# **Introduction to Go-Board** — **Part I**

Jarosław Kotowicz Warsaw University Białystok Yatsuka Nakamura Shinshu University Nagano

**Summary.** In the article we introduce Go-board as some kinds of matrix which elements belong to topological space  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . We define the functor of delaying column in Go-board and relation between Go-board and finite sequence of point from  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Basic facts about those notations are proved. The concept of the article is based on [16].

MML Identifier: GOBOARD1.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/goboard1.html

The articles [17], [5], [20], [10], [18], [2], [21], [4], [1], [3], [7], [13], [14], [15], [6], [19], [8], [9], [11], and [12] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

### 1. REAL NUMBERS PRELIMINARIES

For simplicity, we follow the rules: f,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , g denote finite sequences of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ , v denotes a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ , r, s denote real numbers, n, m, i, j, k denote natural numbers, and x denotes a set.

Next we state three propositions:

- (1) |r-s| = 1 iff r > s and r = s+1 or r < s and s = r+1.
- (2) |i-j|+|n-m|=1 iff |i-j|=1 and n=m or |n-m|=1 and i=j.
- (3) n > 1 iff there exists m such that n = m + 1 and m > 0.

# 2. FINITE SEQUENCES PRELIMINARIES

The scheme FinSeqDChoice deals with a non empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , a natural number  $\mathcal{B}$ , and a binary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

There exists a finite sequence f of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that len  $f = \mathcal{B}$  and for every n such that  $n \in \text{Seg } \mathcal{B}$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[n, f_n]$ 

provided the parameters satisfy the following condition:

- For every n such that  $n \in \text{Seg } \mathcal{B}$  there exists an element d of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n,d]$ . We now state several propositions:
- (4) If n = m + 1 and  $i \in \operatorname{Seg} n$ , then  $\operatorname{len} \operatorname{Sgm}(\operatorname{Seg} n \setminus \{i\}) = m$ .
- (5) Suppose n = m + 1 and  $k \in \text{Seg } n$  and  $i \in \text{Seg } m$ . Then
- (i) if  $1 \le i$  and i < k, then  $(\operatorname{Sgm}(\operatorname{Seg} n \setminus \{k\}))(i) = i$ , and
- (ii) if  $k \le i$  and  $i \le m$ , then  $(\operatorname{Sgm}(\operatorname{Seg} n \setminus \{k\}))(i) = i + 1$ .

- (6) For every finite sequence f and for all n, m such that len f = m + 1 and  $n \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $\text{len}(f_{\mid n}) = m$ .
- (7) For every finite sequence f and for all n, m, k such that len f = m + 1 and  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $k \in \text{Seg } m$  holds  $f_{\upharpoonright n}(k) = f(k)$  or  $f_{\upharpoonright n}(k) = f(k+1)$ .
- (8) For every finite sequence f and for all n, m, k such that len f = m + 1 and k < n holds  $f_{|n|}(k) = f(k)$ .
- (9) For every finite sequence f and for all n, m, k such that len f = m + 1 and  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $n \le k$  and  $k \le m$  holds  $f_{\uparrow n}(k) = f(k+1)$ .
- (10) Let D be a set, f be a finite sequence of elements of D, and given n, m. If  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $m \in \text{Seg } n$ , then  $m \in \text{dom } f$  and  $(f \upharpoonright n)_m = f_m$ .

Let f be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let k be a natural number. Then f(k) is a real number.

Let  $I_1$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $I_1$  is increasing if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all n, m such that  $n \in \text{dom } I_1$  and  $m \in \text{dom } I_1$  and n < m holds  $I_1(n) < I_1(m)$ .

Let f be a finite sequence. Let us observe that f is constant if and only if:

(Def. 2) For all n, m such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $m \in \text{dom } f$  holds f(n) = f(m).

Let us note that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb R$  which is non empty and increasing.

Let *D* be a non empty set. Note that there exists a finite sequence of elements of *D* which is non empty.

Let us mention that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is constant.

