Dickson's Lemma

Gilbert Lee University of Alberta Edmonton Piotr Rudnicki University of Alberta Edmonton

Summary. We present a Mizar formalization of the proof of Dickson's lemma following [7], chapters 4.2 and 4.3.

MML Identifier: DICKSON.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol14/dickson.html

The articles [29], [11], [35], [22], [36], [38], [28], [37], [15], [30], [3], [33], [34], [8], [26], [27], [5], [32], [2], [1], [24], [16], [17], [10], [9], [20], [25], [19], [14], [31], [23], [4], [18], [12], [6], [13], and [21] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

The following two propositions are true:

- (1) For every function g and for every set x such that dom $g = \{x\}$ holds $g = x \mapsto g(x)$.
- (2) For every natural number n holds $n \subseteq n+1$.

The scheme FinSegRng2 deals with natural numbers \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a set, and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

 $\{\mathcal{F}(i); i \text{ ranges over natural numbers: } \mathcal{A} < i \land i \leq \mathcal{B} \land \mathcal{P}[i]\}$ is finite for all values of the parameters.

One can prove the following proposition

(3) For every infinite set X holds there exists a function from \mathbb{N} into X which is one-to-one.

Let R be a relational structure and let f be a sequence of R. We say that f is ascending if and only if:

(Def. 1) For every natural number n holds $f(n+1) \neq f(n)$ and $\langle f(n), f(n+1) \rangle \in$ the internal relation of R.

Let R be a relational structure and let f be a sequence of R. We say that f is weakly ascending if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every natural number *n* holds $\langle f(n), f(n+1) \rangle \in$ the internal relation of *R*.

One can prove the following four propositions:

(4) Let R be a non empty transitive relational structure and f be a sequence of R. Suppose f is weakly ascending. Let i, j be natural numbers. If i < j, then $f(i) \le f(j)$.

- (5) Let R be a non empty relational structure. Then R is connected if and only if the internal relation of R is strongly connected in the carrier of R.
- (7)¹ Let L be a relational structure, Y be a set, and a be a set. Then (the internal relation of L)-Seg(a) misses Y and $a \in Y$ if and only if a is minimal w.r.t. Y, the internal relation of L.
- (8) Let L be a non empty transitive antisymmetric relational structure, x be an element of L, and a, N be sets. Suppose a is minimal w.r.t. (the internal relation of L)-Seg $(x) \cap N$, the internal relation of L. Then a is minimal w.r.t. N, the internal relation of L.

2. More on Ordering Relations

Let *R* be a relational structure. We say that *R* is quasi ordered if and only if:

(Def. 3) R is reflexive and transitive.

Let R be a relational structure. Let us assume that R is quasi ordered. The functor EqRel(R) yields an equivalence relation of the carrier of R and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) EqRel(R) = (the internal relation of R) \cap (the internal relation of R) $\stackrel{\vee}{}$.

Next we state the proposition

(9) Let *R* be a relational structure and *x*, *y* be elements of *R*. If *R* is quasi ordered, then $x \in [y]_{EqRel(R)}$ iff $x \le y$ and $y \le x$.

Let *R* be a relational structure. The functor $\leq_E R$ yielding a binary relation on Classes EqRel(*R*) is defined by:

(Def. 5) For all sets A, B holds $\langle A, B \rangle \in \subseteq_E R$ iff there exist elements a, b of R such that $A = [a]_{EqRel(R)}$ and $B = [b]_{EqRel(R)}$ and $a \le b$.

Next we state two propositions:

- (10) For every relational structure R such that R is quasi ordered holds $\leq_E R$ partially orders Classes EqRel(R).
- (11) Let R be a non empty relational structure. If R is quasi ordered and connected, then $\leq_E R$ linearly orders Classes EqRel(R).

Let *R* be a binary relation. The functor $R \setminus$ yields a binary relation and is defined as follows:

(Def. 6)
$$R \setminus = R \setminus R \subseteq$$
.

Let *R* be a binary relation. Observe that $R \setminus^{\sim}$ is asymmetric.

Let *X* be a set and let *R* be a binary relation on *X*. Then $R \setminus$ is a binary relation on *X*.

Let R be a relational structure. The functor $R \setminus$ yielding a strict relational structure is defined by:

(Def. 7) $R \setminus = \langle \text{the carrier of } R, \text{ the internal relation of } R \setminus = \rangle$.

