

On the Minimal Distance Between Sets in Euclidean Space¹

Andrzej Trybulec
University of Białystok

Summary. The concept of the minimal distance between two sets in a Euclidean space is introduced and some useful lemmas are proved.

MML Identifier: JORDAN1K.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol14/jordan1k.html>

The articles [27], [29], [1], [28], [15], [26], [5], [30], [7], [6], [2], [16], [19], [25], [10], [24], [17], [8], [4], [11], [12], [13], [3], [21], [23], [14], [22], [9], [18], and [20] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper X denotes a set and Y denotes a non empty set.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1) Let f be a function from X into Y . Suppose f is onto. Let y be an element of Y . Then there exists a set x such that $x \in X$ and $y = f(x)$.
- (2) Let f be a function from X into Y . Suppose f is onto. Let y be an element of Y . Then there exists an element x of X such that $y = f(x)$.
- (3) For every function f from X into Y and for every subset A of X such that f is onto holds $(f \circ A)^c \subseteq f \circ A^c$.
- (4) For every function f from X into Y and for every subset A of X such that f is one-to-one holds $f \circ A^c \subseteq (f \circ A)^c$.
- (5) For every function f from X into Y and for every subset A of X such that f is bijective holds $(f \circ A)^c = f \circ A^c$.

2. TOPOLOGICAL AND METRIZABLE SPACES

We now state two propositions:

- (6) For every topological space T and for every subset A of T holds A is a component of \emptyset_T iff A is empty.

¹This work has been partially supported by the European Community TYPES grant IST-1999-29001 and CALCULEMUS grant HPRN-CT-2000-00102. The work was completed while the author visited Shinshu University (Nagano).

- (7) Let T be a non empty topological space and A, B, C be subsets of T . If $A \subseteq B$ and A is a component of C and B is a component of C , then $A = B$.

In the sequel n is a natural number.

The following proposition is true

- (8) If $n \geq 1$, then for every subset P of \mathcal{E}^n such that P is bounded holds P^c is not bounded.

In the sequel r is a real number and M is a non empty metric space.

Next we state several propositions:

- (9) For every non empty subset C of M_{top} and for every point p of M_{top} holds $(\text{dist}_{\min}(C))(p) \geq 0$.
- (10) Let C be a non empty subset of M_{top} and p be a point of M . If for every point q of M such that $q \in C$ holds $\rho(p, q) \geq r$, then $(\text{dist}_{\min}(C))(p) \geq r$.
- (11) For all non empty subsets A, B of M_{top} holds $\text{dist}_{\min}^{\min}(A, B) \geq 0$.
- (12) For all compact subsets A, B of M_{top} such that A meets B holds $\text{dist}_{\min}^{\min}(A, B) = 0$.
- (13) Let A, B be non empty subsets of M_{top} . Suppose that for all points p, q of M such that $p \in A$ and $q \in B$ holds $\rho(p, q) \geq r$. Then $\text{dist}_{\min}^{\min}(A, B) \geq r$.

3. EUCLID TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

We now state several propositions:

- (14) Let P, Q be subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Suppose P is a component of Q^c . Then P is inside component of Q or P is outside component of Q .
- (15) If $n \geq 1$, then $\text{BDD } \emptyset_{\mathcal{E}_T^n} = \emptyset_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}$.
- (16) $\text{BDD } \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_T^n} = \emptyset_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}$.
- (17) If $n \geq 1$, then $\text{UBD } \emptyset_{\mathcal{E}_T^n} = \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}$.
- (18) $\text{UBD } \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_T^n} = \emptyset_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}$.
- (19) For every connected subset P of \mathcal{E}_T^n and for every subset Q of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that P misses Q holds $P \subseteq \text{UBD } Q$ or $P \subseteq \text{BDD } Q$.

4. EUCLID PLANE

For simplicity, we follow the rules: C, D denote simple closed curves, n denotes a natural number, p, q, q_1, q_2 denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , r, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 denote real numbers, and x, y denote points of \mathcal{E}^2 .

The following propositions are true:

- (20) $\rho([0, 0], r \cdot q) = |r| \cdot \rho([0, 0], q)$.
- (21) $\rho(q_1 + q, q_2 + q) = \rho(q_1, q_2)$.
- (22) If $p \neq q$, then $\rho(p, q) > 0$.
- (23) $\rho(q_1 - q, q_2 - q) = \rho(q_1, q_2)$.
- (24) $\rho(p, q) = \rho(-p, -q)$.
- (25) $\rho(q - q_1, q - q_2) = \rho(q_1, q_2)$.
- (26) $\rho(r \cdot p, r \cdot q) = |r| \cdot \rho(p, q)$.

