}_{\min}(\operatorname{Segm}(S, i), \operatorname{Segm}(S, j)) : 1 \le i \land i < j \land j < \operatorname{len} S \land i \text{ and } j \text{ are not adjacent} \}$ and $S_2 = \{ \operatorname{dist}_{\min}(\operatorname{Segm}(S, \operatorname{len} S), \operatorname{Segm}(S, k)) : 1 < k \land k < \operatorname{len} S - 1 \}$ and $\operatorname{Gap}(S) = \min(\min S_1, \min S_2)$.

The following two propositions are true:

- (36) Let S be a segmentation of C. Then there exists a finite non empty subset F of \mathbb{R} such that $F = \{ \operatorname{dist}_{\min}(\operatorname{Segm}(S,i),\operatorname{Segm}(S,j)) : 1 \le i \land i < j \land j \le \operatorname{len} S \land \operatorname{Segm}(S,i) \text{ misses } \operatorname{Segm}(S,j) \}$ and $\operatorname{Gap}(S) = \min F$.
- (37) For every segmentation *S* of *C* holds Gap(S) > 0.

REFERENCES

- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/nat_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinall. html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinal2.html.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1,1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.
- [5] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. The theorem of Weierstrass. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol7/weierstr.html.
- [6] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/pcomps 1.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/binop_1.html.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_1.html.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

- [11] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in E². Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/pscomp_1.html.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/compts_1.html.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/euclid.html.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces fundamental concepts. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/topmetr.html.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \(\mathcal{E}_{T}^{2}\). Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/topreal1.html.
- [17] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Simple closed curves. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/topreal2.html.
- [18] Alicia de la Cruz. Totally bounded metric spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/tbsp_
- [19] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/metric 1.html.
- [20] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/seg_4.html.
- [21] Yatsuka Nakamura. On the dividing function of the simple closed curve into segments. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/jordan7.html.
- [22] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pre_circ.html.
- [23] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Adjacency concept for pairs of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/qobrd10.html.
- [24] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A decomposition of simple closed curves and the order of their points. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/jordan6.html.
- [25] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Vol5/binarith.html.
- [26] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/connsp_ 2.html.
- [27] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.
- [28] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/rcomp_1.html.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/borsuk_1.html.
- [31] Andrzej Trybulec. On the minimal distance between set in Euclidean space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 14, 2002. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol14/jordanlk.html.
- [32] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Addenda, 2003. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html.
- [33] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers operations: min, max, square, and square root. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/square_1.html.
- [34] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_4.html.
- [35] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

Received August 18, 2003

Published January 2, 2004