JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 4, Released 1992, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Coherent Space

Jarosław Kotowicz
Warsaw University
Białystok

Konrad Raczkowski
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. Coherent Space, web of coherent space and two categories: category of coherent spaces and category of tolerances on same fixed set.

MML Identifier: COH_SP.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/coh_sp.html

The articles [9], [6], [11], [12], [13], [10], [2], [5], [1], [3], [8], [7], and [4] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Coherent Space and Web of Coherent Space

In this paper x, y, a, b, X, A denote sets.

Let I_1 be a set. We say that I_1 is binary complete if and only if:

(Def. 2)[1] For every A such that $A \subseteq I_1$ and for all a, b such that $a \in A$ and $b \in A$ holds $a \cup b \in I_1$ holds $\bigcup A \in I_1$.

Let us note that there exists a set which is subset-closed, binary complete, and non empty.

A coherent space is a subset-closed binary complete non empty set.

In the sequel C, D denote coherent spaces.

One can prove the following propositions:

(1) $\emptyset \in C$.

(2) 2^X is a coherent space.

(3) $\{\emptyset\}$ is a coherent space.

(4) If $x \in \bigcup C$, then $\{x\} \in C$.

Let C be a coherent space. The functor $\mathrm{Web}(C)$ yields a tolerance of $\bigcup C$ and is defined by:

(Def. 3) For all x, y holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathrm{Web}(C)$ iff there exists X such that $X \in C$ and $x \in X$ and $y \in X$.

In the sequel T denotes a tolerance of $\bigcup C$.

The following propositions are true:

(5) $T = \mathrm{Web}(C)$ iff for all x, y holds $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$ iff $\{x, y\} \in C$.

(6) $a \in C$ iff for all x, y such that $x \in a$ and $y \in a$ holds $\{x, y\} \in C$.

[1] The definition (Def. 1) has been removed.

(7) $a \in C$ iff for all x, y such that $x \in a$ and $y \in a$ holds $\langle x, y\rangle \in \mathrm{Web}(C)$.

(8) If for all x, y such that $x \in a$ and $y \in a$ holds $\{x,y\} \in C$, then $a \subseteq \bigcup C$.

(9) If $\mathrm{Web}(C) = \mathrm{Web}(D)$, then $C = D$.

(10) If $\bigcup C \in C$, then $C = 2^{\bigcup C}$.

(11) If $C = 2^{\bigcup C}$, then $\mathrm{Web}(C) = \nabla_{\bigcup C}$.

Let X be a set and let E be a tolerance of X. The functor $\mathrm{CohSp}(E)$ yields a coherent space and is defined by:

(Def. 4) For every a holds $a \in \mathrm{CohSp}(E)$ iff for all x, y such that $x \in a$ and $y \in a$ holds $\langle x, y\rangle \in E$.

In the sequel E is a tolerance of X.
We now state four propositions:

(12) $\mathrm{Web}(\mathrm{CohSp}(E)) = E$.

(13) $\mathrm{CohSp}(\mathrm{Web}(C)) = C$.

(14) $a \in \mathrm{CohSp}(E)$ iff a is a set of mutually elements w.r.t. E.

(15) $\mathrm{CohSp}(E) = \mathrm{TolSets}\,E$.

2. Category of Coherent Spaces

Let us consider X. The functor $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$ yields a set and is defined by:

(Def. 5) $\mathrm{CSp}(X) = \{x; x$ ranges over subsets of 2^X: x is a coherent space$\}$.

Let us consider X. One can verify that $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$ is non empty.
Let X be a set. Note that every element of $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$ is subset-closed, binary complete, and non empty.
In the sequel C, C_1, C_2 are elements of $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$.
One can prove the following proposition

(16) If $\{x,y\} \in C$, then $x \in \bigcup C$ and $y \in \bigcup C$.

Let us consider X. The functor $\mathrm{Funcs}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ yields a set and is defined by:

(Def. 7)[2] $\mathrm{Funcs}_{\mathrm{C}} X = \bigcup\{(\bigcup y)^{\bigcup x} : x$ ranges over elements of $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$, y ranges over elements of $\mathrm{CSp}(X)\}$.

Let us consider X. One can verify that $\mathrm{Funcs}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ is non empty and functional.
In the sequel g denotes an element of $\mathrm{Funcs}_{\mathrm{C}} X$.
One can prove the following proposition

(17) $x \in \mathrm{Funcs}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ iff there exist C_1, C_2 such that if $\bigcup C_2 = \emptyset$, then $\bigcup C_1 = \emptyset$ and x is a function from $\bigcup C_1$ into $\bigcup C_2$.

