

Propositional Calculus for Boolean Valued Functions.

Part VII

Shunichi Kobayashi
Matsumoto University
Nagano

Summary. In this paper, we proved some elementary propositional calculus formulae for Boolean valued functions.

MML Identifier: BVFUNC25.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol15/bvfunc25.html>

The articles [4], [3], [2], and [1] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

We use the following convention: Y is a non empty set and a, b, c, d are elements of Boolean^Y .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (1) $\neg(a \Rightarrow b) = a \wedge \neg b$.
- (2) $\neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (3) $a \Rightarrow b = \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a$.
- (4) $a \Leftrightarrow b = \neg a \Leftrightarrow \neg b$.
- (5) $a \Rightarrow b = a \Rightarrow a \wedge b$.
- (6) $a \Leftrightarrow b = a \vee b \Rightarrow a \wedge b$.
- (7) $a \Leftrightarrow \neg a = \text{false}(Y)$.
- (8) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c = b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c$.
- (9) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c$.
- (10) $a \Leftrightarrow b = a \oplus \neg b$.
- (11) $a \wedge (b \oplus c) = a \wedge b \oplus a \wedge c$.
- (12) $a \Leftrightarrow b = \neg(a \oplus b)$.
- (13) $a \oplus a = \text{false}(Y)$.
- (14) $a \oplus \neg a = \text{true}(Y)$.
- (15) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a = b \Rightarrow a$.
- (16) $(a \vee b) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b) = \neg a \wedge b \vee a \wedge \neg b$.
- (17) $a \wedge b \vee \neg a \wedge \neg b = (\neg a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee \neg b)$.

- (18) $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c.$
- (19) $a \Leftrightarrow b \Leftrightarrow c = a \Leftrightarrow b \Leftrightarrow c.$
- (20) $\neg\neg a \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (21) $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge a \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y).$
- (22) $a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (23) $\neg a \Rightarrow a \Leftrightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (24) $a \vee (a \Rightarrow b) = \text{true}(Y).$
- (25) $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (c \Rightarrow a) = \text{true}(Y).$
- (26) $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (\neg a \Rightarrow b) = \text{true}(Y).$
- (27) $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (a \Rightarrow \neg b) = \text{true}(Y).$
- (28) $\neg a \Rightarrow \neg b \Leftrightarrow b \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (29) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y).$
- (30) $a \Rightarrow b = a \Leftrightarrow a \wedge b.$
- (31) $a \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y) \text{ and } b \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y) \text{ iff } a = b.$
- (32) $a = \neg a \Rightarrow a.$
- (33) $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (34) $a = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a.$
- (35) $a = (b \Rightarrow a) \wedge (\neg b \Rightarrow a).$
- (36) $a \wedge b = \neg(a \Rightarrow \neg b).$
- (37) $a \vee b = \neg a \Rightarrow b.$
- (38) $a \vee b = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b.$
- (39) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a = \text{true}(Y).$
- (40) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow c = \text{true}(Y).$
- (41) $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge a \wedge c \Rightarrow b = \text{true}(Y).$
- (42) $b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = \text{true}(Y).$
- (43) $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a \wedge b \Rightarrow c \wedge b = \text{true}(Y).$
- (44) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \wedge c \Rightarrow b \wedge c = \text{true}(Y).$
- (45) $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge (a \wedge c) \Rightarrow b \wedge c = \text{true}(Y).$
- (46) $a \wedge (a \Rightarrow b) \wedge (b \Rightarrow c) \Subset c.$
- (47) $(a \vee b) \wedge (a \Rightarrow c) \wedge (b \Rightarrow c) \Subset \neg a \Rightarrow b \vee c.$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was partially supported by the research funds of the University of Matsumoto.

REFERENCES

- [1] Shunichi Kobayashi and Kui Jia. A theory of Boolean valued functions and partitions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/bvfunc_1.html.
- [2] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Fränkel operator. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/fraenkel.html>.
- [3] Edmund Woronowicz. Interpretation and satisfiability in the first order logic. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/valuat_1.html.
- [4] Edmund Woronowicz. Many-argument relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/margrell.html>.

Received February 6, 2003

Published January 2, 2004
