# **Cartesian Categories**

# Czesław Byliński Warsaw University Białystok

**Summary.** We define and prove some simple facts on Cartesian categories and its duals co-Cartesian categories. The Cartesian category is defined as a category with the fixed terminal object, the fixed projections, and the binary products. Category C has finite products if and only if C has a terminal object and for every pair a,b of objects of C the product  $a \times b$  exists. We say that a category C has a finite product if every finite family of objects of C has a product. Our work is based on ideas of [10], where the algebraic properties of the proof theory are investigated. The terminal object of a Cartesian category C is denoted by  $\mathbf{1}_C$ . The binary product of a and b is written as  $a \times b$ . The projections of the product are written as  $pr_1(a,b)$  and as  $pr_2(a,b)$ . We define the products  $f \times g$  of arrows  $f: a \to a'$  and  $g: b \to b'$  as  $f \cdot pr_1, g \cdot pr_2 >: a \times b \to a' \times b'$ .

Co-Cartesian category is defined dually to the Cartesian category. Dual to a terminal object is an initial object, and to products are coproducts. The initial object of a Cartesian category C is written as  $\mathbf{0}_C$ . Binary coproduct of a and b is written as a+b. Injections of the coproduct are written as  $in_1(a,b)$  and as  $in_2(a,b)$ .

MML Identifier: CAT 4.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/cat\_4.html

The articles [12], [5], [13], [8], [11], [14], [2], [3], [9], [1], [6], [4], and [7] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

#### 1. Preliminaries

In this paper o, m, r denote sets.

Let us consider o, m, r.

(Def. 1)  $[\langle o, m \rangle \mapsto r]$  is a function from  $[:\{o\}, \{m\}:]$  into  $\{r\}$ .

Let C be a category and let a, b be objects of C. Let us observe that a and b are isomorphic if and only if the conditions (Def. 2) are satisfied.

- (Def. 2)(i)  $hom(a,b) \neq \emptyset$ ,
  - (ii)  $hom(b,a) \neq \emptyset$ , and
  - (iii) there exists a morphism f from a to b and there exists a morphism f' from b to a such that  $f \cdot f' = \mathrm{id}_b$  and  $f' \cdot f = \mathrm{id}_a$ .

#### 2. CARTESIAN CATEGORIES

Let C be a category. We say that C has finite product if and only if the condition (Def. 3) is satisfied.

(Def. 3) Let I be a finite set and F be a function from I into the objects of C. Then there exists an object a of C and there exists a projections family F' from a onto I such that  $\operatorname{cod}_{\kappa} F'(\kappa) = F$  and a is a product w.r.t. F'.

The following proposition is true

- (1) Let *C* be a category. Then *C* has finite product if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
- (i) there exists an object of C which is terminal, and
- (ii) for all objects a, b of C there exists an object c of C and there exist morphisms  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  of C such that dom  $p_1 = c$  and dom  $p_2 = c$  and cod  $p_1 = a$  and cod  $p_2 = b$  and c is a product w.r.t.  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ .

We consider Cartesian category structures as extensions of category structure as systems  $\langle$  objects, morphisms, a dom-map, a cod-map, a composition, an id-map, a terminal, a product, a 1st-projection, a 2nd-projection  $\rangle$ ,

where the objects and the morphisms constitute non empty sets, the dom-map and the cod-map are functions from the morphisms into the objects, the composition is a partial function from [: the morphisms, the morphisms:] to the morphisms, the id-map is a function from the objects into the morphisms, the terminal is an element of the objects, the product is a function from [: the objects, the objects:] into the objects, and the 1st-projection and the 2nd-projection are functions from [: the objects, the objects:] into the morphisms.

Let C be a Cartesian category structure. The functor  $\mathbf{1}_C$  yields an object of C and is defined by:

(Def. 4)  $\mathbf{1}_C$  = the terminal of C.