Let us consider X. The functor $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ yields a set and is defined by the condition (Def. 8).

(Def. 8) $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X = \{\langle\langle C, C_3\rangle, f\rangle; C$ ranges over elements of $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$, C_3 ranges over elements of $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$, f ranges over elements of $\mathrm{Funcs}_{\mathrm{C}} X : (\bigcup C_3 = \emptyset \Rightarrow \bigcup C = \emptyset) \wedge f$ is a function from $\bigcup C$ into $\bigcup C_3 \wedge \bigwedge_{x,y} (\{x,y\} \in C \Rightarrow \{f(x), f(y)\} \in C_3)\}$.

Let us consider X. One can check that $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ is non empty.
In the sequel l, l_1, l_2, l_3 denote elements of $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$.
One can prove the following two propositions:

[2] The definition (Def. 6) has been removed.

(18) There exist g, C_1, C_2 such that

(i) $l = \langle\langle C_1, C_2\rangle, g\rangle$,

(ii) if $\bigcup C_2 = \emptyset$, then $\bigcup C_1 = \emptyset$,

(iii) g is a function from $\bigcup C_1$ into $\bigcup C_2$, and

(iv) for all x, y such that $\{x,y\} \in C_1$ holds $\{g(x), g(y)\} \in C_2$.

(19) Let f be a function from $\bigcup C_1$ into $\bigcup C_2$. Suppose if $\bigcup C_2 = \emptyset$, then $\bigcup C_1 = \emptyset$ and for all x, y such that $\{x,y\} \in C_1$ holds $\{f(x), f(y)\} \in C_2$. Then $\langle\langle C_1, C_2\rangle, f\rangle \in \mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$.

Let X be a set and let l be an element of $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$. Note that $l_{\mathbf{2}}$ is function-like and relation-like. Let us consider X, l. The functor $\mathrm{dom}\, l$ yields an element of $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 10)[3] $\mathrm{dom}\, l = (l_{\mathbf{1}})_{\mathbf{1}}$.

The functor $\mathrm{cod}\, l$ yielding an element of $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$ is defined by:

(Def. 11) $\mathrm{cod}\, l = (l_{\mathbf{1}})_{\mathbf{2}}$.

One can prove the following proposition

(20) $l = \langle\langle \mathrm{dom}\, l, \mathrm{cod}\, l\rangle, l_{\mathbf{2}}\rangle$.

Let us consider X, C. The functor $\mathrm{id}(C)$ yielding an element of $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) $\mathrm{id}(C) = \langle\langle C, C\rangle, \mathrm{id}_{\bigcup C}\rangle$.

The following proposition is true

(21) $\bigcup \mathrm{cod}\, l \neq \emptyset$ or $\bigcup \mathrm{dom}\, l = \emptyset$ but $l_{\mathbf{2}}$ is a function from $\bigcup \mathrm{dom}\, l$ into $\bigcup \mathrm{cod}\, l$ but for all x, y such that $\{x,y\} \in \mathrm{dom}\, l$ holds $\{l_{\mathbf{2}}(x), l_{\mathbf{2}}(y)\} \in \mathrm{cod}\, l$.

Let us consider X, l_1, l_2. Let us assume that $\mathrm{cod}\, l_1 = \mathrm{dom}\, l_2$. The functor $l_2 \cdot l_1$ yields an element of $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ and is defined by:

(Def. 13) $l_2 \cdot l_1 = \langle\langle \mathrm{dom}\, l_1, \mathrm{cod}\, l_2\rangle, (l_2)_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot (l_1)_{\mathbf{2}}\rangle$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(22) If $\mathrm{dom}\, l_2 = \mathrm{cod}\, l_1$, then $(l_2 \cdot l_1)_{\mathbf{2}} = (l_2)_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot (l_1)_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathrm{dom}(l_2 \cdot l_1) = \mathrm{dom}\, l_1$ and $\mathrm{cod}(l_2 \cdot l_1) = \mathrm{cod}\, l_2$.

(23) If $\mathrm{dom}\, l_2 = \mathrm{cod}\, l_1$ and $\mathrm{dom}\, l_3 = \mathrm{cod}\, l_2$, then $l_3 \cdot (l_2 \cdot l_1) = (l_3 \cdot l_2) \cdot l_1$.