Let *R* be a non empty relational structure. One can verify that $R \setminus$ is non empty.

Let *R* be a transitive relational structure. Observe that $R \setminus^{\smile}$ is transitive.

Let *R* be a relational structure. Note that $R \setminus^{\smile}$ is antisymmetric.

We now state several propositions:

- (12) For every non empty poset *R* and for every element *x* of *R* holds $[x]_{EqRel(R)} = \{x\}$.
- (13) For every binary relation *R* holds $R = R \setminus^{\sim}$ iff *R* is asymmetric.

¹ The proposition (6) has been removed.

- (14) For every binary relation R such that R is transitive holds $R \setminus^{\sim}$ is transitive.
- (15) Let R be a binary relation and a, b be sets. If R is antisymmetric, then $\langle a, b \rangle \in R \setminus (a, b) \in R$ and $a \neq b$.
- (16) For every relational structure R such that R is well founded holds $R \setminus^{\sim}$ is well founded.
- (17) For every relational structure R such that $R \setminus$ is well founded and R is antisymmetric holds R is well founded.

3. FOUNDEDNESS PROPERTIES

One can prove the following propositions:

- (18) Let L be a relational structure, N be a set, and x be an element of $L \setminus \overline{}$. Then x is minimal w.r.t. N, the internal relation of $L \setminus \overline{}$ if and only if $x \in N$ and for every element y of L such that $y \in N$ and $\langle y, x \rangle \in$ the internal relation of L holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in$ the internal relation of L.
- (19) Let R, S be non empty relational structures and m be a map from R into S. Suppose that
 - (i) R is quasi ordered,
- (ii) S is antisymmetric,
- (iii) $S \setminus^{\sim}$ is well founded, and
- (iv) for all elements a, b of R holds if $a \le b$, then $m(a) \le m(b)$ and if m(a) = m(b), then $\langle a, b \rangle \in EqRel(R)$.

Then $R \setminus^{\smile}$ is well founded.

Let *R* be a non empty relational structure and let *N* be a subset of *R*. The functor MinClasses *N* yielding a family of subsets of *R* is defined by the condition (Def. 8).

(Def. 8) Let x be a set. Then $x \in \text{MinClasses } N$ if and only if there exists an element y of $R \setminus \text{``}$ such that y is minimal w.r.t. N, the internal relation of $R \setminus \text{``}$ and $x = [y]_{\text{EqRel}(R)} \cap N$.

We now state several propositions:

- (20) Let R be a non empty relational structure, N be a subset of R, and x be a set. Suppose R is quasi ordered and $x \in \text{MinClasses } N$. Let y be an element of $R \setminus$ $\check{}$. If $y \in x$, then y is minimal w.r.t. N, the internal relation of $R \setminus$ $\check{}$.
- (21) Let *R* be a non empty relational structure. Then $R \setminus$ is well founded if and only if for every subset *N* of *R* such that $N \neq \emptyset$ there exists a set *x* such that $x \in \text{MinClasses } N$.
- (22) Let R be a non empty relational structure, N be a subset of R, and y be an element of $R \setminus \sim$. If y is minimal w.r.t. N, the internal relation of $R \setminus \sim$, then MinClasses N is non empty.
- (23) Let R be a non empty relational structure, N be a subset of R, and x be a set. If R is quasi ordered and $x \in MinClasses N$, then x is non empty.
- (24) Let R be a non empty relational structure. Suppose R is quasi ordered. Then R is connected and $R \setminus \subseteq$ is well founded if and only if for every non empty subset N of R holds $\overline{\text{MinClasses }N} = 1$.
- (25) Let *R* be a non empty poset. Then the internal relation of *R* well orders the carrier of *R* if and only if for every non empty subset *N* of *R* holds $\overline{\overline{\text{MinClasses }N}} = 1$.

Let *R* be a relational structure, let *N* be a subset of *R*, and let *B* be a set. We say that *B* is Dickson basis of *N*, *R* if and only if:

(Def. 9) $B \subseteq N$ and for every element a of R such that $a \in N$ there exists an element b of R such that $b \in B$ and $b \le a$.

We now state two propositions:

- (26) For every relational structure R holds \emptyset is Dickson basis of \emptyset _{the carrier of R}, R.
- (27) Let *R* be a non empty relational structure, *N* be a non empty subset of *R*, and *B* be a set. If *B* is Dickson basis of *N*, *R*, then *B* is non empty.