Let a, b be objects of C. The functor  $a \times b$  yields an object of C and is defined by:

(Def. 5)  $a \times b = (\text{the product of } C)(\langle a, b \rangle).$ 

The functor  $\pi_1(a \times b)$  yields a morphism of C and is defined by:

(Def. 6)  $\pi_1(a \times b) = \text{(the 1st-projection of } C)(\langle a, b \rangle).$ 

The functor  $\pi_2(a \times b)$  yielding a morphism of *C* is defined as follows:

(Def. 7)  $\pi_2(a \times b) = \text{(the 2nd-projection of } C)(\langle a, b \rangle).$ 

Let us consider o, m. The functor  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}(o,m)$  yielding a strict Cartesian category structure is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) 
$$\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}(o,m) = \langle \{o\}, \{m\}, \{m\} \longmapsto o, \{m\} \longmapsto o, \langle m, m \rangle \longmapsto m, \{o\} \longmapsto m, \text{Extract}(o), [\langle o, o \rangle \mapsto o], [\langle o, o \rangle \mapsto m], \{o\}0\rangle.$$

Next we state the proposition

(2) The category structure of  $\circlearrowright_{\mathbf{c}}(o,m) = \circlearrowright(o,m)$ .

Let us observe that there exists a Cartesian category structure which is strict and category-like. Let o, m be sets. Observe that  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}(o,m)$  is category-like. One can prove the following propositions:

- (3) For every object a of  $\bigcirc_{c}(o, m)$  holds a = o.
- (4) For all objects a, b of  $\circlearrowright_{c}(o,m)$  holds a = b.
- (5) For every morphism f of  $\circlearrowright_{c}(o,m)$  holds f=m.
- (6) For all morphisms f, g of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}(o, m)$  holds f = g.
- (7) For all objects a, b of  $\circlearrowright_{c}(o, m)$  and for every morphism f of  $\circlearrowright_{c}(o, m)$  holds  $f \in \text{hom}(a, b)$ .

- (8) For all objects a, b of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}(o,m)$  holds every morphism of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}(o,m)$  is a morphism from a to b.
- (9) For all objects a, b of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}(o, m)$  holds  $hom(a, b) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (10) Every object of  $\circlearrowright_{c}(o,m)$  is terminal.
- (11) For every object c of  $\circlearrowright_{\mathbf{c}}(o,m)$  and for all morphisms  $p_1, p_2$  of  $\circlearrowright_{\mathbf{c}}(o,m)$  holds c is a product w.r.t.  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ .

Let  $I_1$  be a category-like Cartesian category structure. We say that  $I_1$  is Cartesian if and only if the conditions (Def. 9) are satisfied.

#### (Def. 9)(i) The terminal of $I_1$ is terminal, and

(ii) for all objects a, b of  $I_1$  holds  $\operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{the 1st-projection of } I_1)(\langle a, b \rangle) = a$  and  $\operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{the 2nd-projection of } I_1)(\langle a, b \rangle) = b$  and (the product of  $I_1)(\langle a, b \rangle)$  is a product w.r.t. (the 1st-projection of  $I_1)(\langle a, b \rangle)$  and (the 2nd-projection of  $I_1)(\langle a, b \rangle)$ .

One can prove the following proposition

(12) For all sets o, m holds  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}(o, m)$  is Cartesian.

One can check that there exists a category-like Cartesian category structure which is strict and Cartesian.

A Cartesian category is a Cartesian category-like Cartesian category structure.

We follow the rules: C denotes a Cartesian category and a, b, c, d, e, s denote objects of C.

Next we state three propositions:

- (13)  $\mathbf{1}_C$  is terminal.
- (14) For all morphisms  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  from a to  $\mathbf{1}_C$  holds  $f_1 = f_2$ .
- (15)  $hom(a, \mathbf{1}_C) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let us consider C, a.

(Def. 10) term a is a morphism from a to  $\mathbf{1}_C$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (16)  $term a = |^a(\mathbf{1}_C).$
- (17) dom term a = a and cod term  $a = \mathbf{1}_C$ .
- (18)  $hom(a, \mathbf{1}_C) = \{term a\}.$
- (19)  $\operatorname{dom} \pi_1(a \times b) = a \times b \text{ and } \operatorname{cod} \pi_1(a \times b) = a.$
- (20)  $\operatorname{dom} \pi_2(a \times b) = a \times b \text{ and } \operatorname{cod} \pi_2(a \times b) = b.$

Let us consider C, a, b. Then  $\pi_1(a \times b)$  is a morphism from  $a \times b$  to a. Then  $\pi_2(a \times b)$  is a morphism from  $a \times b$  to b.

The following four propositions are true:

- (21)  $hom(a \times b, a) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(a \times b, b) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (22)  $a \times b$  is a product w.r.t.  $\pi_1(a \times b)$  and  $\pi_2(a \times b)$ .
- (23) C has finite product.
- (24) If  $hom(a,b) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,a) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\pi_1(a \times b)$  is retraction and  $\pi_2(a \times b)$  is retraction.

