

Lattice of Subgroups of a Group. Frattini Subgroup

Wojciech A. Trybulec
Warsaw University

Summary. We define the notion of a subgroup generated by a set of element of a group and two closely connected notions. Namely lattice of subgroups and Frattini subgroup. The operations in the lattice are the intersection of subgroups (introduced in [21]) and multiplication of subgroups which result is defined as a subgroup generated by a sum of carriers of the two subgroups. In order to define Frattini subgroup and to prove theorems concerning it we introduce notion of maximal subgroup and non-generating element of the group (see [9, page 30]). Frattini subgroup is defined as in [9] as an intersection of all maximal subgroups. We show that an element of the group belongs to Frattini subgroup of the group if and only if it is a non-generating element. We also prove theorems that should be proved in [1] but are not.

MML Identifier: GROUP_4.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group_4.html

The articles [13], [8], [22], [16], [2], [3], [14], [11], [23], [6], [7], [4], [19], [20], [5], [15], [10], [21], [17], [24], [18], [12], and [1] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

Let D be a non empty set, let F be a finite sequence of elements of D , and let X be a set. Then $F - X$ is a finite sequence of elements of D .

The scheme *MeetSbgEx* deals with a group \mathcal{A} and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

There exists a strict subgroup H of \mathcal{A} such that the carrier of $H = \bigcap \{A; A \text{ ranges over subsets of } \mathcal{A} : \bigvee_{K: \text{strict subgroup of } \mathcal{A}} (A = \text{the carrier of } K \wedge \mathcal{P}[K])\}$

provided the following condition is satisfied:

- There exists a strict subgroup H of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{P}[H]$.

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: X is a set, k, n are natural numbers, i, i_1, i_2, i_3, j are integers, G is a group, a, b, c are elements of G , A, B are subsets of G , H, H_1, H_2, H_3 are subgroups of G , h is an element of H , F, F_1, F_2 are finite sequences of elements of the carrier of G , and I, I_1, I_2 are finite sequences of elements of \mathbb{Z} .

The scheme *SubgrSep* deals with a group \mathcal{A} and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

There exists X such that $X \subseteq \text{SubGr } \mathcal{A}$ and for every strict subgroup H of \mathcal{A} holds $H \in X$ iff $\mathcal{P}[H]$

for all values of the parameters.

Let us consider i . The functor $@i$ yielding an element of \mathbb{Z} is defined by:

(Def. 2)¹ $@i = i$.

We now state four propositions:

$$(3)^2 \quad \text{If } a = h, \text{ then } a^n = h^n.$$

$$(4) \quad \text{If } a = h, \text{ then } a^i = h^i.$$

¹ The definition (Def. 1) has been removed.

² The propositions (1) and (2) have been removed.

(5) If $a \in H$, then $a^n \in H$.

(6) If $a \in H$, then $a^i \in H$.

Let G be a non empty groupoid and let F be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of G . The functor $\prod F$ yielding an element of G is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) $\prod F =$ the multiplication of $G \odot F$.

The following propositions are true:

(8)³ Let G be an associative unital non empty groupoid and F_1, F_2 be finite sequences of elements of the carrier of G . Then $\prod(F_1 \wedge F_2) = \prod F_1 \cdot \prod F_2$.

(9) Let G be a unital non empty groupoid, F be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of G , and a be an element of G . Then $\prod(F \wedge \langle a \rangle) = \prod F \cdot a$.

(10) Let G be an associative unital non empty groupoid, F be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of G , and a be an element of G . Then $\prod(\langle a \rangle \wedge F) = a \cdot \prod F$.

(11) For every unital non empty groupoid G holds $\prod(\epsilon_{(\text{the carrier of } G)}) = 1_G$.

(12) For every non empty groupoid G and for every element a of G holds $\prod \langle a \rangle = a$.

(13) For every non empty groupoid G and for all elements a, b of G holds $\prod \langle a, b \rangle = a \cdot b$.

(14) $\prod \langle a, b, c \rangle = a \cdot b \cdot c$ and $\prod \langle a, b, c \rangle = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$.

(15) $\prod(n \mapsto a) = a^n$.

(16) $\prod(F - \{1_G\}) = \prod F$.

(17) If $\text{len } F_1 = \text{len } F_2$ and for every k such that $k \in \text{dom } F_1$ holds $F_2((\text{len } F_1 - k) + 1) = ((F_1)_k)^{-1}$, then $\prod F_1 = (\prod F_2)^{-1}$.

(18) If G is a commutative group, then for every permutation P of $\text{dom } F_1$ such that $F_2 = F_1 \cdot P$ holds $\prod F_1 = \prod F_2$.