Let us consider f. The functor **X**-coordinate(f) yields a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) len **X**-coordinate(f) = len f and for every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } \mathbf{X}$ -coordinate(f) holds  $(\mathbf{X}$ -coordinate $(f))(n) = (f_n)_1$ .

The functor **Y**-coordinate(f) yields a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  and is defined by:

(Def. 4) len **Y**-coordinate(f) = len f and for every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } \mathbf{Y}$ -coordinate(f) holds  $(\mathbf{Y}$ -coordinate(f))(n) =  $(f_n)_2$ .

We now state three propositions:

- (14)<sup>1</sup> Suppose that  $v \neq \emptyset$  and  $\operatorname{rng} v \subseteq \operatorname{Seg} n$  and  $v(\operatorname{len} v) = n$  and for every k such that  $1 \leq k$  and  $k \leq \operatorname{len} v 1$  and for all r, s such that r = v(k) and s = v(k+1) holds |r-s| = 1 or r = s and  $i \in \operatorname{Seg} n$  and  $i+1 \in \operatorname{Seg} n$  and  $m \in \operatorname{dom} v$  and v(m) = i and for every k such that  $k \in \operatorname{dom} v$  and v(k) = i holds  $k \leq m$ . Then  $m+1 \in \operatorname{dom} v$  and v(m+1) = i+1.
- (15) Suppose that
  - (i)  $v \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (ii)  $\operatorname{rng} v \subseteq \operatorname{Seg} n$ ,
- (iii) v(1) = 1,
- (iv)  $v(\operatorname{len} v) = n$ , and
- (v) for every k such that  $1 \le k$  and  $k \le \text{len } v 1$  and for all r, s such that r = v(k) and s = v(k+1) holds |r-s| = 1 or r = s.

Then

- (vi) for every *i* such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  there exists *k* such that  $k \in \text{dom } v$  and v(k) = i, and
- (vii) for all m, k, i, r such that  $m \in \text{dom } v$  and v(m) = i and for every j such that  $j \in \text{dom } v$  and v(j) = i holds  $j \le m$  and m < k and  $k \in \text{dom } v$  and r = v(k) holds i < r.
- (16) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $2 \leq \text{len } f$ , then  $f_i \in \mathcal{L}(f)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The propositions (11)–(13) have been removed.

#### 3. MATRIX PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following proposition

(17) For every non empty set D and for every matrix M over D and for all i, j such that  $j \in \text{dom } M$  and  $i \in \text{Seg width } M$  holds  $M_{\square,i}(j) = \text{Line}(M,j)(i)$ .

Let *D* be a non empty set and let *M* be a matrix over *D*. Let us observe that *M* is empty yielding if and only if:

(Def. 5)  $0 = \operatorname{len} M$  or  $0 = \operatorname{width} M$ .

Let M be a matrix over  $\mathcal{E}^2_T$ . We say that M is line **X**-constant if and only if:

(Def. 6) For every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } M$  holds **X**-coordinate(Line(M,n)) is constant.

We say that *M* is column **Y**-constant if and only if:

(Def. 7) For every n such that  $n \in \text{Seg width } M$  holds Y-coordinate( $M_{\square,n}$ ) is constant.

We say that M is line **Y**-increasing if and only if:

(Def. 8) For every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } M$  holds **Y**-coordinate(Line(M,n)) is increasing.

We say that M is column X-increasing if and only if:

(Def. 9) For every n such that  $n \in \text{Seg width } M$  holds **X**-coordinate( $M_{\square,n}$ ) is increasing.

One can check that there exists a matrix over  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  which is non empty yielding, line **X**-constant, column **Y**-constant, line **Y**-increasing, and column **X**-increasing.

