

The Complex Numbers

Czesław Byliński
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. We define the set \mathbb{C} of complex numbers as the set of all ordered pairs $z = \langle a, b \rangle$ where a and b are real numbers and where addition and multiplication are defined. We define the real and imaginary parts of z and denote this by $a = \Re(z)$, $b = \Im(z)$. These definitions satisfy all the axioms for a field. $0_{\mathbb{C}} = 0 + 0i$ and $1_{\mathbb{C}} = 1 + 0i$ are identities for addition and multiplication respectively, and there are multiplicative inverses for each non zero element in \mathbb{C} . The difference and division of complex numbers are also defined. We do not interpret the set of all real numbers \mathbb{R} as a subset of \mathbb{C} . From here on we do not abandon the ordered pair notation for complex numbers. For example: $i^2 = (0 + 1i)^2 = -1 + 0i \neq -1$. We conclude this article by introducing two operations on \mathbb{C} which are not field operations. We define the absolute value of z denoted by $|z|$ and the conjugate of z denoted by z^* .

MML Identifier: COMPLEX1.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/complex1.html>

The articles [8], [11], [1], [5], [9], [6], [7], [10], [12], [2], [3], and [4] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper a, b denote elements of \mathbb{R} .

One can prove the following proposition

(2)¹ For all real numbers a, b holds $a^2 + b^2 = 0$ iff $a = 0$ and $b = 0$.

Let us observe that every element of \mathbb{C} is complex.

Let z be a complex number. The functor $\Re(z)$ is defined by:

(Def. 2)²(i) $\Re(z) = z$ if $z \in \mathbb{R}$,

(ii) there exists a function f from 2 into \mathbb{R} such that $z = f$ and $\Re(z) = f(0)$, otherwise.

The functor $\Im(z)$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 3)(i) $\Im(z) = 0$ if $z \in \mathbb{R}$,

(ii) there exists a function f from 2 into \mathbb{R} such that $z = f$ and $\Im(z) = f(1)$, otherwise.

Let z be a complex number. One can check that $\Re(z)$ is real and $\Im(z)$ is real.

Let z be a complex number. Then $\Re(z)$ is a real number. Then $\Im(z)$ is a real number.

We now state four propositions:

(5)³ For every function f from 2 into \mathbb{R} there exist a, b such that $f = [0 \mapsto a, 1 \mapsto b]$.

¹ The proposition (1) has been removed.

² The definition (Def. 1) has been removed.

³ The propositions (3) and (4) have been removed.

$$(7)^4 \quad \Re(a + bi) = a \text{ and } \Im(a + bi) = b.$$

$$(8) \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ holds } \Re(z) + \Im(z)i = z.$$

$$(9) \quad \text{For all complex numbers } z_1, z_2 \text{ such that } \Re(z_1) = \Re(z_2) \text{ and } \Im(z_1) = \Im(z_2) \text{ holds } z_1 = z_2.$$

Let z_1, z_2 be complex numbers. Let us observe that $z_1 = z_2$ if and only if:

$$(\text{Def. 5})^5 \quad \Re(z_1) = \Re(z_2) \text{ and } \Im(z_1) = \Im(z_2).$$

The element $0_{\mathbb{C}}$ of \mathbb{C} is defined as follows:

$$(\text{Def. 6}) \quad 0_{\mathbb{C}} = 0.$$

The element $1_{\mathbb{C}}$ of \mathbb{C} is defined as follows:

$$(\text{Def. 7}) \quad 1_{\mathbb{C}} = 1.$$

Then i is an element of \mathbb{C} and it can be characterized by the condition:

$$(\text{Def. 8}) \quad i = 0 + 1i.$$

Let us note that $0_{\mathbb{C}}$ is zero.

One can prove the following propositions:

$$(12)^6 \quad \Re(0_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im(0_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0.$$

$$(13) \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ holds } z = 0_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ iff } \Re(z)^2 + \Im(z)^2 = 0.$$

$$(14) \quad 0 = 0_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(15) \quad \Re(1_{\mathbb{C}}) = 1 \text{ and } \Im(1_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0.$$

$$(17)^7 \quad \Re(i) = 0 \text{ and } \Im(i) = 1.$$

In the sequel z, z_1, z_2 are elements of \mathbb{C} .