- (27) If $r \leq 1$, then $\rho(p, r \cdot p + (1-r) \cdot q) = (1-r) \cdot \rho(p, q)$.
- (28) If $0 \leq r$, then $\rho(q, r \cdot p + (1-r) \cdot q) = r \cdot \rho(p, q)$.
- (29) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(q_1, q_2)$, then $\rho(q_1, p) + \rho(p, q_2) = \rho(q_1, q_2)$.
- (30) If $q_1 \in \mathcal{L}(q_2, p)$ and $q_1 \neq q_2$, then $\rho(q_1, p) < \rho(q_2, p)$.
- (31) If $y = [0, 0]$, then $\text{Ball}(y, r) = \{q : |q| < r\}$.

5. AFFINE MAPS

Next we state several propositions:

- (32) $(\text{AffineMap}(r, s_1, r, s_2))(p) = r \cdot p + [s_1, s_2]$.
- (33) $(\text{AffineMap}(r, q_1, r, q_2))(p) = r \cdot p + q$.
- (34) If $s_1 > 0$ and $s_2 > 0$, then $\text{AffineMap}(s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2) \cdot \text{AffineMap}(\frac{1}{s_1}, -\frac{t_1}{s_1}, \frac{1}{s_2}, -\frac{t_2}{s_2}) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{R}^2}$.
- (35) If $y = [0, 0]$ and $x = q$ and $r > 0$, then $(\text{AffineMap}(r, q_1, r, q_2))^\circ \text{Ball}(y, 1) = \text{Ball}(x, r)$.
- (36) For all real numbers A, B, C, D such that $A > 0$ and $C > 0$ holds $\text{AffineMap}(A, B, C, D)$ is onto.
- (37) $\text{Ball}(x, r)^\circ$ is a connected subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

6. MINIMAL DISTANCE BETWEEN SUBSETS

Let us consider n and let A, B be subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^n . The functor $\text{dist}_{\min}(A, B)$ yields a real number and is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) There exist subsets A', B' of $(\mathcal{E}^n)_{\text{top}}$ such that $A = A'$ and $B = B'$ and $\text{dist}_{\min}(A, B) = \text{dist}_{\min}^{\min}(A', B')$.

Let M be a non empty metric space and let P, Q be non empty compact subsets of M_{top} . Let us note that the functor $\text{dist}_{\min}^{\min}(P, Q)$ is commutative. Let us note that the functor $\text{dist}_{\max}^{\max}(P, Q)$ is commutative.

Let us consider n and let A, B be non empty compact subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Let us note that the functor $\text{dist}_{\min}(A, B)$ is commutative.

The following propositions are true:

- (38) For all non empty subsets A, B of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds $\text{dist}_{\min}(A, B) \geq 0$.
- (39) For all compact subsets A, B of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that A meets B holds $\text{dist}_{\min}(A, B) = 0$.
- (40) Let A, B be non empty subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Suppose that for all points p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $p \in A$ and $q \in B$ holds $\rho(p, q) \geq r$. Then $\text{dist}_{\min}(A, B) \geq r$.
- (41) For every subset D of \mathcal{E}_T^n and for all non empty subsets A, C of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $C \subseteq D$ holds $\text{dist}_{\min}(A, D) \leq \text{dist}_{\min}(A, C)$.
- (42) For all non empty compact subsets A, B of \mathcal{E}_T^n there exist points p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $p \in A$ and $q \in B$ and $\text{dist}_{\min}(A, B) = \rho(p, q)$.
- (43) For all points p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds $\text{dist}_{\min}(\{p\}, \{q\}) = \rho(p, q)$.