Let *R* be a relational structure. We say that *R* is Dickson if and only if:

(Def. 10) For every subset N of R holds there exists a set which is Dickson basis of N, R and finite.

Next we state two propositions:

- (28) For every non empty relational structure R such that $R \setminus$ is well founded and R is connected holds R is Dickson.
- (29) Let R, S be relational structures. Suppose that
 - (i) the internal relation of $R \subseteq$ the internal relation of S,
- (ii) R is Dickson, and
- (iii) the carrier of R = the carrier of S.

Then S is Dickson.

Let f be a function and let b be a set. Let us assume that dom $f = \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \operatorname{rng} f$. The functor f mindex b yields a natural number and is defined as follows:

(Def. 11) f(f mindex b) = b and for every natural number i such that f(i) = b holds $f \text{ mindex } b \le i$.

Let R be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let f be a sequence of R, let b be a set, and let m be a natural number. Let us assume that there exists a natural number j such that m < j and f(j) = b. The functor f mindex(b, m) yielding a natural number is defined by:

(Def. 12) $f(f \operatorname{mindex}(b, m)) = b$ and $m < f \operatorname{mindex}(b, m)$ and for every natural number i such that m < i and f(i) = b holds $f \operatorname{mindex}(b, m) \le i$.

We now state several propositions:

- (30) Let R be a non empty relational structure. Suppose R is quasi ordered and Dickson. Let f be a sequence of R. Then there exist natural numbers i, j such that i < j and $f(i) \le f(j)$.
- (31) Let R be a relational structure, N be a subset of R, and x be an element of $R \setminus \subset$. Suppose R is quasi ordered and $x \in N$ and (the internal relation of R)-Seg $(x) \cap N \subseteq [x]_{EqRel(R)}$. Then x is minimal w.r.t. N, the internal relation of $R \setminus \subset$.
- (32) Let R be a non empty relational structure. Suppose R is quasi ordered and for every sequence f of R there exist natural numbers i, j such that i < j and $f(i) \le f(j)$. Let N be a non empty subset of R. Then MinClasses N is finite and MinClasses N is non empty.
- (33) Let *R* be a non empty relational structure. Suppose *R* is quasi ordered and for every non empty subset *N* of *R* holds MinClasses *N* is finite and MinClasses *N* is non empty. Then *R* is Dickson.
- (34) For every non empty relational structure R such that R is quasi ordered and Dickson holds $R \setminus^{\smile}$ is well founded.
- (35) Let R be a non empty poset and N be a non empty subset of R. Suppose R is Dickson. Then there exists a set B such that B is Dickson basis of N, R and for every set C such that C is Dickson basis of N, R holds $B \subseteq C$.

Let R be a non empty relational structure and let N be a subset of R. Let us assume that R is Dickson. The functor Dickson-Bases(N,R) yielding a non empty family of subsets of R is defined by:

(Def. 13) For every set *B* holds $B \in \text{Dickson-Bases}(N, R)$ iff *B* is Dickson basis of *N*, *R*.

Next we state several propositions:

- (36) Let *R* be a non empty relational structure and *s* be a sequence of *R*. If *R* is Dickson, then there exists a sequence of *R* which is a subsequence of *s* and weakly ascending.
- (37) For every relational structure R such that R is empty holds R is Dickson.
- (38) Let M, N be relational structures. Suppose M is Dickson and N is Dickson and M is quasi ordered and N is quasi ordered. Then [:M, N:] is quasi ordered and [:M, N:] is Dickson.
- (39) Let *R*, *S* be relational structures. Suppose *R* and *S* are isomorphic and *R* is Dickson and quasi ordered. Then *S* is quasi ordered and Dickson.
- (40) Let p be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by 1 and z be an element of 1. Then p(z) and $\prod p$ are isomorphic.

Let *X* be a set, let *p* be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by *X*, and let *Y* be a subset of *X*. Note that $p \upharpoonright Y$ is relational structure yielding.