Next we state two propositions:

- (19)<sup>2</sup> Let M be a column **X**-increasing line **X**-constant matrix over  $\mathcal{E}_{T}^{2}$  and given x, n, m. If  $x \in \operatorname{rngLine}(M, n)$  and  $x \in \operatorname{rngLine}(M, m)$  and  $n \in \operatorname{dom} M$  and  $m \in \operatorname{dom} M$ , then n = m.
- (20) Let M be a line **Y**-increasing column **Y**-constant matrix over  $\mathcal{E}_{T}^{2}$  and given x, n, m. If  $x \in \operatorname{rng}(M_{\square,n})$  and  $x \in \operatorname{rng}(M_{\square,m})$  and  $n \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{width} M$  and  $m \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{width} M$ , then n = m.

## 4. BASIC GO-BOARD'S NOTATION

A Go-board is a non empty yielding line **X**-constant column **Y**-constant line **Y**-increasing column **X**-increasing matrix over  $\mathcal{E}^2_T$ .

In the sequel G is a Go-board.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (21) If  $x = G \circ (m, k)$  and  $x = G \circ (i, j)$  and  $\langle m, k \rangle \in$  the indices of G and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of G, then m = i and k = j.
- (22) If  $m \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_1 \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,1})$ , then  $(f \upharpoonright m)_1 \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,1})$ .
- (23) If  $m \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_m \in \text{rng}(G_{\square, \text{width } G})$ , then  $(f \upharpoonright m)_{\text{len}(f \upharpoonright m)} \in \text{rng}(G_{\square, \text{width } G})$ .
- (24) If rng f misses rng $(G_{\square,i})$  and  $f_n = G \circ (m,k)$  and  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $m \in \text{dom } G$ , then  $i \neq k$ .

Let us consider G, i. Let us assume that  $i \in \text{Seg width } G$  and width G > 1. The deleting of i-column in G yielding a Go-board is defined by the conditions (Def. 10).

- (Def. 10)(i) len (the deleting of *i*-column in G) = len G, and
  - (ii) for every k such that  $k \in \text{dom } G$  holds (the deleting of i-column in G) $(k) = \text{Line}(G, k)_{|i|}$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The proposition (18) has been removed.

- (25) If  $i \in \text{Seg width } G$  and width G > 1 and  $k \in \text{dom } G$ , then Line(the deleting of i-column in G, k) = Line(G, k) $_{!i}$ .
- (26) If  $i \in \text{Seg width } G$  and width G = m + 1 and m > 0, then width (the deleting of *i*-column in G) = m.
- (27) If  $i \in \text{Seg width } G$  and width G > 1, then width G = width (the deleting of i-column in G) + 1.
- (28) Suppose  $i \in \text{Seg width } G$  and width G > 1 and  $n \in \text{dom } G$  and  $m \in \text{Seg width}$  (the deleting of i-column in G). Then (the deleting of i-column in G)  $\circ (n, m) = \text{Line}(G, n)_{1i}(m)$ .
- (29) Suppose  $i \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{width} G$  and  $\operatorname{width} G = m+1$  and m>0 and  $1 \leq k$  and k < i. Then (the deleting of i-column in G) $_{\square,k} = G_{\square,k}$  and  $k \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{width}$  (the deleting of i-column in G) and  $k \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{width} G$ .
- (30) Suppose  $i \in \operatorname{Seg}$  width G and width G = m+1 and m > 0 and  $i \leq k$  and  $k \leq m$ . Then (the deleting of i-column in G) $_{\square,k} = G_{\square,k+1}$  and  $k \in \operatorname{Seg}$  width (the deleting of i-column in G) and  $k+1 \in \operatorname{Seg}$  width G.
- (31) Suppose  $i \in \text{Seg width } G$  and width G = m+1 and m > 0 and  $n \in \text{dom } G$  and  $1 \le k$  and k < i. Then (the deleting of i-column in G > 0 (n, k > 0) and  $k \in \text{Seg width } G$ .
- (32) Suppose  $i \in \operatorname{Seg}$  width G and width G = m+1 and m > 0 and  $n \in \operatorname{dom} G$  and  $i \leq k$  and  $k \leq m$ . Then (the deleting of i-column in G)  $\circ (n,k) = G \circ (n,k+1)$  and  $k+1 \in \operatorname{Seg}$  width G.
- (33) Suppose width G = m + 1 and m > 0 and  $k \in \operatorname{Seg} m$ . Then (the deleting of 1-column in G)<sub> $\square,k$ </sub> =  $G_{\square,k+1}$  and  $k \in \operatorname{Seg}$  width (the deleting of 1-column in G) and  $k+1 \in \operatorname{Seg}$  width G.
- (34) If width G = m+1 and m > 0 and  $k \in \operatorname{Seg} m$  and  $n \in \operatorname{dom} G$ , then (the deleting of 1-column in G)  $\circ (n,k) = G \circ (n,k+1)$  and  $1 \in \operatorname{Seg}$  width G.
- (35) Suppose width G = m + 1 and m > 0 and  $k \in \operatorname{Seg} m$ . Then (the deleting of width G-column in G) $_{\square,k} = G_{\square,k}$  and  $k \in \operatorname{Seg}$  width (the deleting of width G-column in G).
- (36) If width G = m + 1 and m > 0 and  $k \in \operatorname{Seg} m$  and  $n \in \operatorname{dom} G$ , then  $k \in \operatorname{Seg} w$  width G and (the deleting of width G-column in G)  $\circ$   $(n,k) = G \circ (n,k)$  and width  $G \in \operatorname{Seg} w$  width G.
- (37) Suppose  $\operatorname{rng} f$  misses  $\operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,i})$  and  $f_n \in \operatorname{rngLine}(G,m)$  and  $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$  and  $i \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{width} G$  and  $m \in \operatorname{dom} G$  and  $\operatorname{width} G > 1$ . Then  $f_n \in \operatorname{rngLine}(\operatorname{the deleting of } i\operatorname{-column in } G,m)$ .