Let us consider z_1, z_2 . Then $z_1 + z_2$ is an element of \mathbb{C} and it can be characterized by the condition:

$$(\text{Def. 9}) \quad z_1 + z_2 = (\Re(z_1) + \Re(z_2)) + (\Im(z_1) + \Im(z_2))i.$$

One can prove the following propositions:

$$(19)^8 \quad \text{For all complex numbers } z_1, z_2 \text{ holds } \Re(z_1 + z_2) = \Re(z_1) + \Re(z_2) \text{ and } \Im(z_1 + z_2) = \Im(z_1) + \Im(z_2).$$

$$(22)^9 \quad 0_{\mathbb{C}} + z = z.$$

Let us consider z_1, z_2 . Then $z_1 \cdot z_2$ is an element of \mathbb{C} and it can be characterized by the condition:

$$(\text{Def. 10}) \quad z_1 \cdot z_2 = (\Re(z_1) \cdot \Re(z_2) - \Im(z_1) \cdot \Im(z_2)) + (\Re(z_1) \cdot \Im(z_2) + \Re(z_2) \cdot \Im(z_1))i.$$

Next we state several propositions:

$$(24)^{10} \quad \text{For all complex numbers } z_1, z_2 \text{ holds } \Re(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Re(z_1) \cdot \Re(z_2) - \Im(z_1) \cdot \Im(z_2) \text{ and } \Im(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Re(z_1) \cdot \Im(z_2) + \Re(z_2) \cdot \Im(z_1).$$

⁴ The proposition (6) has been removed.

⁵ The definition (Def. 4) has been removed.

⁶ The propositions (10) and (11) have been removed.

⁷ The proposition (16) has been removed.

⁸ The proposition (18) has been removed.

⁹ The propositions (20) and (21) have been removed.

¹⁰ The proposition (23) has been removed.

$$(28)^{11} \quad 0_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z = 0_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(29) \quad 1_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z = z.$$

$$(30) \quad \text{If } \Im(z_1) = 0 \text{ and } \Im(z_2) = 0, \text{ then } \Re(z_1 \cdot z_2) = \Re(z_1) \cdot \Re(z_2) \text{ and } \Im(z_1 \cdot z_2) = 0.$$

$$(31) \quad \text{If } \Re(z_1) = 0 \text{ and } \Re(z_2) = 0, \text{ then } \Re(z_1 \cdot z_2) = -\Im(z_1) \cdot \Im(z_2) \text{ and } \Im(z_1 \cdot z_2) = 0.$$

$$(32) \quad \Re(z \cdot z) = \Re(z)^2 - \Im(z)^2 \text{ and } \Im(z \cdot z) = 2 \cdot (\Re(z) \cdot \Im(z)).$$

Let us consider z . Then $-z$ is an element of \mathbb{C} and it can be characterized by the condition:

$$(\text{Def. 11}) \quad -z = -\Re(z) + (-\Im(z))i.$$

One can prove the following three propositions:

$$(34)^{12} \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ holds } \Re(-z) = -\Re(z) \text{ and } \Im(-z) = -\Im(z).$$

$$(37)^{13} \quad i \cdot i = -1_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(46)^{14} \quad -z = (-1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot z.$$

Let us consider z_1, z_2 . Then $z_1 - z_2$ is an element of \mathbb{C} and it can be characterized by the condition:

$$(\text{Def. 12}) \quad z_1 - z_2 = (\Re(z_1) - \Re(z_2)) + (\Im(z_1) - \Im(z_2))i.$$

The following two propositions are true:

$$(48)^{15} \quad \Re(z_1 - z_2) = \Re(z_1) - \Re(z_2) \text{ and } \Im(z_1 - z_2) = \Im(z_1) - \Im(z_2).$$

$$(52)^{16} \quad z - 0_{\mathbb{C}} = z.$$

Let us consider z . Then z^{-1} is an element of \mathbb{C} and it can be characterized by the condition:

$$(\text{Def. 13}) \quad z^{-1} = \frac{\Re(z)}{\Re(z)^2 + \Im(z)^2} + \frac{-\Im(z)}{\Re(z)^2 + \Im(z)^2}i.$$