(24) $(\mathrm{id}(C))_{\mathbf{2}} = \mathrm{id}_{\bigcup C}$ and $\mathrm{dom}\, \mathrm{id}(C) = C$ and $\mathrm{cod}\, \mathrm{id}(C) = C$.

(25) $l \cdot \mathrm{id}(\mathrm{dom}\, l) = l$ and $\mathrm{id}(\mathrm{cod}\, l) \cdot l = l$.

Let us consider X. The functor $\mathrm{Dom}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X$ yields a function from $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ into $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$ and is defined by:

(Def. 14) For every l holds $(\mathrm{Dom}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X)(l) = \mathrm{dom}\, l$.

The functor $\mathrm{Cod}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X$ yields a function from $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ into $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$ and is defined by:

(Def. 15) For every l holds $(\mathrm{Cod}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X)(l) = \mathrm{cod}\, l$.

The functor $\cdot_{\mathrm{CSp}} X$ yields a partial function from $[:\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X, \mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X:]$ to $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 16) For all l_2, l_1 holds $\langle l_2, l_1\rangle \in \mathrm{dom} \cdot_{\mathrm{CSp}} X$ iff $\mathrm{dom}\, l_2 = \mathrm{cod}\, l_1$ and for all l_2, l_1 such that $\mathrm{dom}\, l_2 = \mathrm{cod}\, l_1$ holds $(\cdot_{\mathrm{CSp}} X)(\langle l_2, l_1\rangle) = l_2 \cdot l_1$.

[3] The definition (Def. 9) has been removed.

The functor $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X$ yields a function from $\mathrm{CSp}(X)$ into $\mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X$ and is defined by:

(Def. 17) For every C holds $(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X)(C) = \mathrm{id}(C)$.

We now state the proposition

(26) $\langle \mathrm{CSp}(X), \mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X, \mathrm{Dom}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X, \mathrm{Cod}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X, \cdot_{\mathrm{CSp}} X, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X \rangle$ is a category.

Let us consider X. The X-coherent space category yielding a category is defined by:

(Def. 18) The X-coherent space category $= \langle \mathrm{CSp}(X), \mathrm{Maps}_{\mathrm{C}} X, \mathrm{Dom}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X, \mathrm{Cod}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X, \cdot_{\mathrm{CSp}} X, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{CSp}} X \rangle$.

3. Category of Tolerances

Let X be a set. The tolerances on X constitute a set defined as follows:

(Def. 19) $x \in$ the tolerances on X iff x is a tolerance of X.

Let X be a set. Note that the tolerances on X is non empty.
Let X be a set. The tolerances on subsets of X constitute a set defined as follows:

(Def. 20) The tolerances on subsets of $X = \bigcup\{$the tolerances on Y: Y ranges over subsets of $X\}$.

Let X be a set. One can check that the tolerances on subsets of X is non empty.
One can prove the following propositions:

(27) $x \in$ the tolerances on subsets of X iff there exists A such that $A \subseteq X$ and x is a tolerance of A.

(28) $\nabla_a \in$ the tolerances on a.

(30)[4] $\emptyset \in$ the tolerances on subsets of X.

(31) If $a \subseteq X$, then $\nabla_a \in$ the tolerances on subsets of X.

(32) If $a \subseteq X$, then $\mathrm{id}_a \in$ the tolerances on subsets of X.

(33) $\nabla_X \in$ the tolerances on subsets of X.

(34) $\mathrm{id}_X \in$ the tolerances on subsets of X.

Let us consider X. The functor $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$ yields a set and is defined by:

(Def. 21) $\mathrm{TOL}(X) = \{\langle t, Y \rangle; t$ ranges over elements of the tolerances on subsets of X, Y ranges over elements of 2^X: t is a tolerance of $Y\}$.

Let us consider X. One can verify that $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$ is non empty.
In the sequel T, T_1, T_2 denote elements of $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$.
One can prove the following propositions:

(35) $\langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \in \mathrm{TOL}(X)$.

(36) If $a \subseteq X$, then $\langle \mathrm{id}_a, a \rangle \in \mathrm{TOL}(X)$.

(37) If $a \subseteq X$, then $\langle \nabla_a, a \rangle \in \mathrm{TOL}(X)$.

(38) $\langle \mathrm{id}_X, X \rangle \in \mathrm{TOL}(X)$.

(39) $\langle \nabla_X, X \rangle \in \mathrm{TOL}(X)$.