Let us consider C, a, b, c, let f be a morphism from c to a, and let g be a morphism from c to b. Let us assume that  $hom(c,a) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c,b) \neq \emptyset$ . The functor  $\langle f,g \rangle$  yielding a morphism from c to  $a \times b$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 11) 
$$\pi_1(a \times b) \cdot \langle f, g \rangle = f$$
 and  $\pi_2(a \times b) \cdot \langle f, g \rangle = g$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (25) If  $hom(c, a) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c, b) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $hom(c, a \times b) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (26)  $\langle \pi_1(a \times b), \pi_2(a \times b) \rangle = \mathrm{id}_{a \times b}.$
- (27) Let f be a morphism from c to a, g be a morphism from c to b, and h be a morphism from d to c. If  $hom(c, a) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c, b) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(d, c) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\langle f \cdot h, g \cdot h \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle \cdot h$ .
- (28) Let f, k be morphisms from c to a and g, h be morphisms from c to b. If  $hom(c,a) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c,b) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle f,g \rangle = \langle k,h \rangle$ , then f = k and g = h.
- (29) Let f be a morphism from c to a and g be a morphism from c to b. If  $hom(c,a) \neq \emptyset$  and if  $hom(c,b) \neq \emptyset$  and if f is monic or g is monic, then  $\langle f,g \rangle$  is monic.
- (30)  $hom(a, a \times \mathbf{1}_C) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(a, \mathbf{1}_C \times a) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let us consider C, a. The functor  $\lambda(a)$  yielding a morphism from  $\mathbf{1}_C \times a$  to a is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) 
$$\lambda(a) = \pi_2(\mathbf{1}_C \times a)$$
.

The functor  $\lambda^{-1}(a)$  yields a morphism from a to  $\mathbf{1}_C \times a$  and is defined by:

(Def. 13) 
$$\lambda^{-1}(a) = \langle \text{term } a, \text{id}_a \rangle$$
.

The functor  $\rho(a)$  yielding a morphism from  $a \times \mathbf{1}_C$  to a is defined as follows:

(Def. 14) 
$$\rho(a) = \pi_1(a \times \mathbf{1}_C)$$
.

The functor  $\rho^{-1}(a)$  yields a morphism from a to  $a \times \mathbf{1}_C$  and is defined by:

(Def. 15) 
$$\rho^{-1}(a) = \langle id_a, term a \rangle$$
.

Next we state two propositions:

- (31)  $\lambda(a) \cdot \lambda^{-1}(a) = \mathrm{id}_a$  and  $\lambda^{-1}(a) \cdot \lambda(a) = \mathrm{id}_{1_C \times a}$  and  $\rho(a) \cdot \rho^{-1}(a) = \mathrm{id}_a$  and  $\rho^{-1}(a) \cdot \rho(a) = \mathrm{id}_{a \times 1_C}$ .
- (32) a and  $a \times \mathbf{1}_C$  are isomorphic and a and  $\mathbf{1}_C \times a$  are isomorphic.

Let us consider C, a, b. The functor Switch(a) yields a morphism from  $a \times b$  to  $b \times a$  and is defined by:

(Def. 16) Switch(
$$a$$
) =  $\langle \pi_2(a \times b), \pi_1(a \times b) \rangle$ .

We now state three propositions:

- (33)  $hom(a \times b, b \times a) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (34) Switch(a) · Switch(b) =  $id_{b \times a}$ .
- (35)  $a \times b$  and  $b \times a$  are isomorphic.

Let us consider C, a. The functor  $\Delta(a)$  yields a morphism from a to  $a \times a$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 17) 
$$\Delta(a) = \langle id_a, id_a \rangle$$
.

We now state two propositions:

- (36)  $hom(a, a \times a) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (37) For every morphism f from a to b such that  $hom(a,b) \neq \emptyset$  holds  $\langle f, f \rangle = \Delta(b) \cdot f$ .

Let us consider C, a, b, c. The functor  $\alpha((a,b),c)$  yielding a morphism from  $a \times b \times c$  to  $a \times (b \times c)$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) 
$$\alpha((a,b),c) = \langle \pi_1(a \times b) \cdot \pi_1((a \times b) \times c), \langle \pi_2(a \times b) \cdot \pi_1((a \times b) \times c), \pi_2((a \times b) \times c) \rangle \rangle$$
.