In the sequel D is a set, f is a finite sequence of elements of D, and M is a matrix over D. Let us consider D, f, M. We say that f is a sequence which elements belong to M if and only if the conditions (Def. 11) are satisfied.

- (Def. 11)(i) For every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  there exist i, j such that  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $f_n = M \circ (i, j)$ , and
  - (ii) for every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $n+1 \in \text{dom } f$  and for all m, k, i, j such that  $\langle m, k \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $f_n = M \circ (m, k)$  and  $f_{n+1} = M \circ (i, j)$  holds |m-i|+|k-j|=1.

We now state three propositions:

- (38)(i) If  $m \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $1 \leq \text{len}(f \upharpoonright m)$ , and
- (ii) if f is a sequence which elements belong to M, then  $f \upharpoonright m$  is a sequence which elements belong to M.

- (39) Suppose that
  - (i) for every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } f_1$  there exist i, j such that  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $(f_1)_n = M \circ (i, j)$ , and
- (ii) for every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } f_2$  there exist i, j such that  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $(f_2)_n = M \circ (i, j)$ .
  - Let given n. If  $n \in \text{dom}(f_1 \cap f_2)$ , then there exist i, j such that  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $(f_1 \cap f_2)_n = M \circ (i, j)$ .
- (40) Suppose that
  - (i) for every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } f_1$  and  $n+1 \in \text{dom } f_1$  and for all m, k, i, j such that  $\langle m, k \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $(f_1)_n = M \circ (m, k)$  and  $(f_1)_{n+1} = M \circ (i, j)$  holds |m-i| + |k-j| = 1,
- (ii) for every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } f_2$  and  $n+1 \in \text{dom } f_2$  and for all m, k, i, j such that  $\langle m, k \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $\langle f_2 \rangle_n = M \circ (m, k)$  and  $\langle f_2 \rangle_{n+1} = M \circ (i, j)$  holds |m-i| + |k-j| = 1, and
- (iii) for all m, k, i, j such that  $\langle m, k \rangle \in$  the indices of M and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of M and  $(f_1)_{\text{len } f_1} = M \circ (m, k)$  and  $(f_2)_1 = M \circ (i, j)$  and  $\text{len } f_1 \in \text{dom } f_1$  and  $1 \in \text{dom } f_2$  holds |m i| + |k j| = 1.