Let us consider n , let p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^n , and let B be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n . The functor $\rho(p, B)$ yields a real number and is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\rho(p, B) = \text{dist}_{\min}(\{p\}, B)$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (44) For every non empty subset A of \mathcal{E}_T^n and for every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds $\rho(p, A) \geq 0$.
- (45) For every compact subset A of \mathcal{E}_T^n and for every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $p \in A$ holds $\rho(p, A) = 0$.
- (46) Let A be a non empty compact subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Then there exists a point q of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $q \in A$ and $\rho(p, A) = \rho(p, q)$.
- (47) Let C be a non empty subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n and D be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n . If $C \subseteq D$, then for every point q of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds $\rho(q, D) \leq \rho(q, C)$.
- (48) Let A be a non empty subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^n . If for every point q of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $q \in A$ holds $\rho(p, q) \geq r$, then $\rho(p, A) \geq r$.
- (49) For all points p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds $\rho(p, \{q\}) = \rho(p, q)$.
- (50) For every non empty subset A of \mathcal{E}_T^n and for all points p, q of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $q \in A$ holds $\rho(p, A) \leq \rho(p, q)$.
- (51) Let A be a compact non empty subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and B be an open subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . If $A \subseteq B$, then for every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $p \notin B$ holds $\rho(p, B) < \rho(p, A)$.

7. BDD AND UBD

Next we state two propositions:

- (52) UBDC meets UBDD.
- (53) If $q \in \text{UBDC}$ and $p \in \text{BDDC}$, then $\rho(q, C) < \rho(q, p)$.

Let us consider C . Note that BDDC is non empty.

The following three propositions are true:

- (54) If $p \notin \text{BDDC}$, then $\rho(p, C) \leq \rho(p, \text{BDDC})$.
- (55) $C \not\subseteq \text{BDDD}$ or $D \not\subseteq \text{BDDC}$.
- (56) If $C \subseteq \text{BDDD}$, then $D \subseteq \text{UBDC}$.

8. MAIN DEFINITIONS

We now state the proposition

- (57) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Cage}(C, n)) \subseteq \text{UBDC}$.

Let us consider C . The functor $\text{LowerMiddlePoint}C$ yields a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and is defined by:

$$\text{(Def. 3)} \quad \text{LowerMiddlePoint}C = \text{FPoint}(\text{LowerArc}(C), W_{\min}(C), E_{\max}(C), \text{VerticalLine}(\frac{W\text{-bound}(C)+E\text{-bound}(C)}{2})).$$

The functor $\text{UpperMiddlePoint}C$ yields a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and is defined by:

$$\text{(Def. 4)} \quad \text{UpperMiddlePoint}C = \text{FPoint}(\text{UpperArc}(C), W_{\min}(C), E_{\max}(C), \text{VerticalLine}(\frac{W\text{-bound}(C)+E\text{-bound}(C)}{2})).$$

Next we state several propositions:

- (58) $\text{LowerArc}(C)$ meets $\text{VerticalLine}(\frac{W\text{-bound}(C)+E\text{-bound}(C)}{2})$.
- (59) $\text{UpperArc}(C)$ meets $\text{VerticalLine}(\frac{W\text{-bound}(C)+E\text{-bound}(C)}{2})$.
- (60) $(\text{LowerMiddlePoint}C)_1 = \frac{W\text{-bound}(C)+E\text{-bound}(C)}{2}$.
- (61) $(\text{UpperMiddlePoint}C)_1 = \frac{W\text{-bound}(C)+E\text{-bound}(C)}{2}$.
- (62) $\text{LowerMiddlePoint}C \in \text{LowerArc}(C)$.
- (63) $\text{UpperMiddlePoint}C \in \text{UpperArc}(C)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinall.html>.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.
- [3] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. The theorem of Weierstrass. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/weierstr.html>.
- [4] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/pcomps_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html>.
- [8] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in E^2 . *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/pscomp_1.html.
- [9] Czesław Byliński and Mariusz Żynel. Cages - the external approximation of Jordan's curve. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/jordan9.html>.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/compts_1.html.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/euclid.html>.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space E_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/topreal1.html>.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space E_T^2 . Simple closed curves. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/topreal2.html>.
- [14] Adam Grabowski and Yatsuka Nakamura. The ordering of points on a curve. Part II. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/jordan5c.html>.
- [15] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/real_1.html.
- [16] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/matrix_1.html.
- [17] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/metric_1.html.
- [18] Artur Kornilowicz. Properties of left and right components. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/gobrd14.html>.
- [19] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/seq_4.html.
- [20] Yatsuka Nakamura. On Outside Fashoda Meet Theorem. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 13, 2001. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/jgraph_2.html.
- [21] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Decomposing a Go-Board into cells. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/goboard5.html>.
- [22] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A decomposition of simple closed curves and the order of their points. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/jordan6.html>.
- [23] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/jordan2c.html>.
- [24] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/connsp_1.html.
- [25] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.
- [26] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/absvalue.html>.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [29] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

- [30] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset_i.html.

Received August 19, 2002

Published January 2, 2004