The functor  $\alpha(a,(b,c))$  yields a morphism from  $a \times (b \times c)$  to  $a \times b \times c$  and is defined by:

(Def. 19) 
$$\alpha(a,(b,c)) = \langle \langle \pi_1(a \times (b \times c)), \pi_1(b \times c) \cdot \pi_2(a \times (b \times c)) \rangle, \pi_2(b \times c) \cdot \pi_2(a \times (b \times c)) \rangle$$
.

We now state three propositions:

- (38)  $hom(a \times b \times c, a \times (b \times c)) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(a \times (b \times c), a \times b \times c) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (39)  $\alpha((a,b),c) \cdot \alpha(a,(b,c)) = \mathrm{id}_{a \times (b \times c)} \text{ and } \alpha(a,(b,c)) \cdot \alpha((a,b),c) = \mathrm{id}_{a \times b \times c}.$
- (40)  $(a \times b) \times c$  and  $a \times (b \times c)$  are isomorphic.

Let us consider C, a, b, c, d, let f be a morphism from a to b, and let g be a morphism from c to d. The functor  $f \times g$  yielding a morphism from  $a \times c$  to  $b \times d$  is defined by:

(Def. 20) 
$$f \times g = \langle f \cdot \pi_1(a \times c), g \cdot \pi_2(a \times c) \rangle$$
.

The following propositions are true:

- (41) If  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,d) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $hom(a \times b, c \times d) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (42)  $id_a \times id_b = id_{a \times b}$ .
- (43) Let f be a morphism from a to b, h be a morphism from c to d, g be a morphism from e to a, and k be a morphism from e to c. If  $hom(a,b) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c,d) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(e,c) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(f \times h) \cdot \langle g, k \rangle = \langle f \cdot g, h \cdot k \rangle$ .
- (44) Let f be a morphism from c to a and g be a morphism from c to b. If  $hom(c,a) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c,b) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\langle f,g \rangle = (f \times g) \cdot \Delta(c)$ .
- (45) Let f be a morphism from a to b, h be a morphism from c to d, g be a morphism from e to a, and e be a morphism from e to e. If  $hom(a,b) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c,d) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(e,a) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(s,c) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(f \times h) \cdot (g \times k) = (f \cdot g) \times (h \cdot k)$ .

### 3. CO-CARTESIAN CATEGORIES

Let C be a category. We say that C has finite coproduct if and only if the condition (Def. 21) is satisfied.

(Def. 21) Let I be a finite set and F be a function from I into the objects of C. Then there exists an object a of C and there exists an injections family F' into a on I such that  $\operatorname{dom}_{\kappa} F'(\kappa) = F$  and a is a coproduct w.r.t. F'.

The following proposition is true

- (46) Let *C* be a category. Then *C* has finite coproduct if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
  - (i) there exists an object of C which is initial, and
- (ii) for all objects a, b of C there exists an object c of C and there exist morphisms  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$  of C such that dom  $i_1 = a$  and dom  $i_2 = b$  and cod  $i_1 = c$  and cod  $i_2 = c$  and c is a coproduct w.r.t.  $i_1$  and  $i_2$ .

We introduce cocartesian category structures which are extensions of category structure and are systems

⟨ objects, morphisms, a dom-map, a cod-map, a composition, an id-map, a initial, a coproduct, a 1st-coprojection, a 2nd-coprojection ⟩,

where the objects and the morphisms constitute non empty sets, the dom-map and the cod-map are functions from the morphisms into the objects, the composition is a partial function from [: the morphisms, the morphisms:] to the morphisms, the id-map is a function from the objects into the morphisms, the initial is an element of the objects, the coproduct is a function from [: the objects, the objects:] into the objects, and the 1st-coprojection and the 2nd-coprojection are functions from [: the objects, the objects:] into the morphisms.

Let C be a cocartesian category structure. The functor  $\mathbf{0}_C$  yields an object of C and is defined as follows:

(Def. 22)  $\mathbf{0}_C$  = the initial of C.