Let us consider X, T. Then $T_{\mathbf{2}}$ is an element of 2^X. Then $T_{\mathbf{1}}$ is a tolerance of $T_{\mathbf{2}}$.
Let us consider X. The functor $\mathrm{Funcs}_{\mathrm{T}} X$ yields a set and is defined by:

[4] The proposition (29) has been removed.

(Def. 22) $\mathrm{Funcs_T}X = \bigcup\{((T_3)_{\mathbf{2}})^{T_{\mathbf{2}}} : T$ ranges over elements of $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$, T_3 ranges over elements of $\mathrm{TOL}(X)\}$.

Let us consider X. Observe that $\mathrm{Funcs_T}X$ is non empty and functional.
In the sequel f is an element of $\mathrm{Funcs_T}X$.
One can prove the following proposition

(40) $x \in \mathrm{Funcs_T}X$ iff there exist T_1, T_2 such that if $(T_2)_{\mathbf{2}} = \emptyset$, then $(T_1)_{\mathbf{2}} = \emptyset$ and x is a function from $(T_1)_{\mathbf{2}}$ into $(T_2)_{\mathbf{2}}$.

Let us consider X. The functor $\mathrm{Maps_T}X$ yielding a set is defined by the condition (Def. 23).

(Def. 23) $\mathrm{Maps_T}X = \{\langle\langle T, T_3\rangle, f\rangle; T$ ranges over elements of $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$, T_3 ranges over elements of $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$, f ranges over elements of $\mathrm{Funcs_T}X : ((T_3)_{\mathbf{2}} = \emptyset \Rightarrow T_{\mathbf{2}} = \emptyset) \wedge f$ is a function from $T_{\mathbf{2}}$ into $(T_3)_{\mathbf{2}} \wedge \bigwedge_{x,y} (\langle x, y\rangle \in T_{\mathbf{1}} \Rightarrow \langle f(x), f(y)\rangle \in (T_3)_{\mathbf{1}})\}$.

Let us consider X. Note that $\mathrm{Maps_T}X$ is non empty.
In the sequel m, m_1, m_2, m_3 are elements of $\mathrm{Maps_T}X$.
The following propositions are true:

(41) There exist f, T_1, T_2 such that

(i) $m = \langle\langle T_1, T_2\rangle, f\rangle$,

(ii) if $(T_2)_{\mathbf{2}} = \emptyset$, then $(T_1)_{\mathbf{2}} = \emptyset$,

(iii) f is a function from $(T_1)_{\mathbf{2}}$ into $(T_2)_{\mathbf{2}}$, and

(iv) for all x, y such that $\langle x, y\rangle \in (T_1)_{\mathbf{1}}$ holds $\langle f(x), f(y)\rangle \in (T_2)_{\mathbf{1}}$.

(42) Let f be a function from $(T_1)_{\mathbf{2}}$ into $(T_2)_{\mathbf{2}}$. Suppose if $(T_2)_{\mathbf{2}} = \emptyset$, then $(T_1)_{\mathbf{2}} = \emptyset$ and for all x, y such that $\langle x, y\rangle \in (T_1)_{\mathbf{1}}$ holds $\langle f(x), f(y)\rangle \in (T_2)_{\mathbf{1}}$. Then $\langle\langle T_1, T_2\rangle, f\rangle \in \mathrm{Maps_T}X$.

Let X be a set and let m be an element of $\mathrm{Maps_T}X$. One can check that $m_{\mathbf{2}}$ is function-like and relation-like.

Let us consider X, m. The functor $\mathrm{dom}\, m$ yields an element of $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$ and is defined by:

(Def. 25)[5] $\mathrm{dom}\, m = (m_{\mathbf{1}})_{\mathbf{1}}$.

The functor $\mathrm{cod}\, m$ yielding an element of $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 26) $\mathrm{cod}\, m = (m_{\mathbf{1}})_{\mathbf{2}}$.

Next we state the proposition

(43) $m = \langle\langle \mathrm{dom}\, m, \mathrm{cod}\, m\rangle, m_{\mathbf{2}}\rangle$.

Let us consider X, T. The functor $\mathrm{id}(T)$ yields an element of $\mathrm{Maps_T}X$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 27) $\mathrm{id}(T) = \langle\langle T, T\rangle, \mathrm{id}_{T_{\mathbf{2}}}\rangle$.