Let given n. Suppose  $n \in \text{dom}(f_1 \cap f_2)$  and  $n+1 \in \text{dom}(f_1 \cap f_2)$ . Let given m, k, i, j. Suppose  $\langle m, k \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $\langle i, j \rangle \in \text{the indices of } M$  and  $(f_1 \cap f_2)_n = M \circ (m, k)$  and  $(f_1 \cap f_2)_{n+1} = M \circ (i, j)$ . Then |m-i| + |k-j| = 1.

In the sequel f denotes a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Next we state a number of propositions:

- (41) Suppose f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $i \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{width} G$  and  $\operatorname{rng} f$  misses  $\operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,i})$  and  $\operatorname{width} G > 1$ . Then f is a sequence which elements belong to the deleting of i-column in G.
- (42) If f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $i \in \text{dom } f$ , then there exists n such that  $n \in \text{dom } G$  and  $f_i \in \text{rngLine}(G, n)$ .
- (43) Suppose f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $i+1 \in \text{dom } f$  and  $n \in \text{dom } G$  and  $f_i \in \text{rngLine}(G,n)$ . Then  $f_{i+1} \in \text{rngLine}(G,n)$  or for every k such that  $f_{i+1} \in \text{rngLine}(G,k)$  and  $k \in \text{dom } G$  holds |n-k| = 1.
- (44) Suppose that  $1 \le \text{len } f$  and  $f_{\text{len } f} \in \text{rngLine}(G, \text{len } G)$  and f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $i \in \text{dom } G$  and  $i + 1 \in \text{dom } G$  and  $m \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_m \in \text{rngLine}(G, i)$  and for every k such that  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_k \in \text{rngLine}(G, i)$  holds  $k \le m$ . Then  $m + 1 \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_{m+1} \in \text{rngLine}(G, i+1)$ .
- (45) Suppose  $1 \le \text{len } f$  and  $f_1 \in \text{rngLine}(G,1)$  and  $f_{\text{len } f} \in \text{rngLine}(G,\text{len } G)$  and f is a sequence which elements belong to G. Then
  - (i) for every i such that  $1 \le i$  and  $i \le \text{len } G$  there exists k such that  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_k \in \text{rngLine}(G,i)$ ,
- (ii) for every i such that  $1 \le i$  and  $i \le \text{len } G$  and  $2 \le \text{len } f$  holds  $\widetilde{L}(f)$  meets rng Line(G, i), and
- (iii) for all i, j, k, m such that  $1 \le i$  and  $i \le \text{len } G$  and  $1 \le j$  and  $j \le \text{len } G$  and  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $m \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_k \in \text{rng Line}(G, i)$  and for every m such that  $m \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_m \in \text{rng Line}(G, i)$  holds  $n \le k$  and k < m and  $f_m \in \text{rng Line}(G, j)$  holds i < j.
- (46) If f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $i \in \text{dom } f$ , then there exists n such that  $n \in \text{Seg width } G$  and  $f_i \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,n})$ .
- (47) Suppose f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $i+1 \in \text{dom } f$  and  $n \in \text{Seg width } G$  and  $f_i \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,n})$ . Then  $f_{i+1} \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,n})$  or for every k such that  $f_{i+1} \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,k})$  and  $k \in \text{Seg width } G$  holds |n-k| = 1.