Let a, b be objects of C. The functor a + b yields an object of C and is defined by:

(Def. 23)  $a+b = (\text{the coproduct of } C)(\langle a, b \rangle).$ 

The functor  $in_1(a+b)$  yields a morphism of C and is defined as follows:

(Def. 24)  $\operatorname{in}_1(a+b) = (\text{the 1st-coprojection of } C)(\langle a, b \rangle).$ 

The functor  $in_2(a+b)$  yields a morphism of C and is defined by:

(Def. 25)  $\operatorname{in}_2(a+b) = (\text{the 2nd-coprojection of } C)(\langle a, b \rangle).$ 

Let us consider o, m. The functor  $\circlearrowright_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathrm{op}}(o,m)$  yields a strict cocartesian category structure and is defined as follows:

$$(\text{Def. 26}) \quad \circlearrowright_{c}^{\text{op}}(o,m) = \langle \{o\}, \{m\}, \{m\} \longmapsto o, \{m\} \longmapsto o, \langle m, m \rangle \longmapsto m, \{o\} \longmapsto m, \text{Extract}(o), [\langle o, o \rangle \mapsto o], [\langle o, o \rangle \mapsto m], \{o\}0 \rangle.$$

We now state the proposition

(47) The category structure of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{\rm c}^{\rm op}(o,m) = \dot{\bigcirc}(o,m)$ .

Let us note that there exists a cocartesian category structure which is strict and category-like. Let o, m be sets. One can check that  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{\rm c}^{\rm op}(o,m)$  is category-like.

The following propositions are true:

- (48) For every object a of  $\bigcirc_{c}^{op}(o, m)$  holds a = o.
- (49) For all objects a, b of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}^{op}(o,m)$  holds a=b.
- (50) For every morphism f of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}^{op}(o,m)$  holds f=m.
- (51) For all morphisms f, g of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}^{op}(o,m)$  holds f=g.
- (52) For all objects a, b of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}^{op}(o,m)$  and for every morphism f of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}^{op}(o,m)$  holds  $f \in \text{hom}(a,b)$ .
- (53) For all objects a, b of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{\rm c}^{\rm op}(o,m)$  holds every morphism of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{\rm c}^{\rm op}(o,m)$  is a morphism from a to b
- (54) For all objects a, b of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}^{op}(o, m)$  holds  $hom(a, b) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (55) Every object of  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}^{op}(o,m)$  is initial.
- (56) For every object c of  $\circlearrowright_{c}^{op}(o,m)$  and for all morphisms  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$  of  $\circlearrowleft_{c}^{op}(o,m)$  holds c is a coproduct w.r.t.  $i_1$  and  $i_2$ .

Let  $I_1$  be a category-like cocartesian category structure. We say that  $I_1$  is cocartesian if and only if the conditions (Def. 27) are satisfied.

- (Def. 27)(i) The initial of  $I_1$  is initial, and
  - (ii) for all objects a, b of  $I_1$  holds dom(the 1st-coprojection of  $I_1$ )( $\langle a, b \rangle$ ) = a and dom(the 2nd-coprojection of  $I_1$ )( $\langle a, b \rangle$ ) = b and (the coproduct of  $I_1$ )( $\langle a, b \rangle$ ) is a coproduct w.r.t. (the 1st-coprojection of  $I_1$ )( $\langle a, b \rangle$ ) and (the 2nd-coprojection of  $I_1$ )( $\langle a, b \rangle$ ).

We now state the proposition

(57) For all sets o, m holds  $\dot{\bigcirc}_{c}^{op}(o,m)$  is cocartesian.

Let us observe that there exists a category-like cocartesian category structure which is strict and cocartesian.

A cocartesian category is a cocartesian category-like cocartesian category structure.

We adopt the following convention: C denotes a cocartesian category and a, b, c, d, e, s denote objects of C.

The following propositions are true:

- (58)  $\mathbf{0}_C$  is initial.
- (59) For all morphisms  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  from  $\mathbf{0}_C$  to a holds  $f_1 = f_2$ .

Let us consider C, a.

(Def. 28) init a is a morphism from  $\mathbf{0}_C$  to a.