We now state three propositions:

- (41) Let n be a non empty natural number and p be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by n. Then $\prod p$ is non empty if and only if p is nonempty.
- (42) Let n be a non empty natural number, p be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by n+1, n_1 be a subset of n+1, and n_2 be an element of n+1. If $n_1 = n$ and $n_2 = n$, then $[: \prod (p \upharpoonright n_1), p(n_2):]$ and $\prod p$ are isomorphic.
- (43) Let n be a non empty natural number and p be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by n. Suppose that for every element i of n holds p(i) is Dickson and p(i) is quasi ordered. Then $\prod p$ is quasi ordered and $\prod p$ is Dickson.

Let p be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \emptyset . One can check the following observations:

- * $\prod p$ is non empty,
- * $\prod p$ is antisymmetric,
- * $\prod p$ is quasi ordered, and
- * $\prod p$ is Dickson.

The binary relation NATOrd on \mathbb{N} is defined as follows:

(Def. 14) NATOrd = $\{\langle x, y \rangle; x \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathbb{N}, y \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathbb{N}: x \le y \}$.

The following four propositions are true:

- (44) NATOrd is reflexive in \mathbb{N} .
- (45) NATOrd is antisymmetric in \mathbb{N} .
- (46) NATOrd is strongly connected in \mathbb{N} .
- (47) NATOrd is transitive in \mathbb{N} .

The non empty relational structure OrderedNAT is defined by:

(Def. 15) OrderedNAT = $\langle \mathbb{N}, NATOrd \rangle$.

One can check the following observations:

- * OrderedNAT is connected.
- * OrderedNAT is Dickson,
- * OrderedNAT is quasi ordered,
- * OrderedNAT is antisymmetric,
- OrderedNAT is transitive, and
- * OrderedNAT is well founded.

Let n be a natural number. One can check the following observations:

- * $\prod(n \longmapsto \text{OrderedNAT})$ is non empty,
- * $\prod(n \longmapsto \text{OrderedNAT})$ is Dickson,
- * $\prod(n \longmapsto \text{OrderedNAT})$ is quasi ordered, and
- * $\prod(n \longmapsto \text{OrderedNAT})$ is antisymmetric.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (48) Let M be a relational structure. Suppose M is Dickson and quasi ordered. Then [:M, OrderedNAT:] is quasi ordered and [:M, OrderedNAT:] is Dickson.
- (49) Let R, S be non empty relational structures. Suppose that
 - (i) R is Dickson and quasi ordered,
- (ii) S is quasi ordered,
- (iii) the internal relation of $R \subseteq$ the internal relation of S, and
- (iv) the carrier of R = the carrier of S.

Then $S \setminus^{\sim}$ is well founded.

(50) Let R be a non empty relational structure. Suppose R is quasi ordered. Then R is Dickson if and only if for every non empty relational structure S such that S is quasi ordered and the internal relation of $R \subseteq$ the internal relation of S and the carrier of S holds $S \setminus S$ is well founded.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat 1 html
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The well ordering relations. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/wellordl.html.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card_3.html.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_ 3.html.
- [7] Thomas Becker and Volker Weispfenning. Gröbner Bases: A Computational Approach to Commutative Algebra. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1993.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_1.html.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html.

- [11] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_lang.html.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_1.html.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał and Andrzej Trybulec. Similarity of formulae. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol3/cqc_siml.html.
- [17] Adam Grabowski. Auxiliary and approximating relations. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_4.html.
- [18] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_1.html.
- [19] Artur Korniłowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_3.html.
- [20] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Voll/seqm_3.html.
- [21] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pre_circ.html.
- [22] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.
- [23] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.
- [24] Jan Popiotek. Real normed space. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/normsp_1.html.
- [25] Jan Popiolek. Introduction to Banach and Hilbert spaces part III. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol3/bhsp_3.html.
- [26] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/eqrel_1.html.
- [27] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. On same equivalents of well-foundedness. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/wellfndl.html.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain 1.html.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/moart_1.html.
- [31] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pboole.html.
- [32] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Addenda, 2003. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html.
- [33] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_ 1.html.
- [34] Wojciech A. Trybulec and Grzegorz Bancerek. Kuratowski Zorn lemma. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/orders_2.html.
- $[35] \enskip \textbf{Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. } \textit{Journal of Formalized Mathematics}, \textbf{1}, \textbf{1989}. \\ \texttt{http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_l.html.}$
- [36] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_1.html.
- [37] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset_1.html.

[38] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_2.html.

Received March 12, 2002

Published January 2, 2004