(19) If G is a commutative group and F_1 is one-to-one and F_2 is one-to-one and $\text{rng } F_1 = \text{rng } F_2$, then $\prod F_1 = \prod F_2$.

(20) If G is a commutative group and $\text{len } F = \text{len } F_1$ and $\text{len } F = \text{len } F_2$ and for every k such that $k \in \text{dom } F$ holds $F(k) = (F_1)_k \cdot (F_2)_k$, then $\prod F = \prod F_1 \cdot \prod F_2$.

(21) If $\text{rng } F \subseteq \overline{H}$, then $\prod F \in H$.

Let us consider G, I, F . The functor F^I yields a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of G and is defined by:

(Def. 4) $\text{len}(F^I) = \text{len } F$ and for every k such that $k \in \text{dom } F$ holds $F^I(k) = (F_k)^{\textcircled{I_k}}$.

Next we state several propositions:

(25)⁴ If $\text{len } F_1 = \text{len } I_1$ and $\text{len } F_2 = \text{len } I_2$, then $(F_1 \wedge F_2)^{I_1 \wedge I_2} = (F_1^{I_1}) \wedge F_2^{I_2}$.

(26) If $\text{rng } F \subseteq \overline{H}$, then $\prod(F^I) \in H$.

(27) $(\epsilon_{(\text{the carrier of } G)})^{\textcircled{Z}} = \emptyset$.

(28) $\langle a \rangle^{\textcircled{i}} = \langle a^i \rangle$.

³ The proposition (7) has been removed.

⁴ The propositions (22)–(24) have been removed.

- (29) $\langle a, b \rangle^{(\textcircled{i}, \textcircled{j})} = \langle a^i, b^j \rangle$.
- (30) $\langle a, b, c \rangle^{(\textcircled{i_1}, \textcircled{i_2}, \textcircled{i_3})} = \langle a^{i_1}, b^{i_2}, c^{i_3} \rangle$.
- (31) $F^{\text{len } F \mapsto (\textcircled{1})} = F$.
- (32) $F^{\text{len } F \mapsto (\textcircled{0})} = \text{len } F \mapsto 1_G$.
- (33) If $\text{len } I = n$, then $(n \mapsto 1_G)^I = n \mapsto 1_G$.

Let us consider G, A . The functor $\text{gr}(A)$ yields a strict subgroup of G and is defined by the conditions (Def. 5).

- (Def. 5)(i) $A \subseteq$ the carrier of $\text{gr}(A)$, and
(ii) for every strict subgroup H of G such that $A \subseteq$ the carrier of H holds $\text{gr}(A)$ is a subgroup of H .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (37)⁵ $a \in \text{gr}(A)$ iff there exist F, I such that $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$ and $\text{rng } F \subseteq A$ and $\prod(F^I) = a$.
- (38) If $a \in A$, then $a \in \text{gr}(A)$.
- (39) $\text{gr}(\mathbf{0}_{\text{the carrier of } G}) = \{\mathbf{1}\}_G$.
- (40) For every strict subgroup H of G holds $\text{gr}(\overline{H}) = H$.
- (41) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\text{gr}(A)$ is a subgroup of $\text{gr}(B)$.
- (42) $\text{gr}(A \cap B)$ is a subgroup of $\text{gr}(A) \cap \text{gr}(B)$.
- (43) The carrier of $\text{gr}(A) = \bigcap \{B : \bigvee_{H: \text{strict subgroup of } G} (B = \text{the carrier of } H \wedge A \subseteq \overline{H})\}$.
- (44) $\text{gr}(A) = \text{gr}(A \setminus \{1_G\})$.

Let us consider G, a . We say that a is generating if and only if:

- (Def. 6) It is not true that for every A such that $\text{gr}(A) =$ the groupoid of G holds $\text{gr}(A \setminus \{a\}) =$ the groupoid of G .

One can prove the following proposition

- (46)⁶ 1_G is non generating.

Let us consider G, H . We say that H is maximal if and only if the conditions (Def. 7) are satisfied.

- (Def. 7)(i) The groupoid of $H \neq$ the groupoid of G , and
(ii) for every strict subgroup K of G such that the groupoid of $H \neq K$ and H is a subgroup of K holds $K =$ the groupoid of G .

Next we state the proposition

- (48)⁷ Let G be a strict group, H be a strict subgroup of G , and a be an element of G . If H is maximal and $a \notin H$, then $\text{gr}(\overline{H} \cup \{a\}) = G$.