Next we state the proposition

(44) $(\mathrm{cod}\, m)_{\mathbf{2}} \neq \emptyset$ or $(\mathrm{dom}\, m)_{\mathbf{2}} = \emptyset$ but $m_{\mathbf{2}}$ is a function from $(\mathrm{dom}\, m)_{\mathbf{2}}$ into $(\mathrm{cod}\, m)_{\mathbf{2}}$ but for all x, y such that $\langle x, y\rangle \in (\mathrm{dom}\, m)_{\mathbf{1}}$ holds $\langle m_{\mathbf{2}}(x), m_{\mathbf{2}}(y)\rangle \in (\mathrm{cod}\, m)_{\mathbf{1}}$.

Let us consider X, m_1, m_2. Let us assume that $\mathrm{cod}\, m_1 = \mathrm{dom}\, m_2$. The functor $m_2 \cdot m_1$ yielding an element of $\mathrm{Maps_T}X$ is defined by:

(Def. 28) $m_2 \cdot m_1 = \langle\langle \mathrm{dom}\, m_1, \mathrm{cod}\, m_2\rangle, (m_2)_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot (m_1)_{\mathbf{2}}\rangle$.

The following four propositions are true:

[5] The definition (Def. 24) has been removed.

(45) If $\mathrm{dom}\, m_2 = \mathrm{cod}\, m_1$, then $(m_2 \cdot m_1)_{\mathbf{2}} = (m_2)_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot (m_1)_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathrm{dom}(m_2 \cdot m_1) = \mathrm{dom}\, m_1$ and $\mathrm{cod}(m_2 \cdot m_1) = \mathrm{cod}\, m_2$.

(46) If $\mathrm{dom}\, m_2 = \mathrm{cod}\, m_1$ and $\mathrm{dom}\, m_3 = \mathrm{cod}\, m_2$, then $m_3 \cdot (m_2 \cdot m_1) = (m_3 \cdot m_2) \cdot m_1$.

(47) $(\mathrm{id}(T))_{\mathbf{2}} = \mathrm{id}_{T_{\mathbf{2}}}$ and $\mathrm{dom}\,\mathrm{id}(T) = T$ and $\mathrm{cod}\,\mathrm{id}(T) = T$.

(48) $m \cdot \mathrm{id}(\mathrm{dom}\, m) = m$ and $\mathrm{id}(\mathrm{cod}\, m) \cdot m = m$.

Let us consider X. The functor Dom_X yields a function from $\mathrm{Maps_T} X$ into $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 29) For every m holds $\mathrm{Dom}_X(m) = \mathrm{dom}\, m$.

The functor Cod_X yields a function from $\mathrm{Maps_T} X$ into $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 30) For every m holds $\mathrm{Cod}_X(m) = \mathrm{cod}\, m$.

The functor $\cdot_X$ yields a partial function from $[:\mathrm{Maps_T} X, \mathrm{Maps_T} X:]$ to $\mathrm{Maps_T} X$ and is defined by:

(Def. 31) For all m_2, m_1 holds $\langle m_2, m_1 \rangle \in \mathrm{dom}(\cdot_X)$ iff $\mathrm{dom}\, m_2 = \mathrm{cod}\, m_1$ and for all m_2, m_1 such that $\mathrm{dom}\, m_2 = \mathrm{cod}\, m_1$ holds $\cdot_X(\langle m_2, m_1 \rangle) = m_2 \cdot m_1$.

The functor Id_X yielding a function from $\mathrm{TOL}(X)$ into $\mathrm{Maps_T} X$ is defined by:

(Def. 32) For every T holds $\mathrm{Id}_X(T) = \mathrm{id}(T)$.

Next we state the proposition

(49) $\langle \mathrm{TOL}(X), \mathrm{Maps_T} X, \mathrm{Dom}_X, \mathrm{Cod}_X, \cdot_X, \mathrm{Id}_X \rangle$ is a category.

Let us consider X. The X-tolerance category is a category and is defined by:

(Def. 33) The X-tolerance category $= \langle \mathrm{TOL}(X), \mathrm{Maps_T} X, \mathrm{Dom}_X, \mathrm{Cod}_X, \cdot_X, \mathrm{Id}_X \rangle$.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski's classes and ranks. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/classes1.html`.

[2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html`.

[3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html`.

[4] Czesław Byliński. Introduction to categories and functors. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/cat_1.html`.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html`.

[6] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html`.

[7] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Relations of tolerance. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/toler_1.html`.

[8] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/eqrel_1.html`.

[9] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html`.

[10] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html`.

[11] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html`.

[12] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html`.

[13] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.

Received December 29, 1992

Published January 2, 2004