We now state several propositions:

$$(64)^{17} \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ holds } \Re(z^{-1}) = \frac{\Re(z)}{\Re(z)^2 + \Im(z)^2} \text{ and } \Im(z^{-1}) = \frac{-\Im(z)}{\Re(z)^2 + \Im(z)^2}.$$

$$(65) \quad \text{If } z \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{ then } z \cdot z^{-1} = 1_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(69)^{18} \quad \text{If } z_2 \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ and } z_1 \cdot z_2 = 1_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{ then } z_1 = z_2^{-1}.$$

$$(71)^{19} \quad (1_{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} = 1_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(72) \quad (i)^{-1} = -i.$$

$$(79)^{20} \quad \text{If } \Re(z) \neq 0 \text{ and } \Im(z) = 0, \text{ then } \Re(z^{-1}) = \Re(z)^{-1} \text{ and } \Im(z^{-1}) = 0.$$

$$(80) \quad \text{If } \Re(z) = 0 \text{ and } \Im(z) \neq 0, \text{ then } \Re(z^{-1}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im(z^{-1}) = -\Im(z)^{-1}.$$

Let us consider z_1, z_2 . Then $\frac{z_1}{z_2}$ is an element of \mathbb{C} and it can be characterized by the condition:

¹¹ The propositions (25)–(27) have been removed.

¹² The proposition (33) has been removed.

¹³ The propositions (35) and (36) have been removed.

¹⁴ The propositions (38)–(45) have been removed.

¹⁵ The proposition (47) has been removed.

¹⁶ The propositions (49)–(51) have been removed.

¹⁷ The propositions (53)–(63) have been removed.

¹⁸ The propositions (66)–(68) have been removed.

¹⁹ The proposition (70) has been removed.

²⁰ The propositions (73)–(78) have been removed.

$$\text{(Def. 14)} \quad \frac{z_1}{z_2} = \frac{\Re(z_1)\Re(z_2) + \Im(z_1)\Im(z_2)}{\Re(z_2)^2 + \Im(z_2)^2} + \frac{\Re(z_2)\Im(z_1) - \Re(z_1)\Im(z_2)}{\Re(z_2)^2 + \Im(z_2)^2}i.$$

Next we state several propositions:

$$(82)^{21} \quad \Re\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) = \frac{\Re(z_1)\Re(z_2) + \Im(z_1)\Im(z_2)}{\Re(z_2)^2 + \Im(z_2)^2} \text{ and } \Im\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) = \frac{\Re(z_2)\Im(z_1) - \Re(z_1)\Im(z_2)}{\Re(z_2)^2 + \Im(z_2)^2}.$$

$$(84)^{22} \quad \text{If } z \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{ then } z^{-1} = \frac{1_{\mathbb{C}}}{z}.$$

$$(85) \quad \frac{z}{1_{\mathbb{C}}} = z.$$

$$(86) \quad \text{If } z \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{ then } \frac{z}{z} = 1_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(87) \quad \frac{0_{\mathbb{C}}}{z} = 0_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(91)^{23} \quad \text{If } z_2 \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ and } \frac{z_1}{z_2} = 1_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{ then } z_1 = z_2.$$

$$(109)^{24} \quad \text{If } \Im(z_1) = 0 \text{ and } \Im(z_2) = 0 \text{ and } \Re(z_2) \neq 0, \text{ then } \Re\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) = \frac{\Re(z_1)}{\Re(z_2)} \text{ and } \Im\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) = 0.$$

$$(110) \quad \text{If } \Re(z_1) = 0 \text{ and } \Re(z_2) = 0 \text{ and } \Im(z_2) \neq 0, \text{ then } \Re\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) = \frac{\Im(z_1)}{\Im(z_2)} \text{ and } \Im\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) = 0.$$

Let z be a complex number. The functor $\bar{}$ yielding a complex number is defined by:

$$\text{(Def. 15)} \quad \bar{z} = \Re(z) + (-\Im(z))i.$$

Let us notice that the functor $\bar{}$ is involutive.

Let z be a complex number. Then $\bar{\bar{z}}$ is an element of \mathbb{C} .

Next we state a number of propositions:

$$(112)^{25} \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ holds } \Re(\bar{z}) = \Re(z) \text{ and } \Im(\bar{z}) = -\Im(z).$$

$$(113) \quad \overline{0_{\mathbb{C}}} = 0_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(114) \quad \text{If } \bar{z} = 0_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{ then } z = 0_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(115) \quad \overline{1_{\mathbb{C}}} = 1_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(116) \quad \bar{i} = -i.$$

$$(118)^{26} \quad \overline{z_1 + z_2} = \bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2.$$

$$(119) \quad \overline{-z} = -\bar{z}.$$

$$(120) \quad \overline{z_1 - z_2} = \bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2.$$