The following propositions are true:

- (60)  $hom(\mathbf{0}_C, a) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (61)  $\operatorname{init} a = \operatorname{init}(\mathbf{0}_C, a).$
- (62) dominit  $a = \mathbf{0}_C$  and cod init a = a.
- (63)  $hom(\mathbf{0}_C, a) = \{init a\}.$
- (64)  $dom in_1(a+b) = a \text{ and } cod in_1(a+b) = a+b.$
- (65)  $domin_2(a+b) = b \text{ and } codin_2(a+b) = a+b.$
- (66)  $hom(a, a+b) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b, a+b) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (67) a+b is a coproduct w.r.t.  $in_1(a+b)$  and  $in_2(a+b)$ .
- (68) C has finite coproduct.
- (69) If  $hom(a,b) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,a) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $in_1(a+b)$  is coretraction and  $in_2(a+b)$  is coretraction

Let us consider C, a, b. Then  $in_1(a+b)$  is a morphism from a to a+b. Then  $in_2(a+b)$  is a morphism from b to a+b.

Let us consider C, a, b, c, let f be a morphism from a to c, and let g be a morphism from b to c. Let us assume that  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,c) \neq \emptyset$ . The functor  $\langle f,g \rangle$  yielding a morphism from a+b to c is defined as follows:

(Def. 29) 
$$\langle f, g \rangle \cdot \operatorname{in}_1(a+b) = f$$
 and  $\langle f, g \rangle \cdot \operatorname{in}_2(a+b) = g$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (70) If  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,c) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $hom(a+b,c) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (71)  $\langle \operatorname{in}_1(a+b), \operatorname{in}_2(a+b) \rangle = \operatorname{id}_{a+b}$ .
- (72) Let f be a morphism from a to c, g be a morphism from b to c, and h be a morphism from c to d. If  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c,d) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\langle h \cdot f, h \cdot g \rangle = h \cdot \langle f, g \rangle$ .

- (73) Let f, k be morphisms from a to c and g, h be morphisms from b to c. If  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle f,g \rangle = \langle k,h \rangle$ , then f=k and g=h.
- (74) Let f be a morphism from a to c and g be a morphism from b to c. If  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and if  $hom(b,c) \neq \emptyset$  and if f is epi or g is epi, then  $\langle f,g \rangle$  is epi.
- (75) a and  $a + \mathbf{0}_C$  are isomorphic and a and  $\mathbf{0}_C + a$  are isomorphic.
- (76) a+b and b+a are isomorphic.
- (77) (a+b)+c and a+(b+c) are isomorphic.

Let us consider C, a. The functor  $\nabla_a$  yielding a morphism from a + a to a is defined by:

(Def. 30) 
$$\nabla_a = \langle id_a, id_a \rangle$$
.

Let us consider C, a, b, c, d, let f be a morphism from a to c, and let g be a morphism from b to d. The functor f + g yields a morphism from a + b to c + d and is defined as follows:

(Def. 31) 
$$f+g = \langle \operatorname{in}_1(c+d) \cdot f, \operatorname{in}_2(c+d) \cdot g \rangle$$
.

We now state several propositions:

- (78) If  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,d) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $hom(a+b,c+d) \neq \emptyset$ .
- $(79) \quad id_a + id_b = id_{a+b}.$
- (80) Let f be a morphism from a to c, h be a morphism from b to d, g be a morphism from c to e, and k be a morphism from d to e. If  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,d) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c,e) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(d,e) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\langle g,k \rangle \cdot (f+h) = \langle g \cdot f,k \cdot h \rangle$ .
- (81) Let f be a morphism from a to c and g be a morphism from b to c. If  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,c) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\nabla_c \cdot (f+g) = \langle f,g \rangle$ .
- (82) Let f be a morphism from a to c, h be a morphism from b to d, g be a morphism from c to e, and k be a morphism from d to s. If  $hom(a,c) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(b,d) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(c,e) \neq \emptyset$  and  $hom(d,s) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(g+k) \cdot (f+h) = g \cdot f + k \cdot h$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/card\_1.html.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\_1.html.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\_2.html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Introduction to categories and functors. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Vol1/cat\_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/zfmisc 1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct\_4.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Vol4/cat\_3.html.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finset\_1.html.
- [9] Michał Muzalewski and Wojciech Skaba. From loops to abelian multiplicative groups with zero. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/algstr\_1.html.
- [10] M. E. Szabo. Algebra of Proofs. North Holland, 1978.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/domain\_1.html.

- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- $[13] \ \ \textbf{Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. } \textbf{\textit{Journal of Formalized Mathematics}}, 1, 1989. \ \texttt{http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\_1.html}.$
- [14] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat\_1.html.

Received October 27, 1992

Published January 2, 2004

\_\_\_\_