- (48) Suppose that  $1 \le \text{len } f$  and  $f_{\text{len } f} \in \text{rng}(G_{\square, \text{width } G})$  and f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $i \in \text{Seg width } G$  and  $i + 1 \in \text{Seg width } G$  and  $m \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_m \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,i})$  and for every k such that  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_k \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,i})$  holds  $k \le m$ . Then  $m + 1 \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_{m+1} \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,i+1})$ .
- (49) Suppose  $1 \le \text{len } f$  and  $f_1 \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,1})$  and  $f_{\text{len } f} \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,\text{width } G})$  and f is a sequence which elements belong to G. Then
  - (i) for every i such that  $1 \le i$  and  $i \le \text{width } G$  there exists k such that  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_k \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,i})$ ,
- (ii) for every i such that  $1 \le i$  and  $i \le \text{width } G$  and  $2 \le \text{len } f$  holds  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  meets  $\text{rng}(G_{\square,i})$ , and
- (iii) for all i, j, k, m such that  $1 \le i$  and  $i \le \text{width } G$  and  $1 \le j$  and  $j \le \text{width } G$  and  $k \in \text{dom } f$  and  $m \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_k \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,i})$  and for every n such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_n \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,i})$  holds  $n \le k$  and k < m and  $f_m \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,j})$  holds i < j.
- (50) Suppose that
  - (i)  $n \in \text{dom } f$ ,
- (ii)  $f_n \in \operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,k})$ ,
- (iii)  $k \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{width} G$ ,
- (iv)  $f_1 \in \operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,1})$ ,
- (v) f is a sequence which elements belong to G, and
- (vi) for every i such that  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_i \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,k})$  holds  $n \leq i$ . Let given i. If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $i \leq n$ , then for every m such that  $m \in \text{Seg width } G$  and  $f_i \in \text{rng}(G_{\square,m})$  holds  $m \leq k$ .
- (51) Suppose f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $f_1 \in \operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,1})$  and  $f_{\operatorname{len} f} \in \operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,\operatorname{width} G})$  and  $\operatorname{width} G > 1$  and  $1 \le \operatorname{len} f$ . Then there exists g such that
  - (i)  $g_1 \in \operatorname{rng}((\text{the deleting of width } G\text{-column in } G)_{\square,1}),$
- (ii)  $g_{\text{len }g} \in \text{rng}(\text{the deleting of width } G\text{-column in } G)_{\square,\text{width (the deleting of width } G\text{-column in } G)}),$
- (iii)  $1 \leq \operatorname{len} g$ ,
- (iv) g is a sequence which elements belong to the deleting of width G-column in G, and
- (v)  $\operatorname{rng} g \subseteq \operatorname{rng} f$ .
- (52) Suppose f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $\operatorname{rng} f \cap \operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,1}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\operatorname{rng} f \cap \operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,\operatorname{width} G}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists g such that  $\operatorname{rng} g \subseteq \operatorname{rng} f$  and  $g_1 \in \operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,1})$  and  $g_{\operatorname{len} g} \in \operatorname{rng}(G_{\square,\operatorname{width} G})$  and  $1 \leq \operatorname{len} g$  and g is a sequence which elements belong to G.
- (53) Suppose  $k \in \text{dom } G$  and f is a sequence which elements belong to G and  $f_{\text{len } f} \in \text{rng Line}(G, \text{len } G)$  and  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f_n \in \text{rng Line}(G, k)$ . Then
  - (i) for every i such that  $k \le i$  and  $i \le \text{len } G$  there exists j such that  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and  $n \le j$  and  $f_j \in \text{rng Line}(G, i)$ , and
- (ii) for every i such that k < i and  $i \le \text{len } G$  there exists j such that  $j \in \text{dom } f$  and n < j and  $f_j \in \text{rng Line}(G, i)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/card\_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/nat\_1.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq\_1.html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct\_1.html.

- [5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/zfmisc\_1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq\_2.html.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset\_1.html.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/euclid.html.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \( \mathcal{E}\_{\text{T}}^2\). Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/topreal1.html.
- [10] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/real\_1.html.
- [11] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/matrix\_1.html.
- [12] Katarzyna Jankowska. Transpose matrices and groups of permutations. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/matrix 2.html.
- [13] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/seqm\_3.html.
- [14] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre\_topc.html.
- [15] Jan Popiotek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol1/absvalue.html.
- [16] Yukio Takeuchi and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the Jordan curve theorem. Technical Report 19804, Dept. of Information Eng., Shinshu University, 500 Wakasato, Nagano city, Japan, April 1980.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Addenda, 2003. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq\_
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\_1.html.
- [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat\_1.html.

Received August 24, 1992

Published January 2, 2004