

Introduction to Go-Board — Part II

Jarosław Kotowicz
Warsaw University
Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura
Shinshu University
Nagano

Summary. In article we define Go-board determined by finite sequence of points from topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . A few facts about this notation are proved.

MML Identifier: GOBOARD2.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/goboard2.html>

The articles [14], [6], [17], [3], [15], [2], [18], [5], [4], [7], [13], [1], [11], [16], [10], [8], [9], and [12] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. REAL NUMBERS PRELIMINARIES

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: f, f_1, f_2, g denote finite sequences of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , v, v_1, v_2 denote finite sequences of elements of \mathbb{R} , n, m, i, j, k denote natural numbers, and G denotes a Go-board.

The scheme *PiLambdaD* deals with a non empty set \mathcal{A} , a natural number \mathcal{B} , and a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding an element of \mathcal{A} , and states that:

There exists a finite sequence g of elements of \mathcal{A} such that $\text{len } g = \mathcal{B}$ and for every n such that $n \in \text{dom } g$ holds $g_n = \mathcal{F}(n)$

for all values of the parameters.

The following proposition is true

- (1) For every finite subset R of \mathbb{R} such that $R \neq \emptyset$ holds R is upper bounded and $\sup R \in R$ and R is lower bounded and $\inf R \in R$.

2. PROPERTIES OF FINITE SEQUENCES OF POINTS FROM \mathcal{E}_T^2

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (3)¹ For every finite sequence f holds $1 \leq n$ and $n + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ iff $n \in \text{dom } f$ and $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$.
- (4) For every finite sequence f holds $1 \leq n$ and $n + 2 \leq \text{len } f$ iff $n \in \text{dom } f$ and $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ and $n + 2 \in \text{dom } f$.
- (5) Let D be a non empty set, f_1, f_2 be finite sequences of elements of D , and given n . If $1 \leq n$ and $n \leq \text{len } f_2$, then $(f_1 \hat{\ } f_2)_{n+\text{len } f_1} = (f_2)_n$.
- (6) If for all n, m such that $m > n + 1$ and $n \in \text{dom } f$ and $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ and $m \in \text{dom } f$ and $m + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, n)$ misses $\mathcal{L}(f, m)$, then f is s.n.c..

¹ The proposition (2) has been removed.

- (7) If f is unfolded, s.n.c., and one-to-one and $f_{\text{len } f} \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ and $i \in \text{dom } f$ and $i + 1 \in \text{dom } f$, then $i + 1 = \text{len } f$.
- (8) If $k \neq 0$ and $\text{len } f = k + 1$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \upharpoonright k) \cup \mathcal{L}(f, k)$.
- (9) If $1 < k$ and $\text{len } f = k + 1$ and f is unfolded and s.n.c., then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \upharpoonright k) \cap \mathcal{L}(f, k) = \{f_k\}$.
- (10) If $\text{len } f_1 < n$ and $n + 1 \leq \text{len}(f_1 \hat{\ } f_2)$ and $m + \text{len } f_1 = n$, then $\mathcal{L}(f_1 \hat{\ } f_2, n) = \mathcal{L}(f_2, m)$.
- (11) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \hat{\ } g)$.
- (12) If f is s.n.c., then $f \upharpoonright i$ is s.n.c..
- (13) If f_1 is special and if f_2 is special and if $((f_1)_{\text{len } f_1})_1 = ((f_2)_1)_1$ or $((f_1)_{\text{len } f_1})_2 = ((f_2)_1)_2$, then $f_1 \hat{\ } f_2$ is special.
- (14) If $f \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f) \neq \emptyset$.
- (15) If $f \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f) \neq \emptyset$.

Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . One can check that $\mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f)$ is non empty and $\mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f)$ is non empty.

Next we state several propositions:

- (16) Suppose f is special. Let given n . Suppose $n \in \text{dom } f$ and $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$. Let given i, j, m, k . Suppose $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of G and $\langle m, k \rangle \in$ the indices of G and $f_n = G \circ \langle i, j \rangle$ and $f_{n+1} = G \circ \langle m, k \rangle$. Then $i = m$ or $k = j$.
- (17) Suppose that
- (i) for every n such that $n \in \text{dom } f$ there exist i, j such that $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of G and $f_n = G \circ \langle i, j \rangle$,
 - (ii) f is special, and
 - (iii) for every n such that $n \in \text{dom } f$ and $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ holds $f_n \neq f_{n+1}$.
- Then there exists g such that g is a sequence which elements belong to G and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ and $g_1 = f_1$ and $g_{\text{len } g} = f_{\text{len } f}$ and $\text{len } f \leq \text{len } g$.
- (18) If v is increasing, then for all n, m such that $n \in \text{dom } v$ and $m \in \text{dom } v$ and $n \leq m$ holds $v(n) \leq v(m)$.
- (19) If v is increasing, then for all n, m such that $n \in \text{dom } v$ and $m \in \text{dom } v$ and $n \neq m$ holds $v(n) \neq v(m)$.
- (20) If v is increasing and $v_1 = v \upharpoonright \text{Seg } n$, then v_1 is increasing.
- (21) For every v there exists v_1 such that $\text{rng } v_1 = \text{rng } v$ and $\text{len } v_1 = \text{card } \text{rng } v$ and v_1 is increasing.
- (22) For all v_1, v_2 such that $\text{len } v_1 = \text{len } v_2$ and $\text{rng } v_1 = \text{rng } v_2$ and v_1 is increasing and v_2 is increasing holds $v_1 = v_2$.

3. GO-BOARD DETERMINED BY FINITE SEQUENCE

Let v_1, v_2 be increasing finite sequences of elements of \mathbb{R} . Let us assume that $v_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $v_2 \neq \emptyset$. The Go-board of v_1, v_2 yields a matrix over \mathcal{E}_T^2 and is defined by the conditions (Def. 1).

- (Def. 1)(i) len the Go-board of $v_1, v_2 = \text{len } v_1$,
- (ii) width the Go-board of $v_1, v_2 = \text{len } v_2$, and
 - (iii) for all n, m such that $\langle n, m \rangle \in$ the indices of the Go-board of v_1, v_2 holds the Go-board of $v_1, v_2 \circ \langle n, m \rangle = [v_1(n), v_2(m)]$.

Let v_1, v_2 be non empty increasing finite sequences of elements of \mathbb{R} . Observe that the Go-board of v_1, v_2 is non empty yielding, line \mathbf{X} -constant, column \mathbf{Y} -constant, line \mathbf{Y} -increasing, and column \mathbf{X} -increasing.

Let us consider v . The functor $\text{Inc}(v)$ yields an increasing finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} and is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\text{rng Inc}(v) = \text{rng } v$ and $\text{len Inc}(v) = \text{card rng } v$.

Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . The Go-board of f yields a matrix over \mathcal{E}_T^2 and is defined by:

(Def. 3) The Go-board of $f =$ the Go-board of $\text{Inc}(\mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f)), \text{Inc}(\mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f))$.

The following proposition is true

(23) If $v \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{Inc}(v) \neq \emptyset$.

Let v be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} . One can check that $\text{Inc}(v)$ is non empty.

Let f be a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Note that the Go-board of f is non empty yielding, line \mathbf{X} -constant, column \mathbf{Y} -constant, line \mathbf{Y} -increasing, and column \mathbf{X} -increasing.

In the sequel f is a non empty finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

One can prove the following propositions:

(24) $\text{len the Go-board of } f = \text{card rng } \mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f)$ and $\text{width the Go-board of } f = \text{card rng } \mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f)$.

(25) Let given n . Suppose $n \in \text{dom } f$. Then there exist i, j such that $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of the Go-board of f and $f_n =$ the Go-board of $f \circ \langle i, j \rangle$.

(26) If $n \in \text{dom } f$ and for every m such that $m \in \text{dom } f$ holds $(\mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f))(n) \leq (\mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f))(m)$, then $f_n \in \text{rng Line}(\text{the Go-board of } f, 1)$.

(27) If $n \in \text{dom } f$ and for every m such that $m \in \text{dom } f$ holds $(\mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f))(m) \leq (\mathbf{X}\text{-coordinate}(f))(n)$, then $f_n \in \text{rng Line}(\text{the Go-board of } f, \text{len the Go-board of } f)$.

(28) If $n \in \text{dom } f$ and for every m such that $m \in \text{dom } f$ holds $(\mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f))(n) \leq (\mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f))(m)$, then $f_n \in \text{rng}((\text{the Go-board of } f)_{\square, 1})$.

(29) If $n \in \text{dom } f$ and for every m such that $m \in \text{dom } f$ holds $(\mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f))(m) \leq (\mathbf{Y}\text{-coordinate}(f))(n)$, then $f_n \in \text{rng}((\text{the Go-board of } f)_{\square, \text{width the Go-board of } f})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal1.html>.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/euclid.html>.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/topreall.html>.

- [10] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/matrix_1.html.
- [11] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/seq_4.html.
- [12] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yatsuka Nakamura. Introduction to Go-Board — part I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/goboard1.html>.
- [13] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [16] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_4.html.
- [17] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [18] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

Received August 24, 1992

Published January 2, 2004