Let G be a group. The functor $\Phi(G)$ yielding a strict subgroup of G is defined as follows:

⁵ The propositions (34)–(36) have been removed.

⁶ The proposition (45) has been removed.

⁷ The proposition (47) has been removed.

- (Def. 8)(i) The carrier of $\Phi(G) = \bigcap \{A; A \text{ ranges over subsets of } G: \bigvee_H: \text{strict subgroup of } G (A = \text{the carrier of } H \wedge H \text{ is maximal})\}$ if there exists a strict subgroup of G which is maximal,
- (ii) $\Phi(G)$ = the groupoid of G , otherwise.

Next we state several propositions:

- (52)⁸ Let G be a group. Suppose there exists a strict subgroup of G which is maximal. Then $a \in \Phi(G)$ if and only if for every strict subgroup H of G such that H is maximal holds $a \in H$.
- (53) Let G be a group and a be an element of G . If for every strict subgroup H of G holds H is not maximal, then $a \in \Phi(G)$.
- (54) For every group G and for every strict subgroup H of G such that H is maximal holds $\Phi(G)$ is a subgroup of H .
- (55) For every strict group G holds the carrier of $\Phi(G) = \{a; a \text{ ranges over elements of } G: a \text{ is non generating}\}$.
- (56) For every strict group G and for every element a of G holds $a \in \Phi(G)$ iff a is non generating.

Let us consider G, H_1, H_2 . The functor $H_1 \cdot H_2$ yielding a subset of G is defined by:

(Def. 9) $H_1 \cdot H_2 = \overline{H_1} \cdot \overline{H_2}$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (57) $H_1 \cdot H_2 = \overline{H_1} \cdot \overline{H_2}$ and $H_1 \cdot H_2 = H_1 \cdot \overline{H_2}$ and $H_1 \cdot H_2 = \overline{H_1} \cdot H_2$.
- (58) $H \cdot H = \overline{H}$.
- (59) $(H_1 \cdot H_2) \cdot H_3 = H_1 \cdot (H_2 \cdot H_3)$.
- (60) $(a \cdot H_1) \cdot H_2 = a \cdot (H_1 \cdot H_2)$.
- (61) $(H_1 \cdot H_2) \cdot a = H_1 \cdot (H_2 \cdot a)$.
- (62) $(A \cdot H_1) \cdot H_2 = A \cdot (H_1 \cdot H_2)$.
- (63) $(H_1 \cdot H_2) \cdot A = H_1 \cdot (H_2 \cdot A)$.
- (64) For all strict normal subgroups N_1, N_2 of G holds $N_1 \cdot N_2 = N_2 \cdot N_1$.
- (65) If G is a commutative group, then $H_1 \cdot H_2 = H_2 \cdot H_1$.

Let us consider G, H_1, H_2 . The functor $H_1 \sqcup H_2$ yielding a strict subgroup of G is defined by:

(Def. 10) $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = \text{gr}(\overline{H_1} \cup \overline{H_2})$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (67)⁹ $a \in H_1 \sqcup H_2$ iff there exist F, I such that $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$ and $\text{rng } F \subseteq \overline{H_1} \cup \overline{H_2}$ and $a = \prod(F^I)$.
- (68) $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = \text{gr}(H_1 \cdot H_2)$.
- (69) If $H_1 \cdot H_2 = H_2 \cdot H_1$, then the carrier of $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_1 \cdot H_2$.
- (70) If G is a commutative group, then the carrier of $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_1 \cdot H_2$.
- (71) For all strict normal subgroups N_1, N_2 of G holds the carrier of $N_1 \sqcup N_2 = N_1 \cdot N_2$.
- (72) For all strict normal subgroups N_1, N_2 of G holds $N_1 \sqcup N_2$ is a normal subgroup of G .

⁸ The propositions (49)–(51) have been removed.

⁹ The proposition (66) has been removed.