$$(121) \quad \overline{z_1 \cdot z_2} = \bar{z}_1 \cdot \bar{z}_2.$$

$$(122) \quad \overline{z^{-1}} = \bar{z}^{-1}.$$

$$(123) \quad \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_2} = \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_2}.$$

$$(124) \quad \text{If } \Im(z) = 0, \text{ then } \bar{z} = z.$$

$$(125) \quad \text{If } \Re(z) = 0, \text{ then } \bar{z} = -z.$$

$$(126) \quad \Re(z \cdot \bar{z}) = \Re(z)^2 + \Im(z)^2 \text{ and } \Im(z \cdot \bar{z}) = 0.$$

$$(127) \quad \Re(z + \bar{z}) = 2 \cdot \Re(z) \text{ and } \Im(z + \bar{z}) = 0.$$

²¹ The proposition (81) has been removed.

²² The proposition (83) has been removed.

²³ The propositions (88)–(90) have been removed.

²⁴ The propositions (92)–(108) have been removed.

²⁵ The proposition (111) has been removed.

²⁶ The proposition (117) has been removed.

$$(128) \quad \Re(z - \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ and } \Im(z - \bar{z}) = 2 \cdot \Im(z).$$

Let z be a complex number. The functor $|z|$ is defined as follows:

$$(\text{Def. 16}) \quad |z| = \sqrt{\Re(z)^2 + \Im(z)^2}.$$

Let z be a complex number. Note that $|z|$ is real.

Let z be a complex number. Then $|z|$ is a real number.

We now state several propositions:

$$(130)^{27} \quad |0_{\mathbb{C}}| = 0.$$

$$(131) \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ such that } |z| = 0 \text{ holds } z = 0_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$(132) \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ holds } 0 \leq |z|.$$

$$(133) \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ holds } z \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ iff } 0 < |z|.$$

$$(134) \quad |1_{\mathbb{C}}| = 1.$$

$$(135) \quad |i| = 1.$$

$$(136) \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ such that } \Im(z) = 0 \text{ holds } |z| = |\Re(z)|.$$

$$(137) \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ such that } \Re(z) = 0 \text{ holds } |z| = |\Im(z)|.$$

$$(138) \quad \text{For every complex number } z \text{ holds } |-z| = |z|.$$

In the sequel z is a complex number.

We now state a number of propositions:

$$(139) \quad |\bar{z}| = |z|.$$

$$(140) \quad \Re(z) \leq |z|.$$

$$(141) \quad \Im(z) \leq |z|.$$

$$(142) \quad \text{For all complex numbers } z_1, z_2 \text{ holds } |z_1 + z_2| \leq |z_1| + |z_2|.$$

$$(143) \quad |z_1 - z_2| \leq |z_1| + |z_2|.$$

$$(144) \quad |z_1| - |z_2| \leq |z_1 + z_2|.$$

$$(145) \quad |z_1| - |z_2| \leq |z_1 - z_2|.$$

$$(146) \quad |z_1 - z_2| = |z_2 - z_1|.$$

$$(147) \quad |z_1 - z_2| = 0 \text{ iff } z_1 = z_2.$$

$$(148) \quad z_1 \neq z_2 \text{ iff } 0 < |z_1 - z_2|.$$

$$(149) \quad |z_1 - z_2| \leq |z_1 - z| + |z - z_2|.$$

$$(150) \quad ||z_1| - |z_2|| \leq |z_1 - z_2|.$$

$$(151) \quad \text{For all complex numbers } z_1, z_2 \text{ holds } |z_1 \cdot z_2| = |z_1| \cdot |z_2|.$$

$$(152) \quad \text{If } z \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{ then } |z^{-1}| = |z|^{-1}.$$

$$(153) \quad \text{If } z_2 \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{ then } \left| \frac{z_1}{z_2} \right| = \frac{|z_1|}{|z_2|}.$$

$$(154) \quad |z \cdot z| = \Re(z)^2 + \Im(z)^2.$$

$$(155) \quad |z \cdot z| = |z \cdot \bar{z}|.$$

²⁷ The proposition (129) has been removed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinal1.html>.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_1.html.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/funct_2.html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.
- [5] Library Committee. Introduction to arithmetic. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Addenda*, 2003. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/arytm_0.html.
- [6] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/real_1.html.
- [7] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/absvalue.html>.
- [8] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics*, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Addenda*, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers operations: min, max, square, and square root. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/square_1.html.
- [11] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html.
- [12] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_1.html.

Received March 1, 1990

Published January 2, 2004