- (73) For every strict subgroup H of G holds $H \sqcup H = H$.
- (74) $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_2 \sqcup H_1$.
- (75) $(H_1 \sqcup H_2) \sqcup H_3 = H_1 \sqcup (H_2 \sqcup H_3)$.
- (76) For every strict subgroup H of G holds $\{\mathbf{1}\}_G \sqcup H = H$ and $H \sqcup \{\mathbf{1}\}_G = H$.
- (77) $\Omega_G \sqcup H = \Omega_G$ and $H \sqcup \Omega_G = \Omega_G$.
- (78) H_1 is a subgroup of $H_1 \sqcup H_2$ and H_2 is a subgroup of $H_1 \sqcup H_2$.
- (79) For every strict subgroup H_2 of G holds H_1 is a subgroup of H_2 iff $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_2$.
- (80) If H_1 is a subgroup of H_2 , then H_1 is a subgroup of $H_2 \sqcup H_3$.
- (81) Let H_3 be a strict subgroup of G . Suppose H_1 is a subgroup of H_3 and H_2 is a subgroup of H_3 . Then $H_1 \sqcup H_2$ is a subgroup of H_3 .
- (82) For all strict subgroups H_3, H_2 of G such that H_1 is a subgroup of H_2 holds $H_1 \sqcup H_3$ is a subgroup of $H_2 \sqcup H_3$.
- (83) $H_1 \cap H_2$ is a subgroup of $H_1 \sqcup H_2$.
- (84) For every strict subgroup H_2 of G holds $H_1 \cap H_2 \sqcup H_2 = H_2$.
- (85) For every strict subgroup H_1 of G holds $H_1 \cap (H_1 \sqcup H_2) = H_1$.
- (86) For all strict subgroups H_1, H_2 of G holds $H_1 \sqcup H_2 = H_2$ iff $H_1 \cap H_2 = H_1$.

In the sequel S_1, S_2 denote elements of $\text{SubGr } G$.

Let us consider G . The functor $\text{SubJoin } G$ yields a binary operation on $\text{SubGr } G$ and is defined by:

- (Def. 11) For all S_1, S_2, H_1, H_2 such that $S_1 = H_1$ and $S_2 = H_2$ holds $(\text{SubJoin } G)(S_1, S_2) = H_1 \sqcup H_2$.

Let us consider G . The functor $\text{SubMeet } G$ yields a binary operation on $\text{SubGr } G$ and is defined by:

- (Def. 12) For all S_1, S_2, H_1, H_2 such that $S_1 = H_1$ and $S_2 = H_2$ holds $(\text{SubMeet } G)(S_1, S_2) = H_1 \cap H_2$.

Let G be a group. The functor \mathbb{L}_G yielding a strict lattice is defined by:

- (Def. 13) $\mathbb{L}_G = \langle \text{SubGr } G, \text{SubJoin } G, \text{SubMeet } G \rangle$.

Next we state three propositions:

- (92)¹⁰ For every group G holds the carrier of $\mathbb{L}_G = \text{SubGr } G$.
- (93) For every group G holds the join operation of $\mathbb{L}_G = \text{SubJoin } G$.
- (94) For every group G holds the meet operation of $\mathbb{L}_G = \text{SubMeet } G$.

Let G be a group. Note that \mathbb{L}_G is lower-bounded and upper-bounded.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (98)¹¹ For every group G holds $\perp_{\mathbb{L}_G} = \{\mathbf{1}\}_G$.
- (99) For every group G holds $\top_{\mathbb{L}_G} = \Omega_G$.

In the sequel k, l, m, n are natural numbers.

One can prove the following propositions:

¹⁰ The propositions (87)–(91) have been removed.

¹¹ The propositions (95)–(97) have been removed.

- (100) $n \bmod 2 = 0$ or $n \bmod 2 = 1$.
- (101) For all natural numbers k, n holds $k \cdot n \bmod k = 0$.
- (102) If $k > 1$, then $1 \bmod k = 1$.
- (103) If $k \bmod n = 0$ and $l = k - m \cdot n$, then $l \bmod n = 0$.

In the sequel k, n, l denote natural numbers.

We now state four propositions:

- (104) If $n \neq 0$ and $k \bmod n = 0$ and $l < n$, then $(k + l) \bmod n = l$.
- (105) If $k \bmod n = 0$, then $(k + l) \bmod n = l \bmod n$.
- (106) If $n \neq 0$ and $k \bmod n = 0$, then $(k + l) \div n = (k \div n) + (l \div n)$.
- (107) If $k \neq 0$, then $k \cdot n \div k = n$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal1.html>.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal2.html>.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/binop_1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.
- [9] M. I. Kargapolow and J. I. Mierzlakow. *Podstawy teorii grup*. PWN, Warszawa, 1989.
- [10] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/vectsp_1.html.
- [11] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain_1.html.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [14] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/int_1.html.
- [15] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/rlvect_1.html.
- [16] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Binary operations on finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finsop_1.html.
- [17] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Classes of conjugation. Normal subgroups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group_3.html.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group_1.html.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_3.html.
- [20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_4.html.
- [21] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subgroup and cosets of subgroups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group_2.html.

- [22] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_1.html.
- [24] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/lattices.html>.

Received August 22, 1990

Published January 2, 2004
